

**sgp-resolvable and sgp-irresolvable spaces****Md. Hanif Page**

*Department of Mathematics  
KLE Technological University  
Hubballi-580031, Karnataka State  
India  
hanif01@yahoo.com*

**Anuradha N. Patil**

*Department of Mathematics  
Government First Grade College  
Gadag, Karnataka  
India  
anpatil2011@gmail.com*

**Praveen I Chandaragi\***

*Department of Mathematics  
KLE Technological University  
Hubballi-580031, Karnataka State  
India  
praveen.chandargi@kletech.ac.in*

**Abstract.** The fundamental intent of this paper is to developing the idea of SSGP-continuous and SSGP-open functions using sgp-open sets. In addition sgp-resolvable and sgp-irresolvable spaces are introduced. Its various characterisations and properties are established.

**Keywords:** sgp-open set, SSGP-continuous, SSGP-irresolute , SSGP-open functoin, sgp-Resolvable space and sgp-Irresolvable space.

**1. Introduction**

The remarkable development in the field of general topology is the introduction of generalized closed sets by Levine [4] which plays a significant role. Many researchers studied extensively this notion. The investigation of generalized closed sets had paved the way for many interesting concepts. In [5] the idea of semigen-eralized pre closed (briefly, sgp-closed) set was developed by G.B. Navalagi et al. In [6,7,8,9,10] authors continued the research using the set defined. Gentry and Hoyle [2] developed the concepts of “somewhat continuous and somewhat open functions”.

In this paper, we will continue research related functions with sgp-closed and sgp-open sets. We introduce and characterize the concept of “somewhat

---

\*. Corresponding author

sgp-continuous, Somewhat sgp-irresolute and Somewhat sgp-open functions". In addition to this sgp-resolvable and sgp-irresolvable spaces are introduced. Using these spaces characterization of defined open and continuous functions is done.

## 2. Preliminaries

In entire paper  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $(Y, \sigma)$  (or shortly  $X_\tau, Y_\sigma$ ) symbolize topological space (in short TS)s on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated.

**Definition 2.1.** A subset  $R$  of a TS  $X_\tau$  is called:

- (1) semi-open set [3] if  $R \subset Cl(Int(R))$ .
- (2) semi-closed set [1] if  $Int(Cl(Int(R))) \subset R$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([5]). A subset  $W$  of  $X_\tau$  is semi generalized pre-closed (briefly, sgp-closed) set if  $pCl(W) \subset \Delta$  whenever  $W \subset \Delta$  and  $\Delta$  is semi-open in  $X_\tau$ . The complement of sgp-closed set (SGPCS in short) is semi generalized-pre open (briefly, sgp-open). The collection of all sgp-closed set (SGPCS in short) of  $X_\tau$  is labelled as  $SGPC(X_\tau)$  and sgp-open set (SGPOS in short)s by  $SGPO(X_\tau)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A function  $\mu: X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  is named as:

- (i) semi generalized pre-irresolute (shortly, sgp-irresolute) [5] if  $\mu^{-1}(J)$  is SGPCS in  $X_\tau$  for every SGPCS  $J$  of  $Y_\sigma$ ;
- (ii) semi generalized pre-continuous (shortly, sgp-continuous) [5] if  $\mu^{-1}(C_1)$  is SGPCS in  $X_\tau$  for each closed set  $C_1$  of  $Y_\sigma$ ;
- (iii) somewhat continuous [2] if for  $S_1 \in \sigma$  along with  $\mu^{-1}(S_1) \neq \phi$  there prevails an open set  $K$  in  $X_\tau$  thereby  $K \neq \phi$  together with  $K \subseteq \mu^{-1}(S_1)$ .

**Definition 2.4** ([5]). A function  $\eta: X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  is termed as sgp-open (resp., sgp-closed) if  $\eta(N_0)$  is sgp-open (resp., sgp-closed) in  $Y_\sigma$  for each open set (resp., closed)  $N_0$  in  $X_\tau$ .

## 3. Somewhat sgp-continuous functions

**Definition 3.1.** A function  $\mu: X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  is named as Somewhat sgp-continuous (in short, SSGP-continuous) if each  $K \in Y_\sigma$  and  $\mu^{-1}(K) \neq \phi$  there arises a SGPOS  $W$  in  $X_\tau$  such that  $W \neq \phi$  and  $W \subseteq \mu^{-1}(K)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Each somewhat continuous function is SSGP-continuous .

**Proof.** Consider  $\eta: X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  is SSGP-continuous function. Take  $K$  as an open set in  $Y_\sigma$  thereby  $\eta^{-1}(K) \neq \phi$ . As  $\eta$  is somewhat continuous function, there exists an open set  $R$  in  $X_\tau$  such that  $R \neq \phi$  and  $R \subseteq \eta^{-1}(K)$ . As each open set is SGPOS, there prevails SGPOS,  $R$  so that  $R \neq \phi$  and  $R \subseteq \eta^{-1}(K)$ , which gives  $\eta$  is SSGP-continuous function.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** The counter part of the above theorem is not possible.

**Example 3.3.** For  $X_\tau = Y_\sigma = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{v_1\}\}$ ,  $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{v_1\}, \{v_1, v_2\}\}$ . We have  $\text{SGPO}(X) = \{X, \phi, \{v_1\}, \{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_1, v_3\}\}$ . Then, the identity map is SSGP-continuous yet it isn't somewhat continuous .

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $\lambda : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  and  $\mu : Y_\sigma \rightarrow Z_\eta$  be any two functions. Whenever  $\lambda$  is SSGP-continuous and  $\mu$  is continuous function, thereupon  $\mu \circ \lambda$  is SSGP-continuous function.*

**Proof.** Take  $\Delta$  be any open set in  $Z_\eta$ . Assume that  $\mu^{-1}(\Delta) \neq \phi$ . As  $\Delta \in Z_\eta$  and  $\mu$  is continuous function,  $\mu^{-1}(\Delta) \in Z_\eta$ . Guess that  $\lambda^{-1}(\mu^{-1}(\Delta)) \neq \phi$ . As per hypothesis  $\lambda$  is SSGP-continuous, there exists a SGPOS,  $K$  in  $X_\tau$  such that  $K \neq \phi$  and  $K \subseteq \lambda^{-1}(\mu^{-1}(\Delta)) = (\mu \circ \lambda)^{-1}(\Delta)$ , which implies that  $K \subseteq (\mu \circ \lambda)^{-1}(\Delta)$ . Therefore,  $\mu \circ \lambda$  is SSGP-continuous function.  $\square$

**Definition 3.2.** *A subset  $W$  of a TS  $X$  is named as sgp-dense in  $X_\tau$  whenever there is no proper SGPCS  $R$  in  $X_\tau$  so as  $W \subset R \subset X$ .*

**Theorem 3.5.** *The consecutive are identical for  $d : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$ :*

- (i)  *$d$  is SSGP-continuous function;*
- (ii) *Whenever  $\mathcal{F}$  is a closed subset of  $Y_\sigma$  thereby  $d^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \neq X_\tau$ , subsequently there is proper sgp-closed member  $D$  of  $X_\tau$  so as  $d^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \subset D$ ;*
- (iii) *Whenever  $\mathcal{P}$  is a sgp-dense member of  $X_\tau$ , then  $d(\mathcal{P})$  is a dense subset of  $Y_\sigma$ .*

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Consider  $\mathcal{F}$  as a closed subset of  $Y_\sigma$  so, that  $d^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \neq X_\tau$ . Thereupon  $d^{-1}(Y_\sigma - \mathcal{F}) = X_\tau - d^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \neq \phi$ . From (i) there prevails a SGPOS  $V$  in  $X_\tau$  thereby  $V \neq \phi$  and  $V \subset d^{-1}(Y - \mathcal{F}) = X_\tau - d^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$ . This intend  $d^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \subset X_\tau - V$  and  $X_\tau - V = D$  is a SGPCS in  $X_\tau$ . Therefore (ii) holds.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Take  $U$  as an open set in  $Y_\sigma$  and  $d^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$ . Accordingly,  $d^{-1}(Y - U) = X - d^{-1}(U) = \phi$ . By hypothesis, there arises a proper SGPCS,  $D$  such that  $d^{-1}(Y - U) \subset D$ . This implies that  $X - D \subset d^{-1}(U)$  and  $X_\tau - D$  is sgp-open and  $X_\tau - D \neq \phi$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): For a sgp-dense set  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $X_\tau$ . Suppose  $d(\mathcal{P})$  is not dense subset of  $Y_\sigma$ , then there exists a proper SGPCS,  $D$  such that  $\mathcal{P} \subset d^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \subset D \subset X_\tau$ . This disprove the fact that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a sgp-dense set in  $X_\tau$ . Therefore, (iii) holds.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Guess (iii) is doesn't holds. Then there arises a closed set  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $Y_\sigma$  so long as  $d^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \neq X$ . Though there is no proper SGPCS that  $d^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \subset D$ . This means that  $d^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$  is sgp-dense in  $X_\tau$ . But from hypothesis  $d(d^{-1}(\mathcal{F})) = \mathcal{F}$  must be dense in  $Y_\sigma$ , which negate the selection of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Hence (ii) hold.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *If  $\mu : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  be a function and  $X_\tau = N_1 \cup N_2$ ,  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are open subsets of  $X_\tau$  so as  $(\mu/N_1)$  and  $(\mu/N_2)$  are SSGP-continuous functions then  $\mu$  is SSGP-continuous.*

**Proof.** Consider an open set  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $Y_\sigma$  so as  $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \neq \phi$ . Thereupon  $(\mu/N_1)^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$  of  $(\mu/N_2)^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \neq \phi$  or both  $(\mu/N_1)^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \neq \phi$  and  $(\mu/N_2)^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \neq \phi$ .

*Case (i).* Assume  $(\mu/N_1)^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \neq \phi$ . Since  $(\mu/N_1)$  is SSGP-continuous, then there exists a SGPOS  $C_1$  in  $N_1$  so that  $C_1 \neq \phi$  and  $C_1 \subset (\mu/N_1)^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ . Since  $C_1$  is SGPOS in  $N_1$  and  $N_1$  is open in  $X_\tau$ ,  $C_1$  is SGPOS in  $X_\tau$ . Hence  $\mu$  is SSGP-continuous function.

*Case(ii).* Presume that  $(\mu/N_2)^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \neq \phi$ . As  $(\mu/N_2)$  is SSGP-continuous function, then there exists a SGPOS  $C_1$  in  $N_2$  thereby  $C_1 \neq \phi$  and  $C_1 \subset (\mu/N_2)^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \subset \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ . As  $C_1$  is SGPOS in  $N_2$  as well as  $N_2$  is open in  $X_\tau$ ,  $C_1$  is SGPOS in  $X_\tau$ . Hence  $\mu$  is SSGP-continuous function.

*Case(iii).* Assume that  $(\mu/N_1)^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \neq \phi$  and  $(\mu/N_2)^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \neq \phi$ . Follows from case(i) as well as case(ii).  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.** A TS  $X_\tau$  is termed as sgp-separable if there exists a countable subset  $R$  of  $X_\tau$  whichever is sgp-dense in  $X_\tau$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** Whenever  $\eta$  is SSGP-continuous from  $X_\tau$  onto  $Y_\sigma$  along with  $X_\tau$  as sgp-separable, thereupon  $Y_\sigma$  also separable.

**Proof.** Consider  $\eta$  be SSGP-continuous function in such a way that  $X_\tau$  is sgp-separable. Due to definition there prevails a countable member  $\Delta$  of  $X_\tau$  which is sgp-dense in  $X_\tau$ . With reference to Theorem 3.5,  $\eta(\Delta)$  is dense in  $Y_\sigma$ . As  $\Delta$  is countable  $\eta(\Delta)$  is also countable which is dense in  $Y_\sigma$ , so  $Y_\sigma$  is also separable.  $\square$

#### 4. Somewhat sgp-irresolute function

**Definition 4.1.** A function  $\mu : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  is termed as Somewhat sgp-irresolute (in short SSGP-irresolute) if for  $J_0 \in SGPO(\sigma)$  and  $\mu^{-1}(J_0) \neq \phi$ , there exists a non-empty SGPOS set  $R$  in  $X_\tau$  so that  $R \subset \mu^{-1}(J_0)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** If  $\lambda$  is SSGP-irresolute along with  $\mu$  is sgp-irresolute function, then  $(\mu \circ \lambda)$  is SSGP-irresolute.

**Proof.** Consider  $M \in SGPO(\sigma)$ . Presume that  $\mu^{-1}(M) \neq \phi$ . As  $M \in SGPO(\sigma)$  along with  $\mu$  is SSGP-irresolute function, there exists a SGPOS  $W$  in  $X_\tau$  so  $W \neq \phi$  and  $W \subseteq \lambda^{-1}(\mu^{-1}(M))$ . However,  $\lambda^{-1}(\mu^{-1}(M)) = (\mu \circ \lambda)^{-1}(M) \Rightarrow W \subseteq (\mu \circ \lambda)^{-1}(M)$ . Thus,  $(\mu \circ \lambda)$  is SSGP-irresolute function.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** For  $\alpha : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  subsequents are equal:

- (i)  $\alpha$  is SSGP-irresolute function.
- (ii) Whenever  $K$  is a closed subset of  $Y_\sigma$  so as  $\alpha^{-1}(K) \neq X$ , then there is proper sgp-closed member  $D_1$  of  $X$  thereby  $\alpha^{-1}(K) \subset D_1$ .
- (iii) Whenever  $K$  is a sgp-dense member of  $X_\tau$ , thereupon  $\alpha(K)$  is a dense subset of  $Y_\sigma$ .

**Proof.** Obvious.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *If  $f : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  be a function and  $X_\tau = K_1 \cup K_2$ ,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are open members of  $X_\tau$  such that  $(f/K_1)$  and  $(f/K_2)$  are SSGP-irresolute function then  $f$  is also SSGP-irresolute .*

**Proof.** Obvious.  $\square$

**Definition 4.2.** *If  $X_\tau$  is a set and  $\tau$  as well as  $\sigma$  are topologies for  $X_\tau$ , so  $\tau$  is named as sgp-equivalent to  $\sigma$  provided whenever  $J \in \tau$  along with  $J \neq \phi$ , then there is a SGPOS  $R$  in  $Y_\sigma$  thereby  $R \neq \phi$  and  $R \subset J$  and if  $J \in \sigma$  and  $J \neq \phi$  accordingly there is SGPOS  $R$  in  $X_\tau$  so as  $R \neq \phi$  and  $R \subset J$ .*

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $\lambda : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  be a somewhat continuous function and  $\tau^*$  be a topology for  $X_\tau$ , which is sgp-equivalent to  $\tau$  then  $\lambda : X_\tau^* \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  is SSGP-continuous function.*

**Proof.** Consider an open set  $D_1$  in  $Y_\sigma$  so as  $\lambda^{-1}(D_1) \neq \phi$ . In view of hypothesis  $\lambda$  is somewhat continuous function then there arises an open set in  $\Delta$  in  $X_\tau$  thereby  $\Delta \neq \phi$  and  $\Delta \subseteq \lambda^{-1}(D_1)$ . As  $\Delta$  is an open set in  $X_\tau$  so that  $\Delta \neq \phi$  and due to postulate  $\tau$  is sgp-equivalent to  $\tau^*$  so, there exists a SGPOS  $C_1$  in  $X_\tau^*$  so as  $C_1 \neq \phi$  and  $C_1 \subset \Delta \subset \lambda^{-1}(D_1)$ . Henceforth  $\Delta \subset \lambda^{-1}(D_1)$ . Accordingly, for any open set  $D_1$  in  $Y_\sigma$  so as  $\lambda^{-1}(D_1) \neq \phi$  there prevails a SGPOS,  $C_1$  in  $X_\tau^*$  such that  $C_1 \subset \lambda^{-1}(D_1)$ . So  $\lambda : X_\tau^* \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  is SSGP-continuous function.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** *For SSGP-continuous function  $\mu : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  along with  $\sigma^*$  be a topology for  $Y_\sigma$ , which is equivalent to  $\sigma$ . Then  $\mu : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma^*$  is SSGP-continuous.*

**Proof.** Consider  $\mathcal{G}$  be any open set in  $Y_\sigma^*$  thereby  $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{G}) \neq \phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{G} \neq \phi$ . Since  $\sigma$  as well as  $\sigma^*$  are equivalent, then there arises an open set  $W$  in  $Y_\sigma$  thereby  $W \neq \phi$  and  $W \subset U$ . Now,  $W$  is open set hereupon  $W \neq \phi \Rightarrow \mu^{-1}(W) \neq \phi$ . By the postulate  $\mu : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  is SSGP-continuous. Therefore there prevails a SGPOS,  $V_1$  in  $(X, \tau)$  so that  $V_1 \subseteq \mu^{-1}(W)$ . Now,  $W \subset \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \mu^{-1}(W) \subset \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{G})$ . This impart  $V_1 \subset \mu^{-1}(W) \subset \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{G})$ . So, we have  $V_1 \subset \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{G}) \Rightarrow \mu : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma^*$  is SSGP-continuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $\mu : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  be a SSGP-irresolute surjection function and let  $\sigma^*$  be a topology for  $Y_\sigma$ , which is equivalent to  $\sigma$ . Then, the function  $\eta : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma^*$  is SSGP-irresolute function.*

**Proof.** Consider an open set  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $Y_\sigma^*$  ther by  $\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \neq \phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{K} \neq \phi$ . As  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^*$  are identical, thereupon there exists an open set  $W$  in  $Y_\sigma$  so as  $W \neq \phi$  and  $W \subset \mathcal{K}$ . Now,  $W$  is open set such that  $W \neq \phi$ , which leads to  $\mu^{-1}(W) \neq \phi$ . Now, by postulation  $\mu$  is SSGP-irresolute function. Therefore, there exists a SGPOS,  $S_1$  in  $X_\tau$  such that  $S_1 \subseteq \mu^{-1}(W)$ . Now,  $W \subset \mathcal{K} \Rightarrow \mu^{-1}(W) \subset \eta^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ . This gives  $S_1 \subset \mu^{-1}(W) \subset \eta^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ . So, we have  $S_1 \subset \eta^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ , this leads to  $\eta$  is SSGP-irresolute function.  $\square$

## 5. Somewhat sgp-open functions

**Definition 5.1.** A function  $\lambda : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  is termed as Somewhat sgp-open (SSGP-open, for short) provided that for each  $K \in \tau$  with  $K \neq \phi$ , there exists a SGPOS,  $W$  in  $Y_\sigma$  such that  $W \neq \phi$  and  $W \subseteq \lambda(K)$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** Each somewhat open function is SSGP-open.

**Proof.** Consider somewhat open function  $\eta : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$ . Take  $K \in \tau$  with  $K \neq \phi$ . As  $\eta$  is somewhat open function, there exists an open set  $J_1$  in  $Y_\sigma$  such that  $J_1 \neq \phi$  and  $J_1 \subseteq \eta(K)$ . However, each open is sgp-open. So, there exists a SGPOS,  $J_1$  in  $Y_\sigma$  so that  $J_1 \neq \phi$ . Thus,  $\eta$  is SSGP-open function.  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** The example below makes clear that reverse of the above theorem impossible.

**Example 5.3.** Let  $X_\tau = Y_\sigma = \{k_1, k_2, k_3\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{k_1\}, \{k_2\}, \{k_1, k_2\}\}$ ,  $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{k_1, k_2\}\}$ . We have  $\text{SGPO}(Y) = \{Y, \phi, \{k_1\}, \{k_2\}, \{k_1, k_2\}, \{k_1, k_3\}, \{k_2, k_3\}\}$ . Then, the identity function is SSGP-open yet it isn't not somewhat open.

**Theorem 5.4.** If  $k : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  is an open function and  $j : Y_\sigma \rightarrow Z_\eta$  is SSGP-open then  $(j \circ k)$  is SSGP-open function.

**Proof.** Consider  $\mathcal{M} \in \tau$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{M} \neq \phi$ . Since  $k$  is an open function,  $k(\mathcal{M})$  is open and  $k(\mathcal{M}) \neq \phi$ . Consequently  $k(\mathcal{M}) \in \sigma$  and  $k(\mathcal{M}) \neq \phi$ . As  $j$  is SSGP-open function and  $k(\mathcal{M}) \in \sigma$  such that  $k(\mathcal{M}) \neq \phi$ , there exists a SGPOS  $R_0 \in \eta$ ,  $R_0 \subset j(k(\mathcal{M}))$ , which indirect  $(j \circ k)$  is SSGP-open function.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.5.** For a bijective function  $h : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  succeeding are equal;

(i)  $h$  is SSGP-open function.

(ii) If  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a closed subset of  $Y_\sigma$  such that  $h(\mathcal{Q}) \neq Y$ , then there exists a sgp-closed subset  $J$  of  $Y_\sigma$  such that  $J \neq \phi$  and  $h(\mathcal{Q}) \subset J$ .

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Consider closed subset  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $Y_\sigma$  such that  $h(\mathcal{Q}) \neq Y_\sigma$ . From (i), there exists a SGPOS  $W$  in  $X_\tau$  such that  $W \neq \phi$  such that  $W \subset h(X_\tau - \mathcal{Q})$ . Put  $J = Y_\sigma - W$ . Clearly  $J$  is a SGPCS in  $Y_\sigma$  and we claim that  $J \neq \phi$ . If  $J = Y_\sigma$ , then  $W = \phi$  which is a negation. Since  $W \subset h(X_\tau - \mathcal{Q})$ ,  $J = Y_\sigma - W \subset Y_\sigma - [h(X_\tau - \mathcal{Q})] = h(\mathcal{Q})$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Take  $L_1$  as a non empty open set in  $X_\tau$ . Substitute  $\mathcal{Q} = X_\tau - L_1$ . Thereupon  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a closed member of  $X_\tau$  and  $h(X_\tau - L_1) = h(\mathcal{Q}) = Y_\sigma - h(L_1)$  which imparts  $h(\mathcal{Q}) \neq \phi$ . With reference to (ii), there is a sgp-closed subset  $J$  of  $Y_\sigma$  so that  $h(L_1) \subset J$ . Put  $V = X_\tau - J$ , clearly  $V$  is SGPOS and  $V \neq \phi$ . Further  $V = X_\tau - J \subset Y_\sigma - h(\mathcal{Q}) = Y_\sigma - [Y_\sigma - h(\mathcal{Q})] = h(L_1)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.6.** If  $k : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  be SSGP-open function and  $R$  be any open subset of  $X$ . Then  $k/R : (R, \tau/R) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is also SSGP-open function.

**Proof.** Consider  $\mathcal{B} \in \tau/\mathcal{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{B} \neq \phi$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is open in  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  is open in  $X_\tau$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  is open in  $X_\tau$  and due to the postulation  $k$  is SSGP-open function, then there exists a SGPOS,  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $Y_\sigma$ , such that  $\mathcal{L} \subset k(\mathcal{B})$ . Thus, for any open set  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $(\mathcal{R}, \tau/\mathcal{R})$  with  $\mathcal{B} \neq \phi$ , there prevails a SGPOS,  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $Y_\sigma$  thereby  $\mathcal{L} \subset k(\mathcal{B})$  which imparts  $k/\mathcal{R}$  is SSGP-open function.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.7.** Let  $\mu : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$  be a function such that  $\mu/K_1$  and  $\mu/K_2$  are SSGP-open, then  $\mu$  is SSGP-open function, where  $X = K_1 \cup K_2$ ,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are open subsets of  $X$ .

**Proof.** Obvious.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.8.** The statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $k$  be SSGP-open function.
- (2) Whenever  $S_1$  is a sgp-dense subset of  $Y_\sigma$ , then  $k^{-1}(S_1)$  is a dense subset of  $X_\tau$ .

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Presume  $S_1$  is a sgp-dense set in  $Y_\sigma$ . If  $k^{-1}(S_1)$  is not dense in  $X_\tau$ , then there arises a closed set  $N_1$  in  $X_\tau$  so as  $k^{-1} \subset N_1 \subset X_\tau$ . As  $k$  is SSGP-open and  $X_\tau - N_1$  is open, there exists a nonempty SGPOS  $H_1$  in  $Y_\sigma$  so that  $H_1 \subset k(X_\tau - N_1)$ . Thereupon,  $H_1 \subset k(k^{-1}(Y_\sigma - S_1)) \subset Y_\sigma - S_1$  implies  $S_1 \subset Y_\sigma - H_1 \subset Y_\sigma$ . Now,  $Y_\sigma - H_1$  is a SGPCS along with  $S_1 \subset Y_\sigma - H_1 \subset Y_\sigma$ . This indicates that  $S_1$  is not a sg-dense set in  $Y_\sigma$ , which is negation. So,  $k^{-1}(S_1)$  is a dense in  $X_\tau$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Suppose  $S_1$  is a nonempty open subset of  $X_\tau$ . We need to prove that  $sgp(k(S_1))^0 \neq \phi$ . Presume  $sgp(k(S_1))^0 = \phi$ . Thereupon,  $sgp(k(S_1)) = Y_\sigma$ . So by (ii),  $k^{-1}Y_\sigma - k(S_1)$  is dense in  $X_\tau$ . But  $k^{-1}(Y_\sigma - k(S_1)) \subset X_\tau - S_1$ . Now,  $X_\tau - S_1$  is closed. Accordingly,  $k^{-1}(Y_\sigma - k(S_1)) \subset X_\tau - S_1$  gives  $X_\tau = \overline{k^{-1}(Y_\sigma - k(S_1))} \subset X_\tau - S_1$ . This implies that  $S_1 = \phi$  which contrary to  $S_1 \neq \phi$ . Therefore,  $sgp(k(S_1))^0 \neq \phi$ . Thereupon  $k$  is SSGP-open.  $\square$

## 6. sgp-resolvable spaces

**Definition 6.1.** A TS  $X_\tau$  is named as sgp-resolvable if there arises a sgp-dense set  $K$  in  $X_\tau$  so that  $X_\tau - K$  is also sgp-dense. Otherwise,  $X_\tau$  is termed as sgp-irresolvable.

**Theorem 6.1.** The succeeding statements are similar

- (i)  $X_\tau$  is sgp-resolvable;
- (ii)  $X_\tau$  has a pair of sgp-dense sets  $\Delta_1$  as well as  $\Delta_2$  such that  $\Delta_1 \subset \Delta_2$ .

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Assume that  $X_\tau$  is sgp-resolvable. There exists a sgp-dense set  $\Delta_1$  such that  $X_\tau - \Delta_1$  is sgp-dense. Set  $\Delta_1 = X_\tau - \Delta_2$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Presume that (ii) is true. Take  $X_\tau$  as sgp-irresolvable. A that time  $X_\tau - \Delta_2$  is not sgp-dense and  $\overline{\text{sgp}(\Delta_1)} \subset \overline{\text{sgp}(X_\tau - \Delta_2)} \neq X$ . Hence,  $\Delta_1$  is not sgp-dense. This negates the presumption.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.2.** *For  $X_\tau$  the succeeding statements are identical:*

- (i)  $X_\tau$  is sgp-irresolvable;
- (ii) For any sgp-dense set  $\Delta$  in  $X_\tau$   $\text{sgp}(\Delta)^o \neq \phi$ .

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Consider  $\Delta$  be any sgp-dense set of  $X_\tau$ . Then, we have  $\overline{\text{sgp}(X_\tau - \Delta)} \neq X_\tau$ ; then  $\text{sgp}(\Delta)^o \neq \phi$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Presume that  $X_\tau$  is sgp-resolvable space. Then, there arises a sgp-dense set  $\Delta$  in  $X_\tau$  so that  $\Delta^c$  is also sgp-dense in  $X_\tau$ . It follows that  $\text{sgp}(\Delta)^o = \phi$ , which is a negation; henceforth  $X_\tau$  is sgp-irresolvable.  $\square$

**Definition 6.2.** *A TS is termed as strongly sgp-irresolvable if for a nonempty set  $K$  in  $X_\tau$   $\text{sgp}(K)^o = \phi$  implies  $\text{sgp}(\overline{\text{sgp}K})^o = \phi$ .*

**Theorem 6.3.** *Whenever  $X_\tau$  is a strongly sgp-irresolvable space along with  $\overline{\text{sgp}K} = X_\tau$  for a nonempty subset  $K$  of  $X$ , then  $\overline{\text{sgp}(\text{sgp}(K)^o)} = X_\tau$ .*

**Theorem 6.4.** *If  $X_\tau$  is a strongly sgp-irresolvable space as well as  $\overline{\text{sgp}K} = X_\tau$  along with  $\text{sgp}(\overline{\text{sgp}K})^o \neq \phi$  for any nonempty subset  $K$  in  $X_\tau$ , thence  $\text{sgp}(K)^o \neq X_\tau$ .*

**Theorem 6.5.** *Every strongly-irresolvable space is sgp-irresolvable. However converse is not true.*

**Example 6.6.** Consider  $X_\tau = Y_\sigma = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$  with  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{r_1\}\}$ . Then  $(X_\tau, \tau)$  is sgp-irresolvable space however it isn't strongly sgp-irresolvable.

**Theorem 6.7.** *If  $\eta$  is SSGP-open and  $\text{sgp}(R)^o = \phi$  for a nonempty set  $R$  in  $Y_\sigma$ , then  $(\eta^{-1}(R))^o = \phi$ .*

**Proof.** Consider a nonempty set  $R$  in  $Y_\sigma$  so that  $\text{sgp}(R)^o = \phi$ . Thereupon  $\overline{\text{sgp}(Y_\sigma - R)} = Y_\sigma$ . As  $\eta$  is SSGP-open and  $Y_\sigma - R$  is sgp-dense in  $Y_\sigma$ , by Theorem 5.9,  $\eta^{-1}(Y_\sigma - R)$  is dense in  $X_\tau$ . Accordingly  $\overline{(X_\tau - \eta^{-1}(R))} = X_\tau$ ; so  $(\eta^{-1}(R))^o = \phi$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.8.** *For a function  $\eta : X_\tau \rightarrow Y_\sigma$ , whenever  $X$  is irresolvable, then  $Y_\sigma$  is sgp-irresolvable.*

**Proof.** Consider a nonempty set  $R$  in  $Y$  so that  $\overline{\text{sgp}(R)} = Y$ . We need to prove that  $\text{sgp}(R)^o \neq \phi$ . Suppose not, then  $\overline{\text{sgp}(Y - R)} = Y$ . As  $\eta$  is SSGP-open and  $Y - R$  is sgp-dense in  $Y$ , with reference to Theorem 5.8,  $\eta^{-1}(Y - R)$  is dense in  $X$ . Accordingly,  $(\eta^{-1}(R))^o = \phi$ . Now, since  $R$  is sgp-dense in  $Y$ ,  $\eta^{-1}(R)$  is dense in  $X$ . Therefore, for the dense set  $\eta^{-1}(R)$ , we have  $(\eta^{-1}(R))^o = \phi$ , which is a contradiction to theorem 6.3. So, we should have  $\text{sgp}(R)^o \neq \phi$ , for all sgp-dense sets  $R$  in  $Y$ . Hence, by Theorem 6.3,  $Y$  is sgp-irresolvable.  $\square$

**References**

- [1] S. G. Crossley, S. K. Hildebrand, *Semi-topological properties*, Fund. Math., 74 (1972), 233-254.
- [2] K.R. Gentry, H.B. Hoyle, *Somewhat continuous functions*, Czech. Math. JI, 21 (1971), 5-12.
- [3] N. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70 (1963), 36-41.
- [4] N. Levine, *Generalized closed sets in topology*, Rend. Circolo. Mat. Palemro., 19 (1970), 89-96.
- [5] Govindappa Navavalgi, M. Bhat, *On sgp-closed sets in topological spaces*, Journal of Applied Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 3 (2007), 45-58.
- [6] Govindappa Navavalgi, Md. Hanif Page, *On  $\theta$ gs-continuity and  $\theta$ gs-irresoluteness*, Internatonal Journal of Mathematics and Computer Sciences and Technology, 1 (2008), 95-101.
- [7] Govindappa Navavalgi, Md. Hanif Page, *On  $\theta$ gs-open and  $\theta$ gs-closed functions*, Proycecciones Journal of Mathematics, 28 (2009), 111-123.
- [8] Md. Hanif PAGE , *Some new continuous and open functions in topological spaces*, Journal of New Theory, 2 (2015), 2-7.
- [9] Md. Hanif Page, P.G. Patil, *Some new contra continuous functions in topology*, Communications in Mathematics and Applications, 7 (2016), 81-91.
- [10] P.G. Patil, T.D. Rayangoudar, S.S. Benchalli, *Generalization of new continuous functions in topological space*, Cubo aMathematical Journal, 15 (2013), 51-58.

Accepted: July 23, 2021