

ON AN EXTENSION TO KHAN'S FIXED POINT THEOREM

V. Srinivasa Kumar*

*Department of Mathematics
JNTUH College of Engineering
JNTU, Kuakatpally, Hyderabad-500085
Telangana State, India
srinu_vajha@yahoo.co.in*

K. Kumara Swamy

*Department of Mathematics
Malla Reddy Engg. College for Women
Secunderabad-500014, Telangana State, India
kallakumaraswamy@gmail.com*

TVL. Narayana

*Dept. of Mathematics
RISE Krishna Sai Prakasam Group of Institutions
Ongole, Prakasam(dt), A.P
India
narayanatul@yahoo.com*

Abstract. In this present paper, the fixed point theorem that was proved by Khan [2] is extended to sequences of self maps through rational expressions. The present theorem includes non-continuous maps also.

Keywords: Fixed point, 2-metric space, complete 2-metric space, self-map.

1. Introduction

The notion of 2-metric space was introduced by Gahler [1] in 1963 as a generalization of area function for Euclidean triangles. Many fixed point theorems were established by various authors like Khan[2], Rhoades [4], etc. A point $x \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of a self-map $f : X \rightarrow X$ if $f(x) = x$, where X is a non-empty set. Theorems concerning fixed points of self-maps are known as fixed point theorems. Most of the fixed point theorems were proved for contraction mappings. It is well known that every contraction on a metric space is continuous. The converse is not necessarily true. The identity mapping on $[0,1]$ simply serves the counter example.

In this present work, the Khans fixed point theorem [2] is extended to a more general form in 2-metric space setting. Our generalized theorem holds for non-continuous selfmaps also.

*. Corresponding author

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some basic definitions which are needed for the further study of this paper.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a non-empty set and $d : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For all x, y, z and u in X , if d satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) $d(x, y, z) = 0$ if at least two of x, y, z are equal
- (b) $d(x, y, z) = d(x, z, y) = d(y, z, x) = \dots$
- (c) $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, u) + d(x, u, z) + d(u, y, z)$.

Then d is called a 2-metric on X and the pair (X, d) is called a 2-metric space.

Definition 2.2. Let (X, d) be a 2-metric space. A sequence $\{X_n\}$ in X is called a *Cauchy sequence*, if $d(x_m, x_n, a) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $a \in X$.

Definition 2.3. Let (X, d) be a 2-metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to converge to a point x in X if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x, a) = 0$, for every a in X .

Definition 2.4. A 2-metric space (X, d) is said to be a complete 2-metric space if every Cauchy sequence in X converges in X .

3. Generalized fixed point theorem

The following Theorem 3.2 is an extension of Khan's Fixed point theorem for non-continuous maps. We present Khan's result as Theorem 3.1 for completeness.

In what follows X stands for a complete 2-metric space with 2-metric d .

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow X$,

$$d(f(x), f(y), a) \leq \alpha \frac{[d(x, f(x), a)]^{r+w} [d(y, f(y), a)]^{1-r}}{[d(x, y, a)]^w} + \beta [d(x, y, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(f(x), f(y), a)]^{r+w},$$

for all x, y, a in X and for some $\alpha, \beta, w \in [0, 1)$, $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $\alpha + \beta < 1$ and $2r + w = 1$ when $w \neq 0$. Then f has a unique fixed point in X .

Theorem 3.2. Let $\{f_n\}$ and $\{g_n\}$ be two sequences of self-maps on X satisfying

$$(1) \quad d(f_n^p(x), g_n^q(y), a) \leq \alpha \frac{[d(x, f_n^p(x), a)]^{r+w} [d(y, g_n^q(y), a)]^{1-r}}{[d(x, y, a)]^w} + \beta [d(x, y, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(f_n^p(x), g_n^q(y), a)]^{r+w},$$

for all x, y, a in X and for some $\alpha, \beta, w \in [0, 1)$, $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $\alpha + \beta < 1$ and $2r + w = 1$ when $w \neq 0$, p and q are positive integers then f_n and g_n have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X as follows $x_{2n-1} = f_n^p(x_{2n-2})$ and $x_{2n} = g_n^q(x_{2n-1})$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{2n-1}, x_n, a) &= d(f_n^p(x_{2n-2}), g_n^q(x_{2n-1}), a) \\
&\leq \alpha \frac{[d(x_{2n-2}, f_n^p(x_{2n-2}), a)]^{r+w} [d(x_{2n-1}, g_n^q(x_{2n-1}), a)]^{1-r}}{[d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^w} \\
(2) \quad &+ \beta [d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(f_n^p(x_{2n-2}), g_n^q(x_{2n-1}), a)]^{r+w} \\
&\alpha \frac{[d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^{r+w} [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{1-r}}{[d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^w} \\
&= \beta [d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{r+w} \\
&= \alpha [d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^r [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{1-r} \\
&+ \beta [d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{r+w}
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a) &\leq \alpha [d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^r [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{1-r} \\
(3) \quad &+ \beta [d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{r+w}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) &= d(g_n^q(x_{2n-1}), f_n^p(x_{2n}), a) \\
&= d(f_n^p(x_{2n}), g_n^q(x_{2n-1}), a) \\
&\leq \alpha \frac{[d(x_{2n}, f_n^p(x_{2n}), a)]^{r+w} [d(x_{2n-1}, g_n^q(x_{2n-1}), a)]^{1-r}}{[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^w} \\
&+ \beta [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(f_n^p(x_{2n}), g_n^q(x_{2n-1}), a)]^{r+w} \\
&= \alpha \frac{[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a)]^{r+w} [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{1-r}}{[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^w} \\
(4) \quad &+ \beta [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{r+w} \\
&= \alpha [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a)]^{r+w} [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{1-r-w} \\
&+ \beta [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a)]^{r+w} \\
&= (\alpha + \beta) [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a)]^{r+w} \\
&\Rightarrow d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) \leq (\alpha + \beta) [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a)]^{r+w} \\
&\Rightarrow [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a)]^{1-r-w} \leq (\alpha + \beta) [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{1-r-w} \\
&\Rightarrow d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) \leq (\alpha + \beta)^{1/1-r-w} d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a).
\end{aligned}$$

Case (i). When $w \neq 0$, we obtain

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) \leq (\alpha + \beta)^{1/r} d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)$$

and from (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a) &\leq \alpha [d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^r [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{1-r} \\
 &\quad + \beta [d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^r [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{1-r} \\
 (5) \qquad &= (\alpha + \beta) [d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^r [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{1-r} \\
 &\Rightarrow [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^r \leq (\alpha + \beta) [d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^r \\
 &\Rightarrow d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a) \leq k_1 d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $k_1 = (\alpha + \beta)^{1/r} < 1$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) &\leq k_1 d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a) \leq k_1^2 d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a) \\
 &\leq \dots \leq k_1^{2n} d(x_0, x_1, a) \\
 &\Rightarrow d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) \leq k_1^{2n} d(x_0, x_1, a).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $k_1 < 1$, $k_1^{2n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Case (ii)

Suppose that $w = 0$

From (3) and (2), we have $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) \leq (\alpha + \beta)^{1/1-r} d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a) &\leq \alpha [d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^r [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{1-r} \\
 (6) \qquad &\quad + \beta [d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)]^{1-r} [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^r.
 \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a) \leq d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)$.

If it is not so, suppose that $d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a) > d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a)$. Then from (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a) &\leq \alpha [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^r [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{1-r} \\
 &\quad + \beta [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^{1-r} [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)]^r \\
 &= (\alpha + \beta) d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a) \leq (\alpha + \beta) d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)$$

Which is a contradiction, since $\alpha + \beta < 1$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a) &\leq d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, a) \leq d(x_{2n-3}, x_{2n-2}, a) \\
 &\leq k_2^2 d(x_{2n-4}, x_{2n-3}, a) \leq \dots \leq k_2^{2n-2} d(x_0, x_1, a) \\
 &\Rightarrow d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a) \leq k_2^{2n-2} d(x_0, x_1, a).
 \end{aligned}$$

Where $k_2 = (\alpha + \beta)^{1/r} < 1$. Since $k_2 < 1$, $k_2^{2n-2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence $d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, 0) = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus in two cases $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, $\{x_n\}$ converges to some point, say, u in X .

Now we prove that u is a unique common fixed point of f_n and g_n , ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$).

For this first we prove that $u = f_n^p(u) = g_n^q(u)$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Now by the properties of 2-metric, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, f_n^p(u), a) &\leq d(u, f_n^p(u), x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, f_n^p(u), a) \\ &= d(u, f_n^p(u), x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, a) + d(f_n^p(u), g_n^q(x_{2n-1}), a). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $x = u$ and $y = x_{2n-1}$ in (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_n^p(u), g_n^q(x_{2n-1}), a) &\leq \alpha \frac{[d(u, f_n^p(u), a)]^{r+w} [d(x_{2n-1}, g_n^q(x_{2n-1}), a)]^{1-r}}{[d(u, x_{2n-1}, a)]^w} \\ &\quad + \beta [d(u, x_{2n-1}, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(f_n^p(u), g_n^q(x_{2n-1}), a)]^{r+w}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, f_n^p(u), a) &\leq d(u, f_n^p(u), x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, a) \\ &\quad + \alpha \frac{[d(u, f_n^p(u), a)]^{r+w} [d(x_{2n-1}, g_n^q(x_{2n-1}), a)]^{1-r}}{[d(u, x_{2n-1}, a)]^w} \\ &\quad + \beta [d(u, x_{2n-1}, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(f_n^p(u), g_n^q(x_{2n-1}), a)]^{r+w}. \end{aligned}$$

When as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $d(u, f_n^p(u), a) \leq 0 + \alpha(0) + \beta(0)$, which implies that $d(u, f_n^p(u), a) = 0$. Hence $f_n^p(u) = u$. Similarly $g_n^q(u) = u$. Therefore u is a common fixed point of f_n^p and g_n^q . To show that u is unique, let v be the another common fixed point of f_n^p and $g_n^q \Rightarrow v = f_n^p(v) = g_n^q(v)$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then by given condition

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_n^p(u), g_n^q(v), a) &\leq \alpha \frac{[d(u, f_n^p(u), a)]^{r+w} [d(v, g_n^q(v), a)]^{1-r}}{[d(u, v, a)]^w} \\ &\quad + \beta [d(u, v, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(f_n^p(u), g_n^q(v), a)]^{r+w} \\ &= \alpha \frac{[d(u, u, a)]^{r+w} [d(v, v, a)]^{1-r}}{[d(u, v, a)]^w} + \beta [d(u, v, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(u, v, a)]^{r+w} \end{aligned}$$

or $(1 - \beta)d(u, v, a) \leq 0$, which implies that $d(u, v, a) = 0$, for every a in X .

$$\Rightarrow u = v$$

Hence u is a unique common fixed point of f_n^p and g_n^q .

Finally we show that u is the only common fixed point of f_n and g_n . For $f_n^p(f_n(u)) = f_n(f_n^p(u))$ gives $f_n^p(f_n(u)) = f_n(u)$. Hence $f_n(u)$ is the fixed point of f_n^p . Since u is the unique fixed point of f_n^p , $f_n(u) = u$.

Similarly $g_n(u) = u$. To show that u is unique common fixed point of f_n and g_n , let z be the another common fixed point of f_n and g_n . That is, $z = f_n(z) =$

$g_n(z)$. From the given condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, z, a) &= d(f_n(u), g_n(z), a) \\ &= d(f_n^p(u), g_n^q(z), a) \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{[d(u, f_n^p(u), a)]^{r+q} [d(z, g_n^q(z), a)]^{1-r}}{[d(u, z, a)]^w} \\ &\quad + \beta [d(u, z, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(f_n^p(u), g_n^q(z), a)]^{r+w} \\ &= \alpha \frac{[d(u, u, a)]^{r+w} [d(z, z, a)]^{1-r}}{[d(u, z, a)]^w} + \beta [d(u, z, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(u, z, a)]^{r+w} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(1 - b)d(u, z, a) \leq 0$$

which implies that $d(u, z, a) = 0$ for every a in X .

$$\Rightarrow u = z$$

Hence u is a unique common fixed point of f_n and g_n , ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) \square

Remark 3.3. Theorem 3.1 can be easily deduced as a corollary from Theorem 3.2 by taking $f_n^p = g_n^q = f$. Hence Theorem 3.2 is a generalized version of Khan's theorem [2] in 2-metric space.

References

- [1] S. Gähler, *2-metrische Räume und ihre topologische structure*, Math Natch, 26 (1963), 115148.
- [2] M.S. Khan, *A theorem on fixed points*, Math seminar Notes, Kobe University, 2 (1976), 227-228.
- [3] E. Kreyszig, *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1978.
- [4] B.E. Rhoades, *Contraction Type Mappings on a 2-Metric Space*, Math. Nachr., 91 (1979), 151-155.

Accepted: 4.10.2016