

Bounds on minimum distance for linear codes over $GF(q)$

Fardos N. Abdullah

*Department of Mathematics
College of Computer Sciences and Mathematics
University of Mosul
Mosul
Iraq
math3a9c@yahoo.com*

Nada Yassen Kasm Yahya*

*Department of Mathematics
College of Education for Pure Sciences
University of Mosul
Mosul
Iraq
drnadaqasim1@gmail.com*

Abstract. Let $[n, k, d; q]$ -codes be linear codes of length n , dimension k and minimum hamming distance d over $GF(q)$. Let $m_{47}(n, k)$ be the maximum possible minimum Hamming distance of a linear $[n, k, d; 47]$ - codes for given values of n and k . In this paper 21 new linear codes over $GF(47)$ are constructed, and a table of $m_{47}(n, k)_{k \leq 47, n \leq 6267}$ is presented. First: we construct three Griesmer $[n, 3, d]_{47}$ - codes. Second: Also, a (k, r) - arc K corresponds to a projective $[k, n, d]_q$ -code of length k , dimension n , and minimum distance $d = k - n$.

Keywords: bounds on $[n, k, d]_q$ -code, Griesmer codes, projective geometries, MDS-code, NMDS-code

1. Introduction

Let $GF(q)$ denote the Galois field of q elements, and let $V(n, k)$ denote the vector space of all ordered n -tuples over $GF(q)$. A linear codes C of length n and dimension k over $GF(q)$ is a k -dimensional subspace of $V(n, q)$. Such a code is called $[n, k, d; q]$ -code if its minimum Hamming distance is d .

A central problem in coding theory is that of optimizing one of the parameters n, k and d for given values of the other two. Two versions are:

Problem 1: Find $m_q(n, k)$, the largest value of d for which there exists an $[n, k, d; q]$ -code.

Problem 2: Find $n_q(k, d)$, the smallest value of n for which there exists an $[n, k, d; q]$ -code.

*. Corresponding author

A code which achieves one of these two values is called optimal.

For the case of linear codes over $GF(47)$, problem 2 has been solved for $k \leq 3$ (see [11]). In this paper consider the next four dimensions. 21 new linear codes over $GF(47)$ are constructed, the nonexistence of sixteen linear codes is proved and a table of $m_q(n, k)$ $k \leq 47, n \leq 6267$ is proved.

A number of researcher have studied the nonexistence of some (k, r) -arcs in $PG(2, q)$ have been proved by (Daskalov, 2004, 2008, 2013) in and (Najem, 2010), (Hirschfeld, 2007), (Salam, 2018), (Khalied, 2013), when $q = 7, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43$. Complete arcs have important connections with a number of other objects, see [2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,12,13,14,15,16,19] and the references therein.

Definition 1.1. A (k, r) -arc in $PG(2, q)$ is a set K of k points, no $r+1$ of which are collinear, but with at least one set of r points collinear. When $r = 2$, a $(k, 2)$ -arc is called a k -arc ([17]). The maximum size of a (k, r) -arc in $PG(2, q)$ is denoted by $m_r(2, q)$.

Definition 1.2. An $\{l, n\}$ -blocking set S in $PG(2, q)$ is a set of l points such that every line of $PG(2, q)$ intersects S in at least n points, and there is a line intersecting S in exactly n points.

Definition 1.3. Let M be a set of point in any plane. An i -secant is a line meeting M in exactly i points. Define t_i as the number of i -secants to a set M .

The t_i satisfy the next three Diophantine equations in any projective plane, which are known as the standard equations [5].

Definition 1.4 (Griesmer [18]). The parameters of a linear $[n, k, d]_q$ code satisfy Griesmer bound $n \geq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \lceil d/q^i \rceil$.

Lemma 1.1. For any set of k points in $PG(2, q)$ the following hold:

1. $\sum_{i=0}^{q+1} t_i = q^2 + q + 1$,
2. $\sum_{i=1}^{q+1} i t_i = k(q + 1)$,
3. $\sum_{i=2}^{q+1} i(i - 1) t_i = b(b - 1)$.

Theorem 1.1. Let K be a (k, r) -arc in $PG(2, q)$ where q is prime:

1. If $r \leq (q + 1)/2$ then $m_r(2, q) \leq (r - 1)q + 1$.
2. If $r \leq (q + 3)/2$ then $m_r(2, q) \leq (r - 1)q + r - (q + 1)/2$.

For $r = (q + 3)/2$ the bound is achieved by the Barlotti construction [3]. In this paper we shall prove that if $r > (q + 3)/2$ then $m_r(2, q) \leq (r - 1)q + r - (q + 3)/2$ for $q = 47$.

Theorem 1.2. Let K be a (k, r) -arc in $PG(2, q)$ with $r > (q + 3)/2$ and $q = 47$. Then $m_r(2, q) \leq (r - 1)q + r - (q + 3)/2$.

Proof. Finding a maximum $((r - 1)q + r - (q + 1)/2, r)$ -arc is equivalent to finding a $q^2 + q + 1 - (r - 1)q - r + (q+1)/2, q + 1 - r$ -blocking set B . Since:

$$q^2 + q + 1 - (r - 1)q - r + (q + 1)/2 = (q + 1)(2q + 3 - 2r)/2.$$

Then B is a $(q + 1)(2q + 3 - 2r)/2, q + 1 - r$ - blocking set. □

Theorem 1.3. *Let B be an $\{l, n\}$ - blocking set in $PG(2, q)(q')$. Where $n = q + 1 - rl = n(q + 1) + (q + 1)/2$, then each point of B has exactly $(q + 3)/2$ lines l through.*

Let $T = (q^2_+ 1)(2q + 3 - 2r)/4(q + 1 - r)$ is a total number of $(q + 1 - r)$ -secants.

Let t be the length of the longest secant for the blocking set B . If $t = q + 1$ than B contains a line.

Theorem 1.4. *Let B be an $\{l, n\}$ -blocking set in $PG(2, q)$ that contains a line. If $(n - 1, q) = 1$, then: $B = 1 \geq q(n + 1)$.*

It follows from this theorem that if $t = q + 1$ then $B \geq q(q + 2 - r)$, which cannot occur since $r > (q + 3)/2 \Leftrightarrow 0 > 1 - r + (q + 1)/2 \rightarrow L = q^2 + 2q - rq > q^2 + 2q + 1 - rq - r + (q + 1)/2 = (q + 1)(2q + 3 - 2r)/2 = B$.

If $3(q + 1)/2 - r < t \leq q$ then considering lines through a point on the longest secant but not in B . B must have at least $q(q + 1 - r) + t$ point. Now, we have a contradiction, since: $t > 3(q + 1)/2 - r \rightarrow q(q + 1 - r) + t > q(q + 1) - qr + 3(q + 1)/2 - r = (q + 1)(2q + 3 - 2r)/2$.

Let $t_i = 3\frac{48}{2} - r - i, i = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{q-1}{2}$.

Now, consider $(q + 1 - r)$ -secants with the longest secant.

Let $t = t_i$. Suppose that p is a point on a t_i -secant L and P is not in B . Of the q other secants that P is on, let s of them be $(48 - r)$ - secants and $q - s$ be longer secants. Then:

$$B \geq 72 + s(q + 1 - r) + (q - s)(q + 2 - r) = t_i + q(q + 2 - r) - s.$$

So, that $B - t_i = q(q + 2 - r) - q + i \geq q(q + 2 - r) - s$ making $s \geq q - i$, $T_q + 1 - r \geq t_i \frac{q_1}{2} + (q + 1 - t_i)(q - i) = M_i$.

The inequality

$$(1) \quad M_i > T$$

is equivalent to $f(r) = 2(q - 2i + 1)r^2 - (3q^2 - 8iq + 6q + 4i^2 - 8i + 3)r + q^3 + 4q^2 + 3q - 4iq^2 + 4i^2q - 8iq + 4i^2 - 4i < 0$.

It is clear that if $f((47 + 5)/2) < 0$ and $f(47 - 1) < 0$ for some values of I , then for these values condition (1) will be fulfilled for $r = (47 + 5)/2, \dots, q - 1$ and we shall have a contradiction

$$(2) \quad f(q + 1/2) < 0 \Leftrightarrow 2(q - 3)i^2 - (q - 3)^2i - q^2 + 4q + 5 < 0$$

$$(3) \quad f(q - 1) < 0 \Leftrightarrow 8i^2 - 16i - q^2 + 4q + 5 < 0.$$

The solutions of inequalities (2) and (3) are as follows:

$$i \in \left(\frac{(q-3)^2 - \sqrt{q^4 - 4q^3 - 2q^2 - 52q + 201}}{4(q-3)}, \frac{(q-3)^2 + \sqrt{q^4 - 4q^3 - 2q^2 - 52q + 201}}{4(q-3)} \right).$$

$$i \in \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2q^2 - 8q + 6}, 1 + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2q^2 - 8q + 6} \right).$$

It is easy show that $1 - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2q^2 - 8q + 6} < 0$ and

$$\frac{(q-3)^2 - \sqrt{q^4 - 4q^3 - 2q^2 - 52q + 201}}{4(q-3)} < 0,$$

for $q \geq 7$.

Let

$$i_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2q^2 - 8q + 6}, i_2 = \frac{(q-3)^2 + \sqrt{q^4 - 4q^3 - 2q^2 - 52q + 201}}{4(q-3)}.$$

When $i_1 < i_2$, $q^4 - 4q^3 - 6q^2 + 4q + 5 = (q-1)(q-5)(q+1)^2 \geq 0$ inequalities (2) and (3) are true when $0 \leq i < 1 + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2q^2 - 8q + 6}$.

Furthermore:

$$1 + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2q^2 - 8q + 6} \leq \frac{q-5}{2} \leftrightarrow (q-5)(q-19) \geq 0.$$

Hence $i_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2q^2 - 8q + 6} \leq \frac{q-5}{2}$ for $q \geq 19$.

Let $i = (q-3)/2$, in this case we shall use the standard equations for the set B. Let $x = t_{q-r+1}, y = t_{q-r+2}, z = t_{q-r+3}, a_1 = q-r+1, b_1 = q-r+2, c_1 = q-r+3, a_2 = (q-r+1)(q-r), b_2 = (q-r+2)(q-r+1)$ and $c_2 = (q-r+3)(q-r+2)$.

Then the standard equation are $x + y + z = q^2 + q + 1, a_1x + b_1y + c_1z = (q+1)^2(q-r+3/2), a_2x + b_2y + c_2z = (q+1)(q-r+3/2)((q+1)(q-r+3/2) - 1)$.

The unique solution of this system is $X = [q^2(4r-7) - 4q(r^2 - 4r + 3) + 3]/8, Y = [4qr^2 - 4q(q+3)r + 9q^2 + 12q + 3]/4, Z = [q^2(4r-3) - 4q(r-1)^2 - 1]/8$.

Let us consider the function $G(r) = 4qr^2 - 4q(q+3)r + 9q^2 + 12q + 3$.

This function increases when $(q+3)/2 < r < q$. therefore $q(r) \leq g(q-1) = -7q^2 + 28q + 3 < 0$ if $q \geq 5$. So, $Y = t_{q-r+2} < 0$, a contradiction.

Let $i = (q-1)/2$. We shall use again the standard equation for the set B let $x = t_{q-r+1}, y = t_{q-r+2}, a = q-r+1, b = q-r+2, c = (q-r+1)$ and $d = (q-r+2)(q-r+1)$. Then the standard equation $Ax + by = (q+1)^2(q-r+3/2), Cx + dy = (q+1)(q-r+3/2)((q+1)(q-r+3/2) - 1)$. From the first two equations we obtain $y = -\frac{q+2q(1-r)-1}{2}, y = \frac{3q+2q(2-r)+1}{2}$. The difference between the left-hand side and right-hand side of the third equation now is $D(r) = qr^2 - q(q+2)r + 3q^2/4 + q + 1/4$.

The function D(r) is an increasing function when $(q+3)/2 < r < q$.

Hence, $D(q-1) = (-9q^2 + 16 + 1)/4 < 0$ when $q > (8 + \sqrt{73})/9 \approx 1$ and so the system of standard equations no solutions.

On the basis of our observations up to now we can conclude that: $D_r(2, q) \leq (r-1)q + r - (q+3)/2, r > (q+3)/2$ and $7 \leq 17$.

If $q \geq 19$ then $i_1 \leq (q-5)/2$ (see(5)) and we have to check directly only the cases when $i_1 \leq i \leq \frac{q-5}{2}$. For $q = 47$ the results are as follow. Then $i_1 = 1 + \sqrt{253} \approx 16$. $96, (q-5) / 2 = 21$ and we check directly that inequality (1) is fulfilled for $i = 17, 18, 19, 20, 21$ and $r = 26, \dots, 43$.

If $r = 44$ then $M_{21} = 1227$, $T = 1242$ and inequality (1) is not satisfied for $i = 21$, then $t_{21} = 7$ and the respective system of standard equations in unknowns t_4, t_5, t_6 and t_7 has no solutions in nonnegative integers. More precisely, the standard equations are $t_4 + t_5 + t_6 + t_7 = 216$, $4t_4 + 5t_5 + 6t_6 + 7t_7 = 10368$, $12t_4 + 20t_5 + 30t_6 + 42t_7 = 46440$.

The solution of this system in term of t_7 , $t_4 = -25380 - t_7$, $t_5 = 4168 + 3t_7$, $t_6 = -16092 - 3t_7$.

It follows now that $t_5 + t_6 = -11924$ and we have a contradiction.

If $r = 45$, then $M_{20} = 1268$, $M_{21} = 1230$, $T = 1288$ and inequality (1) is not satisfied for $i = 17, 18, 19, 20, 21$. If $i = 20$ then $t_{20} = 7$ and the respective system of standard equations in unknowns t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6 and t_7 has no solutions in nonnegative integers. More precisely, the standard equations are $t_3 + t_4 + t_5 + t_6 + t_7 = 168$, $3t_3 + 4t_4 + 5t_5 + 6t_6 + 7t_7 = 8064$, $6t_3 + 12t_4 + 20t_5 + 30t_6 + 42t_7 = 28056$.

The solution of this system in terms of t_6 and t_7 is $t_3 = -16548 - t_6 - 3t_7$, $t_4 = 25872 + 3t_6 + 8t_7$, $t_5 = -9156 - 3t_6 - 6t_7$, $t_4 + t_5 = -1192$ and we have a contradiction.

If $i = 21$ then $t_{21} = 6$ and the respective system of standard equations in unknowns t_3, t_4, t_5 and t_6 , $t_3 + t_4 + t_5 + t_6 = 168$, $3t_3 + 4t_4 + 5t_5 + 6t_6 = 8064$, $6t_3 + 12t_4 + 20t_5 + 30t_6 = 28056$.

The solution of this system in terms of t_6 , $t_3 = -16548 - t_6$, $t_4 = 2587 + 3t_6$, $t_5 = -9156 - 3t_6$ it follows now that $t_4 + t_5 = -6569$ and we have a contradiction.

If $r = 46$ then $M_{17} = 1377$, $M_{18} = 1344$, $M_{19} = 1309$, $M_{20} = 1272$, $M_{21} = 1233$, $T = 1380$ and inequality (1) is not satisfied for $i = 17, 18, 19, 20, 21$.

If $i = 17$ then $t_{17} = 9$ and the respective system of standard equations in unknowns $t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6, t_7, t_8$ and t_9 has no solutions in nonnegative integers. More precisely, the standard equations are $t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5 + t_6 + t_7 + t_8 + t_9 = 120$, $2t_2 + 3t_3 + 4t_4 + 5t_5 + 6t_6 + 7t_7 + 8t_8 + 9t_9 = 5760$, $2t_2 + 6t_3 + 12t_4 + 20t_5 + 30t_6 + 42t_7 + 56t_8 + 72t_9 = 14280$

The solution of this system in terms of t_5, t_6, t_7, t_8, t_9 is $t_2 = -9420 - 5t_5 - 3t_6 - 6t_7 - 10t_8 - 15t_9$, $t_3 = 13560 + 3t_5 + 8t_6 + 15t_7 + 24t_8 + 35t_9$, $t_4 = -4020 - 3t_5 - 6t_6 - 10t_7 - 15t_8 - 12t_9$ it follows now that $t_3 + t_4 = -414$ and we have a contradiction.

If $i = 18$ then $t_{18} = 8$ and the respective system of standard equations in unknowns $t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6, t_7$ and t_8 has no solutions in nonnegative integers. More precisely, the standard equations are $t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5 + t_6 + t_7 + t_8 = 120$, $2t_2 + 3t_3 + 4t_4 + 5t_5 + 6t_6 + 7t_7 + 8t_8 = 5760$, $2t_2 + 6t_3 + 12t_4 + 20t_5 + 30t_6 + 42t_7 + 56t_8 = 14280$.

The solution of this system in terms of t_5, t_6, t_7, t_8 is $t_2 = -9420 - 5t_5 - 3t_6 - 6t_7 - 10t_8$, $t_3 = 13560 + 3t_5 + 8t_6 + 15t_7 + 24t_8$, $t_4 = -4020 - 3t_5 - 6t_6 - 10t_7 - 15t_8$.

It follows now that $t_3 + t_4 = -1060$ and we have a contradiction.

If $i = 19$ then $t_{19} = 7$ and the respective system of standard equations in unknowns t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6 and t_7 has no solutions in nonnegative integers. More precisely, the standard equations are $t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5 + t_6 + t_7 = 120$, $2t_2 + 3t_3 + 4t_4 + 5t_5 + 6t_6 + 7t_7 = 5760$, $2t_2 + 6t_3 + 12t_4 + 20t_5 + 30t_6 + 42t_7 = 14280$.

The solution of this system in terms of t_5, t_6, t_7 is $t_2 = -9420 - 5t_5 - 3t_6 - 6t_7$, $t_3 = 13560 + 3t_5 + 8t_6 + 15t_7$, $t_4 = -4020 - 3t_5 - 6t_6 - 10t_7$. It follows now that $t_3 + t_4 = -904$ and we have a contradiction.

If $i = 20$ then $t_{20} = 6$ and the respective system of standard equations in unknowns t_2, t_3, t_4, t_6 and t_6 has no solutions in nonnegative integers. More precisely, the standard equations are $t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5 + t_6 = 120$, $2t_2 + 3t_3 + 4t_4 + 5t_5 + 6t_6 = 5760$, $2t_2 + 6t_3 + 12t_4 + 20t_5 + 30t_6 = 14280$.

The solution of this system in terms of t_5, t_6 is $t_2 = -9420 - 5t_5 - 3t_6$, $t_3 = 13560 + 3t_5 + 8t_6$, $t_4 = -4020 - 3t_5 - 6t_6$.

It follows now that $t_3 + t_4 = -4770$ and we have a contradiction.

If $i = 21$ then $t_{21} = 5$ and the respective system of standard equations in unknowns t_2, t_3, t_4 and t_6 has no solutions in nonnegative integers. More precisely, the standard equations are $t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5 = 120$, $2t_2 + 3t_3 + 4t_4 + 5t_5 = 5760$, $2t_2 + 6t_3 + 12t_4 + 20t_5 = 14280$.

The solution of this system in terms of t_5 is $t_2 = -9420 - 5t_5$, $t_3 = 1356 + 3t_5$, $t_4 = -4020 - 3t_5$. It follows now that $t_3 + t_4 = -2664$ and we have a contradiction.

2. The related linear codes

Let $GF(q)$ denote the Galois field of q elements, and let $V(n, q)$ denote the vector space of all ordered n -tuples over $GF(q)$. A linear code C over $GF(q)$ of length n and dimension k is a k -dimensional subspace of $V(n, q)$. The vectors of C are called codewords. The Hamming distance between two codewords is defined to be the number of coordinate places in which they differ. The minimum distance of a code is the smallest of the distances between distinct codewords. Such a code is called an $[n, k, d]_q$ -code if its minimum Hamming distance is d . The code C is called projective if no two coordinate functions on C are scalar multiples of each other.

Theorem 2.1. *There exists a projective $[n, 3, d]_q$ code if and only if there exists an $(n, n - d)$ -arc in $PG(2, q)$.*

We know also that every Griesmer code with $d \leq q^{k-1}$ is projective [4]. Let $d = (r - i)q - (q + 1)/2$ and $(q + 3)/2 < r < q$. Since $[d] + [d/q^2] = (r - 1)q + r - (q + 1)/2$, using Theorems 2.2 and Theorem 2.1 we can formulate the following:

Corollary 2.1. *There do not exist Griesmer codes with parameters $[(r - 1)q + r - (q + 1)/2, 3, (r - 1)q - (q + 1)/2]_q$, where $(q + 3)/2 < r < q$ and $q = 47$.*

A central problem in coding theory is that of optimizing one of the parameters n, k and d for given values of the other two and q fixed.

Two versions are?

Problem 1: find $d_q(n, k)$, the largest value of d for which there exists $[n, k, d]_q$ -code.

Problem 2: find $n_q(k, d)$, the smallest value of n for which there exists $[n, k, d]_q$ -code.

The Griesmer bound states that $n_q(k, d) \geq g_q(k, d) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \lceil \frac{d}{q^j} \rceil$. Codes with parameters $[g_q(k, d), k, d]_q$, are called Griesmer codes. There is a close relationship between (n, r) -arcs in $PG(2, q)$ and $[n, 3, d]_q$ -codes, given by the next theorem [4].

| $25 < r < 47$ | $[n, 3, d]_q$ | $M_{q-}(n, k) \leq d$ |
|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 26 | $[1177, 3, 1151]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1177, 3) \leq 1176$ |
| 27 | $[1225, 3, 1198]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1225, 3) \leq 1224$ |
| 28 | $[1273, 3, 1245]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1273) \leq 1271$ |
| 29 | $[1321, 3, 1295]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1321, 3) \leq 1320$ |
| 30 | $[1369, 3, 1339]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1369, 3) \leq 1368$ |
| 31 | $[1417, 3, 1386]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1417, 3) \leq 1416$ |
| 32 | $[1465, 3, 1433]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1465, 3) \leq 1464$ |
| 33 | $[1513, 3, 1480]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1513, 3) \leq 1512$ |
| 34 | $[1561, 3, 1527]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1561, 3) \leq 1560$ |
| 35 | $[1609, 3, 1575]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1609, 3) \leq 1608$ |
| 36 | $[1657, 3, 1621]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1657, 3) \leq 1656$ |
| 37 | $[1705, 3, 1668]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1705, 3) \leq 1704$ |
| 38 | $[1753, 3, 1715]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1753, 3) \leq 1752$ |
| 39 | $[1801, 3, 1762]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1801, 3) \leq 1800$ |
| 40 | $[1849, 3, 1809]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1849, 3) \leq 1848$ |
| 41 | $[1897, 3, 1856]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1897, 3) \leq 1896$ |
| 42 | $[1945, 3, 1903]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1945, 3) \leq 1944$ |
| 43 | $[1993, 3, 1950]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(1993, 3) \leq 1992$ |
| 44 | $[2041, 3, 1997]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(2041, 3) \leq 2040$ |
| 45 | $[2089, 3, 2044]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(2089, 3) \leq 2088$ |
| 46 | $[2137, 3, 2091]_{47}$ | $M_{47}(2137, 3) \leq 2136$ |

3. Conclusions

1. We finding new largest Bounded:

$$M_r(2, 47) \leq 2040$$

$$M_r(2, 47) \leq 2088$$

$$M_r(2, 47) \leq 2136$$

2. Also we finding bounded of linear $[n, 3, d]_q$ codes not exists

[2041,3,1997]47

[2089,3,2044]47

[2137,3,2091]47

References

- [1] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, *Projective geometries over finite fields*, Oxford Clarendon Press, New York, Oxford University Press, 1979.
- [2] H. Sh. Ibrahim, N.Y. Kasm, *The possibility of applying rumen research at the projective plane $PG(2, 17)$* , Modern Applied Science, 13 (2019).
- [3] H.Sh. Ibrahim, N.Y. Kasm, *The optimal size of b, t -blocking set when $t = 3, 4$ by intersection the tangents in $PG(2, q)$* , Modern Applied Science, 13 (2019).
- [4] N.Y. Kasm, A.Z. Hamad, *Applications of algebraic geometry in cryptography*, Modern Applied Science, 13 (2019).
- [5] N.Y.K. Yahya, *A geometric construction of complete (k, r) -arc in $PG(2, 7)$ and the related projective $[n, 3, d]_7$ codes*, AL-Rafidain Journal of Computer Sciences and Mathematics, 12 (2018), 24-40.
- [6] N.Y.K. Yahya, *A non $PGL(3, q)$ -arcs in the projective plane of order 37*, Tikrit Journal of Pure Science, 19 (2014), 135-145.
- [7] N.Y.K. Yahya, *Existence of minimal blocking sets of size 31 in the projective plane $PG(2, 17)$* , Journal of University of Babylon/Pure and Applied Sciences, 20 (2012), 1138-1146,
- [8] N.Y.K. Yahya, *The use of 7- blocking sets in Galois geometries*, Journal of University of Babylon/Pure and Applied Sciences, 22 (2014), 1229-1235.
- [9] N.Y.K. Yahya, M.N. Salim, *The geometric approach to existences linear $[n, k, d]_{13}$ code*, International Journal of Enhanced Research in science, Technology and Engineering, 2018.
- [10] N.Y.K. Yahya, M.N. Salim, *New geometric methods for prove existence three- dimensional linear $[97, 3, 87]_{11}$ and $[143, 3, 131]_{13}$ codes*, Journal of Education and Science, 28 (2019), 312-333.
- [11] N.Y.K. Yahya, M.N. Salim, *New existences linear $[n, 3, d]_{19}$ codes by geometric structure method in $PG(2, 19)$* , AL-Rafidain Journal of Computer Sciences and Mathematics, 13 (2019).
- [12] N.Y.K. Yahya, A.Z. Hamad, *A geometric construction of a $(56, 2)$ -blocking set in $PG(2, 19)$ and on three dimensional linear $[325, 3, 307]_{19}$ Griesmer*

- code*, AL-Rafidain Journal of Computer Sciences and Mathematics, University of Mosul, Mosul-Iraq. AL-Rafidain Journal of Computer Sciences and Mathematics, 13 (2019).
- [13] A.E.M. Sulaimaan, N.Y. Kasm, *Linear code originates from complete arc by engineering building methods*, Applied Mathematical Science, 13 (2019), 1013-1020.
- [14] A.E.M. Sulaimaan, N.Y. Kasm, *Linear code related t -blocking sets*, Applied Mathematical Science, 13 (2019), 1033-1040.
- [15] A.E.M. Sulaimaan, N.Y. Kasm, *New structural engineering construction methods by remove q points in $PG(2, q)$* , Applied Mathematical Science, 13 (2019), 1048-1041.
- [16] M.G. Faraj, N.Y. Kasm, *Reverse building of complete (k, r) -arcs in $PG(2, q)$* , Open Access Library Journal, 6 (2019).
- [17] D.G. Glynn, *Theorems of points and planes in three-dimensional projective space*, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society 88 (2010), 75-92.
- [18] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Projective geometries over finite fields*, Second edition, Oxford Clarendon Press, New York, Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [19] J.H. Griesmer, *A bound for error-correcting codes*, IBM J. Res. Dev., 4 (1960), 532-542.
- [20] A.E.M. Sulaimaan, N.Y. Kasm Yahya, *The reverse construction of complete (k, n) - arcs in three dimensional projective space $PG(3, 4)$* , Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1591 (2020), 012078.
- [21] A.E.M. Sulaimaan, N.Y. Kasm Yahya, *The reverse construction of complete (k, n) - arcs in $PG(2, q)$ where $q = 2, 4, 8$ related with linear codes*, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1591 (2020), 012079 IOP Publishing.
- [22] Ali Ahmed A. Abdulla, N.Y. Kasm Yahya, *Complete arcs and surfaces in three dimensional projective space $PG(3, 7)$* , Open Access Library Journal, 7 (2020).
- [23] Ali Ahmed A. Abdulla, N.Y. Kasm Yahya, *Application of algebraic geometry in three dimensional projective space $PG(3, 7)$* , Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1591 (2020), 012077.
- [24] A. Abdulla, Ali Ahmed, N.Y. Kasm Yahya, *A geometric construction of surface complete (k, r) -cap in $PG(3, 7)$* , in Ibn Al-Haitham 2nd Conference for Pure and Applied Science (IHICPAS)-2020, for publication in Journal of Physics: Conference Series, in press, 2021.

- [25] N.Y. Kasm Yahya *Applications Geometry of Space in $PG(3,P)$* , in Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, for publication in Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, in press, 2021.

Accepted: 11.09.2019