

## Edge maximal non-bipartite Hamiltonian graphs without theta graphs of order 7

**M.S. Bataineh**

*Department of Mathematics*

*University of Sharjah*

*Sharjah*

*UAE*

*Mbataineh@sharjah.ac.ae*

and

*Department of Mathematics*

*Yarmouk University*

*Irbid*

*Jordan*

*M.bataineh@yu.edu.jo*

**A.A. Al-Rhayyel**

*Department of Mathematics*

*Yarmouk University*

*Irbid*

*Jordan*

*al-rhayyel@yu.edu.jo*

**Zead Mustafa**

*Department of Mathematics*

*Statistics and Physics*

*Qatar University*

*Doha*

*Qatar*

*zead@qu.edu.qa*

**M.M.M. Jaradat\***

*Department of Mathematics*

*Statistics and Physics*

*Qatar University*

*Doha*

*Qatar*

*mmjst4@qu.edu.qa*

**Abstract.** For a set of graphs  $\mathcal{F}$ , let  $\mathcal{H}(n; \mathcal{F})$  denote the class of non-bipartite Hamiltonian graphs on  $n$  vertices that does not contain any graph of  $\mathcal{F}$  as a subgraph and  $h(n; \mathcal{F}) = \max\{\mathcal{E}(G) : G \in \mathcal{H}(n; \mathcal{F})\}$  where  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  is the number of edges in  $G$ . In this paper, we determine  $h(n; \{\theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_7\})$  and we establish an upper bound of  $h(n; \theta_7)$  for sufficiently even large  $n$ . Our results confirms the conjecture made in [1] for  $k = 3$ .

---

\*. Corresponding author

**Keywords:** Turán number, theta graph, extremal graph.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

We consider undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. Let  $V(G)$  be the vertex set and  $E(G)$  be the edge set of a graph  $G$ . The order of a graph  $G$  is the number of vertices of  $G$  and is denoted by  $\mathcal{V}(G)$ . The size of  $G$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{E}(G)$ , is the number of edges of  $G$ . A complete  $k$ -partite graph is a graph whose vertices can be partitioned into  $k$  disjoint sets, such that two vertices are adjacent if and only if they belong to different sets. We often denote by  $x_1x_2 \dots x_nx_1$  the cycle  $C_n$  having  $n$  vertices  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  and the edges  $x_1x_2, x_2x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}x_n$  and  $x_nx_1$ . A theta graph is a cycle  $C_n$  with a new edge (a chord) joining two non-adjacent vertices of  $C_n$ . The set of all theta graphs of order  $n$  will be denoted by  $\theta_n$ . It is easy to check that the set  $\theta_n$  contains  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$  (non-isomorphic) graphs.

If  $F$  is a subgraph of  $G$ , then  $G - F$  is the graph that contains all vertices of  $G$  which are not in  $F$  and all edges of  $G$  connecting two vertices of  $G - F$ . If  $P$  and  $Q$  are two subgraphs of  $G$ , then  $E(P, Q)$  is the set containing all edges of  $G$ , which connect a vertex in  $P$  and a vertex in  $Q$  and  $\mathcal{E}(P, Q) = |E(P, Q)|$ . An induced subgraph  $G[V(Q)]$  of a graph  $G$  consists of the vertices in  $Q$  and all edges of  $G$  connecting two vertices in  $Q$ . The join  $G = G_1 \vee G_2$  of graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with disjoint vertex sets  $V(G_1)$  and  $V(G_2)$  and edge sets  $E(G_1)$  and  $E(G_2)$  is the graph  $G_1$  union  $G_2$  together with all the edges joining  $V(G_1)$  and  $V(G_2)$ .

For a set of graphs  $S$ , the Turán number  $ex(n, S)$  is defined as the maximum number of edges in a graph of order  $n$  having no member of  $S$  as a subgraph. If  $S$  contains only one graph  $G$ , we write simply  $ex(n, G)$ . The problem was formulated by Turán [14], who showed that  $ex(n, K_r) = \lfloor \frac{rn^2}{2(r+1)} \rfloor$ , where  $K_r$  is the complete graph having  $r$  vertices.

We now introduce some additional notation. For a positive integer  $n$  and a set of graphs  $\mathcal{F}$ , let  $\mathcal{G}(n; \mathcal{F})$  (and  $\mathcal{H}(n; \mathcal{F})$ ) denote the class of non-bipartite  $\mathcal{F}$ -free graphs (the subclass of  $\mathcal{G}(n; \mathcal{F})$  which consists of all the Hamiltonian members in  $\mathcal{G}(n; \mathcal{F})$ ) on  $n$  vertices, and

$$\begin{aligned} f(n; \mathcal{F}) &= \max\{\mathcal{E}(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}(n; \mathcal{F})\}, \\ h(n; \mathcal{F}) &= \max\{\mathcal{E}(G) : G \in \mathcal{H}(n; \mathcal{F})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hendry and Brandt [10] proved that  $h(n; C_5) \leq \frac{(n-3)^2}{4} + 5$  for odd  $n \geq 7, n \neq 9$ , and  $h(9; C_5) = 15$ . However, they did not characterize the extremal graphs. Caccetta and Jia [7] characterized the extremal graphs and proved that  $f(n; C_5) \leq \lfloor \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} \rfloor + 3$  for  $n \geq 9$ . Also, they proved  $h(n; C_5) \leq \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} + 7$  for even  $n \geq 12$ . Further, the extremal graphs were characterized. Jia [13]

conjectured that  $f(n; C_{2k+1}) \leq \lfloor \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} \rfloor + 3$  for  $n \geq 4k + 2$ . Bataineh [1] settled the above conjecture for  $n \geq 36k$ . Further, he showed that equality holds if and only if  $G \in \mathcal{G}^*(n)$  where  $\mathcal{G}^*(n)$  is the class of graphs obtained by adding a triangle, two vertices of which are new, to the complete bipartite graph  $K_{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor, \lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor}$ . Furthermore he proved the following result:

**Theorem 1** (Bataineh [1]). *For positive integers  $k \geq 3$  and  $n > (4k + 2)(4k^2 + 10k)$ ,*

$$h(n; C_{2k+1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{(n - 2k + 1)^2}{4} + 4k - 3, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{(n - 2k)^2}{4} + 4k + 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

For  $\theta_5$ -graph, Bataineh et al. [2] proved that for  $n \geq 5$

$$f(n; \theta_5) = \lfloor \frac{(n - 1)^2}{4} \rfloor + 1.$$

Later on, Bataineh et al. [3], [4] and Jaradat et al. [11] proved the following results

**Theorem 2** (Jaradat et al. [11]). *For positive integers  $n$  and  $k$ , let  $G$  be a graph on  $n \geq 6k + 3$  vertices which contains no  $\theta_{2k+1}$  as a subgraph, then*

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor.$$

**Theorem 3** (Jaradat et al. [11] and Bataineh et al. [3] and [4]). *For sufficiently large integer  $n$  and for  $k \geq 3$ ,*

$$f(n; \theta_{2k+1}) = \lfloor \frac{(n - 2)^2}{4} \rfloor + 3.$$

Caccetta and Jia [7] proved the following results:

**Theorem 4** (Caccetta and Jia [7]). *Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n; C_3, C_5, \dots, C_{2k+1})$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{4}(n - 2k + 1)^2 \rfloor + 2k - 1.$$

**Theorem 5** (Caccetta and Jia [7]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}_k = \{C_3, C_5, C_7, \dots, C_{2k+1}\}$ . For even  $n \geq 4k + 4, k \geq 2$ , we have*

$$h(n; \mathcal{F}_k) = \frac{(n - 4k - 4)^2}{4} + 8k - 11.$$

Analogously, In [1], Bataineh proved the following result concerning theta graphs:

**Theorem 6** (Bataineh [1]). *Let  $\Theta_k = \{\theta_4\} \cup \{\theta_5, \theta_7, \dots, \theta_{2k+1}\}$ , then for  $k \geq 5$  and large odd  $n$ , we have*

$$h(n; \Theta_k) = \frac{(n - 2k + 3)^2}{4} + 2k - 3.$$

Jaradat et al. [12] proved the following result.

**Theorem 7** (Jaradat et al. [12]). *For sufficiently large odd  $n$ , let  $H \in \mathcal{H}(n; \theta_7)$  with  $\delta(H) \geq 7$ . Then*

$$h(n; \theta_7) \leq \frac{(n - 3)^2}{4} + 3.$$

Furthermore, the bound is best possible.

Bataineh [1] made the following conjecture

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $k \geq 3$  be a positive integer. For even  $n \geq 4k+4$ ,  $h(n; \theta_{2k+1}) \leq \frac{(n-2k+2)^2}{4} + 2k$ .*

In this paper, we investigate the values of  $h(n; \mathcal{F})$ , for sufficiently large even  $n$  where  $\mathcal{F} = \{\theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_7\}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{\theta_7\}$ . In fact, we settle the above conjecture for  $k = 3$  under a constrain on the minimum degree.

## 2. Main results

For the sake of completeness, we start this section, by listing the following three results of Jaradat et al. [12] which will be used in the sequel.

**Lemma 1** ([12]). *Let  $H \in \mathcal{H}(n, \{\theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_7\})$  and  $H$  contains a cycle  $C$  of length 7. If  $u \in V(H - C)$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(u, C) \leq 3$ . Also, if  $B = \{u \in V(H - C) : \mathcal{E}(u, C) = 3\}$ , then  $|B| \leq 1$ . Further, if  $C = x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_1$  and  $u \in B$ , then  $N_C(u) = \{x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+4}\}$  for some  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$  ( $x_j = x_{j-7}$  for  $j > 7$ ).*

**Lemma 2** ([12]). *Let  $H \in \mathcal{H}(n, \{\theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_7\})$  such that  $H$  contains a cycle  $C$  of length 7. If  $|B| = 1$  and  $uv$  is an edge in the subgraph  $H - C - B$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(uv, C) \leq 3$  where  $B$  is as defined in Lemma 1.*

The following remark follows from the fact that if  $H \in \mathcal{H}(n, \{\theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_7\})$ ,  $C$  is a cycle of length 7 in  $H$  and  $\mathcal{E}(u, C) = 3$ , then  $N_C(u) = \{x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+4}\}$ .

**Remark 1** ([12]). *Let  $H \in \mathcal{H}(n, \{C_3, \theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_7\})$  and  $H$  contains a cycle  $C$  of length 7. Then  $B = \emptyset$  where  $B$  is as defined in Lemma 1.*

To investigate  $h(n; \{\theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_7\})$  and  $h(n; \theta_7)$  for even  $n$ , we prove the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.** *For any  $H \in \mathcal{H}(n, \{\theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_7\})$ , if  $H$  contains cycles of lengths 3 and 7, then*

$$\mathcal{E}(H) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n - 4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5,$$

for sufficiently large even  $n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $C_7 = x_1x_2 \dots x_7x_1$  and  $C_3 = y_1y_2y_3y_1$  be cycles of length 7 and 3 in  $H$ , respectively. Let  $A = H[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_7]$  and  $R_1 = H - A$ . We distinguish two cases:

**Case 1.**  $V(C_3) \subseteq V(R_1)$ . Let  $R_2 = R_1 - C_3$ . By Lemma 2 we have  $\mathcal{E}(R_2, A) \leq 2(n - 10)$ . Notice that if  $u \in V(H - C_3)$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(u, C_3) \leq 1$ , otherwise  $\theta_4$  is produced as a subgraph of  $H$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{E}(R_2, C_3) \leq n - 10$ . Observe that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$  and  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , if  $x_i$  is adjacent to  $y_j$ , then neither  $x_{i+1}$  nor  $x_{i-1}$  can be adjacent to  $y_s$  for some  $s = 1, 2, 3$ , and  $s \neq j$ , otherwise  $\theta_5$  is produced as a subgraph. Now, if  $x_{i-1}, x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  are all adjacent to the same  $y_j$ , then  $\theta_4$  is produced as a subgraph, hence,  $\mathcal{E}(C_3, A) \leq 4$ . By Theorem 2 we have

$$\mathcal{E}(R_2) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-10)^2}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(H) &= \mathcal{E}(R_2) + \mathcal{E}(R_2, A) + \mathcal{E}(R_2, C_3) + \mathcal{E}(A) + \mathcal{E}(A, C_3) + \mathcal{E}(C_3) \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-10)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 2(n-10) + n-10 + 7 + 4 + 3 \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{n^2 - 8n + 36}{4} \right\rfloor \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5. \end{aligned}$$

**Case 2.**  $V(C_3) \not\subseteq V(R_1)$ . Then  $|V(C_3) \cap V(A)| = 2$  or 1, accordingly, we split this case into two subcases:

**Subcase 2.1.**  $|V(C_3) \cap V(A)| = 2$ . Without loss of generality assume  $x_1, x_2 \in N_A(y_1)$  and let  $A_1 = H[y_1, A]$  and  $R_3 = H - A_1$ , then by Lemma 1, we get  $\mathcal{E}(y_1, A) \leq 3$ , hence  $\mathcal{E}(A_1) \leq 10$ . Also, by Theorem 2 we have

$$\mathcal{E}(R_3) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-8)^2}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

Now, we consider the case  $\mathcal{E}(y_1, A) = 3$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(A_1) = 10$ . By Lemma 1  $\mathcal{E}(x, A) \leq 2$  for each  $x \in V(R_3)$ . On the other hand, one can notice that if there is an  $x \in V(R_3)$  such that  $y_1x \in E(H)$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(x, A) = 0$  as otherwise a  $\theta_4$  or  $\theta_5$  or  $\theta_7$  is produced as a subgraph of  $H$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{E}(x, A_1) \leq 1$  and so  $\mathcal{E}(R_3, A_1) \leq 2(n-8) - 1$ . If  $y_1x \notin E(H)$  for each  $x \in V(R_3)$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(x, A_1) = \mathcal{E}(x, A)$ , but by Lemma 2 we get  $\mathcal{E}(R_3, A_1) = \mathcal{E}(R_3, A) \leq 2(n-8) - 1$ . Therefore,

$$\mathcal{E}(R_3, A_1) \leq 2(n-8) - 1.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(H) &= \mathcal{E}(R_3) + \mathcal{E}(R_3, A_1) + \mathcal{E}(A_1) \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-8)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 2(n-8) - 1 + 10 \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{n^2 - 8n + 36}{4} \right\rfloor \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5. \end{aligned}$$

We now consider the case  $\mathcal{E}(y_1, A) = 2$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(A_1) \leq 9$ . Now, for  $x \in V(R_3)$  if  $xy_1 \in E(H)$ , then  $x_i x \notin E(H)$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 3, 5, 7$  as otherwise a  $\theta_4$  or  $\theta_5$  or  $\theta_7$  is produced as a subgraph of  $H$ . Further,  $y_1$  can not be adjacent to both  $x_4$  and  $x_1$  as otherwise  $x_4 x_3 x_2 y_1 x x_6 x_5 x_4 x$  is a  $\theta_7$ -graph of  $H$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{E}(x, A) \leq 1$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{E}(x, A_1) \leq 2$ . Also, if  $uv \in E(H - A_1)$  and  $y_1 u \in E(H)$ , then as above  $N_{C_7}(u) \subseteq \{x_4\}$  or  $N_{C_7}(u) \subseteq \{x_6\}$ ; and  $vx_i \notin E(H)$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 4, 6$  as otherwise  $\theta_5$  or  $\theta_7$  is produced as a subgraph of  $H$ . Further,  $v$  is adjacent to at most one of  $x_3, x_5$  and  $x_7$ , to see this, note that: (1) If  $v$  is adjacent to both  $x_3$  and  $x_5$ , then  $vx_5 x_4 x_3 x_2 y_1 uvx_3$  is a  $\theta_7$ -graph in  $H$ ; (2) if  $v$  is adjacent to both of  $x_7$  and  $x_5$ , then by symmetry we get a  $\theta_7$ -graph in  $H$ ; (3) if  $v$  is adjacent to both of  $x_3$  and  $x_7$ , then  $vu y_1 x_1 x_7 x_6 x_5 v x_5$  is a  $\theta_7$ -graph in  $H$ . In addition, if  $vy_1 \in E(H)$ , then  $ux_4, ux_6 \notin E(H)$ , to see that let  $ux_4 \in E(H)$ , then  $ux_4 x_3 x_2 x_1 y_1 v y_1$  is a  $\theta_7$ -graph in  $H$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{E}(uv, A_1) \leq 3$ . Therefore, from the above and using Lemma 2, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{E}(R_3, A_1) \leq 2(n-8).$$

And so,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(H) &= \mathcal{E}(R_3) + \mathcal{E}(R_3, A_1) + \mathcal{E}(A_1) \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-8)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 2(n-8) + 9 \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{n^2 - 8n + 36}{4} \right\rfloor \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5. \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 2.2.**  $|V(C_3) \cap V(A)| = 1$ . Without loss of generality assume  $y_1, y_2$  are adjacent to  $x_1$ . Let  $A_2 = H[y_1 y_2, A]$  and  $R_4 = H - A_2$ . One can easily see that  $\mathcal{E}(y_1 y_2, A) = 2$ , because otherwise  $\theta_4$  or  $\theta_5$  or  $\theta_7$  is produced, hence  $\mathcal{E}(A_2) = 10$ . Now, if  $x \in V(R_4)$ , then  $x$  cannot be adjacent to both  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ , as otherwise  $\theta_4$  is produced. Moreover, if  $x$  is adjacent to either  $y_1$  or  $y_2$ , then  $N_A(x) \subseteq \{x_3, x_6\}$  as otherwise  $\theta_4$  or  $\theta_5$  or  $\theta_7$  is produced.

Now, let  $x, x^* \in R_4$  be adjacent to  $y_1$  or  $y_2$  and assume  $N_A(x) = \{x_3, x_6\}$ , then  $x^*$  is adjacent to at most one of  $x_3$  and  $x_6$ . To see this, assume  $N_A(x^*) = \{x_3, x_6\}$ .

Then, If  $xy_1, x^*y_1 \in E(H)$ , then  $xx_6x_7x_1y_1x^*x_3xy_1$  is  $\theta_7$ . A similar argument holds if  $xy_2, x^*y_2 \in E(H)$ . If  $xy_1, x^*y_2 \in E(H)$ , then  $x^*x_3xx_6x_7x_1y_2x^*x_6$  is a  $\theta_7$ .

Let

$$S = \{x \in R_4 : xy_1 \text{ or } xy_2 \in E(H)\},$$

and

$$S^* = \{x \in R_4 : xy_1, xy_2 \notin E(H)\}.$$

Then from the above argument

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{E}(S, A_2) \leq 2|S| + 1,$$

and by Lemma 2

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{E}(S^*, A_2) \leq 2|S^*| + 1.$$

Hence, combining 1 and 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(R_4, A_2) &\leq 2|S| + 1 + 2|S^*| + 1 \\ &\leq 2(n - 9) + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(H) &= \mathcal{E}(R_4) + \mathcal{E}(R_4, A_2) + \mathcal{E}(A_2) \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n - 9)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 2(n - 9) + 2 + 9 \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{n^2 - 10n + 49}{4} \right\rfloor \\ &< \left\lfloor \frac{(n - 4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 4.** For any  $H \in \mathcal{H}(n, \{C_3, \theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_7\})$ , if  $H$  contains a cycle of length 5 and a cycle of length 7, then

$$\mathcal{E}(H) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n - 4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5,$$

for sufficiently large even  $n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $C_5 = y_1y_2y_3y_4y_5y_1$  and  $C_7 = x_1x_2x_3 \dots x_7x_1$  be cycles of length 5 and 7 in  $H$ , respectively. As in Lemma 3, we let  $R_1 = H - A$  where  $A = H[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_7]$ . Now we consider two cases:

**Case 1.**  $V(C_5) \subseteq V(R_1)$ . Let  $R_5 = R_1 - C_5$ . Notice that  $A = C_7$  and  $H[C_5] = C_5$ , otherwise  $\theta_7$  or  $\theta_5$  is produced as a subgraph, and so  $\mathcal{E}(A) = 7$  and

$\mathcal{E}(H[C_5]) = \mathcal{E}(C_5) = 5$ . By Lemma 2 we have  $\mathcal{E}(R_5, A) \leq 2(n - 12)$ . Now, if  $x \in V(R_5)$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(x, C_5) \leq 2$ , otherwise  $\theta_4$  or  $\theta_5$  is produced as a subgraph.

**Claim 1.** Let  $xy \in E(R_5)$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(xy, C_5) \leq 2$ .

**Proof of the claim.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}(x, C_5) = 2$ . Then, by taking into account the symmetry, we have  $N_{C_5}(x) = \{y_i, y_{i+2}\}$ , otherwise  $C_3$  is produced. Without loss of generality we may assume that  $N_{C_5}(x) = \{y_1, y_3\}$ , then we have the following possibilities:

1.  $y$  is adjacent to  $y_1$ . Then the trail  $xyy_1y_2y_3xy_1$  is a  $\theta_5$ -graph.
2.  $y$  is adjacent to  $y_2$ . Then the trail  $xyy_2y_1y_5y_4y_3xy_1$  is a  $\theta_7$ -graph.
3.  $y$  is adjacent to  $y_3$ . Then the trail  $xyy_3y_2y_1xy_3$  is a  $\theta_5$ -graph.
4.  $y$  is adjacent to  $y_4$ . Then the trail  $xyy_4y_5y_1y_2y_3xy_1$  is a  $\theta_7$ -graph.
5.  $y$  is adjacent to  $y_5$ . Then the trail  $xyy_5y_4y_3y_2y_1xy_3$  is a  $\theta_7$ -graph.

Thus  $\mathcal{E}(y, C_5) = 0$ , and so  $\mathcal{E}(xy, C_5) \leq 2$ . This completes the proof of the claim. Since  $H$  is a Hamiltonian graph, then there is an edge  $e$  in  $R_5$ . Thus, by the Claim 1,  $\mathcal{E}(e, C_5) \leq 2$ , and so  $\mathcal{E}(R_5, C_5) \leq 2(n - 12) - 2$ . Also, by Claim 1, one can see that  $\mathcal{E}(C_5, A) \leq 6$ . Further, by Theorem 2, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(R_5) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n - 12)^2}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(H) &= \mathcal{E}(R_5) + \mathcal{E}(R_5, A) + \mathcal{E}(R_5, C_5) + \mathcal{E}(A) + \mathcal{E}(A, C_5) + \mathcal{E}(C_5) \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n - 12)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 2(n - 12) + 2(n - 12) - 2 + 7 + 6 + 5 \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{n^2 - 8n + 10}{4} \right\rfloor \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n - 4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor - 1 \\ &< \left\lfloor \frac{(n - 4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5. \end{aligned}$$

**Case 2.**  $V(C_5) \not\subseteq V(R_1)$ . Then  $|V(C_5) \cap V(A)| = 1$  or 2 or 3 or 4. Thus, we split this case into 4 subcases:

**Subcase 2.1.**  $|V(C_5) \cap V(A)| = 1$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $C_5 = x_1y_1y_2y_3y_4x_1$  is in  $H$ , then let  $T_1 = H[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, A]$  and  $D_1 = H - T_1$ . From Remark 1,  $\mathcal{E}(x, A) \leq 2$  for any  $x \in H - A$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}(H[C_5]) = \mathcal{E}(C_5) = 5$ ,  $\mathcal{E}(A) = 7$  and  $\mathcal{E}(y_j, A) \leq 2$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(T_1) \leq 18$ . Also by Theorem 2 we have

$$\mathcal{E}(D_1) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n - 11)^2}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

**Claim 2.** For each  $x \in V(D_1)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}(x, T_1) \leq 3$ .



**Proof of the claim.** Let  $x \in V(D_1)$ , then as above both  $\mathcal{E}(x, A), \mathcal{E}(x, C_5) \leq 2$ . If  $\mathcal{E}(x, C_5) \leq 1$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(x, T_1) \leq 3$ . Also, if  $xx_1 \in E(H)$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(x, T_1) \leq 3$  because  $x_1$  is a common vertex of both  $A$  and  $C_5$ . To this end, we consider the case where  $\mathcal{E}(x, C_5) = 2$  and  $xx_1 \notin E(H)$ . Then,  $x$  is either adjacent to both  $y_1$  and  $y_3$  or adjacent to both  $y_2$  and  $y_4$  or to both  $y_1$  and  $y_4$ . If  $xy_1, xy_4 \in E(H)$ , then  $C_5^* = xy_1y_2y_3y_4x$  is a cycle of length 5 such that  $V(C_5^*) \subseteq V(R_5)$  and so we get Case 1. If  $xy_1, xy_3 \in E(H)$ , then

- 1-  $xx_2 \notin E(H)$  as otherwise  $xy_1y_2y_3y_4x_1x_2xy_3$  is a  $\theta_7$ .
- 2-  $xx_3 \notin E(H)$  as otherwise  $xy_3y_2y_1x_1x_2x_3xy_1$  is a  $\theta_7$ .
- 3-  $xx_7 \notin E(H)$  as otherwise  $xy_1y_2y_3y_4x_1x_7xy_3$  is a  $\theta_7$ .
- 4-  $xx_6 \notin E(H)$  as otherwise  $xy_3y_2y_1x_1x_7x_6xy_1$  is a  $\theta_7$ .

Thus,  $N_{C_7}(x) \subseteq \{x_4, x_5\}$ . Also, if  $xx_4, xx_5 \in E(H)$ , then  $C_3$  is produced. Hence  $x$  is adjacent to either  $x_4$  or  $x_5$  but not to both, and so,  $\mathcal{E}(x, T_1) \leq 3$ . Similarly, by using the symmetry, one can show that if  $xy_2, xy_4 \in E(H)$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(x, T_1) \leq 3$ . This completes the proof of the claim.

Therefore, by Claim 2,  $\mathcal{E}(D_1, T_1) \leq 3(n - 11)$ . Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(H) &= \mathcal{E}(D_1) + \mathcal{E}(D_1, T_1) + \mathcal{E}(T_1) \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n - 11)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 3(n - 11) + 18 \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{n^2 - 10n + 61}{4} \right\rfloor \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{(n - 5)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 9 \\ &< \left\lfloor \frac{(n - 4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5. \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 2.2.**  $|V(C_5) \cap V(A)| = 2$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $C_5 = x_1y_1y_2y_3x_2x_1$  is in  $H$ . Let  $T_2 = H[y_1, y_2, y_3, A]$  and  $D_2 = H - T_2$ . As above,  $\mathcal{E}(x, C_5) \leq 2$  and  $\mathcal{E}(x, A) \leq 2$ .

**Claim 3.** For each  $x \in V(D_2)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}(x, T_2) \leq 3$ .

**Proof of the claim.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}(x, T_2) = 4$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}(x, C_5) = 2$ . Note that if  $xx_1 \in E(H)$ , then  $x_1$  is a common vertex of both  $C_5$  and  $A$  and so  $\mathcal{E}(x, T_2) \leq 3$ , similarly if  $xx_2 \in E(H)$ , then  $x_2$  is a common vertex of both  $C_5$  and  $A$  and so  $\mathcal{E}(x, T_2) \leq 3$ . Thus,  $N_{C_5}(x) = \{y_1, y_3\}$ , and

- 1-  $xx_3 \notin E(H)$  as otherwise  $xy_3y_2y_1x_1x_2x_3xy_1$  is a  $\theta_7$ .
- 2-  $xx_4 \notin E(H)$  as otherwise  $xy_1y_2y_3x_2x_3x_4xy_3$  is a  $\theta_7$ .
- 3-  $xx_6 \notin E(H)$  as otherwise  $xy_3y_2y_1x_1x_7x_6xy_1$  is a  $\theta_7$ .
- 4-  $xx_7 \notin E(H)$  as otherwise  $xy_1y_2y_3x_2x_1x_7xy_3$  is a  $\theta_7$ .

Thus,  $x$  is adjacent to at most  $x_5$ , and so  $\mathcal{E}(x, T_2) \leq 3$ , as claimed.

Hence,  $\mathcal{E}(D_2, T_2) \leq 3(n - 10)$ . Recall that for  $j = 1, 2, 3, \mathcal{E}(y_j, A) \leq 2$ . Observe that  $y_2$  cannot be adjacent to  $x_1$  or  $x_2$ , as otherwise  $C_3$  is produced as a subgraph of  $H$ . Thus,  $N_A(y_2) = \{x_3\}$  or  $\{x_4\}$  or  $\{x_5\}$  or  $\{x_6\}$  or  $\{x_7\}$  or  $\{x_3, x_7\}$  as

otherwise  $C_3, \theta_4, \theta_5$  or  $\theta_7$  is produced as a subgraph of  $H$ . If  $N_A(y_2) = \{x_3, x_7\}$ , then  $N_A(y_1) = \{x_1\}$  and  $N_A(y_3) = \{x_2\}$  as otherwise  $C_3, \theta_4, \theta_5$  or  $\theta_7$  is produced as a subgraph of  $H$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{E}(T_2) \leq 14$ . By Theorem 2 we have

$$\mathcal{E}(D_2) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-10)^2}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(H) &= \mathcal{E}(D_2) + \mathcal{E}(D_2, T_2) + \mathcal{E}(T_2) \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-10)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 3(n-10) + 14 \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{n^2 - 8n + 36}{4} \right\rfloor \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5. \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 2.3.**  $|V(C_5) \cap V(A)| = 3$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $C_5 = x_1y_1y_2x_3x_2x_1$  is in  $H$ , then let  $T_3 = H[y_1, y_2, A]$  and  $D_3 = H - T_3$ . Now,  $\mathcal{E}(A) = 7$  and by Lemma 2  $\mathcal{E}(y_1y_2, A) \leq 3$ , thus  $\mathcal{E}(T_3) \leq 11$ . Now, let  $x \in V(D_3)$ , then  $x$  is adjacent to at most one of  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  as otherwise  $C_3$  is produced. Further, by Remark 1,  $\mathcal{E}(x, A) \leq 2$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{E}(x, T_3) \leq 3$ . Let  $B_1 = \{x \in V(D_3) : \mathcal{E}(x, T_3) = 3\}$ .

**Claim 4.**  $|B_1| = 0$ .

**Proof of the claim.** Let  $x \in B_1$ , then  $N_{T_3}(x) = \{y_2, x_2, x_4\}$  or  $\{y_2, x_2, x_6\}$  or  $\{y_1, x_2, x_5\}$  or  $\{y_1, x_2, x_7\}$ .

If  $N_{T_3}(x) = \{y_2, x_2, x_4\}$ , then the trail  $x_2x_1y_1y_2x_3x_4xx_2x_3$  is a  $\theta_7$ -graph. If  $N_{T_3}(x) = \{y_2, x_2, x_6\}$ , then the trail  $xx_6x_7x_1x_2x_3y_2x_2$  is a  $\theta_7$ -graph. By symmetry we get similar trails if  $N_{T_3}(x) = \{y_1, x_2, x_5\}$  or  $\{y_1, x_2, x_7\}$ . The proof of the claim is complete.

Thus,  $\mathcal{E}(x, T_3) \leq 2$  for any  $x \in V(D_3)$ , which implies that

$$\mathcal{E}(D_3, T_3) \leq 2(n-9).$$

Also, by Theorem 2 we have

$$\mathcal{E}(D_3) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-9)^2}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}(H) &= \mathcal{E}(D_3) + \mathcal{E}(D_3, T_3) + \mathcal{E}(T_3) \\
 &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-9)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 2(n-9) + 11 \\
 &= \left\lfloor \frac{n^2 - 10n + 53}{4} \right\rfloor \\
 &= \left\lfloor \frac{(n-5)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 7 \\
 &< \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 2.4.**  $|V(C_5) \cap V(A)| = 4$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $C_5 = x_1y_1x_4x_3x_2x_1$  is in  $H$ , then let  $T_4 = H[y_1, A]$  and  $D_4 = H - T_4$ . By Remark 1  $\mathcal{E}(x, A) \leq 2$  for any  $x \in H - A$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{E}(y_1, A) = 2$ , and so  $\mathcal{E}(T_4) = 9$ . Now, let  $x \in V(D_4)$ , if  $x$  is not adjacent to  $y_1$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(x, T_4) \leq 2$ ; if  $x$  is adjacent to  $y_1$ , then

1.  $xx_1 \notin E(H)$  as otherwise the trail  $xy_1x_1x$  is a  $C_3$ .
2.  $xx_4 \notin E(H)$  as otherwise the trail  $xy_1x_4x$  is a  $C_3$ .
3.  $xx_5 \notin E(H)$  as otherwise the trail  $y_1xx_5x_4x_3x_2x_1y_1x_4$  is a  $\theta_7$ -graph.
4.  $xx_7 \notin E(H)$  as otherwise the trail  $y_1xx_7x_1x_2x_3x_4y_1x_1$  is a  $\theta_7$ -graph.

Thus,  $N_{C_7}(x) \subseteq \{x_2, x_3, x_6\}$ . Now, If  $x$  is adjacent to  $x_2$ , then it is neither adjacent to  $x_3$  (as otherwise  $C_3 = xx_2x_3x$  is produced) nor to  $x_6$  (as otherwise  $\theta_7 = xx_6x_5x_4y_1x_1x_2xy_1$  is produced). Similarly if  $x$  is adjacent to  $x_3$ , then it can not be adjacent to  $x_6$  (as otherwise  $\theta_7 = xx_6x_7x_1y_1x_4x_3xy_1$  is produced). Thus,  $\mathcal{E}(x, T_4) \leq 2$ , and so  $\mathcal{E}(D_4, T_4) \leq 2(n-8)$ . Also, by Theorem 2 we have

$$\mathcal{E}(D_4) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-8)^2}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}(H) &= \mathcal{E}(D_4) + \mathcal{E}(D_4, T_4) + \mathcal{E}(T_4) \\
 &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-8)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 2(n-8) + 9 \\
 &= \left\lfloor \frac{n^2 - 8n + 36}{4} \right\rfloor \\
 &= \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we give the following construction: Let  $\mathcal{H}_1$  be the class of graphs that obtained from  $\overline{K}_{\frac{n-4}{2}} \vee \overline{K}_{\frac{n-4}{2}}$  by replacing one edge, say  $u_1u_2 \in \overline{K}_{\frac{n-4}{2}} \vee \overline{K}_{\frac{n-4}{2}}$ ,

by the path  $u_1w_2w_3w_4w_5u_2$  in addition to one of the two edges  $u_1w_3$  and  $w_2w_4$ . Note that if  $H \in \mathcal{H}_1$ , then  $H$  is a non-bipartite Hamiltonian graph which has none of  $\{\theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_7\}$  as a subgraph of  $H$  and  $\mathcal{E}(H) = \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5$ . Thus, we establish that

$$(3) \quad h(n; \{\theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_7\}) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5 \text{ for even } n.$$

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $H \in \mathcal{H}(n; \{\theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_7\})$ , then*

$$h(n; \{\theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_7\}) = \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5,$$

for sufficiently large even  $n$ . Furthermore, the bound is best possible.

**Proof.** By 3, it suffices to prove the upper bound of  $h(n; \{\theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_7\})$ . Let  $H \in \mathcal{H}(n; \{\theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_7\})$ . If  $H$  has no cycles of length 7, then by Theorem 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(H) &\leq \frac{(n-6)^2}{4} + 13 \\ &< \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we assume that  $H$  has cycles of length 7. If  $H$  contains neither cycles of length 3 nor cycles of length 5, then by Theorem 5 we have

$$\mathcal{E}(H) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5.$$

To this end, If  $H$  contains cycles of length 5, then the results follows from Lemma 4. Finally, if  $H$  contains no cycles of length 5 but it contains cycles of length 3, then the results follows from Lemma 3.  $\square$

In the following theorem we give an upper bound of  $h(n; \theta_7)$  for sufficiently large even  $n$  under a constrain of the minimum degree.

**Theorem 9.** *For sufficiently large even  $n$ , let  $H \in \mathcal{H}(n; \theta_7)$  with  $\delta(H) \geq 22$ . Then*

$$h(n; \theta_7) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5.$$

**Proof.** Let  $H \in \mathcal{H}(n; \theta_7)$  with  $\delta(H) \geq 22$ . Suppose that  $H$  has  $\theta_5$ -graph, say  $\theta_5 = x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_1x_4$ . For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , let  $A_i$  be a set that consist of 6 neighbors of  $x_i$  in  $H - \theta_5$  selected so that  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ . Let  $T = H[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, A_1, A_2, A_3]$  and  $B = H - T$ . Let  $u \in V(B)$ , if  $u$  is adjacent to a vertex in one of the sets  $A_1, A_2$  and  $A_3$ , then  $u$  cannot be adjacent to any vertex in the other two sets as otherwise  $H$  would have a  $\theta_7$ -graph. Also, if  $u$

is adjacent to a vertex in  $A_i$  for some  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , then  $u$  cannot be adjacent to any of  $x_{i+1}$  and  $x_{i-1}$ , otherwise,  $H$  would have a  $\theta_7$ -graph. Thus,  $\mathcal{E}(u, T) \leq 9$ , which implies  $\mathcal{E}(B, T) \leq 9(n - 23)$ . Also, by Theorem 2 we have

$$\mathcal{E}(B) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-23)^2}{4} \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}(T) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(23)^2}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(H) &= \mathcal{E}(B) + \mathcal{E}(B, T) + \mathcal{E}(T) \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-23)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 9(n-23) + \left\lfloor \frac{(23)^2}{4} \right\rfloor \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{n^2 - 10n + 230}{4} \right\rfloor \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{(n-5)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 51 \\ &< \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5. \end{aligned}$$

So, we consider that  $H$  contains no  $\theta_5$ -graph. If  $H$  contains no  $\theta_4$ -graph as a subgraph, then by Theorem 8 we have

$$\mathcal{E}(H) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5.$$

If  $H$  contains  $\theta_4$ -graph as a subgraph, then let  $\theta_4 = x_1x_2x_3x_4x_1x_3$ . For  $i = 2, 3, 4$ , let  $A_i$  be a set that consist of 5 neighbors of  $x_i$  in  $H$  selected so that  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ . Let  $T = H[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, A_2, A_3, A_4]$  and  $B = H - T$ . Also, let  $u \in V(B)$ . If  $u$  is adjacent to a vertex in one of the sets  $A_2, A_3$  and  $A_4$ , then  $u$  cannot be adjacent to a vertex in the other two sets as otherwise  $H$  would have a  $\theta_7$ -graph. Also, if  $u$  is adjacent to a vertex in  $A_i$  for some  $i = 2, 3, 4$ , then  $u$  cannot be adjacent to  $x_{i+1}$  and  $x_{i-1}$ , otherwise  $H$  would have a  $\theta_5$ -graph. Thus,  $\mathcal{E}(u, T) \leq 7$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{E}(B, T) \leq 7(n - 19)$ . By Theorem 2 we have

$$\mathcal{E}(B) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-19)^2}{4} \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}(T) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(19)^2}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}(H) &= \mathcal{E}(B) + \mathcal{E}(B, T) + \mathcal{E}(T) \\
 &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-19)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 7(n-19) + \left\lfloor \frac{(19)^2}{4} \right\rfloor \\
 &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{n^2 - 10n + 190}{4} \right\rfloor \\
 &= \left\lfloor \frac{(n-5)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 41 \\
 &< \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

In the above theorem, we have proved that if  $G$  is a  $\theta_7$ -free graph with  $n$  vertices and minimum degree greater than or equal to 22, then  $\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 5 \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 6$  which confirm Conjecture 1 in the case  $k = 3$ . Now consider  $H$  is the graph obtained from  $\overline{K}_{\frac{n-4}{2}} \vee \overline{K}_{\frac{n-4}{2}}$  by replacing one edge, say  $u_1u_2 \in \overline{K}_{\frac{n-4}{2}} \vee \overline{K}_{\frac{n-4}{2}}$ , by the path  $u_1w_2w_3w_4w_5u_2$  in addition to the two edges  $u_1w_3$  and  $w_2w_4$ . Note that  $H$  is a non-bipartite Hamiltonian graph which has no  $\theta_7$  as a subgraph of  $H$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{E}(H) = \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 6$ . Thus, we establish the upper bound of the Conjecture 1 in the case  $k = 3$ .

## References

- [1] M. Bataineh, *Some extremal problems in graph theory*, Ph.D. thesis, Curtin University of Technology, Australia, 2007.
- [2] M. Bataineh, M.M.M. Jaradat, E. Al-Shboul, *Edge-maximal graphs without  $\theta_5$ -graphs*, *Ars Combinatoria*, 124 (2016), 193-207.
- [3] M. Bataineh, M.M.M. Jaradat, E. Al-Shboul, *Edge-maximal graphs without  $\theta_7$ -graphs*, *SUT Journal of Mathematics*, 47 (2011), 91-103.
- [4] M.S.A. Bataineh, M.M.M. Jaradat, I.Y. Al-Shboul, *Edge-maximal graphs with-out theta graphs of order seven: Part II*, *Proceeding of the Annual International Conference on Computational Mathematics, Computational Geometry& Statistics*. DOI#10.5176/2251-1911\_CMCGS66.
- [5] J.A. Bondy, *Pancyclic graphs*, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser B*, 11 (1971), 80-84.
- [6] J.A. Bondy, *Large cycle in graphs*, *Discrete Mathematics* 1 (1971), 121-132.
- [7] L. Caccetta, R. Jia, *Edge maximal non-bipartite graphs without odd cycles of prescribed length*, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 18 (2002), 75-92.

- [8] L. Caccetta and K. Vijayan, *Maximal cycles in graphs*, Discrete Mathematics 98 (1991), 1-7.
- [9] R. Häggkvist, R.J. Faudree and R.H. Schelp, *Pancyclic graphs-connected Ramsey number*, Ars Combinatoria 11 (1981), 37-49.
- [10] G.R.T. Hendry and S. Brandt, *An extremal problem for cycles in Hamiltonian graphs*, Graphs Comb., 11 (1995), 255-262.
- [11] M.M.M. Jaradat, M.S. Bataineh and E. Al-Shboul, *Edge-maximal graphs without  $\theta_{2k+1}$ -graphs*, Akce International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics, 11 (2014), 57-65.
- [12] M.M.M. Jaradat, M. Bataineh, A. Al-Rhayyel and Z. Mustafa, *Extremal number of theta graphs of order 7*, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. (Accepted).
- [13] R. Jia, *Some external problems in graph theory*, Ph.D. thesis, Curtin University of Technology, Australia, 1998.
- [14] P. Turán, *On a problem in graph theory*, Mat. Fiz. Lapok, 48 (1941), 436-452.
- [15] D. Woodall, *Maximal circuits of graphs I*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 28 (1976), 77-80.

Accepted: 18.02.2018