

***e*-semicommutative modules**

Hanan Abd-Elmalk

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Ain Shams University

P.O. Box 11566, Abbasaya

Cairo

Egypt

hanan_abdelmalk@sci.asu.edu.eg

Abstract. *e*-semicommutative modules and their related properties. Also, we investigate some extensions of rings and modules in terms of *e*-semicommutativity.

Keywords: reduced ring, symmetric ring, *e*-reduced ring, *e*-symmetric ring.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all rings are associative with unity. R denotes an associative ring with unity, M_R is a unitary right R -module, $\text{Id}(R)$ denotes the set of all idempotent elements of R , $\text{N}(R)$ denotes the set of all nilpotent elements of R , $\text{C}(R)$ denotes the center of R , $\text{S}_r(R) = \{e \in \text{Id}(R) : e R e = e R\}$ denotes the set of all right semicentral idempotent elements of R , $\text{S}_\ell(R) = \{e \in \text{Id}(R) : e R e = R e\}$ denotes the set of all left semicentral idempotent elements of R , and $\text{r}_R(M) = \{a \in R : M a = 0\}$ denotes the right annihilator of M in R .

A ring R is said to be abelian if $\text{Id}(R) \subseteq \text{C}(R)$. A ring R is called reduced if $\text{N}(R) = 0$. This concept of reduced rings was extended to modules [9] as follows: a right R -module M_R is reduced if, for any $m \in M$ and any $a \in R$, $ma = 0$ implies $mR \cap Ma = 0$. Recall from [10], R is a right *e*-reduced ring, where $e \in \text{Id}(R)$, if $\text{N}(R)e = 0$. A ring R is called symmetric [8] if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ such that $abc = 0$, we have $acb = 0$. Recall from Refs. [8] and [11], a right R -module M_R is called *symmetric* if whenever $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $mab = 0$ implies $mba = 0$. Following [10], a ring R is called *e*-symmetric, for $e \in \text{Id}(R)$, if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ such that $abc = 0$, we have $acbe = 0$.

Introduce of these properties via idempotents, inspires us to extend the notions of *e*-reduced and *e*-symmetric to modules as follows:

Definition 1.1 ([1]). *A right R -module M_R is called e -reduced, where $e \in \text{Id}(R)$, if whenever $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $ma = 0$ implies $mR \cap Mae = 0$.*

Definition 1.2 ([1]). *A right R -module M_R is called e -symmetric, where $e \in \text{Id}(R)$, if whenever $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $mab = 0$ implies $mbae = 0$.*

A ring R is an e -reduced (e -symmetric) ring if and only if R_R is an e -reduced (e -symmetric) module.

According to [3] a ring R is called semicommutative, if whenever $a, b \in R$ satisfy $ab = 0$, then $aRb = 0$. A right R -module M_R is called semicommutative [5], if whenever $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ satisfy $ma = 0$, then $mRa = 0$. Recall from [7], a ring R is called e -semicommutative, for $e \in \text{Id}(R)$, if whenever $a, b \in R$ such that $ab = 0$, we have $aRbe = 0$.

So it is natural to motivate us to extend the condition of e -semicommutativity to Module Theory.

2. Modules with e -semicommutative condition

In this section, we extend the notion of e -semicommutative rings to modules as follows:

Definition 2.1. A right R -module M_R is called e -semicommutative, where $e \in \text{Id}(R)$, if whenever $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $ma = 0$ implies $mRae = 0$.

Obviously, R is an e -semicommutative ring if and only if R_R is an e -semicommutative module.

Clearly, any semicommutative module is an e -semicommutative module, for any $e \in \text{Id}(R)$, and every an e -reduced (e -symmetric) module is e -semicommutative. The following examples demonstrate rather strikingly that the class of e -semicommutative modules is properly contains the class of semicommutative modules.

Example 2.1. Let S be a semicommutative ring and $R = \begin{pmatrix} S & S \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$. Consider a right R -module $M_R = R[x]_R$. Assume that $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in R$. We see that $(Ax + A)B = 0$ but $(Ax + A)CB \neq 0$. Then, M_R is not semicommutative. Now for the idempotent $E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R$, we can show that M_R is E -semicommutative. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n A_i x^i \in M$, where $A_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ 0 & c_i \end{pmatrix} \in R$ for every $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, and $B = \begin{pmatrix} w & u \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix} \in R$ such that $f(x)B = 0$. Then, $0 = A_i B = \begin{pmatrix} a_i w & a_i u + b_i v \\ 0 & c_i v \end{pmatrix}$ for every $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Hence, $a_i w = 0$, $c_i v = 0$ and $a_i u + b_i v = 0$. For any element $C = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \in R$, we have $f(x)CBE = \sum_{i=0}^n (A_i CBE) x^i = 0$. Therefore, M_R is E -semicommutative.

Example 2.2. Let S be a semicommutative ring and $R = \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 & 0 \\ S & S & S \\ 0 & 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$. Consider R_R as a right R -module. Assume that $m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in R$. We see that $ma = 0$ but $mba \neq 0$. Then, R_R is not semi-

commutative. Now for the idempotent $e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we can show that R_R is e -semicommutative. Let $m = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ y_1 & z_1 & w_1 \\ 0 & 0 & v_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $a = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ y_2 & z_2 & w_2 \\ 0 & 0 & v_2 \end{pmatrix} \in R$ such that $ma = 0$. Hence, $x_1x_2 = z_1z_2 = v_1v_2 = z_1w_2 = w_1v_2 = 0$ and $y_1x_2 + z_1y_2 = 0$. For any element $r = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ y & z & w \\ 0 & 0 & v \end{pmatrix} \in R$, we have $mrae = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z_1zz_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$, since S_S is semicommutative. Therefore, R_R is e -semicommutative.

Proposition 2.1. *The class of e -semicommutative modules is closed under submodules, direct products and so direct sums.*

Proof. The proof is immediate from the definitions and algebraic structures. \square

Proposition 2.2. *Let R be a ring, $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ and M_R a right R -module. M_R is e -semicommutative if and only if every cyclic submodule of M_R is e -semicommutative.*

Proof. Assume that every cyclic submodule of M_R is e -semicommutative. Let $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $ma = 0$ in M . Consider the cyclic submodule mR , we have $ma = 0$ in mR . Since mR is e -semicommutative, we get $mRae = 0$. Hence, M_R is e -semicommutative. \square

Proposition 2.3. *Let R be a ring, $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ and M_R a right R -module. Then, the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) M_R is an e -semicommutative module.
- 2) $NA = 0$ implies $NRAe = 0$ for any nonempty subset N in M and A in R .

Proof. “(1) \implies (2)” Assume that M_R is e -semicommutative and N is a subset of M and A is a subset of R such that $NA = 0$. Then, for any $n \in N$ and $a \in A$, we have $na = 0$. Thus, $nRae = 0$. Then, $\sum_{n \in N, a \in A} nRae = 0$. Hence, $NRAe = 0$.

“(2) \implies (1)” Assume that $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $ma = 0$. Then, M_R is e -semicommutative follows directly if we set $N = \{m\}$ and $A = \{a\}$. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let R be a ring with every right ideal is two sided and $e \in \text{Id}(R)$. Then, every right R -module is e -semicommutative.*

Proof. Suppose that M_R is a right R -module. Let $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $ma = 0$. From our assumption, the right ideal $ae R$ is two sided. Then, we have $Rae \subseteq ae R$. So, we get $m R ae \subseteq mae R = 0$. Therefore, M_R is e -semicommutative. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Let R, S be rings, $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ and $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. If M_S is a right S -module, then M is a right R -module via $mr = m\varphi(r)$ for all $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. Then, we get:*

(1) *If M_S is a $\varphi(e)$ -semicommutative module, then M_R is an e -semicommutative module.*

(2) *If φ is onto and M_R is an e -semicommutative module, then M_S is a $\varphi(e)$ -semicommutative module.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that M_S is a $\varphi(e)$ -semicommutative module. Let $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $ma = 0$. Then, $m\varphi(a) = 0$. Since M_S is $\varphi(e)$ -semicommutative, we have $ms\varphi(a)\varphi(e) = 0$ for all $s \in S$. Hence, for any $r \in R$, we have $mrae = m\varphi(rae) = m\varphi(r)\varphi(a)\varphi(e) = 0$. Therefore, M_R is an e -semicommutative module.

(2) Suppose that φ is onto and M_R is an e -semicommutative module. Let $x \in S$ and $m \in M$ such that $mx = 0$. Since φ is onto, there exists $a \in R$ such that $x = \varphi(a)$. Then, $0 = mx = m\varphi(a) = ma$. Since M_R is e -semicommutative, implies $mRae = 0$. Hence, $0 = m\varphi(R)\varphi(a)\varphi(e) = mSx\varphi(e)$. Thus M_S is a $\varphi(e)$ -semicommutative module. \square

Corollary 2.1. *Let R be a ring, $e \in \text{Id}(R)$, M_R a right R -module and $\bar{R} = R/r_R(M)$. M_R is an e -semicommutative module if and only if $M_{\bar{R}}$ is an \bar{e} -semicommutative module.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 2.5, if we consider the canonical epimorphism $\varphi : R \rightarrow \bar{R}$ defined by $\varphi(r) = \bar{r} = r + r_R(M)$, for all $r \in R$. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let R be a ring, $e \in C(R)$ and M_R a right R -module. Then, M_R is an e -semicommutative module if and only if M_{Re} is a semicommutative module.*

Proof. “ \implies ” Assume that M_R is an e -semicommutative module. Let $a \in Re \subseteq R$ and $m \in M$ such that $ma = 0$. Then, we get $mRae = 0$. Since $e \in C(R)$, we have $m R ea = 0$. Hence, M_{Re} is a semicommutative module.

“ \impliedby ” Assume that M_{Re} is a semicommutative module. Let $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $ma = 0$. Then, we get $m R ea = 0$. Since $e \in C(R)$, we have $m R ae = 0$. Thus M_R is an e -semicommutative module. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let R be a ring, $e \in C(R)$ and M_R a right R -module. If M_{Re} and $M_{R(1-e)}$ are semicommutative modules, then M_R is a semicommutative module.*

Proof. We can easily check that $e \in C(R)$ if and only if $(1 - e) \in C(R)$. From Proposition 2.6, we conclude that M_R is both e -semicommutative and $(1 - e)$ -semicommutative. Now let $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $ma = 0$. Thus $mRae = 0$ and $mRa(1 - e) = 0$, which implies that $mRa = 0$. Therefore, M_R is a semicommutative module. \square

Proposition 2.7. *Let R be a ring, $e \in S_\ell(R)$ and M_R a right R -module. Then, M_R is an e -semicommutative module if and only if M_{eRe} is a semicommutative module.*

Proof. “ \implies ” Assume that M_R is an e -semicommutative module. Let $ere \in eRe$ and $m \in M$ such that $m(ere) = 0$. Then, we get $mR(ere) = 0$. Since $e \in S_\ell(R)$, we have $0 = m(Re)(ere) = m(eRe)(ere)$. Hence, M_{eRe} is a semicommutative module.

“ \impliedby ” Assume that M_{eRe} is a semicommutative module. Let $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $ma = 0$. Then, we get $mae = 0$. Since $e \in S_\ell(R)$, we have $meae = 0$. Hence, $0 = m(eRe)(eae) = m(Re)(eae) = mR(eae) = mRae$. Thus M_R is an e -semicommutative module. \square

Recall from [4], that a right R -module M_R is called principally quasi-Baer (p.q.-Baer for short) if for any $m \in M$, $r_R(mR) = gR$, where $g \in \text{Id}(R)$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let R be an abelian ring, $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ and M_R a p.q.-Baer right R -module. If M_R is e -semicommutative, then M_R is e -reduced.*

Proof. Assume that M_R is e -semicommutative. Let $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $ma = 0$. Then, we get $mRae = 0$. Let $x \in mR \cap Mae$, so there exist $r \in R$ and $n \in M$ such that $x = mr$ and $x = nae$. Since $ae \in r_R(mR) = gR$, where $g \in \text{Id}(R)$, we get $ae = gae$. Thus $x = ngae = naeg = xg = mrg = mgr = 0$. Hence, $mR \cap Mae = 0$. Therefore, M_R is an e -reduced module. \square

3. Matrix extensions

This section is devoted to characterize right e -semicommutative 2-by-2 generalized upper triangular matrix rings. Moreover, as a corollary we obtain that a ring R is a right e -semicommutative ring if and only if $T_n(R)$ is right E -semicommutative for all positive integers n .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ where R and S are rings, and ${}_R M_S$ an (R, S) -bimodule. If T is a right $\begin{pmatrix} e & k \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}$ -semicommutative ring, where $\begin{pmatrix} e & k \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Id}(T)$, then:*

- (1) R is a right e -semicommutative ring;
- (2) S is a right g -semicommutative ring;
- (3) M_S is a right g -semicommutative S -module.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Assume that T is a right $\begin{pmatrix} e & k \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}$ -semicommutative ring, where $\begin{pmatrix} e & k \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Id}(T)$. Then, by easy computations, we can check that $e \in \text{Id}(R)$, $g \in \text{Id}(S)$ and $ek + kg = k$.

(1) Assume that $ab = 0$, for $a, b \in R$. Consider the following elements $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T$. We have $0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Since T is a right $\begin{pmatrix} e & k \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}$ -semicommutative ring, we get for any $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \in T$,

$$0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e & k \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, $axbe = 0$ in R , for any $x \in R$. Therefore, R is a right e -semicommutative ring.

(2) Assume that $\alpha\beta = 0$, for $\alpha, \beta \in S$. Consider the following elements $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \in T$. We have $0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$. Since T is a right $\begin{pmatrix} e & k \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}$ -semicommutative ring, we get for any $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \in T$,

$$0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e & k \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, $\alpha z \beta g = 0$ in S , for any $z \in S$. Therefore, S is a right g -semicommutative ring.

(3) Let $a \in S$ and $m \in M$ such that $ma = 0$. Consider the following elements $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T$. We have $0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$. Since T is a right $\begin{pmatrix} e & k \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}$ -semicommutative ring, we get for any $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \in T$,

$$0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e & k \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, $mz ag = 0$ in M_S , for any $z \in S$. Therefore, M_S is a right g -semicommutative S -module.

Theorem 3.2. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$, where R and S are rings, and ${}_R M_S$ an (R, S) -bimodule. If T is a left $\begin{pmatrix} e & k \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}$ -semicommutative ring, where $\begin{pmatrix} e & k \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Id}(T)$, then:

- (1) R is a left e -semicommutative ring,
- (2) S is a left g -semicommutative ring, and
- (3) ${}_R M$ is a left e -semicommutative R -module.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ where R and S are rings, and ${}_R M_S$ an (R, S) -bimodule. If R is a right e -semicommutative ring, where $e \in \text{Id}(R)$, then T is a right $\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ -semicommutative ring.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Assume that R is a right e -semicommutative ring, where $e \in \text{Id}(R)$. Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} q & n \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \in T$ such that

$$0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q & n \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} aq & an + mp \\ 0 & bp \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, $aq = 0$ in R . Since R is a right e -semicommutative ring, we have $auqe = 0$, for any $u \in R$. Thus, for any $\begin{pmatrix} u & t \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix} \in T$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u & t \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q & n \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Therefore, T is a right $\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ -semicommutative ring.

Corollary 3.1. Let $T_n(R)$ be the n -by- n upper triangular matrix ring over a ring R , where $n \geq 1$. Then, the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is a right e -semicommutative ring, where $e \in \text{Id}(R)$.
- (2) $T_2(R) = \begin{pmatrix} R & R \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$ is a right $\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ -semicommutative ring.
- (3) $T_n(R)$ is a right $\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ -semicommutative ring for every positive integer n .

Proof. “(3) \implies (1)” follows directly from the fact that $T_1(R) \cong R$.

“(1) \implies (2)” is clear from Theorem 3.3.

“(2) \implies (3)” Note that $T_{n+1}(R) \cong \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & T_n(R) \end{pmatrix}$ where M is the 1-by- n row matrix with R in every entry and 0 is the n -by-1 column zero matrix. So, this implication is proved by using induction on n . □

4. Polynomial extensions

This section is intended to motivate our investigation of the behavior of right e -semicommutative modules with respect to polynomial extensions.

Recall the following extensions of a right R -module M_R :

$$M[x] = \left\{ \varphi(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n m_i x^i : m_i \in M \right\}.$$

$M[x]$ is a right $R[x]$ -module and $M[x]_{R[x]}$ is called *the usual polynomial extension of M_R* .

$$M[x, x^{-1}] = \left\{ \varphi(x) = \sum_{i=-k}^n m_i x^i : m_i \in M \right\}.$$

$M[x, x^{-1}]$ is a right $R[x, x^{-1}]$ -module and $M[x, x^{-1}]_{R[x, x^{-1}]}$ is called *the usual Laurent polynomial extension of M_R* .

We mean by a regular element of a ring R , a nonzero element which is not a zero divisor.

Theorem 4.1. *Let R be a ring, Δ be a multiplicatively closed subset of R consisting of central regular elements, $1 \in \Delta$ and $e \in \text{Id}(R)$. Then, M_R is e -semicommutative if and only if $(\Delta^{-1}M)_{(\Delta^{-1}R)}$ is $(1^{-1}e)$ -semicommutative.*

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Suppose that M_R is e -semicommutative. Let $a \in R$, $m \in M$ and $u, w \in \Delta$ such that $(w^{-1}m)(u^{-1}a) = 0$ in $(\Delta^{-1}M)_{(\Delta^{-1}R)}$. Since Δ is contained in the center of R , we have $0 = (w^{-1}u^{-1})(ma) = (wu)^{-1}(ma)$, and so $ma = 0$. Hence, for any $r \in R$, we have $mrae = 0$. So, in $(\Delta^{-1}M)_{(\Delta^{-1}R)}$, we have for any $v \in \Delta$, $0 = (wvu)^{-1}(mrae) = (w^{-1}v^{-1}u^{-1}1^{-1})(mrae)$. Thus

$$(w^{-1}m)(v^{-1}r)(u^{-1}a)(1^{-1}e) = 0.$$

Hence, $(\Delta^{-1}M)_{(\Delta^{-1}R)}$ is $(1^{-1}e)$ -semicommutative.

It is clear that if $(\Delta^{-1}M)_{(\Delta^{-1}R)}$ is $(1^{-1}e)$ -semicommutative, then M_R is e -semicommutative.

Corollary 4.1. *Let R be a ring and $e \in \text{Id}(R)$. Then, $M[x]_{R[x]}$ is e -semicommutative if and only if $M[x, x^{-1}]_{R[x, x^{-1}]}$ is e -semicommutative.*

Proof. Consider the multiplicatively closed set $\Delta = \{1, x, x^2, x^3, \dots\}$ which is clearly a subset of $R[x]$ consisting of central regular elements. Since $\Delta^{-1}R[x] = R[x, x^{-1}]$ and $\Delta^{-1}M[x] = M[x, x^{-1}]$, the result follows directly from Theorem 4.1. □

References

- [1] H. Abd-Elmalk, *e-symmetric modules*, to appear in Honam Mathematical Journal, 43 (2021), 199–207.
- [2] D. Anderson, V. Camillo, *Armendariz rings and Gaussian rings*, Comm. Algebra, 26 (1998), 2265-2272.
- [3] H. Bell, *Near-rings in which each element is a power of itself*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 2 (1970), 363-368.
- [4] G. Birkenmeier, J. Kim, J. Park, *Principally quasi-Baer rings*, Comm. Algebra, 29 (2001), 639-660.
- [5] A. Buhphang, M. Rege, *Semicommutative modules and Armendariz modules*, Arab J. Math. Sci., 8 (2002), 53-65.
- [6] N. Kim, Y. Lee, *Extensions of reversible rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 185 (2003), 207-223.
- [7] H. Kose, B. Ungor, A. Harmanci, *Semicommutativity of rings by the way of idempotents*, Filomat, 33 (2019), 3497-3508.
- [8] J. Lambek, *On the representations of modules by sheaves of factor modules*, Canad. Math. Bull., 14 (1971), 359-368.
- [9] T. Lee, Y. Zhou, *Reduced modules*, Rings, Modules, Algebras and Abelian Groups, 365-377, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 236, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2004.
- [10] F. Meng, J. Wei, *e-symmetric rings*, Comm. Contemp. Math., 20 (2018), 1750039.
- [11] R. Rappaport, *Some remarks on regular and strongly regular rings*, Canad. Math. Bull., 17 (1974/75), 709-712.
- [12] G. Renault, *Anneaux réduits non commutatifs*, J. Math. Pures Appl., 46 (1967), 203-214.

Accepted: February 25, 2021