

A note on hyperrings and hypermodules-Corrigendum

Engin Kaynar

*Amasya University
Vocational School of Technical Sciences
05100 Amasya
Turkey
engin.kaynar@amasya.edu.tr*

Burcu Nişancı Türkmen

*Amasya University
Faculty of Art and Science
Department of Mathematics
05100 Amasya
Turkey
burcu.turkmen@amasya.edu.tr*

Ergül Türkmen*

*Amasya University
Faculty of Art and Science
Department of Mathematics
05100 Amasya
Turkey
ergul.turkmen@amasya.edu.tr*

Abstract. In this corrigendum to the paper, “A note on hyperrings and hypermodules” [5], we present revised Theorem 3.3 and Example 3.3 and correct some typographical errors.

Keywords: hyperring, hypermodule.

MSC 2020: 20N20, 16D80.

1. Corrigendum

Unfortunately, we have found some errors in [5, Theorem 3.3] and [5, Example 3.3].

Let $m > 1$. Define the relation “ \equiv ” on \mathbb{N} by for all $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$

$$“x \equiv y \iff m|k, \text{ where } \min\{x, y\} + k = \max\{x, y\}”.$$

It can be seen that “ \equiv ” is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{N} . Let $\mathbb{N}_m = \{\bar{x} \mid x \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $\bar{x} = \{0 + x, m + x, 2m + x, \dots\} = \{nm + x \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $0 \leq x < y < m$. Suppose that $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$. Then, $y \in \bar{x}$ and so $m|k, x + k = y$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This is a contradiction since $0 < k < m$. Hence, the equivalence classes $\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \overline{m-1}$

*. Corresponding author

are distinct. Let \bar{x} be any element of \mathbb{N}_m . By the division algorithm, $x = mq + r$ for some elements q and r such that $0 \leq r < m$. Since $m \mid mq$, we obtain that $\bar{r} = \bar{x}$. Hence, $\mathbb{N}_m = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \dots, \overline{m-1}\}$.

The definition of the hyperoperation given [5, Theorem 3.3] is incorrect and does not satisfy the condition of the uniqueness of an element, which is among the axioms for being a canonical hypergroup. We can observe this situation in [5, Example 3.3]. In this example, the inverse of the element $\bar{2}$ is both $\bar{2}$ and $\bar{4}$. This violates the definition of a canonical hypergroup. Therefore, the hyperoperation should be defined as we provide below.

The following Theorem are corrected versions of these results.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $m > 1$. Define the hyperoperation " \oplus_m " on \mathbb{N}_m by*

$$\bar{x} \oplus_m \bar{y} = \begin{cases} \{\overline{x+y}\}, & \text{if } \bar{x} = \bar{y}; \\ \{\overline{x+y}, \bar{k}\}, & \text{if } \bar{x} \neq \bar{y}, \text{ where } \min\{x, y\} + k = \max\{x, y\}. \end{cases}$$

for all $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{N}_m$. Then

- (1) (\mathbb{N}_m, \oplus_m) is a canonical hypergroup with scalar identity $\bar{0}$.
- (2) $(\mathbb{N}_m, \oplus_m, \cdot)$ is a commutative and unitary hyperring, where " \cdot " is the usual multiplication.
- (3) (\mathbb{N}_m^*, \cdot) is a group, where $\mathbb{N}_m^* = \{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{N}_m \mid (x, m) = 1\}$.
- (4) $(\mathbb{N}_m, \oplus_m, \cdot)$ is a hyperfield if and only if m is prime.
- (5) The canonical hypergroup (\mathbb{N}_m, \oplus_m) is a \mathbb{N} -hypermodule.

Proof. (1), (2) and (3) are straightforward.

(4) (\Rightarrow) Let $m = ab$, where $1 \leq a < b < m$. Then $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathbb{N}_m$ and so $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \overline{ab} = \bar{0}$, a contradiction.

(\Leftarrow) Let $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{N}_m^*$. Then $(a, m) = 1$ and so we get $1 = ax + my$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\bar{1} = \overline{ax + my} = \overline{ax} = \bar{a} \cdot \bar{x}$. Hence, $\mathbb{N}_m^* = \mathbb{N}_m \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$. By (3), $(\mathbb{N}_m, \oplus_m, \cdot)$ is a hyperfield.

(5) Define the map $\cdot : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}_m$ via $n \cdot \bar{x} = \overline{nx}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{N}_m$. According to the map, it can be checked that \mathbb{N}_m is a \mathbb{N} -hypermodule. \square

Note that the condition "prime positive integer" in the [5, Proposition 3.3] is necessary. Let's take the following example to see this.

Example 1.1. Given the the hyperring \mathbb{N}_6 . Using Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following tables:

\oplus_6	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{5}$
$\bar{0}$	$\{\bar{0}\}$	$\{\bar{1}\}$	$\{\bar{2}\}$	$\{\bar{3}\}$	$\{\bar{4}\}$	$\{\bar{5}\}$
$\bar{1}$	$\{\bar{1}\}$	$\{\bar{2}\}$	$\{\bar{1}, \bar{3}\}$	$\{\bar{2}, \bar{4}\}$	$\{\bar{3}, \bar{5}\}$	$\{\bar{0}, \bar{4}\}$
$\bar{2}$	$\{\bar{2}\}$	$\{\bar{1}, \bar{3}\}$	$\{\bar{4}\}$	$\{\bar{1}, \bar{5}\}$	$\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}$	$\{\bar{1}, \bar{3}\}$
$\bar{3}$	$\{\bar{3}\}$	$\{\bar{2}, \bar{4}\}$	$\{\bar{1}, \bar{5}\}$	$\{\bar{0}\}$	$\{\bar{1}\}$	$\{\bar{2}\}$
$\bar{4}$	$\{\bar{4}\}$	$\{\bar{3}, \bar{5}\}$	$\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}$	$\{\bar{1}\}$	$\{\bar{2}\}$	$\{\bar{1}, \bar{3}\}$
$\bar{5}$	$\{\bar{5}\}$	$\{\bar{0}, \bar{4}\}$	$\{\bar{1}, \bar{3}\}$	$\{\bar{2}\}$	$\{\bar{1}, \bar{3}\}$	$\{\bar{4}\}$

and

.	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{5}$
$\bar{0}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{0}$
$\bar{1}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{5}$
$\bar{2}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{4}$
$\bar{3}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{3}$
$\bar{4}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{2}$
$\bar{5}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{5}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{1}$

Thus, the only maximal hyperideals of the hyperring \mathbb{N}_6 are $I_1 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}$ and $I_2 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}\}$. Also, we have $\mathbb{N}_6 = I_1 \oplus_6 I_2$. It follows that every hyperideal of \mathbb{N}_6 is a direct summand of \mathbb{N}_6 . Hence, the hyperring \mathbb{N}_6 is not local.

Acknowledgments

The third author gratefully acknowledge the support they have received from TUBITAK (The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey) with Grant No. 125F181. Also, the authors thank the referee for his/her careful reading of the paper, which has greatly helped improve its presentation.

References

- [1] P. Corsini, *Prolegomena of Hypergroup Theory*, 2nd ed.; Aviani Editore: Tricesimo, Italy, 1993.
- [2] B. Davvaz, V. Leoreanu-Fotea, *Hyperring theory and applications*, International Academic Press: Palm Harbor, FL, USA, 2007.
- [3] M. Krasner, *A class of hyperrings and hyperfields*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 6 (1983), 307-311.
- [4] M. Krasner, *Approximation des corps values complets de caracteristique p , $p > 0$, par ceux de cracteristique zero*, Colloque d' Algebra Superieure (Bruxelles, Decembre 1956, CBRM, Bruxelles, 1957), 129-206.

- [5] E. Kaynar, B. Nişancı Türkmen, E. Türkmen, *A note on hyperrings and hypermodules*, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 53 (2025), 104-116.
- [6] J. Mittas, *Sur les hyperanneaux et les hypercarps*, Math. Balk., 3 (1973), 368-382.

Accepted: November 9, 2025