

## PRODUCT-TYPE OPERATORS FROM AREA NEVANLINNA SPACES TO BLOCH-ORLICZ SPACES

**Zhi-Jie Jiang**

*School of Science*

*Sichuan University of Science and Engineering*

*Zigong, Sichuan*

*643000*

*P. R. China*

*matjzj@126.com*

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be the unit disk in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $H(\mathbb{D})$  the class of all analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\varphi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ . By constructing some more effective test functions in area Nevanlinna space, in this paper we characterize the boundedness and compactness of product-type operators  $D^n M_u C_\varphi$ ,  $D^n C_\varphi M_u$ ,  $C_\varphi D^n M_u$ ,  $M_u D^n C_\varphi$ ,  $M_u C_\varphi D^n$  and  $C_\varphi M_u D^n$  from area Nevanlinna spaces to Bloch-Orlicz spaces.

**Keywords:** Area Nevanlinna space, product-type operator, boundedness, compactness.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  be the open unit disk in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $H(\mathbb{D})$  the class of all analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\varphi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ . The weighted composition operator  $W_{\varphi,u}$  on  $H(\mathbb{D})$  is defined by

$$W_{\varphi,u}f(z) = u(z)f(\varphi(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

If  $u \equiv 1$ , it is reduced to the composition operator, usually denoted by  $C_\varphi$ . While if  $\varphi(z) = z$ , it is reduced to the multiplication operator, usually denoted by  $M_u$ . Since  $W_{\varphi,u} = M_u C_\varphi$ , it can be regarded as a product-type operator. It is a standard problem how to provide function theoretic characterizations when  $\varphi$  and  $u$  induce a bounded or compact weighted composition operator (see, e.g., [2, 4, 5, 18, 21, 23] and the references therein).

Let  $D$  be the differentiation operator on  $H(\mathbb{D})$ , that is

$$Df(z) = f'(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

A systematic study of other product-type operators started with Stević et al. in [14, 16]. Before that there were a few papers in the topic, e.g., [6]. The next two product-type operators  $DC_\varphi$  and  $C_\varphi D$ , attracted some attention first (see, e.g., [17, 19, 27, 29] and the references therein). The publication of [16] attracted some attention in product-type operators involving integral-type ones (see, e.g.,

[10, 28, 30, 31, 34] and the references therein). Since that time there has been a great interest in various product-type operators. For example, the following six operators

$$(1) \quad M_u C_\varphi D, C_\varphi M_u D, M_u D C_\varphi, C_\varphi D M_u, D C_\varphi M_u, D M_u C_\varphi$$

were studied by Sharma in [25]. The product-type operators  $W_{\varphi,u}D$  and  $DW_{\varphi,u}$ , which were considered by Jiang in [7, 8], are included in (1) as the first and sixth operators, respectively. For some other product-type operators, we refer the reader to [12, 13, 15, 20, 22, 24, 39] and the references therein.

The  $n$ th differentiation operator  $D^n$  on  $H(\mathbb{D})$  is defined by

$$D^n f(z) = f^{(n)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Zhu in [38] introduced the so-called generalized weighted composition operator:

$$D_{\varphi,u}^n f(z) = u(z)f^{(n)}(\varphi(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Since  $D_{\varphi,u}^n = M_u C_\varphi D^n$ , it is also a product-type operator.

The product-type operator  $M_u C_\varphi D^n$  from area Nevanlinna space to Bloch-type and Zygmund spaces was studied by Yang et al. in [35, 36]. The weighted composition operator from weighted Bergman-Nevanlinna space to Zygmund and Bloch-type spaces was also studied in [11, 26]. It must be mentioned that in these studies, there is no need to construct more complex test functions in area Nevanlinna space or weighted Bergman-Nevanlinna space. But we find that the used test functions become invalid in the study of the following product-type operators

$$(2) \quad D^n M_u C_\varphi, D^n C_\varphi M_u, C_\varphi D^n M_u, M_u D^n C_\varphi, M_u C_\varphi D^n, C_\varphi M_u D^n.$$

By constructing some more suitable test functions in area Nevanlinna space, in this paper we characterize the boundedness and compactness of the operators in (2) from area Nevanlinna space to Bloch-Orlicz space. This paper can be regarded as a continuation of the investigation of concrete operators between these spaces.

Let  $dA(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} dx dy$  be the normalized Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $dA_\alpha(z) = (\alpha + 1)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dA(z)$  the weighted Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . For  $\alpha > -1$  and  $p \geq 1$ , the area Nevanlinna space  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha^p$  consists of all  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{N}_\alpha^p} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} [\log(1 + |f(z)|)]^p dA_\alpha(z) < \infty.$$

From [3], we see that the area Nevanlinna space  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha^p$  is a Fréchet space with the translation invariant metric given by  $d(f, g) = \|f - g\|_{\mathcal{N}_\alpha^p}$ . If  $p = 1$ , it becomes the weighted Bergman-Nevanlinna space, usually denoted by  $\mathcal{A}_{\log}^\alpha$  (see, [11, 26]).

Let  $\Psi$  be a strictly increasing convex function on  $[0, +\infty)$  such that  $\Psi(0) = 0$ . The Bloch-Orlicz space  $\mathcal{B}^\Psi$  was introduced in [21] by Ramos Fernández, is the class of all  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$  such that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) \Psi(\lambda |f'(z)|) < \infty$$

for some  $\lambda > 0$  depending on  $f$ . Ramos Fernández in [21] proved that  $\mathcal{B}^\Psi$  is isometrically equal to  $\mu_\Psi$ -Bloch space, where

$$\mu_\Psi(z) = \frac{1}{\Psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^2}\right)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{B}^\Psi$  is a Banach space with the norm given by  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\Psi} = |f(0)| + b_{\mu_\Psi}(f)$ , where

$$b_{\mu_\Psi}(f) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_\Psi(z) |f'(z)|.$$

This space generalizes some other spaces. For example, if  $\Psi(t) = t^p$  with  $p > 0$ , then the space  $\mathcal{B}^\Psi$  coincides with the weighted Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$ , where  $\alpha = 1/p$ . Also, if  $\Psi(t) = t \log(1+t)$ , then  $\mathcal{B}^\Psi$  coincides with the Log-Bloch space (see [1]).

Let  $X$  be a topological vector space whose topology is given by the translation invariant metric  $d_X$ . A linear operator  $L : X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$  is metrically bounded if there exists a positive constant  $K$  such that

$$\|Lf\|_{\mathcal{B}^\Psi} \leq K d_X(f, 0)$$

for all  $f \in X$ . The operator  $L : X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$  is metrically compact if it maps bounded sets into relatively compact sets.

In this paper, an operator is bounded (respectively, compact), if it is metrically bounded (respectively, metrically compact). Constants are denoted by  $C$ , they are positive and may differ from one occurrence to the next. The notation  $a \lesssim b$  means that there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that  $a \leq Cb$ .

**2. Auxiliary results**

In [33], Stević used the Faà di Bruno’s formula of the following version

$$(3) \quad (f \circ \varphi)^{(n)}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n f^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) B_{n,k}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(n-k+1)}(z)),$$

where  $B_{n,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1})$  is the Bell polynomial. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the sum can go from  $k = 1$  since  $B_{n,0}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(n+1)}(z)) = 0$ , however we will keep the summation since for  $n = 0$  the only existing term  $B_{0,0} = 1$ .

Now we present some useful information of the Bell polynomials from [9]. The Bell polynomials are associated with set partitions. To the partition  $\{1\}$  we associate the monomial  $x_1$ ; this is the only partition of the set  $\{1\}$ , and we define

$B_{1,1}(x_1) = x_1$ . The set  $\{1, 2\}$  has the two partitions  $\{1, 2\}$  and  $\{1\}, \{2\}$ , the former with one block and the latter with two, and we associate to them the monomials  $x_2$  and  $x_1^2$ , respectively. Then  $B_{2,1}(x_1, x_2) = x_2$  and  $B_{2,2}(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2$ . There are five partitions of the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ . Three of these have two blocks, namely  $\{1, 2\}, \{3\}$  and  $\{1, 3\}, \{2\}$  and  $\{1\}, \{2, 3\}$ ; we associate the monomial  $x_1x_2$  to each of these, and so  $B_{3,2}(x_1, x_2) = 3x_1x_2$ . The other Bell polynomials of order three are  $B_{3,3}(x_1) = x_1^3$ , corresponding to  $\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}$ ; and  $B_{3,1}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_3$ , corresponding to  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ . In general,

$$B_{n,k}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-k+1}) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{j_1+\dots+j_k=n \\ j_i \geq 1}} \binom{n}{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k} x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_k}.$$

The sum is effectively over set partitions of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with block sizes  $j_1, \dots, j_k$ , with the factor  $1/k!$  correcting for the multiple counting inside the sum.

From (3) and the Leibnitz formula the next result follows.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f, u \in H(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\varphi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then*

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & (u(z)f(\varphi(z)))^{(m)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m f^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) \sum_{j=k}^m C_m^j u^{(m-j)}(z) B_{j,k}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(j-k+1)}(z)). \end{aligned}$$

To find some useful test functions, we first introduce the following functions. For a fixed  $w \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we define the function

$$k_{w,i}(z) = \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}+i}}{(1 - \bar{w}z)^{\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{p}+i}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then from Lemma 4.2.2 in [37], it follows that

$$(5) \quad \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |k_{w,i}(z)|^p dA_\alpha(z) \lesssim 1.$$

By using the functions  $k_{w,i}$ , the following result provides some useful test functions.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $w \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for each fixed  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ , there exist two groups of constants  $a_{0,k}, a_{1,k}, \dots, a_{m,k}$  and  $b_{0,k}, b_{1,k}, \dots, b_{m,k}$ , such that the function*

$$(6) \quad h_{w,k}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_{i,k} k_{w,i}(z) \exp \sum_{i=0}^m b_{i,k} k_{w,i}(z)$$

satisfies

$$(7) \quad h_{w,k}^{(k)}(w) = \frac{\bar{w}^k}{(1 - |w|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}+k}} \exp \frac{1}{(1 - |w|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}} \quad \text{and} \quad h_{w,k}^{(j)}(w) = 0$$

for each  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{k\}$ . Moreover,

$$\sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} \|h_{w,k}\|_{\mathcal{N}_\alpha^p} \lesssim 1.$$

**Proof.** First we prove that if the function  $h_{w,k}$  has the expression (6), then  $h_{w,k} \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p$  and  $\sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} \|h_{w,k}\|_{\mathcal{N}_\alpha^p} \lesssim 1$ . Indeed, from the facts that

$$\log(1 + xy) \leq \log(1 + x) + \log(1 + y) \quad \text{and} \quad \log(1 + x) \leq x, \quad x, y > 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log(1 + |h_{w,k}(z)|) &= \log\left(1 + \left|\sum_{i=0}^m a_{i,k}k_{w,i}(z)\right| \exp\left|\sum_{i=0}^m b_{i,k}k_{w,i}(z)\right|\right) \\ &\leq \left|\sum_{i=0}^m a_{i,k}k_{w,i}(z)\right| + \log\left(1 + \exp\left|\sum_{i=0}^m b_{i,k}k_{w,i}(z)\right|\right) \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{i=0}^m (|a_{i,k}| + |b_{i,k}|)|k_{w,i}(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then from this, (5) and a elementary inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_{w,k}\|_{\mathcal{N}_\alpha^p} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(1 + \sum_{i=0}^m (|a_{i,k}| + |b_{i,k}|)|k_{w,i}(z)|\right)^p dA_\alpha(z) \\ &\leq (m + 2)^p \left(1 + \sum_{i=0}^m (|a_{i,k}| + |b_{i,k}|)\right)^p \int_{\mathbb{D}} |k_{w,i}(z)|^p dA_\alpha(z) \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

From this, the desired result follows.

Write

$$f_{w,k}(z) := \sum_{i=0}^m a_{i,k}k_{w,i}(z) \quad \text{and} \quad g_{w,k}(z) := \sum_{i=0}^m b_{i,k}k_{w,i}(z).$$

We first consider the case of  $k = 0$ . By some calculation, we obtain that the function  $h_{w,0}$  satisfies (7) if and only if the functions  $f_{w,0}$  and  $g_{w,0}$  satisfy the following systems

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} f_{w,0}(w) = \frac{1}{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha} \\ f'_{w,0}(w) = 0 \\ f''_{w,0}(w) = 0 \\ \dots \\ f^{(m)}_{w,0}(w) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} g_{w,0}(w) = \frac{1}{(1-|w|^2)^a} \\ g'_{w,0}(w) = 0 \\ g''_{w,0}(w) = 0 \\ \dots\dots \\ g^{(m)}_{w,0}(w) = 0, \end{cases}$$

respectively, where  $a := (\alpha + 2)/p$ . According to the expressions of  $f_{w,0}$  and  $g_{w,0}$ , by calculating  $f_{w,0}^{(j)}(w)$  and  $g_{w,0}^{(j)}(w)$  we see that  $f_{w,0}$  and  $g_{w,0}$  satisfy the systems (8) and (9), respectively, if and only if unknowns  $a_{0,0}, a_{1,0}, \dots, a_{m,0}, b_{0,0}, b_{1,0}, \dots, b_{m,0}$ , satisfy the following linear system of equations

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^m a_{i,0} = 1 \\ \sum_{i=0}^m (2a + i)a_{i,0} = 0 \\ \sum_{i=0}^m (2a + i)(2a + i + 1)a_{i,0} = 0 \\ \dots\dots \\ \sum_{i=0}^m \prod_{j=1}^m (2a + i + j - 1)a_{i,0} = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^m b_{i,0} = 1 \\ \sum_{i=0}^m (2a + i)b_{i,0} = 0 \\ \sum_{i=0}^m (2a + i)(2a + i + 1)b_{i,0} = 0 \\ \dots\dots \\ \sum_{i=0}^m \prod_{j=1}^m (2a + i + j - 1)b_{i,0} = 0, \end{cases}$$

respectively. Hence we only need to prove that (10) and (11) have nonzero solutions, respectively. Indeed, if we can show that the determinants of the systems (10) and (11) are nonzero, then (10) and (11) have nonzero solutions, respectively. By Lemma 3 in [33], the determinants of the systems (10) and (11) equal  $\prod_{j=1}^m j!$ , respectively, which is different from zero. This finishes the proof of the lemma for the case  $k = 0$ .

We next consider the case of  $k \neq 0$ . From (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_{w,k}^{(k)}(z) &= \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{i=j}^k C_k^i f_{w,k}^{(k-i)}(z) B_{i,j}(g'_{w,k}(z), \dots, g_{w,k}^{(i-j+1)}(z)) \exp g_{w,k}(z) \\
 (12) \quad &= f_{w,k}^{(k)}(z) \exp g_{w,k}(z) \\
 &+ \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=j}^k C_k^i f_{w,k}^{(k-i)}(z) B_{i,j}(g'_{w,k}(z), \dots, g_{w,k}^{(i-j+1)}(z)) \exp g_{w,k}(z) \\
 &+ \sum_{i=1}^k C_k^i f_{w,k}^{(k-i)}(z) B_{i,j}(g'_{w,k}(z), \dots, g_{w,k}^{(i-j+1)}(z)) \exp g_{w,k}(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (12) we see that if  $f_{w,k}^{(l)}(w) = 0$  for all  $l < k$ , then  $h_{w,k}^{(l)}(w) = 0$ . On the other hand, for all  $s > k$ , from (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_{w,k}^{(s)}(z) &= \sum_{j=0}^s \sum_{i=j}^s C_s^i f_{w,k}^{(s-i)}(z) B_{i,j}(g'_{w,k}(z), \dots, g_{w,k}^{(i-j+1)}(z)) \exp g_{w,k}(z) \\
 &= C_s^k f_{w,k}^{(k)}(z) \exp g_{w,k}(z) \sum_{j=0}^{s-k} B_{s-k,j}(g'_{w,k}(z), \dots, g_{w,k}^{(s-k-j+1)}(z)) \\
 (13) \quad &+ \sum_{j=0}^{s-k} \sum_{i=j, i \neq s-k}^s C_s^i f_{w,k}^{(s-i)}(z) B_{i,j}(g'_{w,k}(z), \dots, g_{w,k}^{(i-j+1)}(z)) \exp g_{w,k}(z) \\
 &+ \sum_{j=s-k+1}^s \sum_{i=j}^s C_s^i f_{w,k}^{(s-i)}(z) B_{i,j}(g'_{w,k}(z), \dots, g_{w,k}^{(i-j+1)}(z)) \exp g_{w,k}(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (13), for each  $s > k$  we see that if  $g'_{w,k}(w) = 0, \dots, g_{w,k}^{(s-k+1)}(w) = 0, f_{w,k}(w) = 0, f'_{w,k}(w) = 0, \dots, f_{w,k}^{(k-1)}(w) = 0, f_{w,k}^{(k+1)} = 0, \dots, f_{w,k}^{(s)}(w) = 0$ , then  $h_{w,k}^{(s)}(w) = 0$ .

Now letting  $s = k + 1, \dots, m$ , and noticing condition (7), we see that if we can prove that there exist two groups of constants  $a_{0,k}, a_{1,k}, \dots, a_{m,k}$  and  $b_{0,k}, b_{1,k}, \dots, b_{m,k}$ , such that the following systems hold

$$(14) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=0}^m a_{i,k} = 0, \quad \sum_{i=0}^m (2a+i)a_{i,k} = 0 \\ \sum_{i=0}^m (2a+i)(2a+i+1)a_{i,k} = 0 \\ \dots \\ \sum_{i=0}^m \prod_{j=1}^k (2a+i+j-1)a_{i,k} = 1 \\ \dots \\ \sum_{i=0}^m \prod_{j=1}^m (2a+i+j-1)a_{i,k} = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^m b_{i,k} = 1 \\ \sum_{i=0}^m (2a+i)b_{i,k} = 0 \\ \sum_{i=0}^m (2a+i)(2a+i+1)b_{i,k} = 0 \\ \dots\dots \\ \sum_{i=0}^m \prod_{j=1}^{m-k+1} (2a+i+j-1)b_{i,k} = 0, \end{cases}$$

then this finishes the proof of the lemma for the case of  $k \neq 0$ . From Lemma 3 in [33] and a calculation, we get that the determinant of the system (14) equals  $(-1)^{k-1} \prod_{j=1}^m j!$ , which is different from zero. By this, there must exist  $a_{0,k}, a_{1,k}, \dots, a_{m,k}$  such that the system (14) holds. Since the number of equations in the system (15) is less than the number of variables, there must exist  $b_{0,k}, b_{1,k}, \dots, b_{m,k}$ , such that the system (15) holds.  $\square$

To characterize the compactness, we need the following result, which is proved in a standard way (see [23]). So the proof is omitted.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $T \in \{D^n M_u C_\varphi, D^n C_\varphi M_u, C_\varphi D^n M_u, M_u D^n C_\varphi, M_u C_\varphi D^n, C_\varphi M_u D^n\}$ . Then the bounded operator  $T : \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$  is compact if and only if for every bounded sequence  $\{f_j\}$  in  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha^p$  such that  $f_j \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{D}$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|Tf_j\|_{\mathcal{B}^\Psi} = 0.$$

We need also the following estimate for derivative of functions in area Nevanlinna spaces. We refer the reader to [36] for a complete proof.

**Lemma 2.4.** *For a fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_k = C(\alpha, p, k)$  independent of  $f \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  such that*

$$|f^{(k)}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^k} \exp \frac{C_k \|f\|_{\mathcal{N}_\alpha^p}}{(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}}.$$

### 3. Boundedness and compactness

We first characterize the boundedness and compactness of  $D^n M_u C_\varphi : \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\varphi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The operator  $D^n M_u C_\varphi : \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$  is bounded.*



- (ii) The operator  $D^n M_u C_\varphi : \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$  is compact.
- (iii) For all  $c > 0$  and  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n + 1\}$ ,  $u$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$I_k = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_\Psi(z) I_k(z) < \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu_\Psi(z) I_k(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^k} \exp \frac{c}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}} = 0,$$

where

$$I_k(z) = \left| \sum_{j=k}^{n+1} C_{n+1}^j u^{(n+1-j)}(z) B_{j,k}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(j-k+1)}(z)) \right|.$$

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let  $h_k(z) = z^k \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n + 1$ . Applying the operator  $D^n M_u C_\varphi : \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$  to the function  $h_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{\mu_\Psi}(D^n M_u C_\varphi h_0) &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_\Psi(z) \left| (D^n M_u C_\varphi h_0)'(z) \right| \\ (16) \quad &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_\Psi(z) \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} C_{n+1}^j u^{(n+1-j)}(z) B_{j,0}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(j+1)}(z)) \right| \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_\Psi(z) I_0(z) = I_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the operator  $D^n M_u C_\varphi : \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$  is bounded, we have

$$(17) \quad b_{\mu_\Psi}(D^n M_u C_\varphi h_0) \leq \|D^n M_u C_\varphi h_0\| \leq C \|D^n M_u C_\varphi\|.$$

From (16) and (17), we obtain that  $I_0 < \infty$ .

Assume now that we have proved the following inequalities

$$(18) \quad I_l = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_\Psi(z) I_l(z) \leq C \|D^n M_u C_\varphi\|$$

for each  $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$  and a  $k \leq n + 1$ . Applying Lemma 2.1 to the function  $h_k$ , and noticing that  $h_k^{(s)}(z) \equiv 0$  for  $s > k$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (D^n M_u C_\varphi h_k)'(z) &= \sum_{j=0}^k h_k^{(j)}(\varphi(z)) \sum_{i=j}^{n+1} C_{n+1}^i u^{(n+1-i)}(z) \\ (19) \quad &\cdot B_{i,j}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(i-j+1)}(z)) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k k \cdots (k - j + 1) (\varphi(z))^{k-j} \sum_{i=j}^{n+1} C_{n+1}^i u^{(n+1-i)}(z) \\ &\cdot B_{i,j}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(i-j+1)}(z)). \end{aligned}$$

From (19), using the boundedness of  $D^n M_u C_\varphi : \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$ , the boundedness of  $\varphi(z)$  and the triangle inequality, noticing that the coefficient at

$$\sum_{j=k}^{n+1} C_{n+1}^j u^{(n+1-j)}(z) B_{j,k}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(j-k+1)}(z))$$

is independent of  $z$ , finally using hypothesis (18) we easily obtain

$$(20) \quad I_k = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_\Psi(z) I_k(z) \leq C \|D^n M_u C_\varphi\|.$$

By induction we get that (20) holds for each  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$ .

Let  $w \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $c > 0$ . Then for a fixed  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$ , by Lemma 2.2 there exists a function  $h_{\varphi(w),k} \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p$  such that

$$(21) \quad h_{\varphi(w),k}^{(k)}(\varphi(w)) = \frac{\overline{\varphi(w)}^k}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}+k}} \exp \frac{c}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}} \text{ and}$$

$$h_{\varphi(w),k}^{(j)}(\varphi(w)) = 0$$

for each  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\} \setminus \{k\}$ . Hence, from the boundedness of  $D^n M_u C_\varphi : \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$ , we have

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_\Psi(w) |(D^n M_u C_\varphi h_{\varphi(w)})'(w)| &= \frac{\mu_\Psi(w) |\varphi(w)|^k I_k(w)}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}+k}} \exp \frac{c}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}} \\ &\leq \|D^n M_u C_\varphi h_{\varphi(w)}\|_{\mathcal{B}^\Psi} \leq C \|D^n M_u C_\varphi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then from (22) we get

$$(23) \quad \frac{\mu_\Psi(w) |\varphi(w)|^k I_k(w)}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^k} \exp \frac{c}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}} \leq C (1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}.$$

Taking the limit in (23) as  $|\varphi(w)| \rightarrow 1$  gives the following

$$\lim_{|\varphi(w)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu_\Psi(w) I_k(w)}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^k} \exp \frac{c}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}} = 0.$$

This shows that the statement (i) implies (iii).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). In order to prove that the operator  $D^n M_u C_\varphi : \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$  is compact, by Lemma 2.3 we just need to prove that, if  $\{f_i\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha^p$  such that  $\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_i\|_{\mathcal{N}_\alpha^p} \leq M$  and  $f_i \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on any compact subset of  $\mathbb{D}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|D^n M_u C_\varphi f_i\|_{\mathcal{B}^\Psi} = 0.$$

Notice that the second condition in (iii) holds for all  $c > 0$ . Hence, for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ , such that for any  $z \in K = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |\varphi(z)| > \eta\}$

$$(24) \quad \frac{\mu_\Psi(z) I_k(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^k} \exp \frac{C_k M}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}} < \varepsilon,$$

where  $C_k$  is the constant in Lemma 2.4. For such chosen  $\varepsilon$  and  $\eta$ , by using (24) and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z) |(D^n M_u C_{\varphi} f_i)'(z)| \\
 &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z) \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} f_i^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) \sum_{j=k}^{n+1} C_{n+1}^j u^{(n+1-j)}(z) \cdot \right. \\
 (25) \quad & \left. \cdot B_{j,k}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(j-k+1)}(z)) \right| \\
 &\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z) \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} |f_i^{(k)}(\varphi(z))| I_k(z) \\
 &\leq \left( \sup_{z \in K} + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus K} \right) \mu_{\Psi}(z) \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} |f_i^{(k)}(\varphi(z))| I_k(z) \\
 &\leq (n+2)\varepsilon + \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} I_k \sup_{|z| \leq \eta} |f_i^{(k)}(z)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_i \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  implies that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_i^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , from (25) we get

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z) |(D^n M_u C_{\varphi} f_i)'(z)| = 0.$$

It is clear that

$$(26) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |(D^n M_u C_{\varphi} f_i)(0)| = 0.$$

Consequently, from (25) and (26) we obtain

$$(27) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|D^n M_u C_{\varphi} f_i\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\Psi}} = 0.$$

Hence, from Lemma 2.3 we see that the operator  $D^n M_u C_{\varphi} : \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$  is compact.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). This implication is obvious. This finishes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Since  $D^n C_{\varphi} M_u = D^n M_{u \circ \varphi} C_{\varphi}$ , by Faà di Bruno’s formula and Theorem 3.1 we obtain the characterizations of the boundedness and compactness for the operator  $D^n C_{\varphi} M_u : \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$  in the following result.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $\varphi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The operator  $D^n C_{\varphi} M_u : \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$  is bounded.*
- (ii) *The operator  $D^n C_{\varphi} M_u : \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$  is compact.*

(iii) For all  $c > 0$  and  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n + 1\}$ ,  $u$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z) J_k(z) < \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu_{\Psi}(z) J_k(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^k} \exp \frac{c}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}} = 0,$$

where

$$J_k(z) = \left| \sum_{j=k}^{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1-j} C_{n+1}^j u^{(i)}(\varphi(z)) B_{n+1-j,i}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(n+2-j-i)}(z)) \cdot B_{j,k}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(j-k+1)}(z)) \right|.$$

Since

$$(C_{\varphi} D^n M_u f)'(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} C_{n+1}^k u^{(n+1-k)}(\varphi(z)) \varphi'(z) f^{(k)}(\varphi(z)),$$

we have the following result, whose proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1. So it is omitted.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\varphi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The operator  $C_{\varphi} D^n M_u : \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$  is bounded.*
- (ii) *The operator  $C_{\varphi} D^n M_u : \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$  is compact.*
- (iii) *For all  $c > 0$  and  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n + 1\}$ ,  $u$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy the following conditions:*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z) |u^{(n+1-k)}(\varphi(z))| |\varphi'(z)| < \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu_{\Psi}(z) |u^{(n+1-k)}(\varphi(z))| |\varphi'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^k} \exp \frac{c}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}} = 0.$$

Next we characterize the boundedness and compactness of  $M_u D^n C_{\varphi} : \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\varphi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) The operator  $M_u D^n C_\varphi : \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$  is bounded.
- (ii) The operator  $M_u D^n C_\varphi : \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$  is compact.
- (iii) For all  $c > 0$  and  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $u$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_\Psi(z) |u(z)| |\varphi'(z)|^{n+1} &< \infty, \\ \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_\Psi(z) L_k(z) &< \infty, \\ \lim_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu_\Psi(z) L_k(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^k} \exp \frac{c}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_k(z) = &|u'(z) B_{n,k}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(n-k+1)}(z)) \\ &+ u(z) B_{n+1,k}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(n-k+2)}(z))|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu_\Psi(z) |u(z)| |\varphi'(z)|^{n+1}}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{n+1}} \exp \frac{c}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}} = 0.$$

**Proof.** By some calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (M_u D^n C_\varphi f)'(z) = &\sum_{k=0}^n f^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) \left( u'(z) B_{n,k}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(n-k+1)}(z)) \right. \\ &+ u(z) B_{n+1,k}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(n-k+2)}(z)) \\ &\left. + u(z) (\varphi'(z))^{n+1} f^{(n+1)}(\varphi(z)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By this formula, the proof can be given similar to that of Theorem 3.1. So we omit it. □

Since  $(M_u C_\varphi D^n f)'(z) = u'(z) f^{(n)}(\varphi(z)) + u(z) \varphi'(z) f^{(n+1)}(\varphi(z))$ , we have

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\varphi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The operator  $M_u C_\varphi D^n : \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$  is bounded.
- (ii) The operator  $M_u C_\varphi D^n : \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$  is compact.
- (iii) For all  $c > 0$ , the functions  $u$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_\Psi(z) |u'(z)| &< \infty, \\ \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_\Psi(z) |u(z)| |\varphi'(z)| &< \infty, \\ \lim_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu_\Psi(z) |u'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^n} \exp \frac{c}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu_\Psi(z)|u(z)||\varphi'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{n+1}} \exp \frac{c}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}} = 0.$$

Noticing that  $C_\varphi M_u D^n = M_{u \circ \varphi} C_\varphi D^n$ , by Theorem 3.4 we have

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $\varphi$  be an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The operator  $C_\varphi M_u D^n : \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$  is bounded.*
- (ii) *The operator  $C_\varphi M_u D^n : \mathcal{N}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\Psi$  is compact.*
- (iii) *For all  $c > 0$ , the functions  $u$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy the following conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_\Psi(z)|u'(\varphi(z))||\varphi'(z)| &< \infty, \\ \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_\Psi(z)|u(\varphi(z))||\varphi'(z)| &< \infty, \\ \lim_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu_\Psi(z)|u'(\varphi(z))||\varphi'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^n} \exp \frac{c}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu_\Psi(z)|u(\varphi(z))||\varphi'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{n+1}} \exp \frac{c}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}} = 0.$$

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank the anonymous referee very much for providing valuable suggestions for the improvement of this paper. This work was supported by the Open Program of Key Laboratory of Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Science of Guangdong Higher Education Institutes, Guangzhou University, the Sichuan Province University Key Laboratory of Bridge Non-destruction Detecting and Engineering Computing (No.2016QZJ01) and the Cultivation Project of Sichuan University of Science and Engineering (No.2015PY04).

**References**

- [1] K. Attele, *Toeplitz and Hankel operators on Bergman spaces*, Hokkaido Math. J., 21 (1992), 279-293.
- [2] F. Colonna, S. Li, *Weighted composition operators from the minimal Möbius invariant space into the Bloch space*, Mediter. J. Math., 10 (1) (2013), 395-409.
- [3] B. Choe, H. Koo, W. Smith, *Carleson measure for the area Nevalinna spaces and applications*, J. Anal. Math., 104 (2008), 207-233.

- [4] C. C. Cowen, B. D. MacCluer, *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*, CRC Press, Boca Roton, 1995.
- [5] K. Esmaeili, M. Lindström, *Weighted composition operators between Zygmund type spaces and their essential norms*, *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory.*, 75 (2013), 473-490.
- [6] R. A. Hibscheiler, N. Portnoy, *Composition followed by differentiation between Bergman and Hardy spaces*, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 35 (3) (2005), 843-855.
- [7] Z. J. Jiang, *On a class of operators from weighted Bergman spaces to some spaces of analytic functions*, *Taiwan. J. Math.*, 15 (5) (2011), 2095-2121.
- [8] Z. J. Jiang, *On a product-type operator from weighted Bergman-Orlicz space to some weighted type spaces*, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 256 (2015), 37-51.
- [9] W. Johnson, *The curious history of Faà di Bruno's formula*, *Amer. Math. Monthly.*, 109 (3) (2002), 217-234.
- [10] S. Krantz, S. Stević, *On the iterated logarithmic Bloch space on the unit ball*, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 71 (2009), 1772-1795.
- [11] P. Kumar, S. D. Sharma, *Weighted composition operators from weighted Bergman Nevanlinna spaces to Zygmund spaces*, *Int. J. Mod. Math. Sci.*, 3 (1) (2012), 31-54.
- [12] Y. Liu, Y. Yu, *Products of composition, multiplication and radial derivative operators from logarithmic Bloch spaces to weighted-type spaces on the unit ball*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 423(1) (2015), 76-93.
- [13] H. Li, Z. Guo, *On a product-type operator from Zygmund-type spaces to Bloch-Orlicz spaces*, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, Vol. 2015, Article no. 132, (2015), 18 pages.
- [14] S. Li, S. Stević, *Composition followed by differentiation between Bloch type spaces*, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.*, 9 (2) (2007), 195-205.
- [15] S. Li, S. Stević, *Generalized composition operators on Zygmund spaces and Bloch type spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 338 (2008), 1282-1295.
- [16] S. Li, S. Stević, *Products of composition and integral type operators from  $H^\infty$  to the Bloch space*, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 53 (5) (2008), 463-474.
- [17] S. Li, S. Stević, *Products of composition and differentiation operators from Zygmund spaces to Bloch spaces and Bers spaces*, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 217 (2010), 3144-3154.

- [18] K. Madigan, A. Matheson, *Compact composition operators on the Bloch space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 347 (1995), 2679-2687.
- [19] S. Ohno, *Products of composition and differentiation on Bloch spaces*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 46 (6) (2009), 1135-1140.
- [20] C. Pan, *Generalized composition operators from  $\mu$ -Bloch spaces into mixed norm spaces*, Ars Combin., 102 (2011), 263-268
- [21] J. C. Ramos Fernández, *Composition operators on Bloch-Orlicz type spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput., 217 (2010), 3392-3402.
- [22] Y. Ren, *On an integral-type operator from mixed norm spaces to Zygmund-type spaces*, Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 4 (3) (2012), 71-77.
- [23] H. J. Schwartz, *Composition operators on  $H^p$* , Thesis, University of Toledo, 1969.
- [24] B. Sehba, S. Stević, *On some product-type operators from Hardy-Orlicz and Bergman-Orlicz spaces to weighted-type spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput., 233 (2014), 565-581.
- [25] A. K. Sharma, *Products of composition multiplication and differentiation between Bergman and Bloch type spaces*, Turkish. J. Math., 35 (2011), 275-291.
- [26] A. K. Sharma, Z. Abbas, *Weighted composition operators between weighted Bergman-Nevanlinna and Bloch-type spaces*, Appl. Math. Sci., 41 (4) (2010), 2039-2048.
- [27] S. Stević, *Norm and essential norm of composition followed by differentiation from  $\alpha$ -Bloch spaces to  $H_\mu^\infty$* , Appl. Math. Comput., 207 (2009), 225-229.
- [28] S. Stević, *On an integral-type operator from logarithmic Bloch-type and mixed-norm spaces to Bloch-type spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA, 71 (2009), 6323-6342.
- [29] S. Stević, *Products of composition and differentiation operators on the weighted Bergman space*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc., 16 (2009), 623-635.
- [30] S. Stević, *Products of integral-type operators and composition operators from the mixed norm space to Bloch-type spaces*, Siberian Math. J., 50 (4) (2009), 726-736.
- [31] S. Stević, *On an integral operator from the Zygmund space to the Bloch-type space on the unit ball*, Glasg. J. Math., 51 (2009), 275-287.



- [32] S. Stević, *On an integral-type operator from logarithmic Bloch-type spaces to mixed-norm spaces on the unit ball*, Appl. Math. Comput., 215 (2010), 3817-3823.
- [33] S. Stević, *Weighted differentiation composition operators from  $H^\infty$  and Bloch spaces to  $n$ th weighted-type spaces on the unit disk*, Appl. Math. Comput., 216 (2010), 3634-3641.
- [34] S. Stević, S. Ueki, *Integral-type operators acting between weighted-type spaces on the unit ball*, Appl. Math. Comput., 215 (2009), 2464-2471.
- [35] W. Yang, W. Yan, *Generalized weighted composition operators from area Nevanlinna spaces to weighted-type spaces*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 48 (6) (2011), 1195-1205.
- [36] W. Yang, X. Zhu, *Generalized weighted composition operators from area Nevanlinna spaces to Bloch-type spaces*, Taiwan. J. Math., 16 (3) (2012), 869-883.
- [37] K. Zhu, *Spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit ball*, Springer, New York, 2005.
- [38] X. Zhu, *Products of differentiation, composition and multiplication operator from Bergman type spaces to Bers spaces*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., 18 (2007), 223-231.
- [39] X. Zhu, *Generalized weighted composition operators from Bloch spaces into Bers-type spaces*, Filomat., 26 (2012), 1163-1169.

Accepted: 1.06.2017