

Join hesitant fuzzy filters of residuated lattices

G. Muhiuddin*

*Department of Mathematics
University of Tabuk
Tabuk 71491
Saudi Arabia
chishtygm@gmail.com*

Shuaa Aldhafeeri

*Department of Mathematics
College of basic education
Public authority for applied education and training
Kuwait
saldhafeeri@yahoo.com*

Abstract. The notions of join hesitant fuzzy filters and join hesitant fuzzy G -filters in residuated lattices are introduced, and related properties are investigated. Characterizations of join hesitant fuzzy filters and join hesitant fuzzy G -filters are discussed. Conditions for a join hesitant fuzzy filter to be a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter are provided, and a new join hesitant fuzzy filter is construct by the given join hesitant fuzzy filter.

Keywords: Residuated lattice, join hesitant fuzzy filter, join hesitant fuzzy G -filter.

1. Introduction

Hesitant fuzzy sets, as another extension of fuzzy sets, have been proposed in [16]. The motivation for introducing hesitant fuzzy sets is that it is sometimes difficult to determine the membership of an element into a set and in some circumstances this difficulty is caused by a doubt between a few different values.

As a non-classical logic system, residuated lattices are a formal and useful tool for computer science to deal with uncertain and fuzzy information. In [20], Zhu and Xu discussed filter theory of residuated lattices. Moreover, Jun et al. applied the notion of hesitant fuzzy sets to MTL-algebras, BCK/BCI-algebras, EQ-algebras and semigroups (see [4], [5], [6] and [7]). Also, Muhiuddin et al. applied the notion of hesitant fuzzy sets to residuated lattices, lattice implication algebras and BCK/BCI-algebras (see [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13] and [14]).

In this paper, we introduce join hesitant fuzzy filters and join hesitant fuzzy G -filters in residuated lattices, and investigate their properties. We consider characterizations of join hesitant fuzzy filters and join hesitant fuzzy G -filters. We provide conditions for a join hesitant fuzzy filter to be a join hesitant fuzzy

*. Corresponding author

G-filter. Given a join hesitant fuzzy filter, we construct a new join hesitant fuzzy filter.

2. Preliminaries

We display well-known results on residuated lattices and hesitant fuzzy sets. We refer the reader to [1, 2, 3, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19] for further information regarding residuated lattices and hesitant fuzzy sets.

A *residuated lattice* is an algebra $\mathcal{L} := (L, \vee, \wedge, \otimes, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ of type $(2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0)$ such that

- (1) $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is a bounded lattice.
- (2) $(L, \otimes, 1)$ is a commutative monoid.
- (3) \otimes and \rightarrow form an adjoint pair, that is,

$$(\forall x, y, z \in L) (x \leq y \rightarrow z \Leftrightarrow x \otimes y \leq z).$$

In a residuated lattice \mathcal{L} , the ordering \leq is defined as follows:

$$(\forall x, y \in L) (x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x \wedge y = x \Leftrightarrow x \vee y = y \Leftrightarrow x \rightarrow y = 1)$$

and x' will be reserved for $x \rightarrow 0$, and $x'' = (x')'$, etc. for all $x \in L$.

In a residuated lattice L , the following properties are valid.

- (2.1) $1 \rightarrow x = x, x \rightarrow 1 = 1, x \rightarrow x = 1.$
- (2.2) $0 \rightarrow x = 1, x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = 1.$
- (2.3) $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = (x \otimes y) \rightarrow z = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z).$
- (2.4) $x \leq y \Rightarrow z \rightarrow x \leq z \rightarrow y, y \rightarrow z \leq x \rightarrow z.$
- (2.5) $z \rightarrow y \leq (x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y).$
- (2.6) $z \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x).$

A nonempty subset F of a residuated lattice \mathcal{L} is called a *filter* of \mathcal{L} if it satisfies the conditions:

- (2.7) $(\forall x, y \in L) (x, y \in F \Rightarrow x \otimes y \in F).$
- (2.8) $(\forall x, y \in L) (x \in F, x \leq y \Rightarrow y \in F).$

A nonempty subset F of \mathcal{L} is called a *G-filter* of \mathcal{L} if it is a filter of \mathcal{L} that satisfies the following condition:

- (2.9) $(\forall x, y \in L) ((x \otimes x) \rightarrow y \in F \Rightarrow x \rightarrow y \in F).$

Proposition 2.1 ([15]). *A nonempty subset F of a residuated lattice \mathcal{L} is a filter of \mathcal{L} if and only if it satisfies:*

$$(2.10) \quad 1 \in F.$$

$$(2.11) \quad (\forall x \in F) (\forall y \in L) (x \rightarrow y \in F \Rightarrow y \in F).$$

Torra [16] defined hesitant fuzzy sets in terms of a function that returns a set of membership values for each element in the domain.

Definition 2.2 ([16]). Let L be a reference set. Then we define *hesitant fuzzy set* on L in terms of a function \mathcal{H} that when applied to L returns a subset of $[0, 1]$.

For a hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} and a subset τ of $[0, 1]$, the τ -exclusive set of \mathcal{H} is denoted by $e(\mathcal{H}; \tau)$, and is defined to be the set

$$e(\mathcal{H}; \tau) := \{x \in L \mid \mathcal{H}(x) \subseteq \tau\}.$$

3. Join hesitant fuzzy filters

In what follows, let \mathcal{L} denote a residuated lattice unless otherwise specified, and we take L as a reference set.

Definition 3.1. A hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} is called a *join hesitant fuzzy filter* of \mathcal{L} if it satisfies:

$$(3.1) \quad (\forall x, y \in L) (x \leq y \Rightarrow x\mathcal{H} \supseteq y\mathcal{H}),$$

$$(3.2) \quad (\forall x, y \in L) (x\mathcal{H} \cup y\mathcal{H} \supseteq (x \otimes y)\mathcal{H}).$$

Proposition 3.2. *Every join hesitant fuzzy filter \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} satisfies:*

$$(3.3) \quad (\forall x \in L) (x\mathcal{H} \supseteq 1\mathcal{H}).$$

$$(3.4) \quad (\forall x, y \in L) (x\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \supseteq y\mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in L$. Since $x \leq 1$, we have $x\mathcal{H} \supseteq 1\mathcal{H}$ by (3.1). Since $x \otimes (x \rightarrow y) \leq y$, it follows from (3.2) and (3.1) that

$$x\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \supseteq (x \otimes (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H} \supseteq y\mathcal{H}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.3. *If a hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} satisfies two conditions (3.3) and (3.4), then*

$$(3.5) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in L) (x \leq y \rightarrow z \Rightarrow x\mathcal{H} \cup y\mathcal{H} \supseteq z\mathcal{H}),$$

$$(3.6) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in L) (x \otimes y \leq z \Rightarrow x\mathcal{H} \cup y\mathcal{H} \supseteq z\mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. Assume that $x \leq y \rightarrow z$ for all $x, y, z \in L$. Then $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = 1$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} x\mathcal{H} \cup y\mathcal{H} &= (x\mathcal{H} \cup 1\mathcal{H}) \cup y\mathcal{H} \\ &= (x\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H}) \cup y\mathcal{H} \\ &\supseteq y\mathcal{H} \cup (y \rightarrow z)\mathcal{H} \supseteq z\mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \leq y \rightarrow z \Leftrightarrow x \otimes y \leq z$, we know that (3.5) induces (3.6). \square

We consider characterizations of join hesitant fuzzy filters.

Theorem 3.4. *A hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} if and only if it satisfies two conditions (3.3) and (3.4).*

Proof. The necessity is from Proposition 3.2.

Conversely, let \mathcal{H} be a hesitant fuzzy set of \mathcal{L} that satisfies (3.3) and (3.4). Let x and y be elements of \mathcal{L} such that $x \leq y$. Then $x \rightarrow y = 1$ and so

$$x\mathcal{H} = x\mathcal{H} \cup 1\mathcal{H} = x\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \supseteq y\mathcal{H}.$$

Since $x \otimes y \leq x \otimes y$ for all $x, y \in L$, it follows from (3.6) that $x\mathcal{H} \cup y\mathcal{H} \supseteq (x \otimes y)\mathcal{H}$ for all $x, y \in L$. Therefore \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} . \square

Theorem 3.5. *A hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} if and only if it satisfies the condition (3.5).*

Proof. The necessity is from Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.4.

Conversely let \mathcal{H} be a hesitant fuzzy set of \mathcal{L} satisfying (3.5). Since

$$x \leq x \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{and} \quad x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow y,$$

for all $x, y \in L$, it follows from (3.5) that

$$x\mathcal{H} = x\mathcal{H} \cup x\mathcal{H} \supseteq 1\mathcal{H} \quad \text{and} \quad x\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \supseteq y\mathcal{H}$$

for all $x, y \in L$. Hence \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} by Theorem 3.4. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Every join hesitant fuzzy filter \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} satisfies the following condition:*

$$(3.7) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in L) ((x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \supseteq (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in L$. Using (2.3) and (2.5), we have

$$x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \leq (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)).$$

It follows from Theorem 3.5 that

$$(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \supseteq (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.7. *A hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} if and only if \mathcal{H} satisfies the condition (3.3) and*

$$(3.8) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in L) ((x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \cup y\mathcal{H} \supseteq (x \rightarrow z)\mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} . Then the condition (3.3) is valid. Using (3.4) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x \rightarrow z)\mathcal{H} &\subseteq y\mathcal{H} \cup (y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \\ &= y\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$.

Conversely, let \mathcal{H} be a hesitant fuzzy set of \mathcal{L} satisfying (3.3) and (3.8). Taking $x := 1$ in (3.8) and using (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} z\mathcal{H} &= (1 \rightarrow z)\mathcal{H} \subseteq (1 \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \cup y\mathcal{H} \\ &= (y \rightarrow z)\mathcal{H} \cup y\mathcal{H} \end{aligned}$$

for all $y, z \in L$. Thus \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} by Theorem 3.4. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Every join hesitant fuzzy filter \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} satisfies the following condition:*

$$(3.9) \quad (\forall a, x \in L) (a\mathcal{H} \supseteq ((a \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. If we take $y := (a \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x$ and $x := a$ in (3.4), then

$$\begin{aligned} ((a \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H} &\subseteq a\mathcal{H} \cup (a \rightarrow ((a \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x))\mathcal{H} \\ &= a\mathcal{H} \cup ((a \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow x))\mathcal{H} \\ &= a\mathcal{H} \cup 1\mathcal{H} = a\mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.9. *A hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:*

$$(3.10) \quad (\forall x, y \in L) (x\mathcal{H} \supseteq (y \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H}),$$

$$(3.11) \quad (\forall x, a, b \in L) (a\mathcal{H} \cup b\mathcal{H} \supseteq ((a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow x)) \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} . Using (3.4), (2.3), (2.1) and (3.3), we have

$$(y \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H} \subseteq x\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x))\mathcal{H} = x\mathcal{H} \cup 1\mathcal{H} = x\mathcal{H},$$

for all $x, y \in L$.

Using (3.8) and (3.9), we get

$$((a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow x)) \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H} \subseteq ((a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow x)) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow x))\mathcal{H} \cup b\mathcal{H} \subseteq a\mathcal{H} \cup b\mathcal{H},$$

for all $a, b, x \in L$.

Conversely, let \mathcal{H} be a hesitant fuzzy set of \mathcal{L} satisfying two conditions (3.10) and (3.11). If we take $y := x$ in (3.10), then $x\mathcal{H} \supseteq (x \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H} = 1\mathcal{H}$ for all $x \in L$. Using (3.11) induces

$$y\mathcal{H} = (1 \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} = (((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \subseteq (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \cup x\mathcal{H},$$

for all $x, y \in L$. Therefore \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} by Theorem 3.4. \square

Theorem 3.10. *A hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} if and only if the nonempty τ -exclusive set of \mathcal{H} is a filter of \mathcal{L} for all $\tau \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$.*

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} and let $\tau \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ be such that $e(\mathcal{H}; \tau) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x, y \in L$ be such that $x \in e(\mathcal{H}; \tau)$ and $x \rightarrow y \in e(\mathcal{H}; \tau)$. Then $\tau \supseteq x\mathcal{H}$ and $\tau \supseteq (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H}$. It follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that $1\mathcal{H} \subseteq x\mathcal{H} \subseteq \tau$ and $y\mathcal{H} \subseteq x\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \subseteq \tau$. Hence $1 \in e(\mathcal{H}; \tau)$ and $y \in e(\mathcal{H}; \tau)$, and therefore $e(\mathcal{H}; \tau)$ is a filter of \mathcal{L} by Proposition 2.1.

Conversely, suppose that $e(\mathcal{H}; \tau)$ is a filter of \mathcal{L} for all $\tau \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ with $e(\mathcal{H}; \tau) \neq \emptyset$. For any $x \in L$, let $x\mathcal{H} = \delta$. Then $x \in e(\mathcal{H}; \delta)$ and $e(\mathcal{H}; \delta)$ is a filter of \mathcal{L} . Hence $1 \in e(\mathcal{H}; \delta)$ and so $x\mathcal{H} = \delta \supseteq 1\mathcal{H}$. For any $x, y \in L$, let $x\mathcal{H} = \delta_x$ and $(x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} = \delta_{x \rightarrow y}$. If we take $\delta = \delta_x \cup \delta_{x \rightarrow y}$, then $x \in e(\mathcal{H}; \delta)$ and $x \rightarrow y \in e(\mathcal{H}; \delta)$ which imply that $y \in e(\mathcal{H}; \delta)$. Thus

$$x\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} = \delta_x \cup \delta_{x \rightarrow y} = \delta \supseteq y\mathcal{H}.$$

Therefore \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} by Theorem 3.4. \square

Theorem 3.11. *For a hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} , let \mathcal{H}^* be a hesitant fuzzy set of \mathcal{L} which is given as follows:*

$$\mathcal{H}^* : L \rightarrow \mathcal{P}([0, 1]), \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} x\mathcal{H}, & \text{if } x \in e(\mathcal{H}; \tau), \\ [0, 1], & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\tau \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ with $\tau \neq [0, 1]$. If \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} , then so is \mathcal{H}^* .

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} . Then $e(\mathcal{H}; \tau)$ is a filter of \mathcal{L} for all $\tau \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ with $e(\mathcal{H}; \tau) \neq \emptyset$ by Theorem 3.10. Thus $1 \in e(\mathcal{H}; \tau)$, and so $1\mathcal{H}^* = 1\mathcal{H} \subseteq x\mathcal{H} \subseteq x\mathcal{H}^*$ for all $x \in L$. Let $x, y \in L$. If $x \in e(\mathcal{H}; \tau)$ and $x \rightarrow y \in e(\mathcal{H}; \tau)$, then $y \in e(\mathcal{H}; \tau)$. Hence

$$x\mathcal{H}^* \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H}^* = x\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \supseteq y\mathcal{H} = y\mathcal{H}^*.$$

If $x \notin e(\mathcal{H}; \tau)$ or $x \rightarrow y \notin e(\mathcal{H}; \tau)$, then $x\mathcal{H}^* = [0, 1]$ or $(x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H}^* = [0, 1]$. Thus

$$x\mathcal{H}^* \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H}^* = [0, 1] \supseteq y\mathcal{H}^*.$$

Therefore \mathcal{H}^* is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} . \square

Theorem 3.12. *If \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of L , then the set*

$$\mathcal{L}_a := \{x \in L \mid a\mathcal{H} \supseteq x\mathcal{H}\}$$

is a filter of \mathcal{L} for every $a \in L$.

Proof. Since $1\mathcal{H} \subseteq a\mathcal{H}$ for all $a \in L$, we have $1 \in \mathcal{L}_a$. Let $x, y \in L$ be such that $x \in \mathcal{L}_a$ and $x \rightarrow y \in \mathcal{L}_a$. Then $x\mathcal{H} \subseteq a\mathcal{H}$ and $(x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \subseteq a\mathcal{H}$. Since \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of L , it follows from (3.4) that

$$a\mathcal{H} \supseteq x\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \supseteq y\mathcal{H}$$

so that $y \in \mathcal{L}_a$. Hence \mathcal{L}_a is a filter of \mathcal{L} by Proposition 2.1. \square

Theorem 3.13. *Let $a \in L$ and let \mathcal{H} be a hesitant fuzzy set of \mathcal{L} . Then*

(1) *If \mathcal{L}_a is a filter of L , then \mathcal{H} satisfies the following condition:*

$$(3.12) \quad (\forall a, x, y \in L) (a\mathcal{H} \supseteq x\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \Rightarrow a\mathcal{H} \supseteq y\mathcal{H}).$$

(2) *If \mathcal{H} satisfies (3.3) and (3.12), then \mathcal{L}_a is a filter of L .*

Proof. (1) Assume that \mathcal{L}_a is a filter of L . Let x and y be elements of \mathcal{L} such that

$$a\mathcal{H} \supseteq x\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H}.$$

Then $x \rightarrow y \in \mathcal{L}_a$ and $x \in \mathcal{L}_a$. Using (2.11), we have $y \in \mathcal{L}_a$ and so $a\mathcal{H} \supseteq y\mathcal{H}$.

(2) Suppose that \mathcal{H} satisfies (3.3) and (3.12). Then $1 \in \mathcal{L}_a$ by (3.3). Let x and y be elements of \mathcal{L} such that $x \in \mathcal{L}_a$ and $x \rightarrow y \in \mathcal{L}_a$. Then $a\mathcal{H} \supseteq x\mathcal{H}$ and $a\mathcal{H} \supseteq (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H}$, which imply that $a\mathcal{H} \supseteq x\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H}$. Thus $a\mathcal{H} \supseteq y\mathcal{H}$ by (3.12), and so $y \in \mathcal{L}_a$. Therefore \mathcal{L}_a is a filter of \mathcal{L} by Proposition 2.1. \square

4. Join hesitant fuzzy G -filters

Definition 4.1. A hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} is called a *join hesitant fuzzy G -filter* of \mathcal{L} if it is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} such that

$$(4.1) \quad (\forall x, y \in L) (((x \otimes x) \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \supseteq (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H}).$$

Note that the condition (4.1) is equivalent to the following condition:

$$(4.2) \quad (\forall x, y \in L) ((x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H} \supseteq (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H}).$$

Example 4.2. Let $L := [0, 1]$ (unit interval). For any $a, b \in L$, define

$$a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}, \quad a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\},$$

$$a \rightarrow b = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \leq b, \\ b, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } a \otimes b = \min\{a, b\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{L} := (L, \vee, \wedge, \otimes, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is a residuated lattice. Let \mathcal{H} be a hesitant fuzzy set of \mathcal{L} defined by

$$\mathcal{H} : L \rightarrow \mathcal{P}([0, 1]), \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} (0, \frac{1}{2}], & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \\ [0, 1], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} .

Theorem 4.3. *Let \mathcal{H} be a hesitant fuzzy set of \mathcal{L} . Then \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} if and only if it is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} that satisfies the following condition:*

$$(4.3) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in L) ((x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \supseteq (x \rightarrow z)\mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} . Then \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} . Note that $x \leq 1 = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)$, and thus $x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)$ for all $x, y \in L$. It follows from (3.1) that $(x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \supseteq (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H}$. Combining this and (4.2), we have

$$(4.4) \quad (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} = (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H},$$

for all $x, y \in L$. Using (3.7) and (4.4), we have

$$(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \supseteq (x \rightarrow z)\mathcal{H},$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$.

Conversely, let \mathcal{H} be a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} that satisfies the condition (4.3). If we put $y = x$ and $z = y$ in (4.3) and use (2.1) and (3.3), then

$$\begin{aligned} (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} &\subseteq (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H} \\ &= (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H} \cup 1\mathcal{H} \\ &= (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in L$. Therefore \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} . \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let \mathcal{H} be a hesitant fuzzy set of \mathcal{L} that satisfies the condition (3.3) and*

$$(4.5) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in L) (x\mathcal{H} \cup ((y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H} \supseteq y\mathcal{H}).$$

Then \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} .

Proof. If we take $z := 1$ in (4.5) and use (2.1), then

$$\begin{aligned} x\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} &= x\mathcal{H} \cup (1 \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H} \\ &= x\mathcal{H} \cup ((y \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H} \\ &\supseteq y\mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} by Theorem 3.4. Let $x, y, z \in L$. Since

$$x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) \leq (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))$$

by (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \supseteq ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)))\mathcal{H}$$

by (3.1). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} &\supseteq (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \cup ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)))\mathcal{H} \\ &\supseteq (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \\ &\supseteq (((x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \\ &= (((x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (1 \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)))\mathcal{H} \\ &\supseteq (x \rightarrow z)\mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} by Theorem 4.3. \square

The following example shows that any join hesitant fuzzy G -filter may not satisfy the condition (4.5).

Example 4.5. The join hesitant fuzzy G -filter \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} in Example 4.2 does not satisfy the condition (4.5) since

$$\frac{2}{3}\mathcal{H} \cup ((\frac{1}{3} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}) \rightarrow (\frac{2}{3} \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}))\mathcal{H} = \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{H} \cup 1\mathcal{H} = \tau \not\subseteq U = \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{H}.$$

Proposition 4.6. For a join hesitant fuzzy filter \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} , the condition (4.5) is equivalent to the following condition.

$$(4.6) \quad (\forall x, y \in L) (((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H} \supseteq x\mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. Assume that the condition (4.5) is valid. It follows from (3.3) and (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H} &= 1\mathcal{H} \cup ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H} \\ &= 1\mathcal{H} \cup ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (1 \rightarrow x))\mathcal{H} \\ &\supseteq x\mathcal{H} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in L$.

Conversely, suppose that the condition (4.6) is valid. It follows from (2.3) and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} x\mathcal{H} \cup ((y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H} &= x\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H} \\ &\supseteq ((y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \supseteq y\mathcal{H} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in L$. \square

Combining Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.6, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.7. *Every join hesitant fuzzy filter satisfying the condition (4.6) is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter.*

Proposition 4.8. *Every join hesitant fuzzy filter \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} with the condition (4.5) satisfies the following condition.*

$$(4.7) \quad (\forall x, y \in L) (((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \supseteq ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{H} be a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} that satisfies the condition (4.5) and let $x, y \in L$. Since $x \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow x) = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow 1 = 1$, that is, $x \leq (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x$, we have $((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow y$ by (2.4). It follows from (2.6), (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y &\leq (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x) \\ &= (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \\ &\leq (((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.1), (3.3), (2.1), (2.3) and (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} &\supseteq (((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H} \\ &= 1\mathcal{H} \cup (1 \rightarrow (((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x))\mathcal{H} \\ &= 1\mathcal{H} \cup (((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (1 \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x))\mathcal{H} \\ &\supseteq ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the condition (4.7) is valid. \square

Corollary 4.9. *Every join hesitant fuzzy filter \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} with the condition (4.6) satisfies the condition (4.7).*

Proposition 4.10. *Every join hesitant fuzzy G -filter \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} with the condition (4.7) satisfies the condition (4.5).*

Proof. Let \mathcal{H} be a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} that satisfies the condition (4.7). For any $x, y, z \in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z\mathcal{H} \cup ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x))\mathcal{H} &= z\mathcal{H} \cup (z \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x))\mathcal{H} \\ &\supseteq ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H} \\ &\supseteq ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H} \\ &\supseteq ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \\ &\supseteq ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H} \end{aligned}$$

by (2.3), (3.4), (2.6), (3.1), (4.2) and (4.7). Since

$$(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x \leq y \rightarrow x \leq z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x),$$

it follows from (3.1) that $((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H} \supseteq (z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x))\mathcal{H}$ and so from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} z\mathcal{H} \cup ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x))\mathcal{H} &\supseteq z\mathcal{H} \cup ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H} \\ &\supseteq z\mathcal{H} \cup (z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x))\mathcal{H} \\ &\supseteq (y \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$z\mathcal{H} \cup ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x))\mathcal{H} \supseteq (y \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H} \cup ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H} \supseteq x\mathcal{H}.$$

Hence the condition (4.5) is valid. \square

Theorem 4.11. *Let \mathcal{H} be a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} . Then \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} if and only if the following condition holds:*

$$(4.8) \quad (\forall x \in L) ((x \rightarrow (x \otimes x))\mathcal{H} = 1\mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of L . Since $x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (x \otimes x)) = 1$ for all $x \in L$, we have $(x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (x \otimes x)))\mathcal{H} = 1\mathcal{H}$. It follows from (4.3) and (2.1) that

$$(x \rightarrow (x \otimes x))\mathcal{H} \subseteq (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (x \otimes x)))\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow x)\mathcal{H} = 1\mathcal{H}$$

and so from (3.3) that $(x \rightarrow (x \otimes x))\mathcal{H} = 1\mathcal{H}$ for all $x \in L$.

Conversely, let \mathcal{H} be a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} which satisfies the condition (4.8) and let $x, y \in L$. Since

$$x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) = (x \otimes x) \rightarrow y \leq (x \rightarrow (x \otimes x)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)$$

by (2.3) and (2.5), it follows from (3.1) that

$$(x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H} \supseteq ((x \rightarrow (x \otimes x)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} &\subseteq ((x \rightarrow (x \otimes x)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow (x \otimes x))\mathcal{H} \\ &\subseteq (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow (x \otimes x))\mathcal{H} \\ &= (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H} \cup 1\mathcal{H} \\ &= (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H} \end{aligned}$$

by using (3.4), (4.8) and (3.3). Hence \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} . \square

Theorem 4.12. *A hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} if and only if it is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} with an additional condition:*

$$(4.9) \quad (\forall x, y \in L) ((x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} = (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} . Then \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} . Let $x, y \in L$. Since $x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)$, we have

$$(x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \supseteq (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H}$$

by (3.1). Hence $(x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} = (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H}$ by using (4.2).

Conversely, let \mathcal{H} be a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} with the condition (4.9). It follows from Proposition 3.6 that

$$(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} \supseteq (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} = (x \rightarrow z)\mathcal{H}$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} by Theorem 4.3. \square

Proposition 4.13. *Every join hesitant fuzzy G -filter \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} satisfies the following conditions:*

$$(4.10) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in L) ((x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \supseteq ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H}).$$

$$(4.11) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in L) ((x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} = ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{H} be a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} . Using (2.3), (4.3), (2.5) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} &= (x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \\ &\subseteq (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z)))\mathcal{H} \\ &= (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \cup ((y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)))\mathcal{H} \\ &= (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \cup 1\mathcal{H} \\ &= (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$. Thus (4.10) holds. Since $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \leq x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$, it follows from (3.1) that $((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \supseteq (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H}$ and so that

$$(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} = ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H}$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$ by using (4.10). \square

Proposition 4.14. *Assume that \mathcal{L} satisfies the divisibility, that is, $x \wedge y = x \otimes (x \rightarrow y)$ for all $x, y \in L$. If \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} satisfying (4.11), then the following equality is true.*

$$(4.12) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in L) (((x \otimes y) \rightarrow z)\mathcal{H} = ((x \wedge y) \rightarrow z)\mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. Using the divisibility and (2.3), we have

$$(x \wedge y) \rightarrow z = (x \otimes (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow z = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$. It follows from (2.3) and (4.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} ((x \otimes y) \rightarrow z)\mathcal{H} &= (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \\ &= ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \\ &= ((x \wedge y) \rightarrow z)\mathcal{H} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$. □

Theorem 4.15. *Let \mathcal{L} satisfy the divisibility, that is, $x \wedge y = x \otimes (x \rightarrow y)$ for all $x, y \in L$. Then every join hesitant fuzzy filter \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{L} satisfying the condition (4.12) is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} .*

Proof. Using Proposition 3.6, (2.3) and (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \cup (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{H} &\supseteq (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))\mathcal{H} \\ &= ((x \otimes x) \rightarrow z)\mathcal{H} = ((x \wedge x) \rightarrow z)\mathcal{H} = (x \rightarrow z)\mathcal{H} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} by Theorem 4.3. □

Theorem 4.16. *Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{G} be join hesitant fuzzy filters of \mathcal{L} such that $1\mathcal{H} = 1\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H} \supseteq \mathcal{G}$, i.e., $x\mathcal{H} \supseteq x\mathcal{G}$ for all $x \in L$. If \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} , then so is \mathcal{G} .*

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{H} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} . Using (2.3) and (2.1), we have

$$x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow y)) = (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) = 1$$

for all $x, y \in L$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow y))\mathcal{G} &\subseteq (x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow y))\mathcal{H} \\ &= (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow y)))\mathcal{H} \\ &= 1\mathcal{H} = 1\mathcal{G} \end{aligned}$$

by hypotheses and (4.4), and so

$$(x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow y))\mathcal{G} = 1\mathcal{G}$$

for all $x, y \in L$ by (3.3). Since \mathcal{G} is a join hesitant fuzzy filter of \mathcal{L} , it follows from (3.4), (2.3) and (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} (x \rightarrow y)\mathcal{G} &\subseteq (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{G} \cup ((x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{G} \\ &= (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{G} \cup (x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow y))\mathcal{G} \\ &= (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{G} \cup 1\mathcal{G} \\ &= (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))\mathcal{G} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in L$. Therefore \mathcal{G} is a join hesitant fuzzy G -filter of \mathcal{L} . □

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] R. Belohlavek, *Some properties of residuated lattices*, Czechoslovak Math. J., 53 (2003), 161-171.
- [2] F. Esteva and L. Godo, *Monoidal t -norm based logic: towards a logic for left-continuous t -norms*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 124 (2001), 271-288.
- [3] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of fuzzy logic*, Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, 1998.
- [4] Y. B. Jun and S. Z. Song, *Hesitant fuzzy set theory applied to filters in MTL-algebras*, Honam Math. J., 36 (2014), 813-830.
- [5] Y. B. Jun, S. S. Ahn and G. Muhiuddin, *Hesitant fuzzy soft subalgebras and ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras*, The Scientific World Journal, Article ID 763929 (2014), 7 pages.
- [6] Y. B. Jun and S. Z. Song, *Hesitant fuzzy prefilters and filters of EQ-algebras*, Appl. Math. Sci., 9 (2015), 515-532.
- [7] Y. B. Jun and S. Z. Song and G. Muhiuddin, *Hesitant fuzzy semigroups with a frontier*, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 30 (2016), 1613-1618.
- [8] G. Muhiuddin, *Hesitant fuzzy filters and hesitant fuzzy G -filters in residuated lattices*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., 21 (2016), 394-404.
- [9] G. Muhiuddin and Abdullah M. Al-roqi, *Regular hesitant fuzzy filters and MV-hesitant fuzzy filters of residuated lattices*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., 24 (2018), 1133-1144.
- [10] G. Muhiuddin, E. H. Roh, Sun Shin Ahn and Y. B. Jun, *Hesitant fuzzy filters in lattice implication algebras*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., 22 (2017), 1105-1113.
- [11] G. Muhiuddin, H. S. Kim, S. Z. Song and Y. B. Jun, *Hesitant fuzzy translations and extensions of subalgebras and ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras*, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 32 (2017), 43-48.
- [12] G. Muhiuddin and Young Bae Jun, *Sup-hesitant fuzzy subalgebras and its translations and extensions*, Annals of Communications in Mathematics, 2 (2019), 48-56.

- [13] G. Muhiuddin, Habib Harizavi and Y. B. Jun, *Ideal theory in BCK/BCI-algebras in the frame of hesitant fuzzy set theory*, Applications and Applied Mathematics, (In press), (2019).
- [14] G. Muhiuddin, A.M. Alanazi, Mohamed E. A. Elnair and K. P. Shum, *Inf-hesitant fuzzy subalgebras and ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras*, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, (In press) (2019).
- [15] J. G. Shen and X. H. Zhang, *Filters of residuated lattices*, Chin. Quart. J. Math., 21 (2006), 443-447.
- [16] V. Torra, *Hesitant fuzzy sets*, Int. J. Intell. Syst., 25 (2010), 529-539.
- [17] E. Turunen, *BL-algebras of basic fuzzy logic*, Mathware & Soft Computing, 6 (1999), 49-61.
- [18] E. Turunen, *Boolean deductive systems of BL-algebras*, Arch. Math. Logic, 40 (2001), 467-473.
- [19] X. H. Zhang and W. H. Li, *On fuzzy logic algebraic system MTL*, Adv. Syst. Sci. Appl., 5 (2005), 475-483.
- [20] Y. Q. Zhu and Y. Xu, *On filter theory of residuated lattices*, Inform. Sci., 180 (2010), 3614-3632.

Accepted: 18.12.2017