

$p - [a, b]$ -paracompactness in bitopological spaces

Fuad A. Abushaheen*

*Basic Science Department
Middle East University
Amman
Jordan
Fshaheen@meu.edu.jo*

Hasan Z. Hdeib

*Department of Mathematics
University of Jordan
Amman
Jordan
zahdeib@ju.edu.jo*

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a new definition of paracompactness in bitopological spaces, we give a equivalent statements for this notation. Finally a product theorem is given.

Keywords: $p - [a, b]$ -paracompact space, p -locally- a family, $s - [a, b]$ compact space.

1. Introduction

In 1963, Kelly [4] introduced the concept of bitopological space. A set X with two topologies τ_1, τ_2 is a bitopological space, and denoted by $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$. A cover \mathcal{U} of a bitopological space $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is called $\tau_1\tau_2$ - open cover (family) [4], if $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$, and it is called p - open cover (family) [4], if it is $\tau_1\tau_2$ - open cover and contains at least one nonempty member of τ_1 and one nonempty member of τ_2 . A space $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is called $s - [a, b] - (p - [a, b])$ - compact space [1], if every $\tau_1\tau_2 - (p - [a, b])$ open cover of X with cardinality $\leq b$ has a subcover with cardinality $< a$.

For a $\tau_1\tau_2$ - open covers \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} in a bitopological space $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$, \mathcal{U} is called a parallel refinement of \mathcal{V} [2], if for each $U \in \mathcal{U} \cap \tau_i$ is contained in some $V \in \mathcal{V} \cap \tau_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

In this paper the letters a, b are infinite regular cardinals, ω_0, ω_1 stands for the cardinality of \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R} , respectively. If $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is a bitopological space and $A \subseteq X$, $int_{\tau_i}(A), \overline{A}^{\tau_i}$ denote the interior and the closure of A in τ_i , respectively for $i = 1, 2$. When $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ has a topological property Q this means that both τ_1 and τ_2 have this property. For the concepts not defined here, see [3] and [5].

*. Corresponding author

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A family \mathcal{A} of subsets of a bitopological space $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is called p -locally- a family, if for all $x \in X$ there exists a τ_1 -open set U containing x such that U meets less than a members of $\mathcal{A} \cap \tau_2$ or there exists a τ_2 -open set V containing x such that V meets less than a members of $\mathcal{A} \cap \tau_1$.

Definition 2.2. A space $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is called $p - [a, b]$ -paracompact if every p -open cover of X with cardinality $\leq b$ has a p -locally- a p -open parallel refinement.

Theorem 2.3. Let $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ be a bitopological spaces. Then X is $p - [a, b]$ -paracompact if and only if each τ_j -open cover of a τ_i -proper closed subset of X with cardinality $\leq b$ has τ_i -locally- a τ_j -open parallel refinement for $i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let F be a τ_i -closed proper subset of X . Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a τ_j -open cover of F with $|\Delta| \leq b$. Now $\{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\} \cup \{X - F\}$ is p -open cover of X , but X is $p - [a, b]$ -paracompact, so there exists a p -open parallel refinement

$$\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta^* \subseteq \Delta\} \cup \{W_\gamma | \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

Now $\{V_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta^* \subseteq \Delta\}$ is a τ_i -locally- a τ_j -open refinement, for $i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $\mathcal{U} = \{W_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\} \cup \{V_\gamma | \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ be a p -open cover of X with $|\Delta \cup \Gamma| \leq b$ where $W_\alpha \in \tau_i$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $V_\gamma \in \tau_j$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, for $i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$. So we have the following cases:

Case (i) If $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_\gamma = X$, then take $\alpha_0 \in \Delta$ such that $W_{\alpha_0} \neq \phi$. Consider the set $F = X - W_{\alpha_0}$, then F is a proper τ_i -closed subset of X and $\{V_\gamma | \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is a τ_j -open cover of F with $|\Delta| \leq b$, then F has a τ_j -open τ_i -locally- a -refinement

$$\mathcal{V}^* = \{V_\lambda^* | \lambda \in \Lambda\}.$$

Finally the family

$$\mathcal{U}^* = \mathcal{V}^* \cup \{W_{\alpha_0}\}$$

is the required p -locally- a p -open parallel refinement.

Case (ii) If $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_\gamma \neq X$, then

$$E_1 = X - \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_\gamma$$

is a τ_j -closed subset of X , and

$$E_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} W_\alpha,$$

so $\{W_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ has τ_i -open τ_j -locally- a parallel refinement

$$\mathcal{W}^* = \{W_\lambda^* | \lambda \in \Lambda\},$$

if $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} W_\lambda^* = X$, we are done. if not let

$$E_2 = X - \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} W_\lambda^*,$$

then E_2 is τ_i -closed and hence there exists a τ_j -open τ_i -locally $-a$ refinement

$$\mathcal{V}^* = \{V_\omega^* | \omega \in \Omega\}.$$

Finally the family

$$\mathcal{U}^* = \mathcal{V}^* \cup \mathcal{W}^*$$

is p -locally $-a$ p -open parallel refinement, hence the result. □

Theorem 2.4. *Every $p - [\omega_0, \infty]$ -paracompact $p - T_2$ -bitopological space $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is $p - T_4$.*

Proof. Let E and F be disjoint closed sets such that E is a τ_2 -closed and F is a τ_1 -closed. Let $e \in E$, since X is $p - T_2$ -space, for each $f \in F$ there exists a τ_1 -open set U_f and a τ_2 -open set V_f such that $e \in U_f$ and $f \in V_f$ with $U_f \cap V_f = \phi$.

Let

$$\mathcal{V} = \{V_f | f \in F\} \bigcup \{X - F\},$$

then \mathcal{V} is a p -open cover of X , and hence there exists a p -locally $-\omega_0$ p -open parallel refinement \mathcal{V}^* such that if $V \in \mathcal{V}^*$ and $V \cap F \neq \phi$, so we have $V \in \tau_2$. Now let

$$V_e = \bigcup \{V | V \in \mathcal{V}^* \text{ and } V \cap F \neq \phi\},$$

then V_e is τ_2 -open and $F \subseteq V_e$. Let U be a τ_1 -open set containing e that intersects $< \omega_0$ of \mathcal{V}^* say V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n and $V_k \subseteq V_{f_k}, 1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $U_e = U \cap U_{f_1} \cap U_{f_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{f_n}$. Now $e \in U_e$ and $F \subseteq V_e$ with $U_e \cap V_e = \phi$, hence X is $p - T_3$.

Now let

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_e | e \in E\} \cup \{X - E\},$$

then \mathcal{U} is a p -open cover of X , so there exists a p -locally $-\omega_0$ p -open parallel refinement \mathcal{U}^* , notice that if $U^* \in \mathcal{U}^*$ and $U^* \cap E \neq \phi$, then $U^* \in \tau_1$. Let

$$W = \bigcup \{U^* | U^* \in \mathcal{U}^* \text{ and } U^* \cap E \neq \phi\},$$

then W is a τ_1 -open and $E \subseteq W$, now for each $f \in F$ there exists τ_2 -open set U_f^* that intersects $< \omega_0$ of \mathcal{U}^* say $U_1^*, U_2^*, \dots, U_m^*$. Now let $U_{e_s} \in \mathcal{U}^*$ with $U_s^* \subseteq U_{e_s}$ for $s = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and let $U_f = U_f^* \cap V_{e_1} \cap V_{e_2} \cap \dots \cap V_{e_m}$, let $V = \bigcup \{U_f | f \in F\}$. Then $V \in \tau_2, F \subseteq V$ and $W \cap F = \phi$, hence X is $p - T_4$. □

3. $p - [\omega_0, \omega_1]$ -paracompact space

Definition 3.1. A family \mathcal{A} of subsets of a bitopological space $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is called p -point- a family if for all $x \in X$, x meets $< a$ of $\mathcal{A} \cap \tau_i$, $i = 1$ or 2 .

Lemma 3.2. If $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is normal, p -normal space, then for each p -open p -point- ω_0 cover \mathcal{G} with $|\mathcal{G}| < \omega_1$ has a parallel refinement \mathcal{V} such that $\overline{V_1}^{\tau_i} \cup \overline{W_1}^{\tau_j} \subseteq G$ where $V_1, W_1 \in \mathcal{V} \cap \tau_i$ for some $G \in \mathcal{G} \cap \tau_i, i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_k | k \in \Delta, |\Delta| < \omega_1\}$ be a p -open p -point- ω_0 of X , write $\Delta = \{1, 2, \dots\}$.

Let

$$F_1^i = X - \bigcup_{k>1} (G_k \cap \tau_i),$$

and

$$F_1^j = X - \bigcup_{k>1} (G_k \cap \tau_j),$$

then F_1^i is a τ_i -closed and F_1^j is a τ_j -closed for $i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$. Now let

$$F_1 = F_1^i \cup F_1^j,$$

then

$$F_1^i \subseteq F_1 \subseteq G_1$$

and

$$F_1^j \subseteq F_1 \subseteq G_1.$$

Without loss of generality assume that G_1 is a τ_i -open, since X is normal and by [6], there exists τ_i -open sets V_1^i, W_1^i such that

$$F_1^i \subseteq V_1^i \subseteq \overline{V_1^i}^{\tau_i} \subseteq G_1$$

and

$$F_1^j \subseteq W_1^i \subseteq \overline{W_1^i}^{\tau_j} \subseteq G_1,$$

so

$$F_1 \subseteq \overline{V_1^i}^{\tau_i} \cup \overline{W_1^i}^{\tau_j} \subseteq G_1, \text{ for } i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2.$$

Let

$$V_1 = V_1^i \cup W_1^i$$

and

$$F_\alpha^i = X - \left(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} V_\beta \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\gamma > \alpha} (G_\gamma \cap \tau_i) \right),$$

$$F_\alpha^j = X - \left(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \text{int}_{\tau_j}(V_\beta) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\gamma > \alpha} (G_\gamma \cap \tau_j) \right), i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2,$$

and $F_\alpha = F_\alpha^i \cup F_\alpha^j$. Again without loss of generality assume G_α is a τ_i -open, so

$$F_\alpha^i \cup F_\alpha^j \subseteq G_\alpha$$

and hence there exists τ_i -open sets V_α^i, W_α^i such that

$$F_\alpha^i \subseteq V_\alpha^i \subseteq \overline{V_\alpha^i}^{\tau_i} \subseteq G_\alpha,$$

$$F_\alpha^j \subseteq W_\alpha^i \subseteq \overline{W_\alpha^i}^{\tau_j} \subseteq G_\alpha,$$

and

$$F_\alpha \subseteq \overline{V_\alpha^i}^{\tau_i} \cup \overline{W_\alpha^i}^{\tau_j} \subseteq G_\alpha, i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2.$$

Let $V_\alpha = V_\alpha^i \cup W_\alpha^i$, then $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a p -open p -point- ω_0 parallel refinement of X . For instance, let $x \in X$ x meets $< \omega_0$ of $\mathcal{G} \cap \tau_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ say $G_{\alpha_1}^i, G_{\alpha_2}^i, \dots, G_{\alpha_n}^i$, let $\alpha = \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. Now $x \notin G_\alpha$ for any $\gamma > \alpha$ and if $x \notin V_\beta$ for any $\beta < \alpha, V_\beta \in \tau_i, x \in F_\alpha \subseteq V_\alpha$, hence $x \in V_\beta$ for some $\beta \leq \alpha$, therefore \mathcal{V} is a p -point- ω_0 parallel refinement of X . \square

Theorem 3.3. *For a normal, p -normal bitopological space $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) X is p - $[\omega_0, \omega_1]$ -paracompact,
- (ii) Every p -open cover of X has a p -point- ω_0 refinement,
- (iii) Every p -open cover \mathcal{U} of X with cardinality $< \omega_1$ has a parallel refinement \mathcal{V} such that for $V_1, W_1 \in \mathcal{V} \cap \tau_i$, we have $\overline{V_1}^{\tau_i} \cup \overline{W_1}^{\tau_j} \subseteq U$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U} \cap \tau_i, i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$,
- (iv) Given a decreasing sequence of a p -closed family $\mathcal{F} = \{F_k | k \in \Delta\}$ with $\bigcap_{k \in \Delta} F_k = \phi$, there exists a sequence of p -open family $\mathcal{G} = \{G_k | k \in \Delta\}$ with $\bigcap_{k \in \Delta} G_k = \phi$, such that $F_k^i \subseteq G_k^i$ and $F_k^i \subseteq G_k^j$, where F_k^i is a τ_i -closed in \mathcal{F} , $G_k^i \in \mathcal{G} \cap \tau_i$ and $G_k^j \in \mathcal{G} \cap \tau_j, i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$,
- (v) Given a decreasing sequence of a p -closed family $\mathcal{F} = \{F_k | k \in \Delta\}$ with $\bigcap_{k \in \Delta} F_k = \phi$, there exists a sequence of p -closed (G_δ) -family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_k | k \in \Delta\}$ with $\bigcap_{k \in \Delta} A_k = \phi$, and $F_k \subseteq A_k$.

Proof. (i) \rightarrow (ii) trivial.

(ii) \rightarrow (iii) Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a p -open cover of X with $|\Delta| < \omega_1$, so by (ii), for all $x \in X, \mathcal{U}$ has a parallel refinement \mathcal{S} such that x meets $< \omega_0$ of $\mathcal{S} \cap \tau_i, i = 1$ or 2 . For each $S \in \mathcal{S}$, let $U(S)$ be the first U_α containing S .

Let

$$G_\alpha = \bigcup_{U(S)=U_\alpha} S,$$

clearly $G_\alpha \in \tau_1 \cup \tau_2, G_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a p -point- ω_0 cover of X which is p -open cover (if necessary add $U \in \mathcal{U} \cap \tau_i, i = 1$ or 2), finally the result comes from Lemma 3.2 .

(iii) \rightarrow (iv) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_k | k \in \Delta, |\Delta| < \omega_1\}$ be a sequence of p -closed family such that $F_{k+1} \subseteq F_k$, and $\bigcap_{k \in \Delta} F_k = \phi$.

Let

$$U_k^i = X - F_k^i,$$

and

$$U_k^j = X - F_k^j,$$

where F_k^i is a τ_i -closed and F_k^j is a τ_j -closed for $i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$. Then $\mathcal{U} = \{U_k^i | k \in \Delta\} \cup \{U_k^j | k \in \Delta\}$ is a p -open cover of X , so by (iii) there exists $V_k, W_k \in \mathcal{V} \cap \tau_i$, where \mathcal{V} is a parallel refinement with $\overline{V_k}^{\tau_i} \cup \overline{W_k}^{\tau_j} \subseteq U_k^i$, we may assume that $U_k^i \in \mathcal{U} \cap \tau_i$. Let

$$G_k^i = X - \overline{V_k}^{\tau_i} \quad \text{and} \quad G_k^j = X - \overline{W_k}^{\tau_j},$$

then

$$\mathcal{G} = \{G_k^i | k \in \Delta\} \cup \{G_k^j | k \in \Delta\},$$

is a p -open family and $\bigcap_{k \in \Delta} (G_k^i \cup G_k^j) = \phi$ with $\overline{V_k}^{\tau_i} \subseteq U_k^i$ and $\overline{W_k}^{\tau_j} \subseteq U_k^j$, hence $X - U_k^i \subseteq X - \overline{V_k}^{\tau_i}$ and $X - U_k^j \subseteq X - \overline{W_k}^{\tau_j}$, so we have $F_k^i \subseteq G_k^i$ and $F_k^j \subseteq G_k^j$ for $i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$.

(iv) \rightarrow (v) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_k, k \in \Delta\}$ be a decreasing sequence of p -closed subset of X with $\bigcap_{k \in \Delta} F_k = \phi$, by (iv) there exists a sequence $\mathcal{G} = \{G_k, k \in \Delta\}$ of p -open subsets of X with $\bigcap_{k \in \Delta} G_k = \phi$, such that $F_k^j \subseteq G_k^i$ and $F_k^j \subseteq G_k^j$ where $G_k^i \in \mathcal{G} \cap \tau_i$, $G_k^j \in \mathcal{G} \cap \tau_j$, and F_k^j is τ_j -closed in \mathcal{F} , $i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$. So by Urysohn's lemma there exists a continuous function $f_k^j : (X, \tau_j) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \tau_u)$ such that $f_k^j(F_k^j) = \{0\}$ and $f_k^j(X - G_k^j) = \{1\}$, and by [5] there exists a p -continuous function $g_k^i : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \tau_l, \tau_r)$ such that $g_k^i(F_k^j) = \{0\}$ and $g_k^i(X - G_k^i) = \{1\}$.

Let

$$M_{km}^j = \{x | f_k^j(x) < \frac{1}{m}\},$$

and

$$N_{km}^i = \{x | g_k^i(x) < \frac{1}{m}\},$$

then

$$M_k^j = \bigcap_m M_{km}^j = \{x | f_k^j(x) = 0\},$$

and

$$N_k^i = \bigcap_m N_{km}^i = \{x | g_k^i(x) = 0\}.$$

Now $\mathcal{A} = \{M_k^j | k \in \Delta\} \cup \{N_k^i | k \in \Delta\}$ is a p -closed (G_δ -)set with $\bigcap_{k \in \Delta} A_k = \phi$ and $F_k \subseteq A_k$.

(v) \rightarrow (i) Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta, |\Delta| < \omega_1\}$ be a p -open cover of X .

Let

$$F_\alpha^i = X - \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} (U_\beta \cap \tau_i),$$

and

$$F_\alpha^j = X - \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} (U_\beta \cap \tau_j),$$

then

$$F_{\alpha+1}^i \subseteq F_\alpha^i,$$

and

$$F_{\alpha+1}^j \subseteq F_\alpha^j.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha^i | \alpha \in \Delta\} \cup \{F_\alpha^j | \alpha \in \Delta\},$$

then

$$F_{\alpha+1}^i \cup F_{\alpha+1}^j \subseteq F_\alpha^i \cup F_\alpha^j,$$

and

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} F_\alpha = \phi,$$

by (v) there exists a sequence \mathcal{A} of p -closed G_δ -set with $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha = \phi$, and $F_\alpha^i \subseteq A_\alpha$, $F_\alpha^j \subseteq A_\alpha$, but $X - A_\alpha$ is a F_α -set, let $X - A_\alpha = \bigcup_\alpha B_{\alpha\gamma}$ where each $B_{\alpha\gamma}$ is a τ_i -closed and the family $\mathcal{B} = \{B_{\alpha\gamma} | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a p -closed family, since X is normal p -normal space, we can assume that

$$B_{\alpha\gamma} \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_i}(B_{\alpha,\gamma+1})$$

and

$$B_{\alpha\gamma} \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_j}(B_{\alpha,\gamma+1}),$$

then

$$X - A_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_\alpha \text{int}_{\tau_i}(B_{\alpha\gamma}),$$

and

$$X - A_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_\alpha \text{int}_{\tau_j}(B_{\alpha\gamma}),$$

$$B_{\alpha\gamma} \subseteq X - A_\alpha \subseteq X - (F_\alpha^i \cup F_\alpha^j) = \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} U_\beta.$$

Let $x \in X$ and U_α be the first element in \mathcal{U} such that $x \in U_\alpha$, so we have two cases:

- (i) $U_\alpha \in \tau_i$, let $V_\alpha^i = U_\alpha - \bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} B_{\alpha\gamma}$ and each $B_{\alpha\gamma}$ is a τ_i -closed.
- (ii) $U_\alpha \in \tau_j$, let $V_\alpha^j = U_\alpha - \bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} B_{\alpha\gamma}$ and each $B_{\alpha\gamma}$ is a τ_j -closed for $i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$.

Now in each case for $\alpha < \gamma$

$$B_{\alpha\gamma} \subseteq \bigcup_{k < \alpha} U_k \subseteq \bigcup_{k < \gamma} U_k,$$

$$U_\alpha - \bigcup_{k < \gamma} U_k \subseteq V_\gamma^i \cup V_\gamma^j,$$

hence

$$\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha^i | \alpha \in \Delta\} \cup \{V_\alpha^j | \alpha \in \Delta\}$$

is a p -open parallel refinement cover of X . Finally, we need to show that \mathcal{V} is p -locally $-\omega_0$, let $x \in X$, there exists $\alpha \in \Delta$ such that $x \notin A_\alpha$, so for some $k, x \in \text{int}_{\tau_i}(B_{\alpha k})$ and $x \in \text{int}_{\tau_j}(B_{\alpha k})$.

If $\gamma > \alpha$ and $\gamma > k$,

$$\text{int}_{\tau_i}(B_{\alpha k}) \subseteq B_{\alpha\gamma}$$

and

$$\text{int}_{\tau_j}(B_{\alpha k}) \subseteq B_{\alpha\gamma},$$

with

$$\text{int}_{\tau_i}(B_{\alpha k}) \cap V_\alpha^i = \phi,$$

and

$$\text{int}_{\tau_j}(B_{\alpha k}) \cap V_\alpha^j = \phi.$$

So $\text{int}_{\tau_i}(B_{\alpha k})$ is a τ_i -open set contains x and meets $< \omega_0$ of $\mathcal{V} \cap \tau_i$, $\text{int}_{\tau_j}(B_{\alpha k})$ is a τ_j -open set contains x and meets $< \omega_0$ of $\mathcal{V} \cap \tau_j$ for $i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$, hence \mathcal{V} is p -locally $-\omega_0$, therefore X is $p - [\omega_0, \omega_1]$ -paracompact space. □

4. A product theorem

Theorem 4.1. *Let $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ be a $s - [w_0, \infty]$ -compact space and $Y = (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $p - [\omega_0, \omega_1]$ -paracompact space. Then $X \times Y = (X \times Y, \tau_1 \times \sigma_1, \tau_2 \times \sigma_2)$ is $p - [\omega_0, \omega_1]$ -paracompact space.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta, |\Delta| < \omega_1\}$ be a p -open cover of $X \times Y$. Let $x \in X$ and $V_\alpha = \{x \in X | x \times Y \subseteq \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} U_\alpha\}$, for $x \in V_\alpha$ and $y \in Y$ with $(x, y) \in x \times Y$ there exists a set $O_x \times Q_x$ with $x \in O_x \in \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ and $y \in Q_x \in \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$. Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_x | x \in X\}$, then \mathcal{Q} is a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open cover of Y and hence \mathcal{Q} has a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open subcover with cardinality $< \omega_0$, say $Q_{x_1}, Q_{x_2}, \dots, Q_{x_n}$.

Let

$$O_1 = \bigcap_m (O_m \cap \tau_1)$$

and

$$O_2 = \bigcap_m (O_m \cap \tau_2) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq m \leq n.$$

Let $O = O_1 \cup O_2$, then $x \in O \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_1$ and

$$O \times Y \subseteq \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} U_\alpha,$$

and

$$x \times Y \subseteq O \times Y \subseteq \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} U_\alpha$$

and hence $V_\alpha \in \tau_1 \cup \tau_1$. Now $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\gamma | \gamma \in \Gamma, |\Gamma| < \omega_1\}$, (if $\mathcal{V} \cap \tau_i = \phi$, add $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U} \cap \tau_i$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta, i = 1, 2$). Since for $x \in X$, $x \times Y$ is $s - [\omega_0, \infty]$ -compact, so it contains in $< \omega_0$ of \mathcal{U} and hence $x \in V_\alpha$, so \mathcal{V} has a p -locally $-\omega_0$ p -open parallel refinement say \mathcal{B} . For $B \in \mathcal{B}$, let $V_B \in \mathcal{V}$ be the first $V_\gamma \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $B \subseteq V_B$ and $G_\gamma = \bigcup_{V_B=V_\gamma} B$, then $G_\gamma \in \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\gamma | \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is a p -locally $-\omega_0$ p -open cover of X . If $\alpha \leq \gamma$, let $W_{\gamma\beta} = (G_\gamma \times Y) \cap U_\alpha$, and $\mathcal{W} = \{W_{\gamma\beta} | \alpha \leq \gamma\}$. For $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, $x \in G_\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $(x, y) \in G_\gamma \times Y$, also $x \in G_\gamma \subseteq V_\gamma$, $(x, y) \in X \times Y \subseteq \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} U_\alpha$, so $(x, y) \in W_{\gamma\beta}$, therefore \mathcal{W} is a p -open cover of $X \times Y$. Again for $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, $x \in K$ where $K \in \tau_i$ which meets $< \omega_0$ of $\mathcal{G} \cap \tau_j$ for $i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$. Now $K \times Y$ meets $< \omega_0$ of $(\mathcal{G} \cap \tau_j) \cap Y$, hence \mathcal{W} is p -locally $-\omega_0$ p -open parallel refinement of \mathcal{U} , hence $X \times Y$ is $p - [\omega_0, \omega_1]$ -paracompact space. \square

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the Middle East University, Amman, Jordan for the financial support granted to cover the publication fee of this research article.

References

- [1] Fuad A. Abushaheen and Hasan Z. Hdeib, *On $[a, b]$ compactness in bitopological spaces*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 110 (2016), 519-535.
- [2] M.C. Datta, *Projective bitopological spaces*, J. Austral Math. Soc., 13 (1972), 327-334.
- [3] R. Engelking, *General topology*, revised and completed edition, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [4] P. Fletcher et. al., *The compaision of topologies*, Duke Math. J., 36 (1969), 325-331.
- [5] J.C. Kelly, *Bitopological spaces*, Proc. Londen Math. Soc., 13 (1963), 71-89.
- [6] L. Reilly, et. al., *On bitopological compactness*, J. Londen Math. Soc., 9, 518-522.

Accepted: 9.11.2018