

Some remarks on generalizations of prime submodules

Masoud Zolfaghari*

Mohammad Hosein Mosleми Koopaei

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Mathematics

Statistics and Computer Sciences

Semnan University

Semnan

Iran

mzolfaghari@semnan.ac.ir

m.koopaei@semnan.ac.ir

Abstract. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and M be a unitary R -module. Let $S(M)$ be the set of all submodules of M and $\phi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. A proper submodule N of M is called $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime, if $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ where $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} \in R$ and $x \in M$, then there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $r_1 \dots r_{i-1}r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1}x \in N$ or $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \in (N : M)$ ($n \geq 2$). In this work, $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodules are studied and some results are obtained. Also, the characterization of $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodules of a free multiplication module is given.

Keywords: ϕ -prime submodule, ϕ -prime ideal, $(n-1, n)$ - ψ -prime ideal, multiplication module, $(n-1, n)$ -almost prime, $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ - $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}$ submodule, $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ - $\mathbb{F}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}$ module.

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, all rings are commutative with identity and all modules are unitary. Let M be an R -module and N be a submodule of M . The ideal $\{r \in R \mid rM \subseteq N\}$ will be denoted by $(N : M)$. Let $S(M)$ be the set of all submodules of M and $\phi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. A proper submodule N of M is said to be a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime, if $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \in N \setminus \phi(N)$, $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} \in R$ and $x \in M$ ($n \geq 2$), then $r_1 \dots r_{i-1}r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1}x \in N$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ or $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \in (N : M)$. Without loss of generality, throughout of the paper we will assume $\phi(N) \subseteq N$. If $\phi(N) = \emptyset$ (resp. $\phi(N) = 0$, $\phi(N) = (N : M)N$, $\phi(N) = (N : M)^{m-1}N$ and $\phi(N) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (N : M)^i N$), then the submodule N is called a $(n-1, n)$ -prime (resp. $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime, $(n-1, n)$ -almost prime, $(n-1, n)$ - m -almost prime and $(n-1, n)$ - ω -prime). Firstly, Anderson and Bataineh in [4] introduced various generalizations of prime ideals. Let $\psi : \mathcal{I}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function where $\mathcal{I}(R)$ is the set of all ideals of R . We call a proper ideal I of R a ψ -prime ideal if $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in I \setminus \psi(I)$, then $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. If $\psi(I) = \emptyset$ (resp. $\psi(I) = 0$, $\psi(I) = I^2$, $\psi(I) = I^m$ and

*. Corresponding author

$\psi(I) = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} I^m$), then ideal I is called a prime ideal (resp. weakly prime ideal, almost prime ideal, m -almost prime ideal and ω -prime ideal). Zamani in [11] used this concept for ϕ -prime submodule, in fact a proper submodule N of M is a prime submodule relative to ϕ or ϕ -prime submodule if $rx \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ where $r \in R$ and $x \in M$, then $x \in N$ or $r \in (N : M)$. If $\phi(N) = \emptyset$ (resp. $\phi(N) = 0$, $\phi(N) = (N : M)N$, $\phi(N) = (N : M)^{m-1}N$ and $\phi(N) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (N : M)^i N$), then a submodule N is a prime submodule (resp. weakly prime submodule, almost prime submodule, m -almost prime submodule and ω -prime submodule). Some properties of ϕ -prime submodules have been studied in [11]. Ebrahimpour and Nekooei defined $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule and $(n-1, n)$ - ψ -prime ideal (see [7]). A proper ideal I of R is $(n-1, n)$ - ψ -prime if $r_1 \dots r_n \in I \setminus \psi(I)$, then $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_n \in I$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. A number of results concerning $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodules have been established in [7]. Also some basic properties of prime submodules have been studied in [1, 3, 5, 6, 9].

In this work, we continue the above studies in a special case, by alternation of n and ϕ . Again some other results lead us to conclude some corollaries and propositions and theorems concerning the properties of $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodules. Also, for a free multiplication module M , the results are given in Section 4.

2. Some general results

The following propositions give some properties when we use the definition $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule.

Proposition 2.1. *Let R be a ring and M be an R -module. If N is a proper ϕ -prime submodule of M ($(1, 2)$ - ϕ -prime), then N is $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule ($n \geq 2$).*

Proof. Let $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} m \in N \setminus \phi(N)$. Assume that $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \notin (N : M)$. Since N is a ϕ -prime submodule of M , hence $m \in N$, so $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1} m \in N$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let M be an R -module and $\phi_1, \phi_2 : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be two functions where $S(M)$ is the set of all submodules of M with $\phi_1 \leq \phi_2$ (i.e., for every submodule N of M , $\phi_1(N) \subseteq \phi_2(N)$). If N is $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_1 -prime submodule, then N is $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_2 -prime.*

Proof. It is clear.

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose that N is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule of M , then N is a $(n, n+1)$ - ϕ -prime submodule of M .*

Proof. Let $r_1 \dots r_n m \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ where $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$ and $m \in M$. Then $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} (r_n m) \in N \setminus \phi(N)$, so $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \in (N : M)$ or $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1} (r_n m) \in N$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Thus $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} r_n \in (N : M)$ or $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_n m \in N$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Example 2.4. We know that if N is a prime submodule of M , then N is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime submodule of M ($n \geq 2$) by Proposition 2.1. But the converse of Proposition 2.1, is not true in general. For example, let $M = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ be a \mathbb{Z} -module and $N = \langle (3, 0) \rangle$. Since $(N : M) = 0$ and $3(2, 0) \in N$ but $3 \notin (N : M)$ and $(2, 0) \notin N$, therefore N is not a prime submodule. We show that N is a $(2, 3)$ -prime submodule. Suppose that $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ with $r_1 r_2 (m, n) \in N$. We have $(r_1 r_2 m, r_1 r_2 n) \in \langle (3, 0) \rangle$. If $r_1 = 0$ or $r_2 = 0$, then $0 = r_1 r_2 \in (N : \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$, so N is a $(2, 3)$ -prime submodule. Now, let $r_1 \neq 0$ and $r_2 \neq 0$, hence $0 \neq r_1 r_2 \notin (N : \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$. Since $(r_1 r_2 m, r_1 r_2 n) \in \langle (3, 0) \rangle$, therefore $n = 0$ and $3 \mid r_1 r_2 m$. If $3 \mid m$, then $r_1(m, 0) \in N$ and $r_2(m, 0) \in N$. If $3 \nmid m$, then $3 \mid r_1 r_2$. Hence $3 \mid r_1$ or $3 \mid r_2$. Thus $r_1(m, 0) \in N$ or $r_2(m, 0) \in N$, as required.

Proposition 2.5 *Let M be an R -module and suppose that for every $x \in M$, $\text{Ann}(x) = 0$. If N is a $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime submodule of M , then N is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime submodule.*

Proof. Let $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} x \in N$ where $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} \in R$, $x \in M$ ($n \geq 2$), and suppose that $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \notin (N : M)$. Since N is a $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime submodule, hence $0 \neq r_1 \dots r_{n-1} x \in N$, implies that $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1} x \in N$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Hence N is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime submodule. But if $x \neq 0$ and $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} x = 0$, then $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} = 0$, so $0 = r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \in (N : M)$, this is a contradiction.

Proposition 2.6 *Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism and M be an S -module. Suppose that N is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule of S -module M , then N is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule of R -module M .*

Proof. Let $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} x \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ where $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} \in R$, $x \in M$ ($n \geq 2$). We know that $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} x = \varphi(r_1 \dots r_{n-1})x = \varphi(r_1) \dots \varphi(r_{n-1})x \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ where $\varphi(r_i) \in S$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $x \in M$ ($n \geq 2$). It is clear that N is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule of R -module M .

3. Main results

We state the following theorems and propositions which in the proofs of them, we use the definition $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule.

The motivation of [7, Theorem 2.7], we introduce function $\phi_{R/I}$. Let M be an R -module and I be an ideal of R . Since $I \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M/IM)$, so M/IM is an R/I -module. We know that $(r+I)(m+IM) = rm+IM$ where $r+I \in R/I$, $m+IM \in M/IM$. Now, for a submodule N of M with $IM \subseteq N$, let $\phi_{R/I} : S(M/IM) \rightarrow S(M/IM) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be defined by $\phi_{R/I}(N/IM) = (\phi(N) + IM)/IM$ for $IM \subseteq N$ and $\phi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be an R -module, I be an ideal of R and N be a submodule of M with $IM \subseteq N$. If N is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule of M , then N/IM is a $(n-1, n)$ - $\phi_{R/I}$ -prime submodule of M/IM ($n \geq 2$).*

Proof. See [7, Theorem 2.7].

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be an R -module, L and N be two submodules of M with $L \subseteq N \subset M$. Let $\phi_L : S(M/L) \rightarrow S(M/L) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be defined by $\phi_L(N/L) = (\phi(N) + L)/L$ with $L \subseteq \phi(N)$. If N/L is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_L -prime submodule of M/L , then N is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule of M ($n \geq 2$).*

Proof. Let $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} \in R$, $x \in M$ with $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ and $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \notin (N : M)$. So $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \in N$ and $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \notin \phi(N)$. Hence $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x + L \in N/L$ and $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x + L \notin (\phi(N) + L)/L$. Since N/L is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_L -prime and $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} + L \notin (N/L :_R M/L)$, thus there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $r_1 \dots r_{i-1}r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1}x + L \in N/L$. Therefore $r_1 \dots r_{i-1}r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1}x \in N$ and so N is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\phi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function and N be a proper submodule of M . Then N is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule of M if and only if $\frac{N}{\phi(N)}$ is a $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime submodule of $\frac{M}{\phi(N)}$.*

Proof. It is straightforward.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be an R -module epimorphism, $\phi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ and $\phi' : S(M') \rightarrow S(M') \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be two functions. Then the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *If N is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule of M with $\ker f \subseteq N$ and $f(\phi(N)) \subseteq \phi'(f(N))$, then $f(N)$ is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ' -prime submodule of M' .*
- (2) *If L is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ' -prime submodule of M' and $f^{-1}(\phi'(L)) \subseteq \phi(f^{-1}(L))$, then $f^{-1}(L)$ is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule of M .*

Proof. (1) Let $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} \in R$ and $m' \in M'$ with $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}m' \in f(N) \setminus \phi'(f(N))$. There exists $m \in M$ such that $f(m) = m'$. We have $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}f(m) \in f(N)$ and $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}f(m) \notin \phi'(f(N))$. It follows that $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}m \in N$ and $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}m \notin \phi(N)$, because $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}f(m) \notin f(\phi(N))$. Thus $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}m \in N \setminus \phi(N)$, so $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \in (N : M)$ or $r_1 \dots r_{i-1}r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1}m \in N$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Therefore $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \in (f(N) : M')$ or $r_1 \dots r_{i-1}r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1}f(m) \in f(N)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

(2) Let $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}m \in f^{-1}(L) \setminus \phi(f^{-1}(L))$ where $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} \in R$ and $m \in M$. We have $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}m \in f^{-1}(L)$ and $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}m \notin \phi(f^{-1}(L))$. It follows that $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}f(m) \in L \setminus \phi'(L)$. So $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \in (L : M')$ or $r_1 \dots r_{i-1}r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1}f(m) \in L$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, because L is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ' -prime submodule of M' . Thus $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \in (f^{-1}(L) : M)$ or $r_1 \dots r_{i-1}r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1}m \in f^{-1}(L)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, as required.

Theorem 3.6. *Let M be a free R -module with a basis $\{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$, $\phi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ and $\psi : \mathcal{I}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be two functions where $\mathcal{I}(R)$ is the set of all ideals of R . If P is a $(n-1, n)$ - ψ -prime ideal of R with $\psi(P)M \subseteq \phi(PM)$, then PM is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule of M and $(PM : M) = P$.*

Proof. Since P is a proper ideal of R , so PM is a proper submodule of M . Let $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} \in R$, $x \in M$ with $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \in PM \setminus \phi(PM)$. Since M is a free R -module with a basis $\{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$, therefore $PM = \{\sum_{f,s} s_i m_i | s_i \in P, m_i \in \{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\}$. We have $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \in PM$ and $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \notin \phi(PM)$ with $x = \sum_{f,s} r'_\alpha m_\alpha$ ($r'_\alpha \in R, m_\alpha \in \{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$). Thus $\sum_{f,s} (r_1 \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha) m_\alpha = \sum_{f,s} s_\alpha m_\alpha$, so $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha = s_\alpha \in P$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$. But $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha \notin \psi(P)$, otherwise $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha \in \psi(P)$. Thus for every $m_\alpha \in \{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$, we have $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha m_\alpha \in \psi(P) m_\alpha$. So for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \sum_{f,s} r'_\alpha m_\alpha \in \psi(P)M$. Since $\psi(P)M \subseteq \phi(PM)$, therefore $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \sum_{f,s} r'_\alpha m_\alpha \in \phi(PM)$, so $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \in \phi(PM)$. This is a contradiction. We showed that for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha \in P \setminus \psi(P)$. Since P is a $(n-1, n)$ - ψ -prime ideal, so there exists $i \neq \alpha \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha \in P$. For every $m_\alpha \in \{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$, we have $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha m_\alpha \in P m_\alpha$. Therefore $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1}x \in PM$. But if $i = \alpha$, we have $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \in P$, so $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \in (PM : M)$. Thus PM is $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule of M . It is clear that $(PM : M) = P$.

Now, the following corollary is given as a result of the above theorem. We recall that an R -module M is a multiplication module if for every submodule N of M , $N = IM$ for some ideal I of R (see [2], [8], [10]).

Corollary 3.7. *Let M be a free multiplication R -module and N be a proper submodule of M . Let $\psi : \mathcal{I}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function where \mathcal{I} is the set of all ideals of R and $\phi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function with $\psi(N : M)M \subseteq \phi((N : M)M)$. If $(N : M)$ is $(n-1, n)$ - ψ -prime ideal of R , then N is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule of M .*

Proof. Since $N = (N : M)M$, by Theorem 3.6 the proof is clear.

Definition 3.8. A proper submodule P of an R -module M is called *compactly packed* (or abbreviated by $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}$ submodule) if for each family $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of prime submodules of M with $P \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_\alpha$, then $P \subseteq P_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \Lambda$. Whenever $P \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_\alpha$ implies that there exist $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \in \Lambda$ such that $P \subseteq_{i=1}^n P_{\alpha_i}$, P is said *finitely compactly packed* (or abbreviated by $\mathbb{F}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}$) submodule. A module M is said to be $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}$ ($\mathbb{F}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}$), if every proper submodule of M is a $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}$ ($\mathbb{F}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}$) submodule. We will call a proper submodule N of M as ϕ - $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}$ if for each family $\{N_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of ϕ -prime submodules of M with $N \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha$, then $N \subseteq N_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \Lambda$. Whenever $N \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha$ implies that there exist $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \in \Lambda$ such that $N \subseteq_{i=1}^n N_{\alpha_i}$, N is said ϕ - $\mathbb{F}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}$ submodule. A module M is said to be ϕ - $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}$ (ϕ - $\mathbb{F}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}$) if every proper submodule is a ϕ - $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}$ (ϕ - $\mathbb{F}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}$).

Also, we call a proper submodule N of M as $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ - $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}$ if for each family $\{N_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodules of M with $N \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha$,

then $N \subseteq N_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \Lambda$. Whenever $N \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha$ implies that there exist $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \in \Lambda$ such that $N \subseteq_{i=1}^n N_{\alpha_i}$, then N is called $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -FCP submodule. A module M is said to be $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -CP ($(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -FCP) if every proper submodule is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -CP ($(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -FCP).

Proposition 3.9. *Let M be an R -module, ϕ_1 and $\phi_2 : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be two functions where $S(M)$ is the set of all submodules of M with $\phi_1 \leq \phi_2$ (i.e., for every submodule N , $\phi_1(N) \subseteq \phi_2(N)$). If M is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_2 -CP ($(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_2 -FCP) module, then M is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_1 -CP ($(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_1 -FCP) module.*

Proof. Let N be a proper submodule of M with $N \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha$ where N_α is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_1 -prime submodule of M . By proposition 2.2., N_α is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_2 -prime submodule of M , so $N \subseteq N_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \Lambda$, because M is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_2 -CP module. Since N_β is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_1 -prime submodule of M , so N is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_1 -CP submodule of M . Thus M is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_1 -CP module. Similarly, we can prove that M is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_1 -FCP module.

Proposition 3.10. *Every $(n, n+1)$ - ϕ -CP (FCP) module is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -CP (FCP) module.*

Proof. Apply Proposition 2.3.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be an R -module epimorphism, $\phi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ and $\phi' : S(M') \rightarrow S(M') \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be two functions. Then the following conditions hold:*

(i) *If M is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -FCP (CP) module such that for every $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ' -prime submodule L of M' with $f^{-1}(\phi'(L)) \subseteq \phi(f^{-1}(L))$, then M' is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ' -FCP (CP) module.*

(ii) *If M' is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ' -FCP (CP) module such that every $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule N of M with $\ker f \subseteq N$ and $f(\phi(N)) \subseteq \phi'(f(N))$, then M is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -FCP (CP) module.*

Proof. (i) Let N' be a proper submodule of M' such that $N' \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} L'_\alpha$, where L'_α is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ' -prime submodule of M' for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. We have $f^{-1}(N') \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(L'_\alpha)$. Since L'_α is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ' -prime submodule of M' for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and $f^{-1}(\phi'(L'_\alpha)) \subseteq \phi(f^{-1}(L'_\alpha))$, by Theorem 3.5. (2), $f^{-1}(L'_\alpha)$ is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule of M for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. But M is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -FCP module, thus there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Lambda$ such that $f^{-1}(N') \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(L'_{\alpha_i})$, hence $f^{-1}(N') \subseteq f^{-1}(\cup_{i=1}^n L'_{\alpha_i})$. Since f is an epimorphism R -module, so $N' \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n L'_{\alpha_i}$. Therefore we showed that N' is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ' -FCP submodule of M' . Thus M' is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ' -FCP module. Similarly, we can prove that N' is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ' -CP submodule of M' . So M' is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ' -CP module.

(ii) Assume that L is a proper submodule of M with $L \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha$ where N_α is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule of M for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. We have $f(L) \subseteq f(\cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha) = \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f(N_\alpha)$. Since N_α is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodule of M , $f(\phi(N_\alpha)) \subseteq \phi'(f(N_\alpha))$ and $\ker f \subseteq N_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, by Theorem 3.5. (1),

$f(N_\alpha)$ is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ' -prime submodule of M' . Since M' is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ' -FCP module, so there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Lambda$ such that $f(L) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n f(N_{\alpha_i})$. Now, we prove that $L \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n N_{\alpha_i}$.

Let $x \in L$, so $f(x) \in f(\cup_{i=1}^n N_{\alpha_i})$, hence $f(x) = f(t)$ for some $t \in \cup_{i=1}^n N_{\alpha_i}$. So $x - t \in \ker f \subseteq N_{\alpha_j}$ and $t \in N_{\alpha_j}$ for some $\alpha_j \in \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. Therefore $x \in N_{\alpha_j}$, so $x \in \cup_{i=1}^n N_{\alpha_i}$. It follows that L is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -FCP submodule of M . Thus M is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -FCP module. Similarly, we can prove that L is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -CP submodule of M . So M is a $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -CP module.

4. The generalization of prime submodules of free multiplication modules

Let M be a free multiplication R -module. We study several relations between various generalizations of $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime submodules among $(n-1, n)$ -almost prime submodules, $(n-1, n)$ -prime submodules and $(n-1, n)$ - m -almost prime submodules.

Proposition 4.1. *Let M be a free multiplication R -module and N be a proper submodule of M . If N is a $(n-1, n)$ -almost prime submodule of M such that $(N : M)^2$ is a prime ideal of R , then N is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime submodule of M .*

Proof. Let M be a free multiplication R -module with a basis $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ and N be a proper submodule of M such that N is a $(n-1, n)$ -almost prime submodule with $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \in N$ where $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} \in R$ and $x \in M$. If $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \notin (N : M)N$, we have $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \in N \setminus (N : M)N$, so $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \in (N : M)$ or $r_1 \dots r_{i-1}r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1}x \in N$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Thus N is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime submodule of M . If $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \in (N : M)N$, because $N = (N : M)M$, hence $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \in (N : M)^2M$. Suppose that $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \notin (N : M)$, we prove that $r_1 \dots r_{i-1}r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1}x \in N$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. On the other hand, we have $x = \sum_{f,s} r'_\alpha x_\alpha$ that $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a basis for M . Also, we get $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x = \sum_{f,s} r''_\alpha x_\alpha$ with $r''_\alpha \in (N : M)^2$. Thus $\sum_{f,s} (r_1 \dots r_{n-1}r'_\alpha)x_\alpha = \sum_{f,s} r''_\alpha x_\alpha$, so $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}r'_\alpha = r''_\alpha$, for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Since M is a free module, so $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}r'_\alpha = r''_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Thus $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}r'_\alpha \in (N : M)^2$, because $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \notin (N : M)$, hence $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \notin (N : M)^2$. But $(N : M)^2$ is a prime ideal of R , so $r'_\alpha \in (N : M)^2$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Therefore $x = \sum_{f,s} r'_\alpha x_\alpha \in (N : M)^2M$. Since $(N : M)^2M \subseteq (N : M)M = N$, so $x \in N$ and hence $r_1 \dots r_{i-1}r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1}x \in N$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Corollary 4.2. *Let M be a free multiplication R -module. If N is a $(n-1, n)$ - m -almost prime submodule of M such that $(N : M)^m$ is a prime ideal of R , then N is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime submodule of M .*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.1.

Corollary 4.3. *Let M be a free multiplication R -module and I be a proper ideal of R such that $(IM : M)^2$ is a prime ideal of R . If IM is a $(n-1, n)$ -*

almost prime submodule of M , then IM is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime submodule of M .

Proof. Apply Proposition 4.1.

Corollary 4.4. Let M be a free multiplication R -module and I be a proper ideal of R such that $(IM : M)^m$ is a prime ideal of R . If IM is a $(n-1, n)$ -almost prime submodule of M , then IM is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime submodule of M .

Proof. Apply Corollary 4.2.

Proposition 4.5. Let M be a faithful finitely generated R -module with a basis $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ and I be a proper radical ideal of R such that I^2 is a prime ideal of R . If IM is a $(n-1, n)$ -almost prime submodule of M , then IM is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime submodule.

Proof. Since M is a faithful finitely generated R -module and I is a radical ideal of R , so $(IM : M) = I$. Let $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \in IM$ where $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} \in R$ and $x \in M$. If $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \notin (IM : M)IM$, then $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \in IM \setminus (IM : M)IM$. Hence $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \in (IM : M)$ or $r_1 \dots r_{i-1}r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1}x \in IM$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Now, assume that $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \in (IM : M)IM$ and $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \notin (IM : M)$. Because $(IM : M) = I$, we have $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}x \in I^2M$. On the other hand we get $x = \sum_{f,s} r'_\alpha x_\alpha$, since $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a basis for M . Therefore $\sum_{f,s} (r_1 \dots r_{n-1}r'_\alpha)x_\alpha \in I^2M$, hence $\sum_{f,s} (r_1 \dots r_{n-1}r'_\alpha)x_\alpha = \sum_{f,s} r''_\alpha x_\alpha$ where $r''_\alpha \in I^2$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Thus $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}r'_\alpha = r''_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, hence $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}r'_\alpha \in I^2$. Because $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \notin (IM : M) = I$, so $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \notin I^2$. Since I^2 is a prime ideal of R , hence $r'_\alpha \in I^2$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Thus we proved that $x = \sum_{f,s} r'_\alpha x_\alpha \in I^2M$. Therefore $x \in IM$ and $r_1 \dots r_{i-1}r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1}x \in IM$.

Proposition 4.6. Let M be a free multiplication R -module and $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be an R -module epimorphism. Let N be a proper submodule of M with $\ker f \subseteq N$ and $(N : M)^2$ be a prime ideal of R . If N is a $(n-1, n)$ -almost prime submodule of M , then $f(N)$ is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime submodule of M' .

Proof. Let N be a proper submodule of M , then $f(N)$ is a proper submodule of M' . Suppose that $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}m' \in f(N)$ where $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} \in R$ and $m' \in M'$. Since $f(M) = M'$, so $f(m) = m'$, for some $m \in M$. So $f(r_1 \dots r_{n-1}m) \in f(N)$. Since $\ker(f) \subseteq N$, hence $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}m \in N$. If $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}m \notin (N : M)N$, then $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}m \in N \setminus (N : M)N$. Since N is a $(n-1, n)$ -almost prime submodule of M , so $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \in (N : M)$ or $r_1 \dots r_{i-1}r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1}m \in N$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Hence $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \in (f(N) : f(M))$ or $r_1 \dots r_{i-1}r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1}f(m) \in f(N)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Thus $f(N)$ is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime submodule of M' . Now, if $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}m \in (N : M)N$, so $r_1 \dots r_{n-1}m \in (N : M)^2M$. Assume that $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \notin (N : M)$. Furthermore, since R -module M is free with a basis $\{x_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$, so $m = \sum_{f,s} r'_i x_i$, hence $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \sum_{f,s} r'_i x_i \in (N : M)^2M$. Hence we have $\sum_{f,s} r_1 \dots r_{n-1}r'_i x_i = \sum_{f,s} r''_i x_i$ where $r''_i \in (N : M)^2$. It

follows that $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} r'_i = r''_i$. Since $(N : M)^2$ is a prime ideal of R , so $r'_i \in (N : M)^2$ for every $i \in \Lambda$. Thus $m = \sum_{f,s} r'_i x_i \in (N : M)^2 M$, therefore $m \in (N : M)M$, because of $(N : M)^2 M \subseteq (N : M)M$. Thus $m \in (N : M)M = N$, so $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1} m \in N$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. So $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1} f(m) \in f(N)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Thus $f(N)$ is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime submodule of M' .

Proposition 4.7. *Let M be a free multiplication R -module and $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be an R -module epimorphism. Let N be a proper submodule of M with $\ker f \subseteq N$ and $(N : M)^m$ be a prime ideal of R . If N is a $(n-1, n)$ - m -almost prime submodule of M , then $f(N)$ is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime submodule of M' .*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.6.

Theorem 4.8. *Let M be a free multiplication R -module with a basis $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ and N be a proper submodule of M . If $(N : M)$ is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime ideal of R , then N is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime submodule of M .*

Proof. Let $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} \in R$ and $x \in M$ with $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} x \in N$. Since $N = (N : M)M$, so $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} x \in (N : M)M$. Because $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a basis for M , so $x = \sum_{f,s} r'_\alpha x_\alpha$. Therefore $\sum_{f,s} r_1 \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha x_\alpha \in (N : M)M$, hence $\sum_{f,s} r_1 \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha x_\alpha = \sum_{f,s} r''_\alpha x_\alpha$ where $r''_\alpha \in (N : M)$. It is clear that $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha \in (N : M)$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Since $(N : M)$ is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime ideal of R , we have two cases. The first case, $i \neq \alpha$, so $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha \in (N : M)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Therefore $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1} \sum_{f,s} r'_\alpha x_\alpha \in (N : M)M$. So $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1} x \in (N : M)M = N$. The second case, $i = \alpha$ by elimination α , we have $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \in (N : M)$. Finally, we showed that N is a $(n-1, n)$ -prime submodule of M .

Theorem 4.9. *Let M be a free multiplication R -module and N be a proper submodule of M . If $(N : M)$ is a $(n-1, n)$ -almost prime ideal of R , then N is a $(n-1, n)$ -almost prime submodule of M .*

Proof. Let $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} \in R$ and $x \in M$ with $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} x \in N \setminus (N : M)N$. Since $N = (N : M)M$, so $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} x \in (N : M)M \setminus (N : M)^2 M$, hence $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} x \in (N : M)M$ and $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} x \notin (N : M)^2 M$. Assume that $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a basis for M , so $x = \sum_{f,s} r'_\alpha x_\alpha$. It is clear that $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha \in (N : M)$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Also we get $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha \notin (N : M)^2$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, otherwise $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha \in (N : M)^2$, so $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \sum_{f,s} r'_\alpha x_\alpha \in (N : M)^2 M$, hence $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} x \in (N : M)^2 M$, this is a contradiction. Thus we have $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha \in (N : M) \setminus (N : M)^2$. Since $(N : M)$ is a $(n-1, n)$ -almost prime ideal of R , therefore $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha \in (N : M)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1, \alpha\}$. If $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ we get $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1} r'_\alpha \in (N : M)$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Thus $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1} \sum_{f,s} r'_\alpha x_\alpha \in (N : M)M$. So $r_1 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_{n-1} x \in (N : M)M = N$. But if $i = \alpha$, by elimination α , we have $r_1 \dots r_{n-1} \in (N : M)$ and hence we proved that N is a $(n-1, n)$ -almost prime submodule of M .

References

- [1] A. G. Agargun, D. D. Anderson and S. Valdes-Leon, *Unique factorization rings with zero divisors*, Comm. Algebra, 27 (1999), 1967-1974.
- [2] R. Ameri. *On the prime submodules of multiplication modules*, Int. J. Math. Sci., 27 (2003), 1715-1724.
- [3] D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi, *On n -absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Comm. Algebra, 39 (2011), 1646-1672.
- [4] D. D. Anderson and M. Bataineh, *Generalizations of prime ideals*, Comm. Algebra, 36 (2008), 686-696.
- [5] D. D. Anderson and E. Smith *Weakly prime ideals* , Houston J. Math., 29 (2003), 831-840.
- [6] J. Dauns, *Prime modules*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 298 (1978), 156-181.
- [7] M. Ebrahimpour and R. Nekooei, *On generalizations of prime submodules*, Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society, 36 (2013), 919-939.
- [8] Z. A. El-Best, P. F. Smith. *Multiplication modules*, Comm. Alg., 16 (1998), 755-779.
- [9] A. K. Jabbar, *A generalization of prime and weakly prime submodules* , Pure Mathematical Sciences, (2013), 1-11.
- [10] C. P. Lu, *Prime submodules of modules*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli, 33 (1984), 61-69.
- [11] N. Zamani, *ϕ -prime submodules*, Glasgw Math. J., 52 (2010), 253-259.

Accepted: 9.10.2017