

On k -perfect polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2

Haissam Chehade*

*The International University of Beirut
School of Arts and Sciences
Department of Mathematics and Physics
Lebanon
haissam.chehade@liu.edu.lb*

Yousuf Alkhezi

*The Public Authority for Applied Education and Training
College of Basic Education
Department of Mathematics
Kuwait
ya.alkhezi@paaet.edu.kw*

Wiam Zeid

*Lebanese International University
School of Arts and Sciences
Department of Mathematics and Physics
Lebanon
wiam.zeid@liu.edu.lb*

Abstract. A polynomial A is called k -perfect over the finite field \mathbb{F}_2 if the sum of the k^{th} powers of all distinct divisors of A equals A^k , where k is a positive integer. We show that a k -perfect polynomial A over \mathbb{F}_2 must be even when $k = 2^n$, n is a non-negative integer, and we characterize all 2^n -perfect polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 that are of the form $x^a(x+1)^b \prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{h_i}$, where each P_i is a Mersenne prime and a, b and h_i are positive integers.

Keywords: sum of divisors, multiplicative function, polynomials, finite fields, characteristic 2.

1. Introduction

Let n be a positive integer and let $\sigma(n)$ denote the sum of positive divisors of the integer n . We call the number n a k -super perfect number if $\sigma^k(n) = \underbrace{\sigma(\sigma(\dots(\sigma(n))))}_{k\text{-times}} = 2n$. When $k = 1$, n is called a perfect number. An integer

$M = 2^p - 1$, where p is a prime number, is called a Mersenne number. It is also well known that an even integer n is perfect if and only if $n = M(M + 1)/2$ for some Mersenne prime number M . Suryanarayana [11] considered k -super perfect numbers in the case $k = 2$. Numbers of the form 2^{p-1} (p is prime) are

*. Corresponding author

2-super perfect if $2^{p-1} - 1$ is a Mersenne prime. It is not known if there are odd k -super perfect numbers.

Researchers also studied the arithmetic function $\sigma_k(n)$ that finds the sum of the k th powers of the positive divisors of n . Recently, Luca and Ferdinands [10] showed that $\sigma_k(n)$ is divisible by n for infinitely many n when $k \geq 2$. Cai et al. [1] proved that if $n = 2^{a-1}p$ divides $\sigma_3(n)$, where $a > 1$ is an integer and p is an odd prime, then n is an even perfect number. Also, they proved that the converse is true when $n \neq 28$. Jiang [9] made an improvement to the result of Cai et al. They showed that $n = 2^{a-1}p^{b-1}$ divides $\sigma_3(n)$, where $a, b > 1$ are integers and p is an odd prime, if and only if n is an even perfect number other than 28. Chu [3] found a relation between an even perfect number n and $\sigma_k(n)$. He generalized the work of Cai et al. as given in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $k > 2$ be a prime such that $2^k - 1$ is a Mersenne prime. If $n = 2^{a-1}p$, where $a > 1$ and $p < 3 \cdot 2^{a-1} - 1$ is an odd prime. Then n divides $\sigma_k(n)$ if and only if n is an even perfect number other than $2^{k-1}(2^k - 1)$.*

Chu also generalized the work of Jiang as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *If $n = 2^{a-1}p^{b-1}$, where $a, b > 1$ and $p < 3 \cdot 2^{a-1} - 1$ is an odd prime. Then n divides $\sigma_5(n)$ if and only if n is an even perfect number other than 496.*

Chu conjectured if $k > 2$ is a prime such that $2^k - 1$ is a Mersenne prime and if $n = 2^{a-1}p^{b-1}$, where $a, b > 1$ and $p < 3 \cdot 2^{a-1} - 1$ is an odd prime, then n divides $\sigma_k(n)$ if and only if n is an even perfect number other than $2^{k-1}(2^k - 1)$.

The present paper gives a polynomial analogue of the arithmetic function $\sigma_k(n)$. Let k be a positive integer and let A be a nonzero polynomial defined over the prime field \mathbb{F}_2 . We denote by $\sigma_k(A)$ the sum of the k^{th} powers of the distinct divisors B of A . That is,

$$\sigma_k(A) = \sum_{B|A} B^k.$$

If $A \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ has the canonical decomposition $\prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{\alpha_i}$ where the primes $P_i \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ are distinct and $\alpha_i > 0$, then

$$\sigma_k(A) = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{P_i^{k(\alpha_i+1)} - 1}{P_i^k - 1}.$$

In the case where $k = 1$, σ_k becomes the well-known σ function. For example, if $A = x(x+1)^2(x^2+x+1) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(A) &= \sum_{B|A} B \\ &= 1 + x + (x+1) + (x+1)^2 + (x^2+x+1) + x(x+1) + x(x+1)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ x(x^2 + x + 1) + (x + 1)(x^2 + x + 1) + (x + 1)^2(x^2 + x + 1) \\
 &+ x(x + 1)(x^2 + x + 1) + x(x + 1)^2(x^2 + x + 1) \\
 &= x(x + 1)^2(x^2 + x + 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma_4(A) = \sum_{B|A} B^4 = x^4(x + 1)^8(x^2 + x + 1)^4.$$

Note that the function σ_k is multiplicative over \mathbb{F}_2 .

Notation 1.1. We use the following notations throughout the paper.

- $\deg(A)$ denotes the degree of the polynomial A .
- \bar{A} is the polynomial obtained from A with x replaced by $x + 1$, that is $\bar{A}(x) = A(x + 1)$.
- A^* is the inverse of the polynomial A with $\deg(A) = m$, in this sense $A^*(x) = x^m A(\frac{1}{x})$.
- P and Q are distinct irreducible odd polynomials.

A nonzero polynomial A defined over \mathbb{F}_2 is an even polynomial if it has a linear factor in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ else it is an odd polynomial. A polynomial T of the form $1 + x^a(x + 1)^b$ with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ is called a Mersenne polynomial, see [6]. The first five Mersenne polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 are: $T_1 = 1 + x + x^2$, $T_2 = 1 + x + x^3$, $T_3 = 1 + x^2 + x^3$, $T_4 = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4$, $T_5 = 1 + x^3 + x^4$. Note that all these polynomials are irreducible, so we call them Mersenne primes.

The next definition is the main object of this study in which we introduce a new concept of k -perfect polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 .

Definition 1.1. Let k be a positive integer. A polynomial A is called a k -perfect polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 if $\sigma_k(A) = A^k$.

A 1-perfect polynomial A over \mathbb{F}_2 is a perfect polynomial, so we are interested in studying the case when $k > 1$. The polynomial $B = x(x + 1)^2(x^2 + x + 1)$ is a 4-perfect polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$. Note that B is a perfect polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 . A natural question arise: Is there a relation between perfect polynomials and k -perfect polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$? In Section 3, we answer this question and we find a relation between the sum of the divisors function $\sigma(A)$ and the sum of the powers of the divisors function $\sigma_k(A)$, $k > 1$, of the polynomial A over the finite field \mathbb{F}_2 . We show that there are no odd 2^n -perfect polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 and we characterize all even 2^n -perfect polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 that have the form $x^a(x + 1)^b \prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{h_i}$, where each P_i is a Mersenne prime and a, b and h_i are positive integers.

Our main result is given in the following theorem:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $a, b, t, h_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and let P_i be a Mersenne prime in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$. Then, $A = x^a(x+1)^b \prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{h_i}$ is a 2^n -perfect polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if $A \in \{x^{2^t-1}(x+1)^{2^t-1}, x^2(x+1)T_1, x(x+1)^2T_1, x^3(x+1)^4T_5, x^4(x+1)^3T_4, x^4(x+1)^4T_4T_5, x^6(x+1)^3T_2T_3, x^3(x+1)^6T_2T_3, x^6(x+1)^4T_2T_3T_5, x^4(x+1)^6T_2T_3T_5\}$.*

2. Preliminaries

The notion of perfect polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 was introduced first by Canaday [2]. A polynomial A is perfect if $\sigma(A) = A$. Let $\omega(A)$ be the number of distinct irreducible polynomials that divide A . Canaday studied the case of even perfect polynomials with $\omega(A) \leq 3$. In the recent years, Gallardo and Rahavandrainsy [4, 6, 7] showed the non-existence of odd perfect polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 with either $\omega(A) = 3$ or with $\omega(A) \leq 9$ in the case where all the exponents of the irreducible factors of A are equal to 2. If the nonconstant polynomial A in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ is perfect, then $\omega(A) \geq 2$ (see [4], Lemma 2.3). Moreover, Canaday [2] showed that the only even perfect polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 with exactly two prime divisors are $x^{2^n-1}(x+1)^{2^n-1}$ for some positive integers n .

It is well known that an even perfect number is exactly divisible by two distinct prime numbers but a non-trivial even perfect polynomial $A \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ may be divisible by more than 2 distinct primes as Gallardo and Rahavandrainsy [6] gave some results with $\omega(A) \leq 5$. Although they did not give a general form of such polynomials in terms of Mersenne primes but all the non-trivial even perfect polynomials they found, with only two exceptions, have Mersenne primes as odd divisors.

The following two lemmas are useful.

Lemma 2.1 (Lemma 2.3 in [6]). *If $A=A_1A_2$ is perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 and if $\gcd(A_1, A_2) = 1$, then A_1 is perfect if and only if A_2 is perfect.*

Lemma 2.2 (Lemma 2.4 in [6]). *If A is perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 , then the polynomial \overline{A} is also perfect over \mathbb{F}_2*

In [5], Gallardo and Rahavandrainsy gave a complete list for all even perfect polynomials with at most 5 irreducible factors as given in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *The complete list of all even perfect polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 with $\omega(A) \leq 5$ is:*

$\omega(A)$	A
0	0
1	1
2	$(x^2 + x)^{2^n-1}$
3	$A_1 = x^2(x+1)T_1, A_2 = \overline{A_1}(x), A_3 = x^3(x+1)^4T_5, A_4(x) = \overline{A_3}$
4	$A_5 = x^2(x+1)(x^4+x+1)T_1^2, A_6 = \overline{A_5},$ $A_7 = x^4(x+1)^4T_4T_5, A_8 = x^6(x+1)^3T_2T_3, C_9(x) = \overline{A_8}$
5	$A_{10} = x^6(x+1)^4T_2T_3T_5, A_{11} = \overline{A_{10}}.$

Lemma 2.4 (Proposition 5.1 in [6]). *If P is an odd irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, then $x(x + 1)$ divides $\sigma(P^{2^m-1})$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

The following lemma shows a nice relation between $\sigma_k(A)$ and $(\sigma(A))^k$ when A has exactly one prime factor.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $A = P^\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ with $\alpha \geq 1$. Then $\sigma_k(A) = \sigma(A)^k$ if and only if $k = 2^n$.*

Proof.

$$\sigma_{2^n}(A) = 1 + P^{2^n} + \dots + P^{2^n\alpha} = (1 + P + \dots + P^\alpha)^{2^n} = (\sigma(A))^{2^n}.$$

For the sufficient condition, the proof is done by contrapositive. Let $k = 2^nu$, $u > 1$ is odd, then $(\sigma(A))^k = (\sigma(A))^{2^nu} = (1 + P + \dots + P^\alpha)^{2^nu} = (1 + P^{2^n} + \dots + P^{2^n\alpha})^u \neq (1 + P^{2^nu} + \dots + P^{2^nu\alpha}) = \sigma_k(A)$. \square

Corollary 2.1. *Let $A = \prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{\alpha_i} \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$, then $\sigma_{2^n}(A) = (\sigma(A))^{2^n}$.*

Lemma 2.6. *Let $A = P^\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial and $\alpha \geq 1$. Then A is not a factor of $\sigma_k(A)$.*

Proof. Assume that A divides $\sigma_k(A)$, then there exists a nonconstant $B \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ such that $\sigma_k(A) = AB$ with $\deg(B) < \deg(A^k)$. So, $1 + P^k + \dots + P^{k(\alpha-1)} + P^{k\alpha} = P^\alpha B$ and $P(P^{k-1} + \dots + P^{k(\alpha-1)-1} + P^{\alpha-1}(P^k + B)) = 1$. Hence, $P = 1$ and this contradicts the fact that P is prime in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$. \square

Lemma 2.7 (Lemma 2.6 in [8]). *Let m be a positive integer and let T be a Mersenne prime in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, then $\sigma(x^{2^m})$ and $\sigma(T^{2^m})$ are both odd and squarefree.*

Lemma 2.8. *If m and k are positive integers, then $\sigma_k(P^{2^m-1})$ is divisible by $x(x + 1)$.*

Proof. Let $2m = 2^h s$, where s is odd and $h \geq 1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k(P^{2^m-1}) &= 1 + P^k + \dots + P^{k(2^h s-1)} \\ &= (1 + P^k)^{2^h-1} \left(1 + P^k + \dots + P^{k(s-1)}\right)^{2^h} \end{aligned}$$

But $x(x + 1)$ divides $1 + P^k$, P is odd. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.9. *If m and k are positive integers, then $\sigma_k(P^{2^m})$ is not divisible by $x(x + 1)$.*

Proof. $\sigma_k(P^{2^m}) = 1 + P^k + \dots + P^{2^m k}$. So, $\sigma_k(P^{2^m})(0) = 1 + \underbrace{P^k(0) + \dots + P^{2^m k}(0)}_{2^m\text{-times}} =$

1 and x is not factor of $\sigma_k(P^{2^m})$. Also, $\sigma_k(P^{2^m})(1) = 1$ and hence $\sigma_k(P^{2^m})$ is not divisible by $x + 1$. The proof is now complete. \square

Next we give some properties when $k = 2$.

Lemma 2.10. *Let t be a positive integer, then $\sigma_2(x^{3 \cdot 2^{t-1}-1}) = (1+x)^{2^t-2} T_1^{2^t}$.*

Proof. We use induction. For $t = 1$, we have $\sigma_2(x^2) = (1+x+x^2)^2 = T_1^2$. Hence, the statement is true for $t = 1$. Now assume it is true for t , so

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2\left(x^{3 \cdot 2^t-1}\right) &= \left(1+x+\dots+x^{3 \cdot 2^{t-1}-1}+x^{3 \cdot 2^{t-1}}\left(1+x+\dots+x^{3 \cdot 2^{t-1}-1}\right)\right)^2 \\ &= \left(1+x+\dots+x^{3 \cdot 2^{t-1}-1}\right)^2\left(1+x^{3 \cdot 2^{t-1}}\right)^2 \\ &= \sigma_2\left(x^{3 \cdot 2^{t-1}-1}\right)\left(1+x^3\right)^{2^t} \\ &= (1+x)^{2^t-2} T_1^{2^t} \left((1+x) T_1\right)^{2^t} \\ &= (1+x)^{2^{t+1}-2} T_1^{2^{t+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We are done. □

Lemma 2.11. *Let t be a positive integer, then $\sigma_2((1+x)^{3 \cdot 2^{t-1}-1}) = x^{2^t-2} T_1^{2^t}$.*

Lemma 2.12. *Let t be a positive integer, then $\sigma_2(T_1^{2^t-1}) = (x^2+x)^{2(2^t-1)}$.*

Proof. For $t = 1$, we have $\sigma_2(T_1) = (1+T_1)^2 = (x^2+x)^2$. Hence, the statement is true for $t = 1$. Now assume $\sigma_2(T_1^{2^t-1}) = (x^2+x)^{2(2^t-1)}$. And,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2\left(T_1^{2^{t+1}-1}\right) &= \left(1+T_1+\dots+T_1^{2^t-1}+T_1^{2^t}\left(1+T_1+\dots+T_1^{2^t-1}\right)\right)^2 \\ &= \left(1+T_1+\dots+T_1^{2^t-1}\right)^2\left(1+T_1^{2^t}\right)^2 \\ &= \sigma_2\left(T_1^{2^t-1}\right)\left(1+T_1\right)^{2^{t+1}} \\ &= (x^2+x)^{2(2^t-1)}(x^2+x)^{2^{t+1}} \\ &= (x^2+x)^{2(2^{t+1}-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

The following lemma follows directly from Lemmas 2.10, 2.11, and 2.12.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $A = x^a T_1^h$ or $A = (1+x)^a T_1^h$ be polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, where $a = 3 \cdot 2^{t-1} - 1$ and $h = 2^t - 1$. Then $\sigma_2(A) = x^{2^h} (1+x)^{2(a-1)} T_1^{h+1}$.*

Lemma 2.14. *If $a = 2^t u - 1$ with u odd. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} i- \sigma_2(x^a) &= (1+x)^{2^{t+1}-2} \left(\sigma(x^{u-1})\right)^{2^{t+1}} \\ ii- \sigma_2(P^a) &= (1+P)^{2^{t+1}-2} \left(\sigma(P^{u-1})\right)^{2^{t+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.15. *Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $A = x^a T_1^h$ or $A = (1+x)^a T_1^h \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$. If A divides $\sigma_2(A)$, then $a = 3 \cdot 2^{t-1} - 1$ and $h = 2^t - 1$.*

Definition 2.1. *Let $A \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ be a polynomial of degree m . Then,*

- i. A inverts into itself if $A^* = A$.*
- ii. A is said to be k -complete if there exists $h \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $A = \sigma_k(x^h) = 1 + x^k + \dots + x^{kh}$.*

Lemma 2.16. *i. Any k -complete polynomial inverts to itself.*

- ii. If $1 + x^k + \dots + x^{km} = PQ$, then $P = P^*$ and $Q = Q^*$ or $P = Q^*$ and $Q = P^*$, where P and Q are irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$.*

Proof. i. Let A be a k -complete polynomial, then there exists $h \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sigma_k(x^h) \\ &= 1 + x^k + \dots + x^{kh} \\ A^* &= x^{kh} A \left(\frac{1}{x} \right) \\ &= x^{kh} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x^k} + \dots + \frac{1}{x^{kh}} \right), A \text{ is } k\text{-complete} \\ &= A. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, A inverts to itself.

- ii. If $1 + x^k + \dots + x^{km} = PQ$, then PQ is k -complete. Using the above results, then PQ inverts to itself. Hence, $(PQ)^* = PQ = P^*Q^*$. Therefore, $P = P^*$ and $Q = Q^*$ or $P = Q^*$ and $Q = P^*$. \square*

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

The following lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 3.1. *The polynomial $A = P^\alpha$, $\alpha \geq 1$, is not a k -perfect polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 , for every $k \geq 1$.*

The preceding lemma shows that a k -perfect polynomial A over \mathbb{F}_2 has at least 2 prime factors.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $m \leq n$ be positive integers and let $A \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$, then $\sigma_{2^m}(A)$ divides $\sigma_{2^n}(A)$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{2^n}(A) &= (\sigma(A))^{2^n} \\ &= (\sigma(A))^{2^m} (\sigma(A))^{2^{n-m}} \\ &= \sigma_{2^m}(A) (\sigma(A))^{2^{n-m}}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Notice that $\sigma_2(A)$ divides $\sigma_{2^n}(A)$ for any any $n \geq 1$. Hence, if A is a multi-perfect polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 , i.e. A divides $\sigma(A)$, then A is a k -multi-perfect polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 when $k = 2^n$ for a positive integer n .

Lemma 3.3. *If $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A = x^a T_1^h$ or $A = (1+x)^a T_1^h$ be polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, where $a = 3 \cdot 2^{t-1} - 1$ and $h = 2^t - 1$, then A divides $\sigma_{2^n}(A)$ for any $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. Since σ_2 divides σ_{2^n} and $\sigma_2(A) = x^{2h}(1+x)^{2(a-1)} T_1^{h+1}$ with $2h = a + 2^{t-1} - 1$. □

Lemma 3.4. *If $a = 2^t u - 1$ with u odd and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then,*

- i- $1 + x$ divides $\sigma_{2^n}(x^a)$*
- ii- $x(1+x)$ divides $\sigma_{2^n}(P^a)$*

Proof. We have $\sigma_2(A)$ divides $\sigma_{2^n}(A)$ and $1+x$ divides $\sigma_2(A)$ (Lemma 2.14). □

Lemma 3.5. *If A is k -perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 , then \bar{A} is also k -perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 .*

Proof. Let $A(x) = \prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{\alpha_i}(x)$, where the primes $P_i(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$. Since A is k -perfect, then

$$(1) \quad \sigma_k(A) = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{P_i^{k(\alpha_i+1)} - 1}{P_i^k - 1} = A^k.$$

Let F_{2^t} be a splitting field for $A(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_2 , then there exists $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in F_{2^t}$ such that for each i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have $P_i^{\alpha_i}(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{\beta_i-1} (x - a_i^{2^j})^{\alpha_i}$, where $\deg(P_i(x)) = \beta_i$. Since $\gcd(P_i(x), P_j(x)) = 1$ over \mathbb{F}_2 , for every $i \neq j$, then $\gcd(P_i(x), P_j(x)) = 1$ over F_{2^t} , for every $i \neq j$. Moreover,

$$P_i(x+1) = \prod_{j=0}^{\beta_i-1} (x+1 - a_i^{2^j}) = \prod_{j=0}^{\beta_i-1} (x - (a_i - 1)^{2^j}).$$

Since $a_i - 1$ has degree β_i , it follows that each $Q_i(x) = P_i(x+1)$ is prime of degree β_i in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$. We have $\gcd(Q_i(x), Q_j(x)) = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, for every $i \neq j$, and hence the primes $Q_i(x)$ are distinct. Let $B(x) = \bar{A}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{\alpha_i}(x+1) = \prod_{i=1}^r Q_i^{\alpha_i}(x)$.

By substituting $B(x)$ in (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k(\bar{A}(x)) &= \sigma_k(B(x)) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{P_i^{k(\alpha_i+1)}(x+1) - 1}{P_i^k(x+1) - 1} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{Q_i^{k(\alpha_i+1)}(x) - 1}{Q_i^k(x) - 1} \\ &= B^k(x) \\ &= (\bar{A}(x))^k. \end{aligned}$$

So, $B(x) = \overline{A}(x)$ is k -perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 □

Lemma 2.1 shows the relation between $\sigma_k(A)$ and $\sigma(A)$ when $k = 2^n$, and its important consequence, Theorem 3.1, completely characterizes all k -perfect polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 when $k = 2^n$.

Theorem 3.1. *A is perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 if and only if A is 2^n -perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 .*

Proof. Let $A = \prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{\alpha_i} \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ be a perfect polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 , where P_i is an irreducible polynomial, then

$$\sigma_{2^n}(A) = (\sigma(A))^{2^n} = A^{2^n}.$$

The converse is done by contrapositive. Assume that A is not perfect. Then,

$$\sigma_{2^n}(A) = (\sigma(A))^{2^n} \neq A^{2^n},$$

and we are done. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\omega(A) \geq 2$ and let A be a 2^n -perfect polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 , then $x(x + 1)$ divides A .*

The proof of the following lemma can be done by a direct computation.

Lemma 3.7. *Let t be a positive integer, then the polynomial $x^{2^t-1}(x + 1)^{2^t-1}$ is 2^n -perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 .*

Lemma 3.8. *If $A = A_1A_2$ is 2^n -perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 and if $\gcd(A_1, A_2) = 1$, then A_1 is 2^n -perfect if and only if A_2 is 2^n -perfect.*

The following lemma contains some interesting results from Canaday’s paper (see [2], Lemma 6 and Theorem 8).

Lemma 3.9. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ and let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

- (i) *If $\sigma(P^{2^n}) = B^m A$, with $m > 1$ and $A \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ is nonconstant, then $\deg(A)(P) > \deg(A)(B)$.*
- (ii) *If $\sigma(x^{2^n})$ has a Mersenne factor, then $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.*

Gallardo and Rahavandrany [6] conjectured that $\sigma(T^{2^m})$ is always divisible by a non-Mersenne prime, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, when $T = x^a(x + 1)^b + 1$ is a Mersenne prime with $a + b \neq 3$.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $A = x^a(x + 1)^b \prod_i P_i^{h_i}$ be a 2^n -perfect polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 with each P_i is a Mersenne prime. Then $h_i = 2^{c_i} - 1$, for every i .*

Proof. Assume that h_i is even for every i . $A = x^a(x+1)^b \prod_i P_i^{h_i}$ be a 2^n -perfect then there exists a non Mersenne prime S such that S divides $\sigma(P_i^{h_i})$. So, S divides $\sigma_{2^n}(A) = A^{2^n}$. Therefore, $S = x$ or $S = x+1$ and this contradicts Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9 as h_i must be odd. Now, suppose that $h_i + 1 = 2^{c_i}u$, u is odd and $c_i \in \mathbb{N}$. But $\sigma(P_i^{h_i}) = (1 + P_i)^{2^{c_i}-1} (\sigma(P_i^{u-1}))^{2^{c_i}}$. If $u - 1 \geq 2$, again there exists a non Mersenne prime W such that W divides $\sigma(P_i^{u-1})$. So, W divides $\sigma_{2^n}(A) = A^{2^n}$. By Lemma 2.9, $W \neq x$ and $W \neq x+1$. But any prime divisor of A which is not a Mersenne prime is either x or $x+1$, a contradiction. Hence, $u = 1$ and the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let $c_i \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $A = x^a(x+1)^b \prod_i P_i^{2^{c_i}-1}$ be a 2^n -perfect polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 with each P_i is a Mersenne prime. Then, $P_i \in \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_5\}$, with $c_i = 1$ or 2 .*

Proof. Since A is 2^n -perfect, then any irreducible factor Q of $\sigma(x^a)$ or $\sigma((1+x)^b)$ must divide A . So, $Q \in \{x, x+1, P_1, P_2, \dots\}$. From Lemma 3.9(ii.), we have $P_i \in \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_5\}$. Now, we want to prove that $c_j \in \{1, 2\}$. Note that $\sigma(P_i^{2^{c_i}-1}) = (1 + P_i)^{2^{c_i}-1}$ is not divisible by P_j , for any i, j . Moreover, if α_j are the exponents of P_j that are found in $\sigma(x^a)$ and in $\sigma((1+x)^b)$, then $\alpha_j \in \{0, 1, 2^r : r \in \mathbb{N}\}$ (Lemma 3.9(ii.)). Comparing exponents of P_j , we get $\alpha_j = 2^{c_j} - 1 \in \{0, 1, 2, 2^r, 2^r + 1, 2^r + 2^s : r, s \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Hence, $c_j = 1$ or 2 . \square

Lemma 3.12. *Let $c_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_i \in \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_5\}$, and $A = x^a(x+1)^b \prod_i P_i^{c_i}$ be a 2^n -perfect polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 with $c_i \in \{1, 3\}$. Then a or b must be even.*

Proof. For contradictional purpose, assume that a and b are both odd. By Lemma 3.13, we have $a = 2^r u - 1$ and $b = 2^s v - 1$ for some $t, s \in \mathbb{N}$, and u and v are odd positive integers less than or equal to 7. But,

$$\sigma(x^a) = (x+1)^{2^t-1} (1+x+\dots+x^{u-1})^{2^t}$$

and

$$\sigma((1+x)^b) = x^{2^s-1} (1+(1+x)+\dots+(1+x)^{v-1})^{2^s}.$$

Also, P_i is not a factor of $\sigma(P_j^{c_j}) = (1 + P_j)^{c_j}$ for any i, j . Suppose that P_i is a factor of $1+x+\dots+x^{u-1}$ but is not a factor of $1+(1+x)+\dots+(1+x)^{v-1}$ for some i , with $u \geq 3$. Hence, $2^t = c_i = 2^{h_i} - 1$, a contradiction.

Now, assume that P_i is a factor of both $1+x+\dots+x^{u-1}$ and $1+(1+x)+\dots+(1+x)^{v-1}$, then $2^t + 2^s = c_i = 2^{h_i} - 1$, also a contradiction. Therefore, $u = 1$ and in a similar manner we get $v = 1$. So, $\sigma(x^a) = \sigma(x^{2^t-1}) = (x+1)^a$ and $\sigma((x+1)^b) = \sigma((x+1)^{2^s-1}) = x^b$. Hence, $a = b$ and $x^a(x+1)^b$ is a 2^n -perfect (Lemma 3.7). By Lemma 3.8, the polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{h_i}$ is also 2^n -perfect. This contradicts Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.13. *Let $c_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $u \geq 1$ and a be odd integers and let $A = x^a(x+1)^b \prod_i P_i^{2^{c_i}-1}$ be a 2^n -perfect polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 , where each P_i is a Mersenne prime. Then, a is of the form $2^t u - 1$ with $u \leq 7$.*

Proof. Suppose that $a = 2^t u - 1$ with u is odd and $t \geq 1$. Since A is 2^n -perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 , then

$$x^{2^na}(x+1)^{2^nb} \prod_{i=1}^{2^n} P_i^{2^n(2^{c_i}-1)} = \left(\sigma(x^a) \sigma((x+1)^b) \prod_{i=1}^{2^n} \sigma(P_i^{2^{c_i}-1}) \right)^{2^n}.$$

But $\sigma(x^a) = 1 + x + \dots + x^{2^t u - 1} = (1 + x)^{2^t - 1} \sigma(x^{u-1})^{2^t}$. If $u > 2$, then as done in the proof of the preceding lemma we get $u - 1 \leq 6$ and hence the result. \square

Lemma 3.14. *Let $a, b, c_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that a is even and let $A = x^a(x+1)^b \prod_{i=1}^m P_i^{2^{c_i}-1}$ be a 2^n -perfect polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 , where each P_i is a Mersenne prime. Then, $a \leq 6$.*

Proof. Let $a = 2m$. Since A is 2^n -perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 , then

$$\begin{aligned} x^{2^{n+1}m}(x+1)^{2^nb} \prod_{i=1}^{2^n} P_i^{2^n(2^{c_i}-1)} &= A^{2^n} \\ &= \sigma_{2^n}(A) \\ &= \left(\sigma(x^{2m}) \sigma((x+1)^b) \prod_{i=1}^{2^n} \sigma(P_i^{2^{c_i}-1}) \right)^{2^n}. \end{aligned}$$

But x and $x + 1$ do not divide $\sigma(x^{2m})$ and P_i does not divide $\sigma(P_i^{2^{c_i}-1})$ so P_i divides $\sigma(x^{2m})$. We are done by Lemma 3.9 (ii). \square

3.1 Cases of the Proof

Let $A = x^a(x+1)^b \prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{h_i}$, where P_i , is a Mersenne prime be a 2^n -perfect over F_2 . From Lemma 3.11, we have $h_i = 1$ or 3 . By Lemma 3.12, we have a or b is even. To complete the proof of Theorem 1.3, we study the below cases:

Case 1. Both a and b are even:

In this case, we have

$$(2) \quad 1 + x + \dots + x^a = P_{i_1} \dots P_{i_s}.$$

Since the P_{i_j} 's are Mersenne primes, then $a, b \in \{2, 4, 6\}$. Since if A is a 2^n -perfect polynomial over F_2 , then \bar{A} is a 2^n -perfect polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 so a and b can be chosen in the way $a \leq b$ and $a, b \in \{2, 4, 6\}$.

- If $a = b = 2$, then $1 + x + x^2 = 1 + (x + 1) + (x + 1)^2 = T_1$. Hence, $A = x^2(x+1)^2 T_1$ and $\sigma(A) = \sigma(x^2) \sigma((x+1)^2) \sigma(T_1) = (T_1)(T_1)(x(1+x)) = x(1+x)T_1^2 \neq A$. Therefore A is not perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 and hence A is not 2^n -perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 (Theorem 3.1).
- If $a = 2$ and $b = 4$, then $1 + x + x^2 = T_1$ and $1 + (x + 1) + \dots + (x + 1)^4 = 1 + x^3(x + 1) = T_5$. Hence, $A = x^2(x + 1)^4 T_1 T_5$ and $\sigma(A) = \sigma(x^2) \sigma((x + 1)^4) \sigma(T_1) \sigma(T_5) = (T_1)(T_5)(x(1 + x))(x^3(1 + x)) = x^4(1 + x)^2 T_1 T_5 \neq A$. So, A is not 2^n -perfect over F_2 (Theorem 3.1).

- If $a = b = 4$, then $1 + x + \dots + x^4 = T_4$ and $1 + (x + 1) + \dots + (x + 1)^4 = 1 + x^3 + x^4 = T_5$. Hence, $A = x^4(x + 1)^4 T_4 T_5$ and $\sigma(A) = \sigma(x^4) \sigma((x + 1)^4) \sigma(T_4) \sigma(T_5) = (T_4)(T_5)(x(1 + x)^3)(x^3(1 + x)) = x^4(1 + x)^4 T_4 T_5 = A$. So, A is 2^n -perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 (Theorem 3.1).
- If $a = 2$ and $b = 6$, then $1 + x + x^2 = T_1$ and $1 + (x + 1) + \dots + (x + 1)^6 = (1 + x + x^3)(1 + x^2 + x^3) = T_2 T_3$. Hence, $A = x^2(x + 1)^6 T_1 T_2 T_3$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(A) &= \sigma(x^2) \sigma((x + 1)^6) \sigma(T_1) \sigma(T_2) \sigma(T_3) \\ &= (T_1)(T_2 T_3)(x(1 + x))(x(1 + x)^2)(x^2(1 + x)) \\ &= x^4(1 + x)^4 T_1 T_2 T_3 \\ &\neq A. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, A is not 2^n -perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 .

- If $a = 4$ and $b = 6$, then $1 + x + \dots + x^4 = T_4$ and $1 + (x + 1) + \dots + (x + 1)^6 = T_2 T_3$. Hence, $A = x^4(x + 1)^6 T_2 T_3 T_4$ and $\sigma(A) = A$. So, A is 2^n -perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 .
- If $a = b = 6$, then $1 + x + \dots + x^6 = (1 + x + x^3)(1 + x^2 + x^3) = T_2 T_3 = 1 + (x + 1) + \dots + (x + 1)^6$. Hence, $A = x^6(x + 1)^6 T_2^2 T_3^2$ and $\sigma(A) = \sigma(x^6) \sigma((x + 1)^6) \sigma(T_2^2) \sigma(T_3^2) = T_1^2 T_2^2 T_3^2 T_4 T_5 \neq A$. Therefore, A is not 2^n -perfect over \mathbb{F}_2 .

Case 2. a is even and b is odd:

By Lemmas 3.13 and 3.14, we have $a \in \{2, 4, 6\}$ and $b = 2^t u - 1$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $u \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$.

- If $u = 1$ and $a = 2$, then $\sigma(x^2) = T_1$, $\sigma((x + 1)^{2^t - 1}) = x^{2^t - 1}$, and $\sigma(T_1) = x(x + 1)$. Hence, $2^t - 1 + 1 = b + 1 \leq a = 2$. Thus, $t = 1$ and $A = x^2(x + 1)T_1$.
- If $u = 1$ and $a = 4$, then $\sigma(x^4) = T_4$, $\sigma((x + 1)^{2^t - 1}) = x^{2^t - 1}$, and $\sigma(T_4) = x(x + 1)^3$. Hence, $2^t - 1 + 1 = b + 1 \leq a = 4$. Thus, $t \leq 2$ and $3 \leq b = 2^t - 1$, so $t = 2$ and $A = x^4(x + 1)^3 T_4$.
- If $u = 1$ and $a = 6$, then $\sigma(x^6) = T_2 T_3$, $\sigma((x + 1)^{2^t - 1}) = x^{2^t - 1}$, $\sigma(T_2) = x(x + 1)^2$ and $\sigma(T_3) = x^2(x + 1)$. Hence, $2^t - 1 + 2 + 1 = b + 3 \leq a = 6$. Thus, $t \leq 2$ and $3 \leq b = 2^t - 1$, so $t = 2$ and $A = x^6(x + 1)^3 T_2 T_3$.
- If $u = 3$ and $a = 2$, then $\sigma(x^2) = T_1$, $\sigma((x + 1)^{3 \cdot 2^t - 1}) = x^{2^t - 1} T_1^{2^t}$. Hence, $T_1^{2^t + 1}$ divides $\sigma(A) = A$ but $T_1^{2^t + 2}$ does not divide $\sigma(A) = A$. By Lemma 3.11, we have $2^t + 1 \in \{1, 3\}$ and thus $t = 1$ and $A = x^2(1 + x)^5 T_1$. But $\sigma(x^2(1 + x)^5 T_1) \neq x^2(1 + x)^5 T_1$ and hence A is not 2^n -perfect over F_2 .
- If $u = 3$ and $a = 4$, then $\sigma(x^4) = T_4$. Since T_1 does not divide $\sigma(x^4)$, then $T_1^{2^t}$ divides $\sigma(A) = A$ but $T_1^{2^t + 1}$ does not divide $\sigma(A) = A$. By Lemma 3.11, we have $2^t \in \{1, 3\}$, a contradiction.

- The case $u = 3$ and $a = 6$ is similar to the preceding one.
- If $u = 5$ and $a \in \{2, 6\}$, then $\sigma((x+1)^{5 \cdot 2^t - 1}) = x^{2^t - 1} T_4^{2^t}$. Since T_4 does not divide $\sigma(x^a)$, then $T_4^{2^t}$ divides $\sigma(A) = A$ where $T_1^{2^t + 1}$ does not divide $\sigma(A) = A$. By Lemma 3.11, we have $2^t \in \{1, 3\}$, a contradiction.
- If $u = 5$ and $a = 4$, then $\sigma(x^4) = T_4$. Since $T_4^{2^t + 1}$ divides A and $T_1^{2^t + 2}$ does not divide A . By Lemma 3.11, we have $2^t + 1 \in \{1, 3\}$. Thus $t = 1$ and $A = x^4(1+x)^9 T_1^3$. But $\sigma(x^4(1+x)^9 T_1^3) \neq x^4(1+x)^9 T_1^3$. Hence, A is not 2^n -perfect over F_2 .
- If $u = 7$ and $a \in \{2, 4\}$, then $\sigma((x+1)^{7 \cdot 2^t - 1}) = x^{2^t - 1} T_2^{2^t} T_3^{2^t}$. Since T_2 and T_3 do not divide $\sigma(x^a)$, then $T_2^{2^t}$ divides A and $T_2^{2^t + 1}$ does not divide $\sigma(A) = A$. By Lemma 3.11, we have $2^t \in \{1, 3\}$, a contradiction.
- If $u = 7$ and $a = 6$, then $\sigma(x^6) = T_2 T_3$. So, $T_2^{2^t + 1}$ (resp. $T_3^{2^t + 1}$) divides A and $T_2^{2^t + 1}$ (resp. $T_3^{2^t + 1}$) does not divide A . By Lemma 3.11, we have $2^t + 1 \in \{1, 3\}$. Thus $t = 1$ and $A = x^6(1+x)^{13} T_2^3 T_3^3$. But $\sigma(x^6(1+x)^{13} T_2^3 T_3^3) \neq x^6(1+x)^{13} T_2^3 T_3^3$. Hence, A is not 2^n -perfect over F_2 .

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is now complete

4. Conclusion

We show the non existence of odd 2^n -perfect, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 . A characterization of 2^n -perfect polynomials A over the prime field with two elements that are divisible by x , $x+1$, and Mersenne primes is given.

References

- [1] T. Cai, D. Chen, Y. Zhang, *Perfect numbers and Fibonacci primes (I)*, Int. J. Number Theory, 11 (2015), 159-169.
- [2] E. F. Canaday, *The sum of the divisors of a polynomial*, Duke Mathematical Journal, 8 (1941), 721-737.
- [3] H. V. Chu, *Divisibility of divisor functions of even perfect numbers*, Journal of Integer Sequences, 24 (2021), p. 3.
- [4] L. H. Gallardo, O. Rahavandrany, *Odd perfect polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2* , J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux, (2007), 165-174.
- [5] L. H. Gallardo, O. Rahavandrany, *There is no odd perfect polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 with four prime factors*, Port. Math. (N.S.), 66 (2009), 131-145.
- [6] L. H. Gallardo, O. Rahavandrany, *On even (unitary) perfect polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2* , Finite Fields and Their Applications, 18 (2012), 920-932.

- [7] L. H. Gallardo, O. Rahavandrany, *All unitary perfect polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 with at most four distinct irreducible factors*, Journal of Symbolic Computation, (2012), 429-502.
- [8] L. H. Gallardo, O. Rahavandrany, *Characterization of sporadic perfect polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2* , Functiones et Approximatio Commentarii Mathematici, 55 (2016), 7–21.
- [9] X. Jiang, *On even perfect numbers*, Colloq. Math., 154 (2018), 131-135
- [10] F. Luca, J. Ferdinands, *Sometimes n divides $\sigma_k(n)$:11090*, The American Mathematical Monthly, 113 (2006), 372-373.
- [11] D. Suryanarayana, *Super perfect numbers*, Elem. Math., 24 (1969), 16-17.

Accepted: December 14, 2022