

Topologizing a hyper BCI-algebra using its hyper-order

Michelle T. Panganduyon

*College of Arts and Sciences
Surigao State College of Technology
Narciso St., 8400 Surigao City
Surigao del Norte
Philippines
mpanganduyon@ssct.edu.ph*

Sergio R. Canoy, Jr.*

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics
College of Science and Mathematics
Center for Graph Theory, Algebra, and Analysis-PRISM
MSU-Iligan Institute of Technology
Andres Bonifacio Avenue
9200 Iligan City
Philippines
sergio.canoy@g.msuiit.edu.ph*

Abstract. In this paper, we topologize a given hyper BCI-algebra using the hyper-order associated with the hyper-structure. Specifically, we consider a family of subsets of the hyper BCI-algebra that serves as a basis for some topology on this structure. Properties of the topological spaces generated in this way are subsequently investigated.

Keywords: topology, basis, hyper BCI-algebra, hyper-order, hyperatom.

1. Introduction

In 1934, F. Marty [3] introduced the hyperstructure theory (also called multi-valued algebras) at the 8th congress of Scandinavian Mathematicians. Xin [9] applied the concept of hyperstructure theory to a BCI-algebra, a class of abstract algebra introduced by Iséki [1] in 1966, and introduced the notion of a hyper BCI-algebra.

In [2], Y. B. Jun et al. initiated the study of topological BCI-algebras (briefly, TBCI-algebras) and some properties of this structure, and gave a characterization of a TBCI-algebra in terms of neighborhoods. They also gave a filter base Ω generating a BCI-topology, and made a BCI-algebra X into a TBCI-algebra for which Ω is a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0. Moreover, they introduced the notions of topological subalgebras, topological ideals and topo-

*. Corresponding author

logical homomorphisms in topological BCI-algebras and studied some related properties.

In this study, we topologize a given hyper BCI-algebra by right application of the hyper-order associated with it. In this manner, we obtain a family of subsets of the hyper BCI-algebra that serves as a basis for some topology on this structure. Properties of the induced topological space generated in this way are investigated. This method of topologizing a hyperstructure had been previously utilized in [6] and [7].

2. Preliminaries

A *hyperoperation* on a nonempty set H is a map from $H \times H$ into $P^*(H) = P(H) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Let \otimes be a hyperoperation on H and $(x, y) \in H \times H$. Then its image under \otimes , denoted by $x \otimes y$, is called the *hyperproduct* of x and y . If A and B are nonempty subsets of H , then $A * B$ is given by $A \otimes B = \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a \otimes b$. We shall use $x \otimes y$ instead of $x \otimes \{y\}$, $\{x\} \otimes y$, or $\{x\} \otimes \{y\}$. When $A \subseteq H$ and $x \in H$, we agree to write $A \otimes x$ instead of $A \otimes \{x\}$. Similarly, we write $x \otimes A$ for $\{x\} \otimes A$. In effect, $A \otimes x = \bigcup_{a \in A} a \otimes x$ and $x \otimes A = \bigcup_{a \in A} x \otimes a$.

A *hyper BCI-algebra* $(H, \otimes, 0)$ is a nonempty set H endowed with a hyperoperation “ \otimes ” and a constant 0 satisfying the following axioms: for all $x, y, z \in H$,

$$(B_1) ((x \otimes z) \otimes (y \otimes z)) \ll x \otimes y,$$

$$(B_2) (x \otimes y) \otimes z = (x \otimes z) \otimes y,$$

$$(B_3) x \ll x,$$

$$(B_4) x \ll y \text{ and } y \ll x \text{ imply } x = y,$$

$$(B_5) 0 \otimes (0 \otimes x) \ll x, x \neq 0,$$

where for every $A, B \subseteq H$, $A \ll B$ if and only if for each $a \in A$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $0 \in a \otimes b$. In particular, for every $x, y \in H$, $x \ll y$ if and only if $0 \in x \otimes y$. In such case, we call “ \ll ” the *hyper order* in H (see [9]).

A hyper BCI-algebra $(H, \otimes, 0)$ is said to be *ordered* if for each $x, y, z \in H$, $x \ll y$ and $y \ll z$ implies $x \ll z$.

Example 2.1. [9] Let $H = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Define the hyperoperation “ \otimes ” by the Cayley table shown below.

\otimes	0	1	2
0	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0, 1\}$
1	$\{1\}$	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0, 1\}$
2	$\{2\}$	$\{1, 2\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$

Then by routine calculations, $(H, \otimes, 0)$ is an ordered hyper BCI-algebra.

All throughout, we denote a hyper BCI-algebra $(H, \otimes, 0)$ by H , unless otherwise specified.

Let H be a hyper BCI-algebra and $A \subseteq H$. The sets $L_H(A)$ and $R_H(A)$ are given by $L_H(A) = \{x \in H \mid x \ll a, \forall a \in A\} = \{x \in H \mid 0 \in x \otimes a, \forall a \in A\}$ and $R_H(A) = \{x \in H \mid a \ll x, \forall a \in A\} = \{x \in H \mid 0 \in a \otimes x, \forall a \in A\}$. If $A = \{a\}$, we write $L_H(\{a\}) = L_H(a)$, and $R_H(\{a\}) = R_H(a)$.

An element a of a hyper BCI-algebra H is called a *hyperatom* if for each $x \in H$, $x \ll a$ implies $x = 0$ or $x = a$. Denote by $A(H)$ the set of all hyperatoms of H , and by $A^*(H)$ the set of all nonzero hyperatoms of H ; that is, $A^*(H) = A(H) \setminus \{0\}$. A hyper BCI-algebra H is said to be *hyperatomic* if each element of H is a hyperatom, that is, $A(H) = H$ (see [5]).

Let X be a nonempty set and $p \in X$. The topology τ_p given by $\tau_p = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{A \subseteq X : p \in A\}$ is called the *particular point p topology* on X (see [8]).

Example 2.2. Consider the hyper BCI-algebra defined in Example 2.1. Then $R_H(0) = \{0, 1, 2\} = H$, $R_H(1) = \{1, 2\}$, $R_H(2) = \{2\}$, $R_H(\{0, 1\}) = \{1, 2\}$, $R_H(\{0, 2\}) = \{2\}$, $R_H(\{1, 2\}) = \{2\}$, and $R_H(H) = \{2\}$.

Example 2.3. Consider $H = \{0, a, b\}$ with the hyperoperation “ \otimes ” defined as follows:

\otimes	0	a	b
0	$\{0, a\}$	$\{0, a\}$	$\{b\}$
a	$\{a\}$	$\{0, a\}$	$\{b\}$
b	$\{b\}$	$\{b\}$	$\{0, a\}$

H is a hyper BCI-algebra [4]. By the definition of R_H , $R_H(0) = \{0, a\}$, $R_H(a) = \{a\}$, $R_H(b) = \{b\}$, $R_H(\{0, a\}) = \{a\}$, $R_H(\{0, b\}) = \emptyset$, $R_H(\{a, b\}) = \emptyset$, and $R_H(H) = \emptyset$.

3. Results

The following properties of a hyper BCI-algebra H are taken from [9].

Proposition 3.1 ([9]). *In any hyper BCI-algebra H , the following hold:*

- (i) $x \ll 0$ implies $x = 0$.
- (ii) $0 \in x \otimes (x \otimes 0)$.
- (iii) $x \ll x \otimes 0$.
- (iv) $0 \otimes (x \otimes y) \ll y \otimes x$.
- (v) $A \ll A$.
- (vi) $A \subseteq B$ implies $A \ll B$.
- (vii) $A \ll \{0\}$ implies $A = \{0\}$.

- (viii) $x \otimes 0 \ll \{y\}$ implies $x \ll y$.
- (ix) $y \ll z$ implies $x \otimes z \ll x \otimes y$.
- (x) $x \otimes y = \{0\}$ implies $(x \otimes z) \otimes (y \otimes z) = \{0\}$ and $x \otimes z \ll y \otimes z$.
- (xi) $A \otimes A = \{0\}$ implies A is a singleton.
- (xii) $A \otimes \{0\} = \{0\}$ implies $A = \{0\}$,

for all $x, y, z \in H$ and for all non-empty subsets A and B of H .

The next result gives some properties of the operator L_H .

Proposition 3.2 ([5]). *Let A and B be subsets of H . Then the following hold:*

- (i) $L_H(\emptyset) = H$.
- (ii) $L_H(\{0\}) = \{0\}$.
- (iii) If $A \subseteq B$, then $L_H(B) \subseteq L_H(A)$.
- (iv) $L_H(A) = \bigcap_{a \in A} L_H(\{a\})$.
- (v) If $x \in H$, then $x \in L_H(\{x\})$. Furthermore, $L_H(\{x\}) = \{0\}$ if and only if $x = 0$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let A and B be subsets of a hyper BCI-algebra H . Then the following hold:*

- (i) $R_H(\emptyset) = H$.
- (ii) If $A \subseteq B$, then $R_H(B) \subseteq R_H(A)$.
- (iii) If H is ordered and $R_H(A) \neq \emptyset$, then $R_H(R_H(A)) \subseteq R_H(A)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Suppose $R_H(\emptyset) \neq H$. Then there exists $h \in H$ such that $h \notin R_H(\emptyset)$. Thus, there exists $a \in \emptyset$ such that $a \not\ll h$, which is absurd. Therefore, $R_H(\emptyset) = H$. This shows (i).

For (ii), let $x \in R_H(B)$. Then $b \ll x$ for all $b \in B$. Since $A \subseteq B$, $a \ll x$ for all $a \in A$. Thus, $x \in R_H(A)$. Hence, $R_H(B) \subseteq R_H(A)$.

Finally, let $x \in R_H(R_H(A))$. Then $b \ll x$ for all $b \in R_H(A)$. Since $a \ll b$ for all $a \in A$ and H is ordered, it follows that $a \ll x$ for all $a \in A$. Thus, $x \in R_H(A)$. Hence, $R_H(R_H(A)) \subseteq R_H(A)$. This proves (iii). \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let H be a hyper BCI-algebra and $A \subseteq H$. Then*

- (i) $R_H(A) = \bigcap_{a \in A} R_H(a)$.
- (ii) For any $x \in H$, $R_H(x) = \{z \in H : x \in L_H(z)\}$.
- (iii) $R_H(x) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in H$. In particular, $x \in R_H(x)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Note that $R_H = \{x \in H \mid a \ll x, \forall a \in A\} = \{x \in H \mid x \in R_H(a), \forall a \in A\} = \bigcap_{a \in A} R_H(a)$. Hence, (i) holds.

For (ii), let $x \in H$ and let $D = \{z \in H : x \in L_H(z)\}$. If $z \in R_H(x)$, then $x \ll z$, that is, $x \in L_H(z)$. Thus, $z \in D$. On the other hand, let $z \in D$. Then $x \in L_H(z)$. Hence, $x \ll z$. That is, $z \in R_H(x)$.

By (B_3) , $x \ll x$ for all $x \in H$. It follows that $x \in R_H(x)$. That is, (iii) holds. \square

Corollary 3.1. *Let H be a hyper BCI-algebra and $A \subseteq H$. Then*

(i) *For any $x \in H \setminus \{0\}$, $0 \notin R_H(x)$. Consequently, for any $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq H$ such that $A \neq \{0\}$, $0 \notin R_H(A)$.*

(ii) *$R_H(0) = H$ if and only if $0 \in L_H(x)$ for all $x \in H$.*

Proof of Corollary 3.1. For (i), let $x \in H \setminus \{0\}$. By Theorem 3.2(ii), $0 \in R_H(x) = \{z \in H : x \in L_H(z)\}$ means that $x \in L_H(0) = \{0\}$ by Proposition 3.2(ii), a contradiction. Consequently, by Theorem 3.2(i), for any $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq H$ such that $A \neq \{0\}$, $0 \notin R_H(A)$.

For (ii), by Theorem 3.2(ii), $R_H(0) = \{z \in H : 0 \in L_H(z)\}$. Hence, $R_H(0) = H$ if and only if $0 \in L_H(x)$ for all $x \in H$. \square

Lemma 3.1. *Let H be a hyper BCI-algebra and A a subset of H . Then $A \subseteq L_H(R_H(A))$. In particular, $L_H(R_H(H)) = H$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let $A \subseteq H$. If $R_H(A) = \emptyset$, then $A \subseteq H = L_H(\emptyset) = L_H(R_H(A))$ by Proposition 3.2(i). Suppose $R_H(A) \neq \emptyset$ and let $x \in R_H(A)$. Then $a \ll x$ for all $a \in A$. Let $b \in A$. Then $b \ll x$ for every $x \in R_H(A)$. This means that $b \in L_H(x)$ for every $x \in R_H(A)$. Hence, by Proposition 3.2(iv), $b \in \bigcap_{x \in R_H(A)} L_H(x) = L_H(R_H(A))$. Therefore, $A \subseteq L_H(R_H(A))$. In particular, since $L_H(R_H(H)) \subseteq H$, it follows that $L_H(R_H(H)) = H$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let H be a hyper BCI-algebra with $|H| \geq 2$. Then $R_H(H)$ is a singleton if and only if there exists $a \in H \setminus \{0\}$ such that $L_H(a) = H$. In particular, $R_H(H) = \{a\}$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $R_H(H)$ is a singleton. By Corollary 3.1(i), $R_H(H) = \{a\}$ for some $a \in H \setminus \{0\}$. Then by Lemma 3.1, $H = L_H(R_H(H)) = L_H(a)$.

For the converse, suppose that there exists $a \in H \setminus \{0\}$ such that $L_H(a) = H$. Then $x \ll a$ for all $x \in H$. Hence, $a \in R_H(x)$ for all $x \in H$. This implies that $a \in \bigcap_{x \in H} R_H(x) = R_H(H)$ by Theorem 3.2(i). Thus, $\{a\} \subseteq R_H(H)$. Suppose further that there exists $b \in R_H(H) \setminus \{a\}$. Then $x \ll b$ for all $x \in H$. Since $a, b \in H$, it follows that $a \ll b$ and $b \ll a$. By (B_4) , $a = b$, contrary to the assumption that $a \neq b$. Thus, $R_H(H) = \{a\}$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let H be a hyper BCI-algebra. Then either $|R_H(H)| = 0$ or $|R_H(H)| = 1$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.4. If $R_H(H)$ is a singleton, then we are done. Suppose that $|R_H(H)| \neq 1$. Let $a \in H$. Then by assumption and Theorem 3.3, $L_H(a) \neq H$. Hence, there exists $y \in H$ such that $y \not\ll a$, that is, there exists $y \in H$ such that $a \notin R_H(y)$. Thus, $a \notin R_H(H) = \bigcap_{z \in H} R_H(z)$. Since a is arbitrary, $R_H(H) = \emptyset$. \square

Lemma 3.2. Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a collection of subsets of a hyper BCI-algebra H . Then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} R_H(A_\alpha) = R_H(\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha)$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Suppose $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} R_H(A_\alpha) = \emptyset$. Since $A_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha$, by Theorem 3.1, $R_H(\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha) \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} R_H(A_\alpha) = \emptyset$. Thus, $R_H(\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha) = \emptyset$. Suppose $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} R_H(A_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} R_H(A_\alpha) &\Leftrightarrow x \in R_H(A_\alpha) \text{ for all } \alpha \in I \\ &\Leftrightarrow a \ll x \text{ for all } a \in A_\alpha \text{ and for all } \alpha \in I \\ &\Leftrightarrow a \ll x \text{ for all } a \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in R_H\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha\right). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the assertion. \square

Theorem 3.5. Let H be a hyper BCI-algebra. Then the family $\mathcal{B}_R(H) = \{R_H(A) : \emptyset \neq A \subseteq H\}$ is a basis for some topology on H .

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Clearly, $H = \bigcup_{a \in H} R_H(a)$. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of H . Then by Lemma 3.2, $R_H(A) \cap R_H(B) = R_H(A \cup B) \in \mathcal{B}_R(H)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{B}_R(H)$ is a basis for some topology on H . \square

Denote by $\tau_R(H)$ the topology generated by $\mathcal{B}_R(H)$.

Example 3.1. Consider $H := [0, \infty)$ with the hyperoperation “ \otimes ”, defined in [9]:

$$x \otimes y := \begin{cases} [0, x], & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ (0, y], & \text{if } x > y \neq 0, \\ \{x\}, & \text{if } y = 0 \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in H$. Then $(H, \otimes, 0)$ is a hyper BCI-algebra. Now, let $k \in H$. Then $R_H(k) = [k, \infty)$. Let $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq H$. First, suppose that A is bounded, and let $p = \sup A$. By Theorem 3.2(i), $R_H(A) = \bigcap_{a \in A} R_H(a) = \bigcap_{a \in A} [a, \infty)$. Since $a \leq p$ for all $a \in A$, $[p, \infty) \subseteq R_H(A)$. Now, let $r \in R_H(A)$. Then $a \leq r$ for all $a \in A$; hence, $p \leq r$. It follows that $r \in [p, \infty)$. Thus, $R_H(A) = [p, \infty)$. Suppose that A is not bounded. Let $m \in H$. Since A is not bounded, there exists $z \in A$ such that $m < z$. This implies that $m \notin R_H(z)$. Thus, $m \notin \bigcap_{w \in A} R_H(w) = R_H(A)$.

Hence, $R_H(A) = \emptyset$. Accordingly, $\mathcal{B}_R(H) = \{R_H(A) : A \subseteq H\} = \{R_H(p) : p \in H\} = \{[p, \infty) : p \in H\}$.

Next, let $G \in \tau_R(H) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Then $G = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{K}} R_H(a)$ for some $\mathcal{K} \subseteq H$. Since $a \in R_H(a) \subseteq G$ for all $a \in \mathcal{K}$, it follows that $\mathcal{K} \subseteq G$. Let $q = \inf G$ and consider the following cases:

Case 1. Suppose $q \in G$. Since $q \leq a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{K}$, it follows that $R_H(a) \subseteq R_H(q)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{K}$. This implies that $G \subseteq R_H(q)$. Further, since $q \in G$, there exists $a \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $q \in R_H(a)$. This implies that $a \leq q$. Since $q = \inf G$, $a = q$. Thus, $R_H(a) = R_H(q) \subseteq G$, showing that $G = R_H(q)$.

Case 2. Suppose $q \notin G$. Since $q \leq a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{K}$, $R_H(a) \subseteq (q, \infty)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{K}$. Hence, $G \subseteq (q, \infty)$. Now, let $p \in (q, \infty)$. Then $q < p$. This implies that there exists $z \in G$ such that $q < z < p$. Let $b \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $z \in R_H(b)$. Then $q < b \leq z < p$. Thus, $p \in R_H(b) \subseteq G$. Therefore, $G = (q, \infty)$.

Accordingly, $\tau_R(H) = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{R_H(x) : x \in H\} \cup \{(x, \infty) : x \in H\}$.

Example 3.2. Consider the hyper BCI-algebra defined in Example 2.3. Then by Theorem 3.5, $\mathcal{B}_R(H) = \{R_H(A) : A \subseteq H\} = \{\{0, a\}, \{a\}, \{b\}, \emptyset\}$. Thus, $\tau_R(H) = \{\emptyset, H, \{0, a\}, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$.

Observe that in Example 3.1, $(H, \tau_R(H))$ is connected, however, in Example 3.2, $H = \{0, a\} \cup \{b\}$. Hence, $(H, \tau_R(H))$ is disconnected.

Theorem 3.6. *Let H be a hyper BCI-algebra. If $R_H(0) = H$, then the topological space $(H, \tau_R(H))$ is connected.*

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Suppose that $R_H(0) = H$. It follows from Corollary 3.1(i) that there does not exist $G \in \tau_R(H) \setminus \{H\}$ such that $0 \in G$. Consequently, H cannot have a decomposition. Thus, $(H, \tau_R(H))$ is connected. \square

Theorem 3.7. *If H is a finite hyper BCI-algebra, then the family $\mathcal{S}_R(H) = \{R_H(a) : a \in H\}$ is a subbase of $\tau_R(H)$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.7. Clearly, $\mathcal{S}_R(H) \subseteq \tau_R(H)$. By Theorem 3.2(i) and the assumption that H is finite, it follows that every element of $\mathcal{B}_R(H) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is a finite intersection of members of $\mathcal{S}_R(H)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{S}_R(H)$ is a subbase of $\tau_R(H)$. \square

Remark 3.1. The converse of Theorem 3.7 is not always true. Consider Example 3.1. Notice that $\mathcal{B}_R(H) = \{R_H(A) : \emptyset \neq A \subseteq H\} = \{R_H(p) : p \in H\}$ is also a subbase of $\tau_R(H)$. However, H is an infinite hyper BCI-algebra.

Theorem 3.8. *Let H be a hyper BCI-algebra. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) H is hyperatomic.
- (ii) $R_H(a) = \{a\}$ for all $a \in H \setminus \{0\}$.

(iii) $a \notin R_H(A)$ for all $a \in H \setminus \{0\}$ and $A \notin \mathcal{P}(\{0, a\})$.

Proof of Theorem 3.8. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose that H is hyperatomic. Let $a \in H \setminus \{0\} = A^*(H)$. Suppose further that $R_H(a) \neq \{a\}$ and let $x \in R_H(a) \setminus \{a\}$. Then $a \ll x$. Since a is a hyperatom, $a = 0$ or $a = x$, a contradiction. Hence, $R_H(a) = \{a\}$ for all $a \in H \setminus \{0\}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Suppose that $R_H(a) = \{a\}$ for all $a \in H \setminus \{0\}$. Let $b \in H \setminus \{0\}$ and let $A \in \mathcal{P}(H) \setminus \mathcal{P}(\{0, b\})$. Suppose that $b \in R_H(A)$. Then $x \ll b$ for all $x \in A$. Pick $x \in A \setminus \{b\}$. Then $b \in R_H(x)$, a contradiction. Hence, $b \notin R_H(A)$. This shows that (iii) holds.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $b \in H \setminus \{0\}$. Then $b \notin R_H(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{P}(H) \setminus \mathcal{P}(\{0, b\})$ by assumption. Suppose that $x \ll b$. Then $b \in R_H(x)$. By assumption, $x \in \{0, b\}$. Hence, $x = 0$ or $x = b$. Therefore, H is hyperatomic. \square

Corollary 3.2. *If H is a hyperatomic hyper BCI-algebra such that $R_H(0) = H$, then $R_H(\{x, 0\}) = \{x\}$ for all $x \in H \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof of Corollary 3.2. Let $x \in H \setminus \{0\}$. By Theorem 3.2(i) and Theorem 3.8, $R_H(\{x, 0\}) = R_H(x) \cap R_H(0) = \{x\} \cap H = \{x\}$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let H be a hyper BCI-algebra. If H is hyperatomic, then $\mathcal{B}_R(H) = \{R_H(0)\} \cup \{\{a\} : a \in H \setminus \{0\}\}$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Suppose that H is hyperatomic and let $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq H$. Now, suppose that $|A \cap A^*(H)| \geq 2$. Let $a, b \in A \cap A^*(H)$ with $a \neq b$. Then by Theorem 3.1(ii) and Theorem 3.8, $R_H(A) = \emptyset$. Consequently, $\mathcal{B}_R(H) = \{R_H(0)\} \cup \{R_H(a) : a \in H \setminus \{0\}\} = \{R_H(0)\} \cup \{\{a\} : a \in H \setminus \{0\}\}$. This proves the assertion. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let H be a hyperatomic hyper BCI-algebra and $A \subseteq H$. Then $A \in \tau_R(H)$ if and only if $0 \notin A$ or $R_H(0) \subseteq A$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.9. Suppose $A \in \tau_R(H)$ and suppose that $0 \in A$. Then, by Lemma 3.3, $R_H(0) \subseteq A$.

For the converse, suppose first that $0 \notin A$. Then $\{a\} \in \mathcal{B}_R(H)$ for all $a \in A$ by Lemma 3.3. Hence, $A = \bigcup_{a \in A} \{a\} \in \tau_R(H)$. Next, suppose that $R_H(0) \subseteq A$. If $A = R_H(0)$, then $A \in \tau_R(H)$. Suppose that $A \neq R_H(0)$. Let $D = A \setminus R_H(0)$. Since $\{a\} \in \mathcal{B}_R(H)$ for all $a \in D$, it follows that $A = (\bigcup_{a \in D} \{a\}) \cup R_H(0) \in \tau_R(H)$. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let H be a hyperatomic hyper BCI-algebra and $F \subseteq H$. Then F is $\tau_R(H)$ -closed if and only if $0 \in F$ or $R_H(0) \cap F = \emptyset$.*

Proof of Corollary 3.3. Suppose that F is $\tau_R(H)$ -closed. Then $F^c \in \tau_R(H)$. Thus, $0 \notin F^c$ or $R_H(0) \subseteq F^c$ by Theorem 3.9. This implies that $0 \in F$ or $R_H(0) \cap F = \emptyset$.

Next, suppose that $0 \in F$. Then $0 \notin F^c$. If $R_H(0) \cap F = \emptyset$, then $R_H(0) \subseteq F^c$. In both cases, we find that $F^c \in \tau_R(H)$, that is, F is $\tau_R(H)$ -closed. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let H be a hyperatomic hyper BCI-algebra with $|H| \geq 2$. Then $(H, \tau_R(H))$ is connected if and only if $R_H(0) = H$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.10. Suppose $(H, \tau_R(H))$ is connected. Suppose further that $R_H(0) \neq H$. Then $A = R_H(0)$ and $B = H \setminus R_H(0)$ are nonempty disjoint $\tau_R(H)$ -open sets by Theorem 3.9. Further, since $A \cup B = H$, $A \cup B$ is a decomposition of H , contrary to our assumption that $(H, \tau_R(H))$ is connected. Therefore, $R_H(0) = H$.

Conversely, suppose that $R_H(0) = H$. Suppose further that $(H, \tau_R(H))$ is disconnected. Then there exist nonempty disjoint $\tau_R(H)$ -open sets P and Q such that $H = P \cup Q$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $0 \in P$. Then, by Theorem 3.9, $R_H(0) \subseteq P$. Since $R_H(0) = H$, $P = H$ and $Q = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Therefore, $(H, \tau_R(H))$ is connected. \square

Theorem 3.11. *Let H be hyperatomic hyper BCI-algebra such that $(H, \tau_R(H))$ is connected. Then $\tau_R(H) = \tau^0(H) = \{H\} \cup \{A \subseteq H : 0 \notin A\}$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.11. By Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.10, $\mathcal{B}_R(H) = \{H\} \cup \{\{a\} : a \in H \setminus \{0\}\}$. Let $G \in \tau_R(H)$. Then $G = H$ or $G = \emptyset$ or G is the union of singletons $\{a\}$ where $a \in H \setminus \{0\}$. Thus, $0 \notin G \neq H$. Therefore, $\tau_R(H) = \tau^0(H)$. \square

Theorem 3.12. *Let H be an ordered hyper BCI-algebra and $D \subseteq H$. Then D is dense if and only if for each $x \in H \setminus D$, there exists $y \in D$ such that $x \ll y$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.12. Suppose that D is dense in H and let $x \in H \setminus D$. Then $x \in \overline{D} = H$. Since by Theorem 3.2(iii), $x \in R_H(x) \in \tau_R(H)$, we have $R_H(x) \cap D \neq \emptyset$. Let $y \in R_H(x) \cap D$. Then $y \in D$ and $x \ll y$.

Conversely, let $z \in H \setminus D$ and let $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq H$ such that $z \in R_H(A)$. Then $a \ll z$ for all $a \in A$. Since $z \in H \setminus D$, by assumption, there exists $y \in D$ such that $z \ll y$. Since H is ordered, it follows that $a \ll y$ for all $a \in A$. Consequently, $y \in R_H(A)$ implying that $R_H(A) \cap D \neq \emptyset$. Hence, $z \in \overline{D}$. Since z was arbitrarily chosen, it follows that D is dense in H . \square

Theorem 3.13. *Let H be a hyperatomic hyper BCI-algebra and $A, F \subseteq H$. Then with respect to $\tau_R(H)$,*

(i)

$$\text{int}(A) = \begin{cases} A, & \text{if } 0 \notin A \text{ or } R_H(0) \subseteq A \\ A \setminus \{0\}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(ii)

$$\overline{F} = \begin{cases} F, & \text{if } 0 \in F \text{ or } R_H(0) \cap F = \emptyset \\ F \cup \{0\}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(iii) F is dense if and only if $F = H$ or $F = H \setminus \{0\}$ and $R_H(0) \neq \{0\}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.13. (i) If $0 \notin A$ or $R_H(0) \subseteq A$, then $A \in \tau_R(H)$ by Theorem 3.9. It follows that $intA = A$. Suppose that $A \notin \tau_R(H)$. Then $0 \in A$ and $A \setminus \{0\} \in \tau_R(H)$ by Theorem 3.9. Thus, $intA = A \setminus \{0\}$.

(ii) If $0 \in F$ or $R_H(0) \cap F = \emptyset$, then F is $\tau_R(H)$ -closed by Corollary 3.3. Hence, $\overline{F} = F$. Suppose that F is not $\tau_R(H)$ -closed. Then $0 \notin F$ and $F \cup \{0\}$ is $\tau_R(H)$ -closed by Corollary 3.3. Therefore, $\overline{F} = F \cup \{0\}$.

(iii) Suppose that F is dense and $F \neq H$. Since F is not $\tau_R(H)$ -closed, $0 \notin F$ and $R_H(0) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ by Corollary 3.3. Hence, $R_H(0) \neq \{0\}$ and $\overline{F} = H = F \cup \{0\}$ by (ii). Therefore, $F = H \setminus \{0\}$.

Conversely, suppose that $F = H \setminus \{0\}$ and $R_H(0) \neq \{0\}$. Since $R_H(0) \neq \{0\}$ and $0 \notin F$, F is not $\tau_R(H)$ -closed. Hence, $\overline{F} = H$ by (ii). \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let K be a hyper subalgebra of a hyper BCI-algebra H and let $D \subseteq H$. Then $R_H(D) \cap K \subseteq R_K(D \cap K)$. Moreover, if $D \subseteq K$, then $R_H(D) \cap K = R_K(D)$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Let $D \subseteq H$ and let $z \in R_H(D) \cap K$. Then $z \in K$ and $d \ll z$ for all $d \in D$. In particular, $d \ll z$ for all $d \in D \cap K$. Thus, $z \in R_K(D \cap K)$. Hence, $R_H(D) \cap K \subseteq R_K(D \cap K)$.

If $D \subseteq K$, then $R_H(D) \cap K \subseteq R_K(D \cap K) = R_K(D)$. Now, let $z \in R_K(D)$. Then $z \in K$ and $d \ll z$ for all $d \in D \subseteq K \subseteq H$. Hence, $z \in R_H(D) \cap K$. Therefore, $R_H(D) \cap K = R_K(D)$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let K be a hyper subalgebra of an ordered hyper BCI-algebra H . Then for any $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq H$, $R_H(A) \cap K = \bigcup_{x \in R_H(A) \cap K} R_K(x)$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Let $x \in R_H(A) \cap K$ where $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq H$. Then $a \ll x$ for all $a \in A$ and $x \in K$. Let $y \in R_H(x) \cap K$. Then $x \ll y$ and $y \in K$. Since H is ordered, $a \ll y$ for all $a \in A$. Hence, $y \in R_H(A) \cap K$. That is, $R_H(x) \cap K \subseteq R_H(A) \cap K$. Consequently, $\bigcup_{x \in R_H(A) \cap K} (R_H(x) \cap K) \subseteq R_H(A) \cap K$.

Now, let $w \in R_H(A) \cap K$. By Theorem 3.2(iii), $w \in R_H(w)$. It follows that $w \in R_H(w) \cap K$. Hence, $R_H(A) \cap K \subseteq R_H(w) \cap K$. Thus, we have $R_H(A) \cap K \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in R_H(A) \cap K} (R_H(x) \cap K)$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.4,

$$R_H(A) \cap K = \bigcup_{x \in R_H(A) \cap K} (R_H(x) \cap K) = \bigcup_{x \in R_H(A) \cap K} R_K(x).$$

This proves the assertion. \square

Theorem 3.14. *Let K be a hyper subalgebra of an ordered hyper BCI-algebra H . Then $\tau_R(K)$ coincides with the relative topology τ_K on K .*

Proof of Theorem 3.14. By Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.1, the families $\mathcal{B}_R(K) = \{R_K(A) : \emptyset \neq A \subseteq K\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_K = \{R_H(A) \cap K : \emptyset \neq A \subseteq H\}$ are bases for $\tau_R(K)$ and the relative topology τ_K , respectively.

Let $U \in \mathcal{B}_K$ and let $x \in U$. Then there exists $A \subseteq H$ such that $U = R_H(A) \cap K$. Since $x \in R_H(x)$ and $x \in K$, $x \in R_H(x) \cap K = R_K(x)$ by Lemma 3.4. Since H is ordered, $R_K(x) \subseteq R_H(A) \cap K$, by Lemma 3.5. Take $U' = R_K(x)$. Then for each $U \in \mathcal{B}_K$ and $x \in U$, there exists $U' \in \mathcal{B}_R(K)$ such that $x \in U' \subseteq U$. Thus, $\tau_K \subseteq \tau_R(K)$.

On the other hand, let $U \in \mathcal{B}_R(K)$. Then there exists $B \subseteq K$ such that $U = R_K(B)$. By Lemma 3.4, $U = R_K(B) = R_H(B) \cap K \in \mathcal{B}_K$. Hence, $\mathcal{B}_R(K) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_K$, that is, $\tau_L(K) \subseteq \tau_K$. Consequently, $\tau_R(K) = \tau_K$. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referees for the invaluable comments that led to this much improved version of the paper. Further, they would like to extend their thankfulness to the Department of Science and Technology-ASTHRDP and MSU-Iligan Institute of Technology, Philippines for funding this research.

References

- [1] K. Iséki, *An algebra related with a propositional calculus*, Proceedings of the Japan Academy, 42 (1966), 26-29.
- [2] Y.B Jun, X.L. Xin, D.S. Lee, *On topological BCI-algebras*, Inform. Sci., 116 (1999), 253-261.
- [3] F. Marty, *Sur une generalization de la notion de groupe*, 8th Congress Math. Scandinaves, Stockholm, 1934, 45-49.
- [4] F. Nisar, R.S. Tariq, S.A. Bhatti, *Fuzzy ideals in hyper BCI-algebras*, World Applied Science Journal, 12 (2012), 1771-1777.
- [5] M.T. Panganduyon, S.R. Canoy Jr., *On a graph induced by a hyper BCI-algebra*, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 12 (2019), 146-158.
- [6] R. Patangan, S.R. Canoy Jr., *A topology on a hyper BCK-algebra via left application of a hyper order*, JP Journal of Algebra, Number Theory and Applications, 40 (2018), 321-332.
- [7] R. Patangan, S.R. Canoy Jr., *A topology on a hyper BCK-algebra*, JP Journal of Algebra, Number Theory and Applications, 40 (2018), 787-797.
- [8] L.A. Steen, J.A. Seebach Jr., *Counterexamples in topology*, Berlin, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [9] X.L. Xin, *Hyper BCI-algebras*, Discussiones Mathematicae, 26 (2006), 5-19.

Accepted: February 10, 2021