

**EXISTENCE OF THREE SOLUTIONS FOR NONLOCAL ELLIPTIC SYSTEM OF  $(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ -KIRCHHOFF TYPE****Ming-Lei Fang**

*College of Science  
Anhui University of Science and Technology  
Huainan, 232001  
P.R. China  
e-mail: fmlmath@sina.com*

**Shan Yue**

*Department of Mathematics Science  
University of Liverpool  
L69 3BX, Liverpool  
United Kingdom*

**Chun Li**

*Department of Mathematics and Information Science  
Zhengzhou University of Light Industry  
450002, Zhengzhou  
P.R. China*

**Hao-Xiang Wang**

*Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering  
Cornell University  
300 Day Hall, 10 East Avenue, Ithaca, NY 14853  
USA*

**Abstract.** In this paper, we establish the existence of at least three solutions to a Dirichlet boundary problem involving the  $(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ -Kirchhoff type systems. Our technical approach is mainly based on the general three critical points theorem obtained by Ricceri.

**Keywords:**  $(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ -Kirchhoff type system; multiple solutions; three critical points theory.

**1. Introduction and main results**

In the present paper, we deal with the existence of at least three solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations of  $(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ -Kirchhoff type under Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$(1.1) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} - \left[ M_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1|^{p_1} \right) \right]^{p_1-1} \Delta_{p_1} u_1 = \lambda F_{u_1}(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) + \mu G_{u_1}(x, u_1, \dots, u_n), \\ \hspace{20em} \text{in } \Omega, \\ - \left[ M_2 \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_2|^{p_2} \right) \right]^{p_2-1} \Delta_{p_2} u_2 = \lambda F_{u_2}(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) + \mu G_{u_2}(x, u_1, \dots, u_n), \\ \hspace{20em} \text{in } \Omega, \\ \dots \\ - \left[ M_n \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p_n} \right) \right]^{p_n-1} \Delta_{p_n} u_n = \lambda F_{u_n}(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) + \mu G_{u_n}(x, u_1, \dots, u_n), \\ \hspace{20em} \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_i = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ \hspace{20em} \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\Omega \subset R^N (N \geq 1)$  is a non-empty bounded open set with a sufficient smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\lambda, \mu \in [0, +\infty)$ ,  $p_i > N$ ,  $\Delta_p$  is the p-Laplacian operator  $\Delta_p u = \text{div} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ .  $F, G : \Omega \times R^n \mapsto R$  are functions such that  $F(\cdot, t_1, \dots, t_n), G(\cdot, t_1, \dots, t_n)$  are measurable in  $\Omega$  for all  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in R^n$  and  $F(x, \cdot), G(x, \cdot)$  are continuously differentiable in  $R^n$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ .  $F_{u_i}$  is the partial derivative of  $F$  with respect to  $u_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , so does  $G_{u_i}$ .  $M_i : R^+ \rightarrow R, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are continuous functions, which satisfy the bounded conditions as follows.

(M) There are two positive constants  $m_0, m_1$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad m_0 \leq M_i(t) \leq m_1, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

In what follows,  $|\Omega|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of  $\Omega$ ,  $X$  denotes the Cartesian product of Sobolev spaces  $W_0^{1,p_1}(\Omega), \dots, W_0^{1,p_{n-1}}(\Omega)$  and  $W_0^{1,p_n}(\Omega)$ , i.e.,  $X = W_0^{1,p_1}(\Omega) \times \dots \times W_0^{1,p_n}(\Omega)$ . The space  $X$  is endowed with the norm

$$\|(u_1, \dots, u_n)\| = \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_i\|_{p_i}, \quad \|u_i\|_{p_i} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^{p_i} \right)^{1/p_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let

$$(1.3) \quad C = \max \left\{ \sup_{u_i \in W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \{|u_i(x)|^{p_i}\}}{\|u_i\|_{p_i}^{p_i}} \right\}.$$

Since  $p_i > N, W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega) \rightarrow C^0(\bar{\Omega}), 1 \leq i \leq n$ , are compact, and one has  $C < +\infty$ . As usual, a weak solution of system (1.1) is any  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in X$  such that

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ M_i \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^{p_i} \right) \right]^{p_i-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^{p_i-2} \nabla u_i \nabla \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda \int_{\Omega} F_{u_i}(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) \xi_i dx - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu \int_{\Omega} G_{u_i}(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) \xi_i dx = 0$$

for all  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in X$ .

The system (1.1) is related to the stationary version of a model, the so-called Kirchhoff equation which was introduced by [1]. More precisely, Kirchhoff proposed the following mathematical model.

$$(1.5) \quad \rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \left( \frac{P_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0,$$

which generalizes the D'Alembert's wave equation involving free vibrations of elastic strings, where  $\rho$  is the mass density,  $P_0$  is the initial tension,  $h$  is the area of the cross-section,  $E$  is the Young modulus of the material, and  $L$  is the length of the string.

Later, (1.5) was developed to the following result

$$(1.6) \quad u_{tt} - M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u = f(x, u) \text{ in } \Omega,$$

where  $M : R^+ \rightarrow R$  is a given function. After that, some authors studied the following problem

$$(1.7) \quad -M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u = f(x, u) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

which is the stationary counterpart of (1.6). By using variational methods and other techniques, many results of (1.7) were obtained, please refer to [2]-[12] and the references therein. In particular, Alves et al. [2, Theorem 4] assumed that  $M$  satisfies bounded condition (M) and  $f(x, t)$  satisfies the following condition.

$$0 < vF(x, t) \leq f(x, t)t, \text{ for all } |t| \geq R, x \in \Omega \text{ for some } v > 2 \text{ and } R > 0, \quad (\text{AR})$$

where  $F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s)ds$ . One positive solutions for (1.7) was obtained.

In [13], applying Ekeland's Variational Principle, the authors established the existence of a weak solution for boundary problem involving the nonlocal elliptic system of  $p$ -Kirchhoff type

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} - \left[ M_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \right) \right]^{p-1} \Delta_p u = f(u, v) + \rho_1(x), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ - \left[ M_2 \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p \right) \right]^{p-1} \Delta_p v = g(u, v) + \rho_2(x), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \eta} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\eta$  is the unit exterior vector on  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $M_i, \rho_i (i = 1, 2)$ ,  $f, g$  satisfy suitable assumptions.

In [14], when  $\mu = 0, n = 2$  in (1.1), Cheng et al. studied the existence of two solutions and three solutions of the following nonlocal elliptic system

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{cases} - \left[ M_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \right) \right]^{p-1} \Delta_p u = \lambda F_u(x, u, v), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ - \left[ M_2 \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q \right) \right]^{q-1} \Delta_q v = \lambda F_v(x, u, v), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

In [15], when  $n = 2$  in (1.1), Chen et al. proved the existence of three solutions of the following problem

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{cases} - \left[ M_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \right) \right]^{p-1} \Delta_p u = \lambda F_u(x, u, v) + \mu G_u(x, u, v), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ - \left[ M_2 \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q \right) \right]^{q-1} \Delta_q v = \lambda F_v(x, u, v) + \mu G_v(x, u, v), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

In this paper, our objective is to prove the existence of three solutions of problem (1.1) by applying three critical points theorem introduced by Ricceri [16]. Our result, under some suitable conditions, ensures the existence of an open interval  $\Lambda \subset [0, +\infty)$  and a positive real number  $\rho$  such that, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , problem (1.1) admits at least three weak solutions whose norms in  $X$  are less than  $\rho$ . The purpose of the present paper is to generalize the main result of [15] to the general case.

Now, for every  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and choosing  $R_1, R_2$  with  $R_2 > R_1 > 0$ , such that  $B(x_0, R_2) \subseteq \Omega$ , where  $B(x, R) = \{y \in R^N : |y - x| < R\}$ , let

$$(1.11) \quad \alpha_i = \alpha_i(N, p_i, R_1, R_2) = \frac{C^{1/p_i} (R_2^N - R_1^N)^{1/p_i}}{R_2 - R_1} \left( \frac{\pi^{N/2}}{\Gamma(1 + N/2)} \right)^{1/p_i},$$

$1 \leq i \leq n,$

where  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma function. Moreover, assume that  $a, c$  are positive constants, define

$$y(x) = \frac{a}{R_2 - R_1} \left( R_2 - \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N (x^i - x_0^i)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \right), \quad \forall x \in B(x_0, R_2) \setminus B(x_0, R_1),$$

$$A(c) = \left\{ (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in R^n : \sum_{i=1}^n |t_i|^{p_i} \leq c \right\},$$

$$M^+ = \max \left\{ \frac{m_1^{p_i-1}}{p_i}, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}, \quad M_- = \min \left\{ \frac{m_0^{p_i-1}}{p_i}, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $R_2 > R_1 > 0$ , such that  $B(x_0, R_2) \subseteq \Omega$ . Assume that there exist  $n+2$  positive constants  $a, b, \gamma_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , with  $\gamma_i < p_i$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n (a\alpha_i)^{p_i} > bM^+/M_-$ , and a function  $\alpha(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  such that*

(j1)  $F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) \geq 0$ , for a.e.  $x \in \Omega \setminus B(x_0, R_1)$  and all  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, a] \times \dots \times [0, a]$ ;

(j2)  $\sum_{i=1}^n (a\alpha_i)^{p_i} |\Omega| \sup_{(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Omega \times A(bM^+/M_-)} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) < b \int_{B(x_0, R_1)} F(x, a, \dots, a) dx$ ;

$$(j3) \quad F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) \leq \alpha(x) \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n |t_i|^{\gamma_i} \right) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n;$$

$$(j4) \quad F(x, 0, \dots, 0) = 0, \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Then there exist an open interval  $\Lambda \subseteq [0, \infty)$  and a positive real number  $\rho$  with the following property:

for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and for Carathéodory functions  $G_{u_i} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  satisfying

$$(j5) \quad \sup_{\{|t_i| \leq \xi, 1 \leq i \leq n\}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |G_{u_i}(\cdot, s, t)| \right) \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ for all } \xi > 0,$$

there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that, for each  $\mu \in [0, \delta]$ , problem (1.1) has at least three weak solutions  $w_i = (u_{i1}, \dots, u_{in}) \in X$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) whose norms  $\|w_i\|$  are less than  $\rho$ .

## 2. Proof of the main result

Our analysis is based on the following modified form of Ricceri's three critical points theorem (Theorem 1 in [16]) and Proposition 3.1 of [17], which is our mainly tool in proving our main result.

**Theorem 2.1** ([16], Theorem 1) *Let  $X$  be a reflexive real Banach space and  $\Phi : X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on  $X^*$  and  $\Phi$  is bounded on each bounded subset of  $X$ ;  $\Psi : X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact;  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  an interval. Suppose that*

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} (\Phi(x) + \lambda \Psi(x)) = +\infty$$

for all  $\lambda \in I$ , and that there exists  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{\lambda \in I} \inf_{x \in X} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(\Psi(x) + h)) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in I} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(\Psi(x) + h)).$$

Then, there exists an open interval  $\Lambda \subseteq I$  and a positive real number  $\rho$  with the following property: for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and every  $C^1$  functional  $J : X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  with compact derivative, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that, for each  $\mu \in [0, \delta]$  the equation

$$\Phi'(x) + \lambda \Psi'(x) + \mu J'(x) = 0$$

has at least three solutions in  $X$  whose norms are less than  $\rho$ .

**Proposition 2.1** ([17], Proposition 3.1) *Suppose that  $X$  is a non-empty set and  $\Phi, \Psi$  are two real functions on  $X$ . Assume that there exist  $r > 0$  and  $x_0, x_1 \in X$  such that*

$$\Phi(x_0) = -\Psi(x_0) = 0, \quad \Phi(x_1) > 1, \quad \sup_{x \in \Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r])} -\Psi(x) < r \frac{-\Psi(x_1)}{\Phi(x_1)}.$$

*Then, for each  $h$  satisfying*

$$\sup_{x \in \Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r])} -\Psi(x) < h < r \frac{-\Psi(x_1)}{\Phi(x_1)}$$

*one has*

$$\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \inf_{x \in X} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(\Psi(x) + h)) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(\Psi(x) + h)).$$

Before giving the proof of Theorem 1.1, we define a functional and give a lemma.

The functional  $H : X \rightarrow R$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H(u_1, \dots, u_n) &= \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) + \lambda J(u_1, \dots, u_n) + \mu \psi(u_1, \dots, u_n) \\ (2.2) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \widehat{M}_i \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^{p_i} \right) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) dx \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in X$ , and where

$$(2.3) \quad \widehat{M}_i(t) = \int_0^t [M_i(s)]^{p_i-1} ds, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

By the conditions (M) and (j3), it is easy to see that  $H \in C^1(X, R)$  and a critical point of  $H$  corresponds to a weak solution of the system (1.1).

**Lemma 2.2** *Suppose that there exist two positive constants  $a, b$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n (a\alpha_i)^p > bM^+/M_-$ , such that*

$$(j1) \quad F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) \geq 0, \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega \setminus B(x_0, R_1) \text{ and all } (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, a] \times \dots \times [0, a];$$

$$(j2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (a\alpha_i)^{p_i} |\Omega| \sup_{(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Omega \times A(bM^+/M_-)} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) < b \int_{B(x_0, R_1)} F(x, a, \dots, a) dx.$$

*Then there exist  $r > 0$  and  $u_{i0} \in W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that*

$$\Phi(u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0}) > r$$

*and*

$$|\Omega| \sup_{(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Omega \times A(bM^+/M_-)} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) \leq \frac{bM^+ \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0}) dx}{C \Phi(u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0})}.$$

**Proof.** Let

$$w_0(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus B(x_0, R_2), \\ \frac{a}{R_2 - R_1} \left( R_2 - \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N (x^i - x_0^i) \right\}^{1/2} \right), & x \in B(x_0, R_2) \setminus B(x_0, R_1), \\ a, & x \in B(x_0, R_1). \end{cases}$$

and  $u_{10}(x) = \dots = u_{n0}(x) = w_0(x)$ . It is obvious to verify  $(u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0}) \in X$ , and in particular, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \|u_{i0}\|_{p_i}^{p_i} = (R_2^N - R_1^N) \frac{\pi^{N/2}}{\Gamma(1 + N/2)} \left( \frac{a}{R_2 - R_1} \right)^{p_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Hence, it follows from (1.11) and (2.4) that

$$(2.5) \quad \|u_{i0}\|_{p_i}^{p_i} = \|w_0\|_{p_i}^{p_i} = \frac{(a\alpha_i)^{p_i}}{C}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Under condition (M), by a direct computation, one has

$$(2.6) \quad M_- \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_i\|_{p_i}^{p_i} \right) \leq \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) \leq M^+ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_i\|_{p_i}^{p_i} \right).$$

Put  $r = \frac{bM^+}{C}$ , and using the assumption of Lemma 2.2

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (a\alpha_i)^{p_i} > bM^+ / M_-,$$

it follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$\Phi(u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0}) \geq M_- \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_{i0}\|_{p_i}^{p_i} \right) = \frac{M_-}{C} \sum_{i=1}^n (a\alpha_i)^{p_i} > \frac{M_-}{C} \frac{bM^+}{M_-} = r.$$

Since,  $0 \leq u_{i0} \leq a$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , for each  $x \in \Omega$ , condition (j1) ensures that

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus B(x_0, R_2)} F(x, u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0}) dx + \int_{B(x_0, R_2) \setminus B(x_0, R_1)} F(x, u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0}) dx \geq 0.$$

Hence, from condition (j2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\Omega| \sup_{(x,t_1,\dots,t_n) \in \Omega \times A(bM^+/M_-)} F(x,t_1,\dots,t_n) &< \frac{b}{\sum_{i=1}^n (a\alpha_1)^p} \int_{B(x_0,R_1)} F(x,a,\dots,a) dx \\
 &= \frac{bM^+ \int_{B(x_0,R_1)} F(x,a,\dots,a) dx}{C M^+ \sum_{i=1}^n (a\alpha_1)^p / C} \\
 &\leq \frac{bM^+ \int_{\Omega \setminus B(x_0,R_1)} F(x,u_{10},\dots,u_{n0}) dx + \int_{B(x_0,R_1)} F(x,u_{10},\dots,u_{n0}) dx}{C M^+ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_{i0}\|_{p_i}^{p_i} \right)} \\
 &\leq \frac{bM^+ \int_{\Omega} F(x,u_{10},\dots,u_{n0}) dx}{C \Psi(u_{10},\dots,u_{n0})}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we can give the proof of our main result.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** For each  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in X$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , assume that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\widehat{M}_i(\|u_i\|_{p_i}^{p_i})}{p_i}, \\
 \Psi(u_1, \dots, u_n) &= - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) dx, \\
 J(u, v) &= - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

based on conditions of Theorem 1.1, it is easy to know that  $\Phi$  is a continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional. Additionally from Lemma 2.2 the Gâteaux derivative of  $\Phi$  has a continuous inverse on  $X^*$ .  $\Psi$  and  $J$  are continuously Gâteaux differential functionals whose Gâteaux derivatives are compact. Obviously,  $\Phi$  is bounded on each bounded subset of  $X$ . In particular, for each  $(u_1, \dots, u_n), (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \Phi'(u_1, \dots, u_n), (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ M_i \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^{p_i} \right) \right]^{p_i-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^{p_i-2} \nabla u_i \nabla \xi_i \\
 \langle \Psi'(u_1, \dots, u_n), (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \rangle &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} F_{u_i}(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) \xi_i dx, \\
 \langle J'(u_1, \dots, u_n), (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \rangle &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} G_{u_i}(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) \xi_i dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows from (1.4) that the weak solutions of problem (1.1) are exactly the solutions of the following equation

$$\Phi'(u_1, \dots, u_n) + \lambda \Psi'(u_1, \dots, u_n) + \mu J'(u_1, \dots, u_n) = 0.$$



Thanks to (j3), for each  $\lambda > 0$ , one has

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{\|(u,v)\| \rightarrow +\infty} (\lambda\Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) + \mu\Psi(u_1, \dots, u_n)) = +\infty,$$

and so the first condition of Theorem 2.1 holds.

By Lemma 2.2, there exists  $(u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0}) \in X$  such that

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\widehat{M}_i(\|u_{i0}\|_{p_i}^{p_i})}{p_i} \\ &\geq M_- \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_{i0}\|_{p_i}^{p_i} \right) = \frac{M_-}{C} \sum_{i=1}^n (a\alpha_i)^{p_i} \\ &> \frac{M_-}{C} \frac{bM^+}{M_-} = \frac{bM^+}{C} > 0 = \Phi(0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad |\Omega| \sup_{(x,t_1,\dots,t_n) \in \Omega \times A(bM^+/M_-)} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) \leq \frac{bM^+}{C} \frac{\int_{\Omega} F(x, u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0}) dx}{\Phi(u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0})}.$$

From (1.3), we have

$$\max_{x \in \Omega} \{|u_i(x)|^{p_i}\} \leq C \|u\|_{p_i}^{p_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

for each  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in X$ . One has

$$(2.10) \quad \max_{x \in \Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|u_i(x)|^{p_i}}{p_i}, 1 \leq i \leq n \right\} \leq C \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|u\|_{p_i}^{p_i}}{p_i}, 1 \leq i \leq n \right\},$$

for each  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in X$ .

Suppose that  $r = \frac{bM^+}{C}$ , for each  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in X$  such that

$$\Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\widehat{M}_i(\|u_i\|_{p_i}^{p_i})}{p_i} \leq r.$$

Thanks to (2.10), we get

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i(x)|^{p_i} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_i\|_{p_i}^{p_i} \leq \frac{Cr}{M_-} = \frac{C}{M_-} \frac{bM^+}{C} = \frac{bM^+}{M_-}.$$

Then, from (2.9) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r)} (-\Psi(u_1, \dots, u_n)) &= \sup_{\{(u_1, \dots, u_n) | \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) \leq r\}} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) dx \\
&\leq \sup_{\{(u_1, \dots, u_n) | \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i(x)|^{p_i} \leq bM^+ / M_-\}} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in A(bM^+ / M_-)} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx \\
&\leq |\Omega| \sup_{(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Omega \times A(bM^+ / M_-)} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) \\
&\leq \frac{bM^+}{C} \frac{\int_{\Omega} F(x, u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0}) dx}{\Phi(u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0})} \\
&= r \frac{-\Psi(u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0})}{\Phi(u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have

$$(2.12) \quad \sup_{\{(u_1, \dots, u_n) | \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) \leq r\}} (-\Psi(u_1, \dots, u_n)) < r \frac{-\Psi(u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0})}{\Phi(u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0})}.$$

Fix  $h$  such that

$$\sup_{\{(u_1, \dots, u_n) | \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) \leq r\}} (-\Psi(u_1, \dots, u_n)) < h < r \frac{-\Psi(u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0})}{\Phi(u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0})},$$

by (2.8), (2.12) and Proposition 2.1, with  $(u_{11}, \dots, v_{n1}) = (0, \dots, 0)$  and  $(u_1^*, \dots, u_n^*) = (u_{10}, \dots, u_{n0})$ , we have

$$(2.13) \quad \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \inf_{x \in X} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(h + \Psi(x))) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(h + \Psi(x))),$$

and so the condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1 holds.

Now, all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Hence, applying Theorem 2.1, our conclusion is obtained.

**Acknowledgements.** The work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11061011, 11401008 and 61472003), the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Provincial Education Department (No.KJ2014A064), the Young Teachers Science Foundation (Nos. QN201329 and 2012QNY37) of Anhui University of Science and Technology, Doctoral Fund of Zhengzhou University of Light Industry (No. 2013BSJJ052) and the key projects of Science and Technology Research of the Henan Education Department (Nos. 14A110011 and 12B110011).

## References

- [1] KIRCHHOFF, G., *Mechanik*, Teubner, Leipzig, Germany, 1883.
- [2] ALVES, C.O., CORRÊA, F.J.S.A., MA, T.F., *Positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type*, Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 49 (1) (2005), 85-93.
- [3] CHENG, B., WU, X., *Existence results of positive solutions of Kirchhoff type problems*, Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications, 71 (10) (2009), 4883-4892.
- [4] CHENG, B., WU, X., LIU, J., *Multiplicity of nontrivial solutions for Kirchhoff type problems*, Boundary Value Problems, vol. 2010, Article ID 268946, 13 pages, 2010.
- [5] CHIPOT, M., LOVAT, B., *Some remarks on nonlocal elliptic and parabolic problems*, Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications, 30 (7) (1997), 4619-4627.
- [6] D'ANCONA, P., SPAGNOLO, S., *Global solvability for the degenerate Kirchhoff equation with real analytic data*, Inventiones Mathematicae, 108 (2) (1992), 247-262.
- [7] HE, X., ZOU, W., *Infinitely many positive solutions for Kirchhoff-type problems*, Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications, 70 (3) (2009), 1407-1414.
- [8] MA, T., MUÑOZ RIVERA, J.E., *Positive solutions for a nonlinear nonlocal elliptic transmission problem*, Applied Mathematics Letters, 16 (2) (2003), 243-248.
- [9] MA, T., *Remarks on an elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type*, Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications, 63 (2005), 1967-1977.
- [10] MAO, A., ZHANG, Z., *Sign-changing and multiple solutions of Kirchhoff type problems without the P.S. condition*, Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications, 70 (3) (2009), 1275-1287.
- [11] PERERA, K., ZHANG, Z., *Nontrivial solutions of Kirchhoff-type problems via the Yang index*, Journal of Differential Equations, 221 (1) (2006), 246-255.
- [12] ZHANG, Z., PERERA, K., *Sign changing solutions of Kirchhoff type problems via invariant sets of descent flow*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 317 (2) (2006), 456-463.
- [13] CORRÊA, F.J.S.A., NASCIMENTO, R.G., *On a nonlocal elliptic system of  $p$ -Kirchhoff-type under Neumann boundary condition*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 49 (3-4) (2009), 598-604.
- [14] CHENG, B., WU, X., LIU, J., *Multiplicity of solutions for nonlocal elliptic system of  $(p, q)$ -Kirchhoff type*, Abstract and Applied Analysis 2011 (2011), doi:10.1155/2011/526026.

- [15] CHEN, G.-S. et al., *Existence of three solutions for a nonlocal elliptic system of  $(p, q)$ -Kirchhoff type*, Boundary Value Problems, 2013 2013:175.
- [16] RICCERI, B., *A three critical points theorem revisited*, Nonlinear Anal, 70 (9) (2009), 3084-3089.
- [17] RICCERI, B., *Existence of three solutions for a class of elliptic eigenvalue problems*, Math. Comput. Modelling, 32 (11-13) (2000), 1485-1494.

Accepted: 21.09.2014