Some results on uniqueness of certain types of difference polynomials

V. Husna*

Department of Mathematics School of Engineering Presidency University Itgalpura, Rajanukunte, Yelahanka, Bengaluru-560 064 India husna@presidencyuniversity.in husnav43@qmail.com

Veena

Department of Mathematics School of Engineering Presidency University Itgalpura, Rajanukunte, Yelahanka, Bengaluru-560 064 India manjveena@gmail.com

S. Rajeshwari

Department of Mathematics
School of Engineering
Presidency University
Itgalpura, Rajanukunte, Yelahanka, Bengaluru-560 064
India
rajeshwaripreetham@qmail.com

Abstract. In this article we investigate the uniqueness of certain type of difference polynomials that share a small function and obtain some results which improve and extend some recent results of Sujoy Majumder ([12]).

Keywords: weighted sharing, meromorphic functions, difference-differential, uniqueness.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{C} be a open complex plane and two functions f and g are non-constant and meromorphic in \mathbb{C} . In this article standard notations like T(r, f), m(r, f), N(r, f) of Value Distribution Theory are used see ([1], [2], [3]). In this paper, we denote $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ as \mathbb{C}' . For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, if f and g have the same point g with same multiplicities then g and g share g c.M. If we do not take the multiplicities into account then g and g share the value g l.M. A meromorphic

^{*.} Corresponding author

function a is said to be a small function of f provided T(r, a) = S(r, f) i.e., $T(r, a) = O\{T(r, f)\}$ as $r \to \infty, r \notin E$.

Definition 1.1 ([14]). Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}$. For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ we denote by $E_k(a; f)$ the set of all a-points of f where an a-point of multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \leq k$ and k+1 times if m > k. If $E_k(a; f) = E_k(a; g)$, we say that f, g share the value a with weight k.

We write f, g share (a, k) to mean that f, g share the value a with weight k. Clearly, if f, g share (a, k) then f, g share (a, p) for any integer p, $0 \le p < k$. We note that f, g share a value a IM or CM if and only if f, g share (a, 0) or (a, ∞) respectively.

Definition 1.2 ([8]). Let $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. For $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $N(r, a; f | \leq p)$ the counting function of those a-points of f (counted with multiplicities) whose multiplicities are not greater than p. By $\overline{N}(r, a; f | \leq p)$ we denote the corresponding reduced counting function.

Similarly we can define $N(r, a; f| \ge p)$ and $\overline{N}(r, a; f| \ge p)$.

Definition 1.3 ([14]). Let $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. We denote by $N_p(r, a; f)$ the counting function of a-points of f, where an a-point of multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \leq p$ and p times if m > p. Then

$$N_p(r, a; f) = \overline{N}(r, a; f) + \overline{N}(r, a; f| \ge 2) + \ldots + \overline{N}(r, a; f| \ge p).$$

Clearly $N_1(r, a; f) = \overline{N}(r, a; f)$.

In 2011, K. Liu, X. L Liu and T. B Cao [10] studied the uniqueness of the difference monomials and obtained the following results

Theorem 1 ([10]). Let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental meromorphic functions with finite order. Suppose that $c \in \mathbb{C}'$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n \geq 14$, $f^n(z)f(z+c)$ and $g^n(z)g(z+c)$ share 1 CM, then $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ or $f(z)g(z) \equiv t$, where $t^{n+1} = 1$.

Theorem 2 ([10]). Let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental meromorphic functions with finite order. Suppose that $c \in \mathbb{C}'$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n \geq 26$, $f^n(z)f(z+c)$ and $g^n(z)g(z+c)$ share 1 IM, then $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ or $f(z)g(z) \equiv t$, where $t^{n+1} = 1$.

In 2015, Y. Liu, J. P Wang and F. H Liu [13] improved Theorems 1 and 2 and obtained the following results.

Theorem 3 ([13]). Let $c \in \mathbb{C}'$ and let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental meromorphic functions with finite order, and $n(\geq 14)$, $k(\geq 3)$ be two positive integers. If $E_k(1, f^n(z)f(z+c)) = E_k(1, g^n(z)g(z+c))$, then $f(z) \equiv t_1g(z)$ or $f(z)g(z) \equiv t_2$ for some constants t_1 and t_2 satisfying $t_1^{n+1} = 1$ and $t_2^{n+1} = 1$.

- **Theorem 4** ([13]). Let $c \in \mathbb{C}'$ and let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental meromorphic functions with finite order, and $n(\geq 16)$ be a positive integers. If $E_2(1, f^n f(z+c)) = E_2(1, g^n g(z+c))$, then $f(z) \equiv t_1 g(z)$ or $f(z)g(z) \equiv t_2$ for some constants t_1 and t_2 satisfying $t_1^{n+1} = 1$ and $t_2^{n+1} = 1$.
- **Theorem 5** ([13]). Let $c \in \mathbb{C}'$ and let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental meromorphic functions with finite order, and $n(\geq 22)$ be a positive integers. If $E_1(1, f^n f(z+c)) = E_1(1, g^n g(z+c))$, then $f(z) \equiv t_1 g(z)$ or $f(z)g(z) \equiv t_2$ for some constants t_1 and t_2 satisfying $t_1^{n+1} = 1$ and $t_2^{n+1} = 1$.
- In 2017, S. Majumder [12] proved following theorem by taking non-zero polynomial in place of sharing value 1 CM and came out with the following results.
- **Theorem 6** ([12]). Let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental meromorphic functions of finite order, $c \in \mathbb{C}'$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n \geq 14$. Let $p(z) \not\equiv 0$ be a polynomial such that $deg(p) < \frac{n-1}{2}$. Suppose $f^n(z)f(z+c) p(z)$ and $g^n(z)g(z+c) p(z)$ share (0,2), then one of the following two cases holds:
 - (i) $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ for some constant t such that $t^{n+1} = 1$;
- (ii) $f(z)g(z) \equiv t$, where p(z) reduces to a non-zero constant c and t is a constant such that $t^{n+1} = c^2$.
- **Theorem 7** ([12]). Let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental meromorphic functions of finite order, $c \in \mathbb{C}'$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n \geq 16$. Let $p(z) (\not\equiv 0)$ be a polynomial such that $deg(p) < \frac{n-1}{2}$. Suppose $f^n(z)f(z+c) p(z)$ and $g^n(z)g(z+c) p(z)$ share (0,1). Then conclusion of Theorem 6 holds.
- **Theorem 8** ([12]). Let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental meromorphic functions of finite order, $c \in \mathbb{C}'$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n \geq 26$. Let $p(z) \not\equiv 0$ be a polynomial such that $deg(p) < \frac{n-1}{2}$. Suppose $f^n(z)f(z+c) p(z)$ and $g^n(z)g(z+c) p(z)$ share (0,0). Then conclusion of Theorem 6 holds.

Regarding Theorems 6-8, one may ask the following question.

Question 1. What happens if $f^n(z)f(z+c)$ is replaced by $f^n(z)P(f)\prod_{j=1}^d f(z+c_j)^{v_j}$ in Theorems 6-8?

To answer the above question affirmatively, we prove the following results which is the main results of this article.

- **Theorem 9.** Let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental meromorphic functions of finite order, $c \in \mathbb{C}'$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n > 7 + 6m + 7\sigma$. Let $p(z) (\not\equiv 0)$ be a polynomial such that $deg(p) < \frac{n+m-\sigma}{2}$. Suppose $f^n(z)P(f)\prod_{j=1}^d f(z+c_j)^{v_j} p(z)$ and $g^n(z)P(g)\prod_{j=1}^d g(z+c_j)^{v_j} p(z)$ share (0,2), then one of the following two cases holds:
 - (i) $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ for some constant t such that $t^{n+m+\sigma} = 1$;
- (ii) $f(z)g(z) \equiv t$, where p(z) reduces to a non-zero constant c and t is a constant such that $t^{n+m+\sigma} = c^2$.

Theorem 10. Let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental meromorphic functions of finite order, $c \in \mathbb{C}'$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n > 8 + 6m + 8\sigma$. Let $p(z) (\not\equiv 0)$ be a polynomial such that $deg(p) < \frac{n+m-\sigma}{2}$. Suppose $f^n(z)P(f)\prod_{j=1}^d f(z+c_j)^{v_j} - p(z)$ and $g^n(z)P(g)\prod_{j=1}^d g(z+c_j)^{v_j} - p(z)$ share (0,1). Then conclusion of Theorem 9 holds.

Theorem 11. Let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental meromorphic functions of finite order, $c \in \mathbb{C}'$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n > 13 + 6m + 13\sigma$. Let $p(z) (\not\equiv 0)$ be a polynomial such that $deg(p) < \frac{n+m-\sigma}{2}$. Suppose $f^n(z)P(f)\prod_{j=1}^d f(z+c_j)^{v_j} - p(z)$ and $g^n(z)P(g)\prod_{j=1}^d g(z+c_j)^{v_j} - p(z)$ share (0,0). Then conclusion of Theorem 9 holds.

Remark 1. If m = 0 and $\sigma = 1$ in Theorems 9-11, then Theorems 9-11 reduces to Theorems 6-8.

2. Some lemmas

In this segment, we present few Lemmas which will be used further.

Let F, G be two non-constant meromorphic functions. From now onwords let us use H to denote the following functions.

(1)
$$H = \left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1}\right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1}\right).$$

Lemma 2.1 ([11]). Suppose f(z) is a meromorphic function in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and the polynomial is defined by $P(z) = a_m f^m + a_{m-1} f^{m-1} + \ldots + a_1 f + a_0$, where $a_m(\not\equiv 0)$, a_0 , a_1 , \ldots , a_{m-1} are small functions of f(z). Then

$$T(r, P(f)) = mT(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.2 ([5]). Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of finite order ρ , and let $c \in \mathbb{C}'$ be fixed. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$m\left(r, \frac{f(z+c)}{f(z)}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f(z)}{f(z+c)}\right) = O(r^{\rho-1+\varepsilon}).$$

Lemma 2.3 ([5]). Let f(z) be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order, $c \in \mathbb{C}'$ be fixed. Then

$$T(r, f(z+c)) = T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.4 ([6]). Let f(z) be non-constant meromorphic function of finite order and $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then:

$$N(r,0;f(z+c)) \leq N(r,0;f(z)) + S(r,f),$$

$$N(r,\infty;f(z+c)) \leq N(r,\infty;f(z)) + S(r,f),$$

$$\overline{N}(r,0;f(z+c)) \leq \overline{N}(r,0;f(z)) + S(r,f),$$

$$\overline{N}(r,\infty;f(z+c)) \leq \overline{N}(r,\infty;f(z)) + S(r,f).$$

Lemma 2.5 ([16]). Let f(z) be a transcendental meromorphic function of hyper order, $\rho_2 < 1$ and $F(z) = f^n(z)P(f)\prod_{j=1}^d f(z+c_j)^{v_j}$, where $m, n \in N$. Then we have,

$$(n+m-\sigma)T(r,f) \le T(r,F) + S(r,f) \le (n+m+\sigma)T(r,f).$$

Lemma 2.6. Let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental meromorphic functions of finite order, $c \in \mathbb{C}'$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq 2$. Let p(z) be a non-zero polynomial such that $deg(p) < \frac{n+m-\sigma}{2}$. Then:

- (i) if $deg(p) \geq 1$, then $f^n(z)P(f)\prod_{j=1}^d f(z+c_j)^{v_j}g^n(z)P(g)\prod_{j=1}^d g(z+c_j)^{v_j} \not\equiv p^2(z)$;
 - (ii) if $p(z) = c \in \mathbb{C}'$, then the relation

$$f^{n}(z)P(f)\prod_{j=1}^{d}f(z+c_{j})^{v_{j}}g^{n}(z)P(g)\prod_{j=1}^{d}g(z+c_{j})^{v_{j}}\equiv p^{2}(z)$$

always implies that fg = t where t is a constant such that $t^{n+m+\sigma} = c^2$.

Proof. Suppose

(2)
$$f^{n}(z)P(f)\prod_{j=1}^{d}f(z+c_{j})^{v_{j}}g^{n}(z)P(g)\prod_{j=1}^{d}g(z+c_{j})^{v_{j}}\equiv p^{2}(z).$$

Let h = fg then from equation (2) becomes,

$$h^{n+m} \left(a_m \frac{1}{g^m} + \ldots + \frac{a_0}{h^m} \right) (a_m g^m + a_{m-1} g^{m-1} + \cdots + a_0)$$

$$= \frac{p^2(z)}{\prod_{j=1}^d h(z+c_j)^{v_j}}.$$

Let us discuss following two cases.

Case 1. Suppose h is a transcendental meromorphic function. Now, by Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4, we get

$$(n+m)T(r,h) = T(r,h^{n+m}) + S(r,h)$$

$$= T\left(r, \frac{p^2(z)}{\prod_{j=1}^d h(z+c_j)^{v_j}}\right) + S(r,h)$$

$$\leq \sigma T(r,h) + S(r,h)$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2. Suppose h is rational function. Let

$$(4) h = \frac{h_1}{h_2}.$$

where h_1 and h_2 are two non-zero relatively prime polynomials. By equation (4), we have

(5)
$$T(r,h) = \max\{deg(h_1), deg(h_2)\} \log r + O(1).$$

Now, by equations (3) - (5), we have

(6)
$$(n+m) \max\{deg(h_1), deg(h_2)\} \log r$$

$$= T(r, h^{n+m}) + O(1)$$

$$\leq T(r, h^{\sigma}) + T(r, p^2(z)) + S(r, f)$$

$$\leq \sigma T(r, h) + 2T(r, p) + S(r, f)$$

$$= \max\{deg(h_1), deg(h_2)\} \log r + 2deg(p)$$

$$+ \log r + O(1).$$

This implies,

$$(n+m-\sigma)T(r,h) \le 2T(r,p) + S(r,f).$$

Hence,

$$(n+m-\sigma) \le 2deg(p).$$

We see that $\max\{deg(h_1), deg(h_2)\} \ge 1$. Now by see (6), we deduce that $(n+m-\sigma)/2 \le deg(p)$, which contradicts our assumption that $deg(p) < \frac{n+m-\sigma}{2}$. Hence h must be a non-zero constant. Let

$$(7) h = t \in \mathbb{C}'.$$

Now, when $deg(p) \ge 1$. By see (3) and (7) we arrive at a contradiction. Therefore $f^n(z)P(f)\prod_{j=1}^d f(z+c_j)^{v_j}g^n(z)P(g)\prod_{j=1}^d g(z+c_j)^{v_j}\not\equiv p^2(z)$. Suppose $p(z)=c\in\mathbb{C}'$. So by 3 we see that $h^{n+m+\sigma}\equiv c^2$. By (7) we get $t^{n+m+\sigma}\equiv c^2$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.7 ([14]). Let f(z) and g(z) be two non-constant meromorphic functions sharing (1,2). Then one of the following holds:

- (i) $T(r, f) \le N_2(r, 0; f) + N_2(r, 0; g) + N_2(r, \infty; f) + N_2(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g);$
 - (ii) $fg \equiv 1$;
 - (iii) $f \equiv g$.

Lemma 2.8 ([4]). Let F and G be two non-constant meromorphic functions sharing (1,1) and $H \not\equiv 0$. Then

$$T(r,F) \le N_2(r,0;F) + N_2(r,0;G) + N_2(r,\infty;F) + N_2(r,\infty;G) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r,0;F) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + S(r,F) + S(r,G).$$

Lemma 2.9 ([4]). Let F and G be two non-constant meromorphic functions sharing (1,0) and $H \not\equiv 0$. Then

$$T(r,F) \le N_2(r,0;F) + N_2(r,0;G) + N_2(r,\infty;F) + N_2(r,\infty;G) + 2\overline{N}(r,0;F) + \overline{N}(r,0;G) + 2\overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;G) + S(r,F) + S(r,G).$$

Lemma 2.10 ([15]). Let H be defined as in (1). If $H \equiv 0$ and

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \to \infty} \frac{\overline{N}(r,0;F) + \overline{N}(r,0;G) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;F)}{T(r)} < 1, \ r \in I,$$

where I is a set of infinite linear measure, then $F \equiv G$ or $F.G \equiv 1$.

3. Proof of main results

Proof of Theorem 9.

Proof. Let $F(z) = \frac{f^n(z)P(f)\prod_{j=1}^d f(z+c_j)^{v_j}}{p(z)}$ and $G(z) = \frac{g^n(z)P(g)\prod_{j=1}^d g(z+c_j)^{v_j}}{p(z)}$. Then, F and G share (1,2) except for the zeros of p(z). Now by Lemma 2.7, we see that one of the following three cases holds:

Case 1. Suppose

$$T(r,F) \le N_2(r,0;F) + N_2(r,0;G) + N_2(r,\infty;F) + N_2(r,\infty;G) + S(r,F) + S(r,G).$$

Now, by applying Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4, we have

$$\overline{N}(r,0;F) \le (1+m+\sigma)T(r,f) + S(r,f).$$

Similarly,

$$\overline{N}(r,0;G) \le (1+m+\sigma)T(r,g) + S(r,f),$$

$$\overline{N}(r,\infty;F) \le (1+m+\sigma)T(r,f) + S(r,f),$$

$$\overline{N}(r,\infty;G) \le (1+m+\sigma)T(r,g) + S(r,f).$$

Therefore,

$$T(r,F) \leq N_{2}(r,0;F) + N_{2}(r,0;G) + N_{2}(r,\infty;F)$$

$$+ N_{2}(r,\infty;G) + S(r,F) + S(r,G)$$

$$\leq 2\overline{N}(r,0;F) + 2\overline{N}(r,0;G)$$

$$+ \overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;G) + S(r,f) + S(r,g)$$

$$\leq 2(1+m+\sigma)T(r,f) + 2(1+m+\sigma)T(r,g) + (1+m+\sigma)T(r,f)$$

$$+ (1+m+\sigma)T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g)$$

$$\leq 3(1+m+\sigma)\{T(r,f) + T(r,g)\} + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

By Lemma 2.5,

(8) $(n+m-\sigma)T(r,f) \le 3(1+m+\sigma)\{T(r,f)+T(r,g)\} + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$ Similarly,

$$(9) \quad (n+m-\sigma)T(r,g) \le 3(1+m+\sigma)\{T(r,f) + T(r,g)\} + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

Combining equation (8),(9)

$$(n+m-\sigma)T(r) \le 6(1+m+\sigma)T(r) + S(r).$$

where $T(r) = \max\{T(r, f), T(r, g)\}$ and S(r) denotes any quantity satisfying S(r) = o(T(r)) as $r \to \infty$, outside of a possible exceptional set of finite logarithmic measure. Therefore $n \le 6+5m+7\sigma$ which contradicts with $n > 7+5m+7\sigma$.

Case 2. $F \equiv G$. Then we have

(10)
$$f^{n}(z)P(f)\prod_{j=1}^{d}f(z+c_{j})^{v_{j}} \equiv g^{n}(z)P(g)\prod_{j=1}^{d}g(z+c_{j})^{v_{j}}$$

Let $h = \frac{f}{g}$. Then f = gh, with these equation (10) becomes,

$$(gh)^{n}(z)P(gh)\prod_{j=1}^{d}(gh)(z+c_{j})^{v_{j}} \equiv g^{n}(z)P(g)\prod_{j=1}^{d}g(z+c_{j})^{v_{j}}.$$

$$h^{n}(z)[a_{m}g^{m}h^{m}+\ldots+a_{0}]\prod_{j=1}^{d}h(z+c_{j})^{v_{j}} \equiv P(g).$$

$$[a_{m}g^{m}h^{n+m}+\ldots+a_{0}h^{n}] \equiv \frac{P(g)}{\prod_{j=1}^{d}h(z+c_{j})^{v_{j}}}.$$

$$(n+m)T(r,h) \leq T(r,h^{n+m}) + S(r,h)$$

$$\leq N\left(r,\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{d}h(z+c_{j})^{v_{j}}}\right) + m\left(r,\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{d}h(z+c_{j})^{v_{j}}}\right)$$

$$\leq T\left(r,\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{d}h(z+c_{j})^{v_{j}}}\right) + S(r,h)$$

$$\leq T(r,h^{\sigma}) + S(r,h)$$

$$\leq \sigma T(r,h) + S(r,h).$$

Since $n \ge 2$, we see that h is a constant. Then, we have $h^{n+m+\sigma} = 1$. Therefore, f(z) = tg(z), where $t^{n+m+\sigma} = 1$.

Case 3. $F.G \equiv 1$. Then we have $f^n(z)P(f)\prod_{j=1}^d f(z+c_j)^{v_j}g^n(z)P(g)\prod_{j=1}^d g(z+c_j)^{v_j} \equiv p^2(z)$. Hence Theorem 9 follows by Lemma 2.6. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 10. Let

$$F = \frac{f^{n}(z)P(f)\prod_{j=1}^{d} f(z+c_{j})^{v_{j}}}{p(z)}$$

and

$$G = \frac{g^{n}(z)P(g)\prod_{j=1}^{d}g(z+c_{j})^{v_{j}}}{p(z)}.$$

Then, F and G share (1,1) except for the zeros of p(z). We now consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $H \not\equiv 0$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\overline{N}(r,0;F) \le (1+m+\sigma)T(r,f) + S(r,f)$$

Similarly,

$$\overline{N}(r,0;G) \le (1+m+\sigma)T(r,g) + S(r,f)$$

$$\overline{N}(r,\infty;F) \le (1+m+\sigma)T(r,f) + S(r,f)$$

$$\overline{N}(r,\infty;G) \le (1+m+\sigma)T(r,g) + S(r,f)$$

Now by applying Lemmas 2.1, 2.4 and 2.8 we have,

$$T(r,F) \leq N_{2}(r,0;F) + N_{2}(r,0;G) + N_{2}(r,\infty;F) + N_{2}(r,\infty;G) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r,0;F)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + S(r,f) + S(r,g)$$

$$\leq 2\overline{N}(r,0;F) + 2\overline{N}(r,0;G) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;G) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r,0;F)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + S(r,f) + S(r,g)$$

$$\leq \{2(1+m+\sigma) + (1+m+\sigma) + \frac{1}{2}(1+m+\sigma) + \frac{1}{2}(1+m+\sigma)\}T(r,f)$$

$$+ \{2(1+m+\sigma) + (1+m+\sigma)\}T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g)$$

$$\leq 4(1+m+\sigma)T(r,f) + 3(1+m+\sigma)T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$(n+m-\sigma)T(r,f) \le 4(1+m+\sigma)T(r,f) + 3(1+m+\sigma)T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(11)

Similarly,

$$(n+m-\sigma)T(r,g) \le 4(1+m+\sigma)T(r,g) + 3(1+m+\sigma)T(r,f)$$
(12)
$$+ S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

Adding inequalities (11) and (12) we get,

$$(n+m-\sigma)T(r) \le 7(1+m+\sigma)T(r) + S(r).$$

where $T(r) = max\{T(r, F), T(r, G)\}$, $S(r) = max\{S(r, f), S(r, g)\}$. Which implies that $n \le 7 + 6m + 8\sigma$ which contradicts with $n > 8 + 6m + 8\sigma$.

Case 2. $H \equiv 0$. In view of Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 we get

$$\begin{split} \overline{N}(r,0;F) + \overline{N}(r,0;G) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;G) \\ &\leq 2(1+m+\sigma)T(r,f) + 2(1+m+\sigma)T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \\ &\leq \frac{2(1+m+\sigma)}{n+m-\sigma}T(r,F) + \frac{2(1+m+\sigma)}{n+m-\sigma}T(r,G) + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \\ &\leq \frac{4(1+m+\sigma)}{n+m-\sigma}T(r) + S(r), \end{split}$$

where $T(r) = max\{T(r, F), T(r, G)\}$, $S(r) = max\{S(r, f), S(r, g)\}$. Since $n > 8 + 6m + 8\sigma$, we have

$$\overline{\lim_{r\to\infty}}\, \frac{\overline{N}(r,0;F) + \overline{N}(r,0;G) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;G)}{T(r)} < 1$$

and so by Lemma 2.10, we have either $F \equiv G$ or $F.G \equiv 1$. Hence, Theorem 10 follows by the proof of Theorem 9. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 11. Let

$$F(z) = \frac{f^{n}(z)P(f)\prod_{j=1}^{d} f(z + c_{j})^{v_{j}}}{p(z)}$$

and

$$G(z) = \frac{g^{n}(z)P(g)\prod_{j=1}^{d}g(z+c_{j})^{v_{j}}}{p(z)}.$$

Then, F and G share (1,0) except for the zeros of p(z). We now consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $H \not\equiv 0$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\overline{N}(r,0;F) \le (1+m+\sigma)T(r,f) + S(r,f).$$

Similarly,

$$\overline{N}(r,0;G) \le (1+m+\sigma)T(r,g) + S(r,f),$$

$$\overline{N}(r,\infty;F) \le (1+m+\sigma)T(r,f) + S(r,f),$$

$$\overline{N}(r,\infty;G) \le (1+m+\sigma)T(r,g) + S(r,f).$$

Now, by Lemmas 2.1, 2.4 and 2.9 we have

$$T(r,F) \leq N_{2}(r,0;F) + N_{2}(r,0;G) + N_{2}(r,\infty;F) + N_{2}(r,\infty;G) + 2\overline{N}(r,0;F)$$

$$+ \overline{N}(r,0;G) + 2\overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;G) + S(r,f) + S(r,g)$$

$$\leq 2\overline{N}(r,0;F) + 2\overline{N}(r,0;G) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;G) + 2\overline{N}(r,0;F)$$

$$+ \overline{N}(r,0;G) + 2\overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;G) + S(r,f) + S(r,g)$$

$$\leq \{2(1+m+\sigma) + (1+m+\sigma) + 2(1+m+\sigma)\}T(r,f)$$

$$+ \{2(1+m+\sigma) + (1+m+\sigma) + (1+m+\sigma) + (1+m+\sigma)\}T(r,g)$$

$$\leq 7(1+m+\sigma)T(r,f) + 5(1+m+\sigma)T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$(n+m-\sigma)T(r,f) \le 7(1+m+\sigma)T(r,f) + 5(1+m+\sigma)T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(13)

Similarly

$$(n+m-\sigma)T(r,g) \le 7(1+m+\sigma)T(r,g) + 5(1+m+\sigma)T(r,f) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(14)

Adding inequalities (13) and (14) we get

$$(n+m-\sigma)\{T(r,g)+T(r,f)\} \le 12(1+m+\sigma)\{T(r,g)+T(r,f)\} + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

i.e., $n \le 12 + 11m + 13\sigma$, which contradicts with $n > 13 + 11m + 13\sigma$.

Case 3. $H \equiv 0$. Hence Theorem 11 follows from the proof of Theorems 9 and 10. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] C. C. Yang, H. X. Yi, *Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [2] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [3] L. Yang, *Value distribution theory*, translated and revised from the 1982 Chinese original, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [4] A. Banerjee, Meromorphic functions sharing one value, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 22 (2005), 3587-3598.
- [5] Y.-M. Chiang, S.-J. Feng, On the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f(z + \eta)$ and difference equations in the complex plane, Ramanujan J., 16 (2008), 105-129.

- [6] J. Heittokangas et al., Value sharing results for shifts of meromorphic functions, and sufficient conditions for periodicity, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 355 (2009), 352-363.
- [7] R. G. Halburd, R. J. Korhonen, Nevanlinna theory for the difference operator, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., 31 (2006), 463-478.
- [8] Lahiri, Indrajit, Value distribution of certain differential polynomials, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 28 (2001), 83-91.
- [9] K. Liu, L.-Z. Yang, Value distribution of the difference operator, Arch. Math. (Basel), 92 (2009), 270-278.
- [10] K. Liu, X. Liu, T. Cao, Value distributions and uniqueness of difference polynomials, Adv. Difference Equ., 2011, Art. ID 234215, 12 pp.
- [11] C. C. Yang, On deficiencies of differential polynomials II, Math. Z., 125 (1972), 107-112.
- [12] S. Majumder, Uniqueness and value distribution of differences of meromorphic functions, Appl. Math. E-Notes, 17 (2017), 114-123.
- [13] Y. Liu, J. P. Wang, F. H. Liu, Some results on value distribution of the difference operator, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., 41 (2015), 603-611.
- [14] I. Lahiri, Weighted value sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions, Complex Variables Theory Appl., 46 (2001), 241-253.
- [15] H. X. Yi, Meromorphic functions that share one or two values, Complex Variables Theory Appl., 28 (1995), 1-11.
- [16] P.W. Harina, V. Husna, Results on uniqueness of product of certain type o difference polynomials, Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, 31 (2021), 67-74.
- [17] V. Husna, S. Rajeshwari, S.H. Naveen Kumar, A note on uniqueness of transcendental entire functions concerning differentia difference polynomials of finite order, Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 9 (2021), 248-260.
- [18] V. Husna, Results on difference poynomials of an entire function and its kth derivative share a small function, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser., 1597 (2020), 012026.
- [19] S. K. Datta, T. Biswas, D. Dutta, Some results on slowly changing function oriented relative order, relative type and relative weak type of differential monomials, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math., 37 (2017), 575-582.

- [20] G. Zhang, Entire functions sharing two smaller order entire functions with their difference operators, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math., 38 (2017), 69-80.
- [21] Chang-Wen Peng, The fixed point of meromorphic solutions for difference riccati equation, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math., 39 (2018), 140-153.
- [22] V. Husna, S. Rajeshwari, S.H. Naveen Kumar, Results on Uniqueness of product of certain type of shift polynomials, Poincare J. Anal. Appl., 7 (2020), 197-210.
- [23] V. Husna, Some results on uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning differential polynomials, J. Anal., 29 (2021), 1191-1206.
- [24] V. Husna, Veena, Results on meromorphic and entire functions sharing CM and IM with their difference operators, J. Math. Comput. Sci., 11 (2021), 5012-5030.
- [25] S. Rajeshwari, V. Husna, V. Nagarjun, Uniqueness theorem for meromorphic functions and differential polynomials share one value with finite weight, Palest. J. Math., 11 (2022), 280-284.
- [26] Harina P. Waghamore, V. Husna, Generalization on uniqueness of nonlinear differential polynomials sharing 1-points, Tamsui Oxf. J. Inf. Math. Sci., 31 (2016), 39-48.

Accepted: November 02, 2020