

Fixed point results in a complex-valued generalized G_b -metric space

P. Singh

*University of KwaZulu-Natal
Department of Mathematics
Private Bag X54001
Durban 4000
South Africa
singhp@ukzn.ac.za*

V. Singh*

*University of KwaZulu-Natal
Department of Mathematics
Private Bag X54001
Durban, 4000
South Africa
singhv@ukzn.ac.za*

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the concept of a complex $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -metric space and prove the Banach fixed point principle and Kannan's fixed point theorem. Our results generalize the idea of a complex valued G_b -metric space.

Keywords: G_b - metric, partial order, Kannan's fixed point theorem.

1. Introduction

Bakhtin [3] presented b -metric spaces as a generalization of metric spaces. In the frame work of b -metric spaces in [7, 6], authors Mebawondu et al., introduced some classes of mappings called TAC-Suzuki Berinde Type F -contractions and TAC-Suzuki type rational F -contractions. Azam et al. [2] defined a complex valued metric space. Rao et al. [10] then introduced the concept of a complex valued b -metric space. Similarly, G -metric spaces were defined by Mustafa and Sims [8]. Thereafter followed the concept of G_b -metric spaces by Aghajani et al. [1]. Recently as an application Mebawondu et al. [5] discussed the existence of solutions for integral equations for Z -contraction mappings in the frame work of a complete G_b metric spaces. Ozgur [9] extended this idea to define a complex valued G_b -metric space. Likewise, Singh [11] introduced the idea of a α, β b -metric space and thereafter a $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -metric space. Here we extend this concept to a complex valued $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -metric space and prove the Banach contraction principle and Kannan's [4] fixed point theorem in this space.

*. Corresponding author

2. Preliminaries

Here we state some properties of $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -metric spaces.

Definition 2.1 ([11]). Let $X \neq \emptyset$ be a set and $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$ be real numbers. A function $G_b^{\alpha\beta} : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is called a $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -metric if the following hold, for every $x, y, z, a \in X$:

- (i) $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$;
- (ii) $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, x, y) > 0$ with $x \neq y$;
- (iii) $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, x, y) \leq G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z)$ with $y \neq z$;
- (iv) $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z) = G_b^{\alpha\beta}(\rho\{x, y, z\})$, where ρ is any permutation of $\{x, y, z\}$;
- (v) $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z) \leq \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, a, a) + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(a, y, z)$.

Then, $(X, G_b^{\alpha\beta})$ is a $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -metric space, when $\alpha = \beta$, $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ is a G_b metric.

Proposition 2.1. Let X be a $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -metric space, then for $x, y, z \in X$ it follows that:

- (i) if $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z) = 0$ then $x = y = z$;
- (ii) $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z) \leq \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, x, y) + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, x, z)$;
- (iii) $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, y) \leq (\alpha + \beta)G_b^{\alpha\beta}(y, x, x)$;
- (iv) $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z) \leq \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, a, z) + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(a, y, z)$.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be:

- (i) $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -Cauchy if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n, l \geq N$, $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \epsilon$;
- (ii) $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -convergent to $x^* \in X$ if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n \geq N$, $G_b^{\alpha, \beta}(x_m, x_n, x^*) < \epsilon$.

Proposition 2.2. Let X be a $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -metric space and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence in X . Then, the following are equivalent:

- (i) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -Cauchy;
- (ii) For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \epsilon$, for all $m, n \geq N$.

Proposition 2.3. Let X be a $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -metric space. The the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\{x_n\}$ is $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -convergent to x^* ;
- (ii) $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_n, x^*) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (iii) $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x^*, x^*) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.3. A $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -metric space X is complete if every $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -Cauchy sequence is $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -convergent in X .

Definition 2.4. Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ define a partial order \lesssim on \mathbb{C} by

$$z_1 \lesssim z_2 \text{ if and only if } \Re(z_1) \leq \Re(z_2) \text{ and } \Im(z_1) \leq \Im(z_2).$$

Proposition 2.4. If $z_1 \lesssim z_2$ then one of the following are true:

- (i) $\Re(z_1) = \Re(z_2)$ and $\Im(z_1) = \Im(z_2)$;
- (ii) $\Re(z_1) < \Re(z_2)$ and $\Im(z_1) = \Im(z_2)$;
- (iii) $\Re(z_1) = \Re(z_2)$ and $\Im(z_1) < \Im(z_2)$;
- (iv) $\Re(z_1) < \Re(z_2)$ and $\Im(z_1) < \Im(z_2)$.

We write $z_1 \not\lesssim z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (ii),(iii) or (iv) holds. If only (iv) is satisfied then we write $z_1 \prec z_2$.

Proposition 2.5. The following statements are true:

- (i) if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \leq b$ then $az \lesssim bz$;
- (ii) if $0 \lesssim z_1 \not\lesssim z_2$ then $|z_1| < |z_2|$;
- (iii) if $z_1 \lesssim z_2$ and $z_2 \prec z_3$, then $z_1 \prec z_3$.

3. Complex-valued $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -metric spaces

Definition 3.1. Let $X \neq \emptyset$ be a set and $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$ be real numbers. A function $G_b^{\alpha\beta} : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -complex metric if the following hold, for every $x, y, z, a \in X$

- (i) $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$;
- (ii) $0 \prec G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, x, y)$ with $x \neq y$;
- (iii) $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, x, y) \lesssim G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z)$ with $y \neq z$;
- (iv) $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z) = G_b^{\alpha\beta}(\rho\{x, y, z\})$ where ρ is any permutation of $\{x, y, z\}$;
- (v) $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z) \lesssim \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, a, a) + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(a, y, z)$.

Then $(X, G_b^{\alpha\beta})$ is a $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -complex metric space. When $\alpha = \beta$, $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ is a G_b -complex metric.

Example 3.1. Let $X = (1, 3)$ then define $G_b^{\alpha\beta} : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} (1 + i)e^{|x-y|+|y-z|+|z-x|}, & x \neq y \neq z \\ 0, & x = y = z. \end{cases}$$

To show that $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z)$ is a $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -metric we verify properties (i)-(v) of definition 3.1. Properties (i)-(iv) are easily verified. We only verify property (v) of definition 3.1. Let $x, y, z \in X$ such that $x \neq y \neq z$ then from proposition 2.5 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z) \\ &\lesssim (1 + i)e^{|x-a|+|y-a|+|y-z|+|z-a|+|x-a|} \\ &= (1 + i)e^{2|x-a|+|y-a|+|y-z|+|z-a|} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{x,y,z \in X} e^{\frac{2}{3}|2(x-a)|+\frac{1}{3}[|y-a|+|y-z|+|z-a|]} (1 + i)e^{\frac{1}{3}|2(x-a)|+\frac{2}{3}[|y-a|+|y-z|+|z-a|]} \\ &\lesssim \frac{e^{\frac{14}{3}}}{3}(1 + i)e^{2|x-a|} + \frac{2e^{\frac{14}{3}}}{3}(1 + i)e^{[|y-a|+|y-z|+|z-a|]} \\ &= \frac{e^{\frac{14}{3}}}{3}G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, a, a) + \frac{2e^{\frac{14}{3}}}{3}G_b^{\alpha\beta}(a, y, z) \end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha = \frac{e^{\frac{14}{3}}}{3} \geq 1$ and $\beta = \frac{2e^{\frac{14}{3}}}{3} \geq 1$, $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\alpha < \beta$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $(X, G_b^{\alpha\beta})$ be a $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -complex metric space, then:

1. $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z) \lesssim \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, x, y) + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, x, z);$
2. $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, y) \lesssim (\alpha + \beta)G_b^{\alpha\beta}(y, x, x).$

Proof. 1. Interchanging variables x and y in property (v) of definition 3.1 we get $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(y, x, z) \lesssim \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(y, a, a) + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(a, x, z)$. Setting $a = x$, we get $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(y, x, z) \lesssim \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(y, x, x) + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, x, z)$. Finally, using property (iv) of definition 3.1 we get $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z) \lesssim \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, x, y) + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, x, z)$.

2. Interchanging variables x and y in property (v) of definition 3.1, we get $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(y, x, z) \lesssim \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(y, a, a) + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(a, x, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Taking $z = y$, we get $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(y, x, y) \lesssim \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(y, a, a) + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(a, x, y)$. Finally, setting $a = x$ we get $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(y, x, y) \lesssim \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(y, x, x) + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, x, y)$. Using property (iv) of definition 3.1 we obtain $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, y) \lesssim (\alpha + \beta)G_b^{\alpha\beta}(y, x, x)$. □

Definition 3.2. Let X be a $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -complex metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be:

1. $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -Cauchy if for each $0 \prec \epsilon$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n, l \geq N$, $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_m, x_l) \prec \epsilon$;
2. $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -convergent to $x^* \in X$ if for each $0 \prec \epsilon$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n \geq N$, $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_m, x_n, x^*) \prec \epsilon$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(X, G_b^{\alpha\beta})$ be a $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -complex metric space and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ is complex valued $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -convergent to x^* if and only if $|G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_m, x_n, x^*)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $\epsilon' > 0$ be given and consider $\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon'}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + i)$, then $0 \prec \epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ and there exists a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n, m > N$,

$$0 \prec G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_n, x^*) \preceq G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_m, x_n, x^*) \prec \epsilon.$$

Hence, $|G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_m, x_n, x^*)| < |\epsilon| = \epsilon'$, which implies that $|G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_m, x_n, x^*)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $|G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_m, x_n, x^*)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Given $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \prec \epsilon$, it follows that $0 < |\epsilon|$. By the convergence of $|G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_m, x_n, x^*)|$ to 0 there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n, m > N$, $|G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_m, x_n, x^*)| < |\epsilon|$, which implies that $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_m, x_n, x^*) \prec \epsilon$ for all $n, m > N$. □

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(X, G_b^{\alpha,\beta})$ be a $G_b^{\alpha,\beta}$ -complex metric space and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence in X . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\{x_n\}$ is complex valued $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -convergent to x^* ;
- (ii) $|G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_n, x^*)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (iii) $|G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x^*, x^*)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (iv) $|G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_m, x_n, x^*)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Replace m by n in Proposition 3.2.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) By Proposition 3.1 (ii) it follows that

$$G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x^*, x^*) \preceq (\alpha + \beta)G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_n, x^*).$$

Hence, $|G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x^*, x^*)| \leq (\alpha + \beta)|G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_n, x^*)|$ and taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the result is proved.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) By Proposition 3.1 (i) it follows that

$$G_b^{\alpha,\beta}(x_m, x_n, x^*) = G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, x_m, x_n) \preceq \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, x^*, x_m) + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, x^*, x_n),$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_m, x_n, x^*)| &\leq |G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, x_m, x_n)| \leq \alpha |G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, x^*, x_m)| \\ &\quad + \beta |G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, x^*, x_n)|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ the result is obtained.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) This is by Proposition 3.2 (ii). □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(X, G_b^{\alpha\beta})$ be a complete complex valued $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping that satisfies*

$$(1) \quad G_b^{\alpha\beta}(Tx, Ty, Tz) \lesssim \lambda G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, y, z),$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, where $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{\beta})$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$, where $x_0 \in X$ is arbitrary. Using (1) recursively it may be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) &= G_b^{\alpha,\beta}(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_n) \\ &\lesssim \lambda G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) \\ &\lesssim \lambda G_b^{\alpha\beta}(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) \\ &= \lambda^2 G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) \\ (2) \quad &\lesssim \lambda^n G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_0, x_1, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_m, x_m) &\lesssim \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{n+1}, x_m, x_m) \\ &\lesssim \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}) + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{n+2}, x_m, x_m) \right] \\ &= \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + \alpha\beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + \beta^2 G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{n+2}, x_m, x_m) \\ &\lesssim \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + \alpha\beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + \alpha\beta^2 G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}, x_{n+3}) \\ &\quad + \dots + \alpha\beta^{m-n-2} G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{m-2}, x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}) \\ (3) \quad &\quad + \beta^{m-n-1} G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{m-1}, x_m, x_m). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2) in (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_m, x_m) &\lesssim (\alpha\lambda^n + \alpha\beta\lambda^{n+1} + \dots + \alpha\beta^{m-n-2}\lambda^{m-2} + \beta^{m-n-1}\lambda^{m-1}) \\ &\quad \times G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_0, x_1, x_1) \\ &\lesssim \alpha\lambda^n (1 + \beta\lambda + \dots + (\beta\lambda)^{m-n-2} + (\beta\lambda)^{m-n-1}) \\ &\quad \times G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_0, x_1, x_1) \\ (4) \quad &\lesssim \frac{\alpha\lambda^n}{1 - \beta\lambda} G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_0, x_1, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$(5) \quad |G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_m, x_m)| \leq \frac{\alpha\lambda^n}{1 - \beta\lambda} |G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_0, x_1, x_1)|.$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_m, x_m) \rightarrow 0$. From Proposition 3.1 we have

$$(6) \quad G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_m, x_l) \lesssim \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_l, x_m, x_m) + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_m, x_m),$$

for $n, m, l \in \mathbb{N}$, which yields

$$(7) \quad |G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_m, x_l)| \leq \alpha |G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_m, x_m)| + \beta |G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_l, x_m, x_m)|.$$

Taking the limit as $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_m, x_l) = 0$, so $\{x_n\}$ is $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -complex Cauchy. By the completion of $(X, G_b^{\alpha\beta})$ there is $x^* \in X$ such that $\{x_n\}$ is $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -convergent to x^* . To show that x^* is a fixed point of T consider

$$G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{n+1}, Tx^*, Tx^*) \lesssim \lambda G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x^*, x^*),$$

which implies that

$$|G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{n+1}, Tx^*, Tx^*)| \leq \lambda |G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x^*, x^*)|.$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$|G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, Tx^*, Tx^*)| \leq \lambda |G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, x^*, x^*)| = 0,$$

hence $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, Tx^*, Tx^*) = 0$ and $Tx^* = x^*$. To prove uniqueness of the fixed point assume that x^{**} is another fixed point of T then

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, x^{**}, x^{**}) &= G_b^{\alpha\beta}(Tx^*, Tx^{**}, Tx^{**}) \\ &\lesssim \lambda G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, x^{**}, x^{**}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, x^{**}, x^{**})| \leq \lambda |G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, x^{**}, x^{**})|,$$

and as $\lambda < 1$ this implies that $G_b^{\alpha,\beta}(x^*, x^{**}, x^{**}) = 0$ or equivalently $x^* = x^{**}$. □

We prove Kannan’s fixed point theorem for $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -complex metric spaces.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(X, G_b^{\alpha\beta})$ be a complete $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -complex metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies*

$$(9) \quad G_b^{\alpha\beta}(Tx, Ty, Ty) \lesssim \lambda [G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x, Tx, Tx) + G_b^{\alpha\beta}(y, Ty, Ty)],$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta}$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. We shall show that $\{x_n\}$ is $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -Cauchy. We also assume that $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$ for $n \geq 0$, otherwise x_n is a fixed point of T . It follows from (9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) &= G_b^{\alpha\beta}(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_n) \\
 &\lesssim \lambda[G_b^{\alpha,\beta}(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n)] \\
 (10) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \lambda[G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})].
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(11) \qquad \qquad G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \lesssim \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n).$$

Repeating the process we have

$$(12) \qquad \qquad G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^n G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_0, x_1, x_1).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_m, x_m) &= G_b^{\alpha\beta}(T^n x_0, T^m x_0, T^m x_0) \\
 &\lesssim G_b^{\alpha\beta}(T^{n-1} x_0, T^n x_0, T^n x_0) + G_b^{\alpha\beta}(T^{m-1} x_0, T^m x_0, T^m x_0) \\
 &= G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{m-1}, x_m, x_m) \\
 (13) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\lesssim \left[\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{n-1} + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{m-1} \right] G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_0, x_1, x_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $|G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_m, x_m)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that $\{x_n\}$ is $G_b^{\alpha\beta}$ -Cauchy in X . Since $(X, G_b^{\alpha\beta})$ is complete there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_b^{\alpha\beta}(Tx^*, x^*, x^*) &\lesssim \alpha G_b^{\alpha\beta}(Tx^*, Tx_n, Tx_n) + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(Tx_n, x^*, x^*) \\
 &\lesssim \alpha \lambda [G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, Tx^*, Tx^*) + G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n)] \\
 &\quad + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(Tx_n, x^*, x^*) \\
 &= \alpha \lambda [G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, Tx^*, Tx^*) + G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})] \\
 (14) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\quad + \beta G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x_{n+1}, x^*, x^*).
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have $|G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, Tx^*, Tx^*)| = 0$ and hence $G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, Tx^*, Tx^*) = 0$ as $\alpha \lambda < 1$, proving that x^* is a fixed point of T . To prove uniqueness assume that x^{**} is another fixed point of T , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, x^{**}, x^{**}) &= G_b^{\alpha\beta}(Tx^*, Tx^{**}, Tx^{**}) \\
 &\lesssim \lambda [G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^*, Tx^*, Tx^*) + G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^{**}, Tx^{**}, Tx^{**})] \\
 &\lesssim \lambda [G_b^{\alpha,\beta}(x^*, x^*, x^*) + G_b^{\alpha\beta}(x^{**}, x^{**}, x^{**})] \\
 (15) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\lesssim 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that $x^* = x^{**}$. □

References

- [1] A. Aghajani, M. Abbas, J.R. Roshan, *Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered b-metric spaces*, Math. Slovaca, 64 (2014), 941-960.
- [2] A. Azam, B. Fisher, M. Khan, *Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces*, Number. Funct., Anal. Optim., 32 (2011), 243-253.
- [3] I.A. Bakhtin, *The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces*, Funct. Anal. Unianowsk Gos. Ped. Inst., (1989), 26-37.
- [4] R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 60 (1968), 71-76.
- [5] A. A. Mebawondu, C. Izuchukwu, K. O. Oyewole, O. T Mewomo, *Solutions of integral equations via new Z-contraction mapping in G_b metric spaces*, Proyecciones, 39 (2020), 1273-1294.
- [6] A. A. Mebawondu, O. T. Mewomo, *Some fixed point results for TAC-Suzuki-Berinde contraction mappings*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., 34 (2019), 1201-1222.
- [7] A. A. Mebawondu, C. Izuchukwu, K. O. Arema, O. T. Mewomo, *Some fixed point results for generalized TAC Suzuki-Berinde type F-contractions in b-metric spaces*, Appl. Math. E-Notes, 19 (2019), 629-653.
- [8] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, *A new approach to a generalized metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., 7 (2006), 289-297.
- [9] E. G. E. J. Ozgur, *Computational analysis and applications*, Vol. 21, No.7, Eudoxus Press, LLC, 2016.
- [10] K. P. R. Rao, P. R. Swamy, J. R. Prasad, *A common fixed point theorem in complex valued b -metric spaces*, Bulletin of Mathematics and Statistics Research, 1 (2013), 1-8.
- [11] P. Singh, V. Singh, *Fixed point theorems in a generalized G_b -metric space*, Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal, 10 (2021), 2187-2197.
- [12] P. V. Subrahmanyam, *Elementary fixed point theorems*, Springer Singapore, 2018.

Accepted: May 2, 2021