

COMPUTATION OF TOPOLOGICAL INDICES OF NON-COMMUTING GRAPHS

M. Jahandideh¹

*Department of Mathematics
Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz
Ahvaz
Iran*

M.R. Darafsheh

*School of Mathematics
Statistics and Computer Science
College of Science
University of Tehran
Tehran
Iran*

N. Shirali

*Department of Mathematics
Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz
Ahvaz
Iran*

Abstract. Let G be a non-abelian finite group. The non-commuting graph Γ_G of G is defined as a graph with vertex set $G - Z(G)$ in which two distinct vertices x and y are joined if and only if $xy \neq yx$. Various topological indices have been defined for simple and connected graphs. Since non-commuting graph is a simple and connected graph, topological indices could be defined for it. The main object of this article is to calculate various indices like Wiener index, Hyper-Wiener index, Schultz index and Gutman index for the non-commuting graph of the group G .

Keywords: non-commuting graph, Wiener index, hyper-Wiener index, Schultz index, Gutman index.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C12.

1. Introduction

Let G be a non-abelian finite group. Various graphs could be attributed to G , one of which is the non-commuting graph denoted by Γ_G . The set of vertices and edges of Γ_G are $V(\Gamma_G)$ and $E(\Gamma_G)$ respectively so that $V(\Gamma_G) = G - Z(G)$ in which $Z(G)$ is the center of G and for every $x, y \in V(\Gamma_G)$ we have:

$$\{x, y\} \in E(\Gamma_G) \iff xy \neq yx$$

¹Corresponding author. E-mail: m.jahandideh.kh@gmail.com

It is apparent that if G is an abelian group, Γ_G would turn to a null graph. For this, G is assumed to be a non-abelian group. The centralizer of x within G which is denoted by $C_G(x)$ is a subset of G which is defined as $\{g \in G \mid gx = xg\}$.

According to [8] the non-commuting graph of a finite group G was first introduced by Paul Erdos in connection with the following problem: let G be a group whose non-commuting graph Γ_G has no infinite complete subgraphs. Is it true that there is a finite bound on the cardinalities of complete subgraphs of Γ_G ? By [8], the answer to this question is positive and this was the origin of many similar questions and research.

Assume that $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is a graph in which V is the set of vertices and E is the set of edges. This graph is assumed to be a finite graph whenever $|V|, |E|$ are finite. We assume this graph is connected, the distance between two x and y the vertex is shown as $d(x, y)$. It is the shortest path between the two vertices x and y . The degree of the vertex x which is shown by $\rho(x)$ equals to the number of edges through x . The largest distance between all pairs of the vertices of G is called the diameter of G .

The Wiener index of the graph G which is shown as $W(G)$ is defined as follows:

$$W(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in V} d(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V} d(x).$$

Where $d(x) = \sum_{v \in V} d(x, v)$.

The Wiener index is one of the oldest descriptors concerned with the molecular graph. This index first was proposed by H. Wiener [9] and it is concerned with the determination of the boiling points of Paraffins. In mathematical research, the Wiener index has been first studied in [4]. It is an invariant of the graph, it is invariant under the automorphism group of the graph.

The Hyper-Wiener index of the graph G which is shown as $WW(G)$ is defined as follows:

$$WW(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{x, y\} \subset V} (d(x, y) + d^2(x, y)).$$

The Hyper-Wiener index is one of the recently introduced distance-based molecular structure-descriptors. It was put forward in 1993 and since then it has attracted much attention of theoretical chemists. In parallel with the symbol W for the Wiener index the hyper-Wiener index is traditionally denoted by WW [3], [6].

Schultz in 1989 introduced a graph-theoretical descriptor for characterizing alkanes by an integer, namely the Schultz index, defined as

$$S(G) = \sum_{\{x, y\} \subset V} (\rho(x) + \rho(y))d(x, y).$$

The Gutman index (also known as Schultz index of the second kind [2], [3], [5], [7]) of a graph G is defined as

$$Gut(G) = \sum_{\{x, y\} \subset V} \rho(x)\rho(y)d(x, y).$$

Our main goal is to calculate the above mentioned indices for the non-commuting graph of G in terms of the order of G , $Z(G)$ and the number of conjugacy classes of G . The following lemmas will be used repeatedly in calculating process:

Lemma 1.1. *Let G be a non-abelian finite group, then $\text{diam}(\Gamma_G) = 2$.*

Proof. This is Proposition 2.1 in [1]. ■

Lemma 1.2. *Let G be a non-abelian finite group and k be the number of conjugacy classes of G , then*

$$|E(\Gamma_G)| = \frac{1}{2}|G|(|G| - k(G)).$$

Proof. See [1] Lemma 3.27. ■

Lemma 1.3. *Let G be a non-abelian finite group. If x is one of the vertices of Γ_G , then*

$$\rho(x) = |G| - |C_G(x)|.$$

Proof. See Lemma 3.1 in [1]. ■

2. The Wiener index of the non-commuting graph of a group

Before we calculate the Wiener index, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a finite group and k be the number of conjugacy classes of G , then*

$$\sum_{x \notin Z(G)} |C_G(x)| = |G|(k - |Z(G)|).$$

Proof. We know that G is the union of its conjugacy classes and assume that $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is the set of the representatives of the conjugacy classes of G . Then we have:

$$G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{class}(x_i)$$

Now, let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^t$ be the set of non-central of G class representatives and then we have $k = t + |Z(G)|$. Every x which is not placed within would be placed within one of $Z(G)$ in which $\text{class}(x_i)$ s in which $1 \leq i \leq t$. Therefore we have:

$$\sum_{x \notin Z(G)} |C_G(x)| = \sum_{i=1}^t |\text{class}(x_i)| |C_G(x_i)| = |G|t = |G|(k - |Z(G)|). \quad \blacksquare$$

Now, we calculate the Wiener index of the non-commuting graph of a group G . Assume that $x, y \in G - Z(G)$ are two arbitrary distinct vertices of the graph Γ_G . According to the Lemma 1.1 we have $d(x, y) = 1$ or 2 .

If $d(x, y) = 1$, then $xy \neq yx$ and $y \in G - C_G(x)$.

If $d(x, y) = 2$, then $xy = yx$ and $x \neq y \in C_G(x) - Z(G)$.

So, we have

$$W(\Gamma_G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in G - Z(G)} d(x)$$

for all $x \in G - Z(G)$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) &= 2 \text{ (The number of vertices whose distance from } x \text{ is 2)} \\ &+ 1 \text{ (The number of vertices whose distance from } x \text{ is 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$d(x) = 2(|C_G(x)| - |Z(G)| - 1) + (|G| - |C_G(x)|) = |G| + |C_G(x)| - 2|Z(G)| - 2$$

Now, we can calculate the Wiener index:

$$\begin{aligned} W(\Gamma_G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in G - Z(G)} d(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{x \in G - Z(G)} (|G| - 2|Z(G)| - 2) + |C_G(x)| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(|G| - 2|Z(G)| - 2)(|G| - |Z(G)|) + \sum_{x \in G - Z(G)} |C_G(x)| \right] \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$W(\Gamma_G) = \frac{1}{2} [(|G| - 2|Z(G)| - 2)(|G| - |Z(G)|) + |G|(k - |Z(G)|)]$$

So

$$W(\Gamma_G) = \frac{1}{2} [(|G| - 2|Z(G)|)^2 - |G|(|G| - k) + |G|(|G| - 2) - 2|Z(G)|(|Z(G)| - 1)]$$

or

$$W(\Gamma_G) = \frac{1}{2} [(|G| - 2|Z(G)|)^2 - 2|E(\Gamma_G)| + |G|(|G| - 2) - 2|Z(G)|(|Z(G)| - 1)].$$

Therefore, we have proved the following:

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a non-abelian finite group and Γ_G be its non-commuting graph. Then*

$$W(\Gamma_G) = \frac{1}{2} [(|G| - 2|Z(G)|)^2 - 2|E(\Gamma_G)| + |G|(|G| - 2) - 2|Z(G)|(|Z(G)| - 1)].$$

3. The Hyper-Wiener index of non-commuting graph of a group

Assume that x, y are two arbitrary vertices of the non-commuting graph of the group G . The Hyper-Wiener index of this graph is defined as follows:

$$WW(G) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x,y \in G-Z(G)} (d(x, y) + d^2(x, y)).$$

In order to calculate the Hyper-Wiener index; first we calculate $d^2(x, y)$ for every $x, y \in G - Z(G)$.

Let us set $G - Z(G) = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^m$ where $m = |G| - |Z(G)|$. So we have:

$$\sum_{x,y \in G-Z(G)} d^2(x, y) = \sum_{x_i \in G-Z(G)} d^2(x_1, x_i) + \dots + \sum_{x_i \in G-z(G)} d^2(x_m, x_i)$$

Without loss of generality, we calculate $\sum_{x_i \in G-Z(G)} d^2(x_j, x_i)$ for a constant x_j .

- 1) If $d^2(x_j, x_i) = 1$, then $x_j x_i \neq x_i x_j$ and $x_i \in G - C_G(x_j)$.
- 2) If $d^2(x_j, x_i) = 4$, then $x_j x_i = x_i x_j$ and $x_j \neq x_i \in C_G(x_j) - Z(G)$.

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x_i \in G-Z(G)} d^2(x_j, x_i) &= 4 \text{ (The number of vertices whose distances from } x \text{ is 2)} \\ &+ \text{ (The number of vertices whose distances from } x \text{ is 1)} \\ &= 4(|C_G(x_1)| - |Z(G)| - 1) + (|G| - |C_G(x_j)|) \\ &= |G| + 3|C_G(x_j)| - 4|Z(G)| - 4 \end{aligned}$$

But, x_j is an arbitrary vertex. So we can write this formula for all x_i in which $1 \leq i \leq m$. Now we calculate $\sum_{x,y \in G-Z(G)} d^2(x, y)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x,y \in G-Z(G)} d^2(x, y) &= \sum_{i=1}^m (|G| + 3|C_G(x_i)| - 4|Z(G)| - 4) \\ &= (|G| - 4|Z(G)| - 4)(|G| - |Z(G)|) + 3 \sum_{i=1}^m |C_G(x_i)| \\ &= (|G| - 4|Z(G)| - 4)(|G| - |Z(G)|) + 3|G|(k - |Z(G)|) \\ &= (|G| - 4|Z(G)|)^2 + |G|(3|G| - 4) \\ &\quad - 6|E(\Gamma_G)| - 4|Z(G)|(3|Z(G)| - 1). \end{aligned}$$

So, the Hyper-Wiener index is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} WW(\Gamma_G) &= \frac{1}{2}W(\Gamma_G) + \frac{1}{4}[(|G| - 4|Z(G)|)^2 + |G|(3|G| - 4) \\ &\quad - 6|E(\Gamma_G)| - 4|Z(G)|(3|Z(G)| - 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have:

$$WW(\Gamma_G) = \frac{1}{4}[(|G| - 4|Z(G)|)^2 + (|G| - 2|Z(G)|)^2 - 8|E(\Gamma_G)| + 2|G|(2|G| - 3) - 2|Z(G)|(7|Z(G)| - 3)].$$

Therefore, we have proved the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a non-abelian finite group and Γ_G be its non-commuting graph. Then*

$$WW(\Gamma_G) = \frac{1}{4}[(|G| - 4|Z(G)|)^2 + (|G| - 2|Z(G)|)^2 - 8|E(\Gamma_G)| + 2|G|(2|G| - 3) - 2|Z(G)|(7|Z(G)| - 3)].$$

4. The Schultz index of the non-commuting graph of a group

The Schultz index of a general graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is as follows:

$$S(\Gamma_G) = \sum_{\{x,y\} \subset V} (\rho(x) + \rho(y))d(x, y).$$

Without loss of generality we calculate $\sum_{x_i \in G - Z(G)} (\rho(x_j) + \rho(x_i))d(x_j, x_i)$ for a fixed x_j .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x_i \in G - Z(G)} (\rho(x_j) + \rho(x_i))d(x_j, x_i) &= \sum_{x_i \in G - C_G(x_j)} \rho(x_j) + \rho(x_i) + 2 \\ &= \sum_{x_j \neq x_i \in C_G(x_j) - Z(G)} \rho(x_j) + \rho(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x_i \in G - Z(G)} (\rho(x_j) + \rho(x_i))d(x_j, x_i) &= \sum_{x_i \in G - C_G(x_j)} (2|G| - |C_G(x_j)| - |C_G(x_i)|) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{x_j \neq x_i \in C_G(x_j) - Z(G)} (2|G| - |C_G(x_j)| - |C_G(x_i)|) \\ &= (2|G| - |C_G(x_j)|)(|G| + |C_G(x_j)| - 2|Z(G)| - 2) \\ &- \left(\sum_{x_i \in G - C_G(x_j)} |C_G(x_j)| + \sum_{x_j \neq x_i \in C_G(x_j) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)| \right) - \sum_{x_j \neq x_i \in C_G(x_j) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)| \end{aligned}$$

Such that $G - Z(G) = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^m$ by Lemma 2.1, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x_i \in G-Z(G)} (\rho(x_j) + \rho(x_i))d(x_j, x_i) &= (|G| + \rho(x_j))(2|G| - 2|Z(G)| - 2) \\ &\quad + |G|(|Z(G)| + 1 - |G|) - \rho(x_j)(|G| + 1 + \rho(x_j)) \\ &\quad + 2|E(\Gamma_G)| - \sum_{x_j \neq x_i \in C_G(x_j)-Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)| \end{aligned}$$

x_j is arbitrary. So we can write this formula for all x_i in which $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Now, we continue to calculate the Schultz index of the non-commuting graph:

$$\begin{aligned} S(\Gamma_G) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{x_i \in G-Z(G)} (\rho(x_1) + \rho(x_i))d(x_1, x_i) + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{x_i \in G-Z(G)} (\rho(x_m) + \rho(x_i))d(x_m, x_i) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [(2|G| - 2|Z(G)| - 2)(|G|(|G| - |Z(G)|) + 2|E(\Gamma_G)|) \\ &\quad + |G|(|G| - |Z(G)|)(|Z(G)| + 1 - |G|) + 2|E(\Gamma_G)|(|G| - |Z(G)|) \\ &\quad - (|G| + 1)(2|E(\Gamma_G)|) - \sum_{i=1}^m (\rho(x_i))^2 \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{x_1 \neq x_i \in C_G(x_1)-Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)| + \dots + \sum_{x_m \neq x_i \in C_G(x_m)-Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)| \right)] \end{aligned}$$

We can calculate $\sum_{i=1}^m (\rho(x_i))^2$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m (\rho(x_i))^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^m (|G| - |C_G(x_i)|)^2 \\ &= 4|G| |E(\Gamma_G)| - |G|^2(|G| - |Z(G)|) + \sum_{i=1}^m |C_G(x_i)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

So, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} S(\Gamma_G) &= \frac{1}{2} [(2|G| - 2|Z(G)| - 2)(|G|(|G| - |Z(G)|) + 2|E(\Gamma_G)|) \\ &\quad + |G|(|G| - |Z(G)|)(|Z(G)| + 1) - 2|E(\Gamma_G)|(2|G| \\ &\quad + |Z(G)| + 1) - \sum_{i=1}^m |C_G(x_i)|^2 \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{x_1 \neq x_i \in C_G(x_1)-Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)| + \dots + \sum_{x_m \neq x_i \in C_G(x_m)-Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)| \right)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a non-abelian finite group and Γ_G be its non-commuting graph. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} S(\Gamma_G) &= \frac{1}{2}[(2|G| - 2|Z(G)| - 2)(|G|(|G| - |Z(G)|) + 2|E(\Gamma_G)|) \\ &\quad + |G|(|G| - |Z(G)|)(|Z(G)| + 1) - 2|E(\Gamma_G)|(|2|G| \\ &\quad + |Z(G)| + 1) - \sum_{i=1}^m |C_G(x_i)|^2 \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{x_i \neq x_j \in C_G(x_i) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_j)| \right)]. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.2. Let G be a non-abelian group. G is called an AC -group if $C_G(x)$ is abelian for all $x \in G - Z(G)$.

The following characterization of AC -group may be useful in some points.

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be an AC -group, then*

$$\begin{aligned} S(\Gamma_G) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(2|G| - 2|Z(G)| - 2)|G|(|G| - |Z(G)|) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2|G|(|G| - |Z(G)|)(|Z(G)| + 1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 4|E(\Gamma_G)|(|G| + |Z(G)| + 1) - 2 \sum_{x \in G - Z(G)} (|G| - \rho(x))^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} S(\Gamma_G) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(2|G| - 2|Z(G)| - 2)(|G|(|G| - |Z(G)|) + 2|E(\Gamma_G)|) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |G|(|G| - |Z(G)|)(|Z(G)| + 1) - 2|E(\Gamma_G)|(|2|G| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |Z(G)| + 1) - \sum_{i=1}^m |C_G(x_i)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{x_i \neq x_j \in C_G(x_i) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_j)| \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

G is an AC -group. So we can calculate $\sum_{x_j \neq x_i \in C_G(x_j) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)|$ for all x_i . It is

easy to prove that for all $x_j \neq x_i \in C_G(x_j) - Z(G)$ that $|C_G(x_i)| = |C_G(x_j)|$.

So, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{x_1 \neq x_i \in C_G(x_1) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)| + \dots + \sum_{x_m \neq x_i \in C_G(x_m) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)| \\
 &= |C_G(x_1)|(|C_G(x_1)| - |Z(G)| - 1) + \dots \\
 &+ |C_G(x_1)|(|C_G(x_1)| - |Z(G)| - 1) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m |C_G(x_i)|^2 - (|Z(G)| + 1) \sum_{i=1}^m |C_G(x_i)| \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m |C_G(x_i)|^2 - (|Z(G)| + 1)|G|(k - |Z(G)|) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m |C_G(x_i)|^2 - (|Z(G)| + 1) - 2|E(\Gamma_G)| + |G|(|G| - |Z(G)|).
 \end{aligned}$$

By using this formulation, we calculate the Schultz index of the non-commuting graph of G .

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(\Gamma_G) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(2|G| - 2|Z(G)| - 2)(|G|(|G| - |Z(G)|) + 2|E(\Gamma_G)|) \right. \\
 &+ |G|(|G| - |Z(G)|)(|Z(G)| + 1) - 2|E(\Gamma_G)|(2|G| \\
 &+ |Z(G)| + 1) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^m |C_G(x_i)|^2 \\
 &\left. + (|Z(G)| + 1) - 2|E(\Gamma_G)| + |G|(|G| - |Z(G)|) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

By easy calculation, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(\Gamma_G) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(2|G| - 2|Z(G)| - 2)|G|(|G| - |Z(G)|) \right. \\
 &+ 2|G|(|G| - |Z(G)|)(|Z(G)| + 1) \\
 &\left. - 4|E(\Gamma_G)|(|G| + |Z(G)| + 1) - 2 \sum_{x \in G - Z(G)} (|G| - \rho(x))^2 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

5. The Gutman index of non-commuting graph of a group

The Gutman index of non-commuting graph is as follows:

$$\text{Gut}(\Gamma_G) = \sum_{\{x,y\} \subset V(\Gamma_G)} \rho(x)\rho(y)d(x,y).$$

We have

$$G - Z(G) = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^m.$$

We can write:

$$\text{Gut}(\Gamma_G) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \rho(x_1)\rho(x_i)d(x_1, x_i) + \dots + \sum_{i=1}^m \rho(x_m)\rho(x_i)d(x_m, x_i) \right]$$

Without loss of generality, we calculate $\sum_{i=1}^m \rho(x_j)\rho(x_i)d(x_j, x_i)$ for a fixed x_j .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \rho(x_j)\rho(x_i)d(x_j, x_i) &= \sum_{x_i \in G - C_G(x_j)} \rho(x_j)\rho(x_i) + 2 \sum_{x_j \neq x_i \in C_G(x_j) - Z(G)} \rho(x_j)\rho(x_i) \\ &= \rho(x_j) \left[\sum_{x_i \in G - C_G(x_j)} \rho(x_i) + 2 \sum_{x_j \neq x_i \in C_G(x_j) - Z(G)} \rho(x_i) \right] \\ &= \rho(x_j) \left[\sum_{x_i \in G - C_G(x_j)} (|G| - |C_G(x_i)|) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{x_j \neq x_i \in C_G(x_j) - Z(G)} (|G| - |C_G(x_i)|) \right] \\ &= \rho(x_j) [|G|(|G| - |Z(G)| - 1) - \rho(x_j)(|G| + 1) + 2|E(\Gamma_G)| \\ &\quad - \sum_{x_j \neq x_i \in C_G(x_j) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)|] \end{aligned}$$

We can write this formula for all x_i in which $1 \leq i \leq m$. Now, we calculate the Gutman index:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gut}(\Gamma_G) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \rho(x_1)\rho(x_i)d(x_1, x_i) + \dots + \sum_{i=1}^m \rho(x_m)\rho(x_i)d(x_m, x_i) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[2|E(\Gamma_G)| |G|(|G| - |Z(G)| - 1) + (2|E(\Gamma_G)|)^2 - (|G| + 1) \sum_{i=1}^m (\rho(x_i))^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\rho(x_1) \sum_{x_1 \neq x_i \in C_G(x_1) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)| + \dots + \rho(x_m) \sum_{x_m \neq x_i \in C_G(x_m) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)| \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

By using the quality of $\sum_{i=1}^m (\rho(x_i))^2$ and $\rho(x_j)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gut}(\Gamma_G) &= \frac{1}{2}[(2|E(\Gamma_G)|)^2 + |G|^2(|G| + 1)(|G| - |Z(G)|)] \\ &\quad - 2|E(\Gamma_G)| |G|(|G| + |Z(G)| + 3) - (|G| + 1) \sum_{i=1}^m |C_G(x_i)|^2 \\ &\quad - |G| \left(\sum_{x_1 \neq x_i \in C_G(x_1) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)| + \dots + \sum_{x_m \neq x_i \in C_G(x_m) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)| \right) \\ &\quad + |C_G(x_1)| \sum_{x_1 \neq x_i \in C_G(x_1) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)| + \dots \\ &\quad + |C_G(x_m)| \sum_{x_m \neq x_i \in C_G(x_m) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)|. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a non-abelian finite group and Γ_G be its non-commuting graph. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gut}(\Gamma_G) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(2|E(\Gamma_G)|)^2 + |G|^2(|G| + 1)(|G| - |Z(G)|) \right. \\ &\quad - 2|E(\Gamma_G)| |G|(|G| + |Z(G)| + 3) - (|G| + 1) \sum_{i=1}^m |C_G(x_i)|^2 \\ &\quad - |G| \left(\sum_{x_1 \neq x_i \in C_G(x_1) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)| + \dots + \sum_{x_m \neq x_i \in C_G(x_m) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_i)| \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^m |C_G(x_i)| \sum_{x_i \neq x_j \in C_G(x_i) - Z(G)} |C_G(x_j)| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be an AC-group, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gut}(\Gamma_G) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(2|E(\Gamma_G)|)^2 + |G|^2(|G| + 1)(|G| - |Z(G)|) \right. \\ &\quad - 2|E(\Gamma_G)| |G|(|G| + |Z(G)| + 3) \\ &\quad + |G|(|Z(G)| + 1)(|G|(|G| - |Z(G)|) - 2|E(\Gamma_G)|) + \sum_{i=1}^m |C_G(x_i)|^3 \\ &\quad \left. - (2|G| + |Z(G)| + 2) \sum_{i=1}^m |C_G(x_i)|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 5.1 and Definition 4.2 the result follows easily. ■

References

- [1] ABDOLLAHI, A., AKBARI, S., MAIMANI, H.R., *Non-commuting graph of a group*, Journal of Algebra, 298 (2006), 468–492.
- [2] BONCHEV, D., *The Wiener number-some applications and new developments*, in: D.H. Rouvray, R.B. King (Eds.), *Topology in Chemistry-Discrete Mathematics of Molecules*, Horwood, Chichester, 2002, 58–88.
- [3] DOBRYNIN, A.A., ENTRINGER, R., GUTMAN, I., *Wiener index of trees: theory and applications*, Acta Appl. Math., 66 (2001), 211–249.
- [4] ENTRINGER, R.C., JACKSON, D.E., SYNDER, D.A., *Distance in graphs*, Czechoslov. Math. J., 26 (1976), 283–296.
- [5] GUTMAN, I., POLANSKY, O.E., *Mathematical Concepts in Organic Chemistry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [6] GUTMAN, I., POTGIETER, J.H., *Wiener index and intermolecular forces*, J. Serb. Chem. Soc., 62 (1997), 185.
- [7] GUTMAN, I., YEH, Y., LEE, S., LUO, Y., *Some recent results in the theory of the Wiener number*, Indian J. Chem., 32A (1993), 651–661.
- [8] NEUMAN, B.H., *A problem of Paul Erdos on groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., Ser. A 21 (1976), 467–472.
- [9] WIENER, H., *Structural determination of paraffin boiling points*, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 69 (1947), 17–20.

Accepted: 22.12.2014