

## $C^*$ -convex sets generated by $C^*$ -convex maps in $*$ -rings and their $C^*$ -faces

**Ali Ebrahimi Meymand**

*Department of Mathematics*

*Faculty of Mathematical Sciences*

*Vali-e-Asr University of Rafsanjan*

*Iran*

*a.ebrahimi@vru.ac.ir*

**Abstract.** In this paper, we identify some  $C^*$ -convex sets generated by  $C^*$ -affine maps and  $C^*$ -convex maps on the unital  $*$ -rings, and we obtain the  $C^*$ -faces of such  $C^*$ -convex sets. Specially, we show that the set  $Fix(f)$  of all fixed points of the  $C^*$ -affine map  $f$  on the unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , is a  $C^*$ -convex set. Moreover, we prove that for a  $C^*$ -convex map  $f$  on some  $*$ -rings, the set  $Fix(f)$  is a  $C^*$ -face of some  $C^*$ -convex sets.

**Keywords:**  $C^*$ -affine map,  $C^*$ -convex set,  $C^*$ -face,  $*$ -ring.

### 1. Introduction

One of the form of non-commutative convexity is  $C^*$ -convexity. Formal study of  $C^*$ -convexity was initiated by Loebel and Paulsen in [8]. Hopenwasser, Moore, and Paulsen obtained the set of all extreme points and  $C^*$ -extreme points of some  $C^*$ -convex sets, and the distinction of these sets in [7]. Farenick and Morenz proved that each irreducible element of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $M_n$  of complex  $n \times n$  matrices, is a  $C^*$ -extreme point, the relative extreme point, of the  $C^*$ -convex set that it generates [5]. Also they studied the  $C^*$ -extreme points of the  $C^*$ -convex space  $S_H(A)$  of all unital completely positive linear maps from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  to the algebra  $B(H)$  of continuous linear operators on a complex Hilbert space  $H$  [6]. Moreover, in [9] Morenz discovered a right analogue of linear extreme points, called structural elements to prove a generalised Krein Milman theorem for  $C^*$ -convex subsets of  $M_n$ . Also he extend the notion of face from convexity to  $C^*$ -face in  $C^*$ -convexity. The author and G. H. Esslamzadeh generalised the notion of  $C^*$ -convexity and the relative extreme points,  $C^*$ -extreme points, to  $*$ -rings [4]. Recently, the author has investigated the  $C^*$ -extreme points of the graph and epigraph of  $C^*$ -affine maps in [2]. Also it has shawn in [2] that for a  $C^*$ -convex map  $f$  defined on a unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$  with some conditions the graph of  $f$  is a  $C^*$ -face of the epigraph of  $f$ , and some other results about the  $C^*$ -faces of  $C^*$ -convex sets in  $*$ -rings. Moreover, the structure of the set of all  $C^*$ -convex maps and  $C^*$ -affine maps in  $*$ -rings has investigated in [3].

Throughout this paper,  $\mathcal{R}$  is a unital  $*$ -ring, that is, a ring with an involution which has an identity element. An element  $x$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  is called positive, written  $x \geq 0$ , if  $x = y_1^*y_1 + y_2^*y_2 + \dots + y_n^*y_n$  for some  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ . The self-adjoint elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  can be ordered by writing  $x \leq y$  in case  $y - x \geq 0$ . Also, the interval  $[x, y]$  denotes the set of all elements  $z$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $x \leq z \leq y$ . The involution of  $\mathcal{R}$  is called proper if  $x^*x = 0$  implies that  $x = 0$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ .  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  is called a central element of  $\mathcal{R}$ , if  $xa = ax$  for each  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ . The set of all central elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  is denoted by  $Z(\mathcal{R})$ . The reference [1] is essential for studying the  $*$ -rings. We denote the graph and epigraph of a map  $f$  on  $\mathcal{R}$  by  $graph(f)$  and  $epi(f)$  respectively, which are defined as following;

$$graph(f) = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathcal{R}, y = f(x)\} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \oplus \mathcal{R},$$

$$epi(f) = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathcal{R}, f(x) \leq y\} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \oplus \mathcal{R}.$$

In the next section we state the definitions of  $C^*$ -convexity,  $C^*$ -convex map,  $C^*$ -affine map, and  $C^*$ -face in  $*$ -rings, and the last section of this paper is devoted to the main results of this paper.

## 2. Definitions and preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** A subset  $K$  of a unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is called  $C^*$ -convex, if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i \in K,$$

whenever  $x_i \in K, a_i \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* a_i = 1_{\mathcal{R}}$ .

In this case the summation  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i$  is called a  $C^*$ -convex combination of elements  $x_i \in K$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $K$  be a  $C^*$ -convex subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ . An element  $x \in K$  is called a  $C^*$ -extreme point of  $K$  if the condition

$$(1) \quad x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* a_i = 1_{\mathcal{R}}, x_i \in K, a_i \text{ is invertible in } \mathcal{R}, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

implies that all  $x_i$  are unitarily equivalent to  $x$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ , that is, there exist unitaries  $u_i \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $x_i = u_i^* x u_i$  for all  $i$ .

The set of all  $C^*$ -extreme points of  $K$  is denoted by  $C^*\text{-ext}(K)$ .

In addition, if condition (1) holds, then we say that  $x$  is a proper  $C^*$ -convex combination of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

In [4] we defined the notion of  $C^*$ -convex maps as the following;

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $K$  be a  $C^*$ -convex subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ . We say that a map  $f$  on  $K$  is  $C^*$ -convex if

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* f(x_i) a_i,$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_i \in K$ ,  $a_i \in \mathcal{R}$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* a_i = 1_{\mathcal{R}}$ .

If we replace the equality instead of the less or equality in this definition, we say that  $f$  is a  $C^*$ -affine map on  $K$ . Also, if  $-f$  is  $C^*$ -convex, we say that  $f$  is  $C^*$ -concave.

As an example  $f(x) = x^*$  is a  $C^*$ -affine map on a unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$ . In continuation, we give some examples of  $C^*$ -convex subsets of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**Example 2.1.** The following sets are  $C^*$ -convex sets in any unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$ .

- (1) The set of all self adjoint elements in  $\mathcal{R}$ .
- (2) The set of all positive elements in  $\mathcal{R}$ .
- (3)  $[0, 1_{\mathcal{R}}]$ .
- (4)  $U(x)$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ , where  $U(x)$  denotes the set of all elements which are unitarily equivalent to  $x$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

In the next example, we give another family of  $C^*$ -convex subsets of the unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**Example 2.2.** The following sets are  $C^*$ -convex in the unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$ .

- (1) Every two sided ideal in  $\mathcal{R}$ .
- (2) Every one sided  $*$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{R}$  is a two sided ideal, and hence is a  $C^*$ -convex set.

To see this, let  $I$  be a left  $*$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}I \subseteq I$ , and hence

$$I\mathcal{R} = (\mathcal{R}I)^* \subseteq I^* \subseteq I.$$

The notion of face from linear convexity has extended to  $C^*$ -face for  $C^*$ -convex subsets of a  $C^*$ -algebra in [9] by P. B. Morenz. The author and G. H. Esslamzadeh generalised this notion to  $*$ -rings [4].

**Definition 2.4.** A nonempty subset  $F$  of a  $C^*$ -convex set  $K \subseteq \mathcal{R}$  is called a  $C^*$ -face of  $K$ , if the condition  $x \in F$  and  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i$  as a proper  $C^*$ -convex combination of elements  $x_i \in K$ , implies that  $x_i \in F$  for all  $i$ .

**Example 2.3.** Let  $K$  be a  $C^*$ -convex subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then the following sets are  $C^*$ -faces of  $K$ .

- (1) The set  $K$  itself.
- (2) The set  $C^* - ext(K)$  of all  $C^*$ -extreme points of  $K$ , provided that it is nonempty.

### 3. Main results

In this section, we obtain some  $C^*$ -convex sets generated by  $C^*$ -affine maps and  $C^*$ -convex maps on the unital  $*$ -rings, and also we obtain  $C^*$ -faces of such  $C^*$ -convex sets.

First of all, we show that the set of all fixed points of a  $C^*$ -affine map  $f$  on a unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $C^*$ -convex subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ . An element  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  is called a fixed point of the map  $f$  on  $\mathcal{R}$  if  $f(x) = x$ . We denote the set of all fixed points of  $f$  by  $Fix(f)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $f$  be a  $C^*$ -affine map on a unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then, the set  $Fix(f)$  of all fixed points of  $f$  is a  $C^*$ -convex subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Moreover,  $Fix(f)$  is closed under the unitary orbit of its elements.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $x_i \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $f(x_i) = x_i$  for each  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), and  $a_i \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* a_i = 1_{\mathcal{R}}$ , then

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* f(x_i) a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i.$$

So,  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i$  is a fixed point of  $f$ , and hence  $Fix(f)$  is a  $C^*$ -convex subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Since, every  $C^*$ -convex set is closed under the unitary orbit of its elements, so for every  $x \in Fix(f)$ , we have  $U(x) \subset Fix(f)$ , i.e. every element in the unitary orbit of  $x$ , is a fixed point of  $f$ .  $\square$

Note that, if we consider the  $C^*$ -affine map  $f(x) = x^*$  on  $\mathcal{R}$ , then  $Fix(f)$  is the set  $\mathcal{R}_{sa}$  of all self-adjoint elements in  $\mathcal{R}$ , which is a  $C^*$ -convex subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ . It is shown in [4] that for every  $C^*$ -convex map  $f$  on the unital  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  the sets  $\{x : f(x) \leq x\}$ , and  $\{x : f(x) \leq \alpha 1_{\mathcal{A}}\}$  are  $C^*$ -convex sets. In this section we extend this result as follows;

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that  $f$  is a  $C^*$ -convex map on a unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , and  $y \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $C^* - co(\{y\}) = \{y\}$  (specially  $y \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ ). Then the set*

$$K = \{x \in \mathcal{R} \mid f(x) \leq y\},$$

*is a  $C^*$ -convex set in  $\mathcal{R}$ , provided that it is nonempty.*

**Proof.** Let  $x_i \in K$  for each  $i$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), and  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i$  be a  $C^*$ -convex combination of elements  $x_i \in K$ . Then  $f(x_i) \leq y$ , and hence  $a_i^* f(x_i) a_i \leq a_i^* y a_i$  for each  $i$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Thus, by the  $C^*$ -convexity of  $f$  and using the assumption  $C^* - co(\{y\}) = \{y\}$ , we conclude that

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* f(x_i) a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* y a_i = y.$$

Hence,  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i \in K$ . Therefore,  $K$  is a  $C^*$ -convex subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ .  $\square$

In the next theorems we will use the following notation for every  $C^*$ -affine map  $f : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  and every nonempty subset  $B$  of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

$$f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in \mathcal{R} \mid f(x) \in B\}.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  be a  $C^*$ -affine map on a unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then:*

- 1)  $f(K)$  is a  $C^*$ -convex subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ , for every  $C^*$ -convex set  $K$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ .
- 2)  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a  $C^*$ -convex subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ , for every  $C^*$ -convex set  $B$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ .
- 3) If  $f$  be a bijective  $C^*$ -affine map, then  $K$  is a  $C^*$ -convex subset of  $\mathcal{R}$  iff  $f(K)$  is a  $C^*$ -convex set.

**Proof.** We only prove the first part. The proof of the second part is similar, and 3) is a consequence of the first two parts.

Let  $K$  be a  $C^*$ -convex subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $y_i \in f(K)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), and  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* y_i a_i$  be a  $C^*$ -convex combination of elements  $y_i \in f(K)$ . Then, there exists  $x_i \in K$  such that  $f(x_i) = y_i$  for each  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ).  $K$  is a  $C^*$ -convex set, so  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i \in K$ . Since  $f$  is a  $C^*$ -affine map on  $\mathcal{R}$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* y_i a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* f(x_i) a_i = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i\right) \in f(K). \quad \square$$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose that  $f$  is a  $C^*$ -affine map on a unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , and  $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $C^* - co(\{x\}) = \{x\}$ , and  $C^* - co(\{y\}) = \{y\}$  (specially  $x, y \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ ). Then the following sets are  $C^*$ -convex sets in  $\mathcal{R}$ , provided that they are nonempty.*

- 1)  $[0, y]$  where  $y \geq 0$ .
- 2)  $[x, y]$  where  $x \leq y$ .
- 3)  $f^{-1}(\{y\})$ , (specially  $f^{-1}(\{0\})$ , the kernel of  $f$ ).
- 4)  $f^{-1}([0, y])$  where  $y \geq 0$ .
- 5)  $f^{-1}([x, y])$  where  $x \leq y$ .

**Proof.** 2) Let  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i$  be a  $C^*$ -convex combination of elements  $x_i \in [x, y]$ . Then  $x \leq x_i \leq y$  for each  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Hence,

$$a_i^* x a_i \leq a_i^* x_i a_i \leq a_i^* y a_i.$$

So,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* y a_i.$$

By the assumptions  $C^* - co(\{y\}) = \{y\}$ , and  $C^* - co(\{x\}) = \{x\}$ , we have

$$x \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i \leq y,$$

and hence  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* x_i a_i \in [x, y]$ . Therefore,  $[x, y]$  is a  $C^*$ -convex set.

The proof of 1) is similar to the proof of 2). The other parts are the conclusions of the first two parts by applying the above theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $f$  be a  $C^*$ -affine map on a unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m \leq n$ . Then the following sets are  $C^*$ -convex sets in  $\mathcal{R}$ , provided that they are nonempty.*

- 1)  $f^{-1}(n1_{\mathcal{R}})$ .
- 2)  $f^{-1}([0, 1_{\mathcal{R}}])$ .
- 3)  $[m1_{\mathcal{R}}, n1_{\mathcal{R}}]$ .
- 4)  $f^{-1}([m1_{\mathcal{R}}, n1_{\mathcal{R}}])$ .

Recently, in [2] the author has proved that the graph of a  $C^*$ -convex map on a unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $C^*$ -face of its epigraph ([2], Theorem 3.4) as following:

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a unital  $*$ -ring satisfying the positive square root axiom, and  $x_1^*x_1 + x_2^*x_2 + \cdots + x_n^*x_n = 0$  implies that  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n = 0$  for every  $x_i \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f$  be a  $C^*$ -convex map on  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then,  $\text{graph}(f)$  is a  $C^*$ -face of  $\text{epi}(f)$ .*

In the next theorem, we show that the set of all fixed points of the  $C^*$ -convex map  $f$ , is a  $C^*$ -face of the set  $\{x \in \mathcal{R} | f(x) \leq x\}$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $f$  be a  $C^*$ -convex map on a unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $x_1^*x_1 + x_2^*x_2 + \cdots + x_n^*x_n = 0$  implies that  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n = 0$  for every  $x_i \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, the set  $\text{Fix}(f) = \{x \in \mathcal{R} | f(x) = x\}$  is a  $C^*$ -face of the set  $K = \{x \in \mathcal{R} | f(x) \leq x\}$ , provided that it is nonempty.*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in \text{Fix}(f)$  and  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^*x_i a_i$  be a proper  $C^*$ -convex combination of elements  $x_i \in K$ . We must show that  $x_i \in \text{Fix}(f)$  for each  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Since

$$x = f(x) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^*x_i a_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^*f(x_i)a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^*x_i a_i = x,$$

so  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^*x_i a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^*f(x_i)a_i$ , and hence,  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^*(x_i - f(x_i))a_i = 0$ .

Now, since  $x_i - f(x_i) \geq 0$  and using the assumption

$$x_1^*x_1 + x_2^*x_2 + \cdots + x_n^*x_n = 0 \implies x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n = 0,$$

for every  $x_i \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we conclude that

$$a_i^*(x_i - f(x_i))a_i = 0,$$

for each  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Thus,  $x_i - f(x_i) = 0$  for each  $i$  by the invertibility of  $a_i$ . Therefore,  $x_i = f(x_i)$  and  $x_i \in \text{Fix}(f)$  for each  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Hence,  $\text{Fix}(f)$  is a  $C^*$ -face of the  $C^*$ -convex set  $K$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a unital  $*$ -ring such that  $x_1^*x_1 + x_2^*x_2 + \cdots + x_n^*x_n = 0$  implies that  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n = 0$ , for every  $x_i \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then:*

1) *similarly, the above theorem holds for every  $C^*$ -concave map  $f$ , and the set  $K = \{x \in \mathcal{R} | f(x) \geq x\}$ .*

2) *if  $f$  be a  $C^*$ -affine map on  $\mathcal{R}$ , then  $\text{Fix}(f)$  is a  $C^*$ -face of the sets  $K_1 = \{x \in \mathcal{R} | f(x) \leq x\}$ , and  $K_2 = \{x \in \mathcal{R} | f(x) \geq x\}$ , provided that they are nonempty.*

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $f$  be a  $C^*$ -convex map on a unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $x_1^*x_1 + x_2^*x_2 + \cdots + x_n^*x_n = 0$  implies that  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n = 0$  for every  $x_i \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $y \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $C^* - \text{co}(\{y\}) = \{y\}$  (specially  $y \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ , the center of  $\mathcal{R}$ ). Then  $F = \{x \in \mathcal{R} | f(x) = y\}$  is a  $C^*$ -face of the  $C^*$ -convex set  $K = \{x \in \mathcal{R} | f(x) \leq y\}$ , provided that it is nonempty.*

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of the above theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $f$  be a  $C^*$ -convex map on a unital  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $x_1^*x_1 + x_2^*x_2 + \cdots + x_n^*x_n = 0$  implies that  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n = 0$  for every  $x_i \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $F = \{x \in \mathcal{R} | f(x) = n1_{\mathcal{R}}\}$  is a  $C^*$ -face of the  $C^*$ -convex set  $K = \{x \in \mathcal{R} | f(x) \leq n1_{\mathcal{R}}\}$ , provided that  $F$  be nonempty. Also, the same conclusion holds for  $C^*$ -concave map  $f$  and the  $C^*$ -convex set  $K = \{x \in \mathcal{R} | f(x) \geq n1_{\mathcal{R}}\}$ .*

**Corollary 3.4.** *If  $f$  be a  $C^*$ -convex map on a unital  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $x_1^*x_1 + x_2^*x_2 + \cdots + x_n^*x_n = 0$  implies that  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n = 0$ , for every  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then,  $F = \{x \in \mathcal{A} | f(x) = \alpha 1_{\mathcal{A}}\}$  is a  $C^*$ -face of the  $C^*$ -convex set  $K = \{x \in \mathcal{A} | f(x) \leq \alpha 1_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ , provided that  $F$  be nonempty. Also, the same conclusion holds for  $C^*$ -concave map  $f$  and the  $C^*$ -convex set  $K = \{x \in \mathcal{A} | f(x) \geq \alpha 1_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ .*

Note that, since every  $C^*$ -affine map is also a  $C^*$ -convex map, so the above theorem and its corollaries hold for every  $C^*$ -affine maps.

## References

- [1] S. K. Berberian, *Baer  $*$ -rings*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [2] A. Ebrahimi Meymand,  *$C^*$ -extreme points and  $C^*$ -faces of the epigraph of  $C^*$ -affine maps in  $*$ -rings*, Wavelets and Linear Algebra, 5 (2018), 21-28.
- [3] A. Ebrahimi Meymand, *The structure of the set of all  $C^*$ -convex maps in  $*$ -rings*, Wavelets and Linear Algebra, 7 (2020), 43-51.
- [4] A. Ebrahimi Meymand, G. H. Esslamzadeh,  *$C^*$ -convexity and  $C^*$ -faces in  $*$ -rings*, Turk. J. Math., 36 (2012), 131-145.
- [5] D. R. Farenick, P. B. Morenz,  *$C^*$ -extreme points of some compact  $C^*$ -convex sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 118 (1993), 765-775.
- [6] D. R. Farenick, P. B. Morenz,  *$C^*$ -extreme points in the generalised state spaces of a  $C^*$ -algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 349 (1997), 1725-1748.
- [7] A. Hopenwasser, R. L. Moore, V. I. Paulsen,  *$C^*$ -extreme points*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 163 (1981), 291-307.
- [8] R. Loebel, V. I. Paulsen, *Some remarks on  $C^*$ -convexity*, Linear Algebra Appl., 35 (1981), 63-78.
- [9] P. B. Morenz, *The structure of  $C^*$ -convex sets*, Canad. J. Math., 46 (1994), 1007-1026.

Accepted: April 5, 2021