# Simultaneous approximation of translation operators

N. Tsirivas

University of Thessaly Department of Mathematics 3 Km P.E.O, Lamia-Athens T.K. 35100 Lamia Greece ntsirivas@uth.gr

**Abstract.** Let  $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an unbounded sequence of complex numbers and  $(a_v)_{v \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of numbers in the unit circle

$$C(0,1) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1 \},\$$

where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of natural numbers.

We shall prove that there is an entire function f so that, for every entire function g there is a subsequence  $(\lambda_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$  of  $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that, for every compact subset  $L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  and for every  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sup_{z \in L} |f(z + \lambda_n a_v) - g(z)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

In relation with other results about hypercyclic operators, the new element in this paper is that we achieve the approximation with the same sequence  $(\lambda_n)$ , for all numbers  $a_v$  (v = 1, 2, ...).

**Keywords:** hypercyclic operator, common hypercyclic vectors, translation operator, simultaneous approximation.

MSC 2020: 47A16, 30H05, 30E10, 41A28

### 1. Introduction

We denote  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  the set of entire functions endowed with the topology  $\mathcal{T}_u$  of uniform convergence on compacta.

Let  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . We denote  $t_a : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  the translation function, which is given by the formula  $t_a(z) = z + a$ , for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

We consider the translation operator  $T_a : \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ , that is, the operator defined by the formula  $T_a(f) = f \circ t_a$ , for every  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ . The operator  $T_a$  is a linear and continuous operator.

We write  $T_a^1 = T_a$  and

 $T_a^{n+1} = T_a \circ T_a^n$ , for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ 

Birkhoff proved [4] that there is  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  so that

$$\overline{\{T_a^n(f), n \in \mathbb{N}\}} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}), \text{ where } a \in \mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \{0\}.$$

His proof was constructive.

Let  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an unbounded sequence of complex numbers. Luh [12] proved that there is  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  so that

$$\overline{\{T_{a_n}(f), n \in \mathbb{N}\}} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Gethner and Shapiro [8] and Grosse-Erdmann [9] have also proved the above results by using the Baire's Category Theorem. In particular, let  $\mathcal{U}((T_{a_n}))$  be the set of entire functions that are universal (or hypercyclic) for the sequence  $(T_{a_n})$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{U}((T_{a_n})) = \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \overline{\{T_{a_n}(f) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) \}.$$

Then, the set  $\mathcal{U}((T_{a_n}))$  is a  $G_{\delta}$  and dense subset of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $(b_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of non-zero complex numbers. Based on the previous result, the set  $\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}((T_{b_m a_n}))$  is a  $G_{\delta}$  and dense subset of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ .

Costakis and Sambarino [6] established a notable strengthening of Birkhoff's result. More specifically, they proved that the set

$$\bigcap_{\in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}} \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \overline{\{T_a^n(f), n \in \mathbb{N}\}} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) \}$$

contains a  $G_{\delta}$  and dense subset of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ . Note that each set in the last intersection is  $\mathcal{U}(T_a) := \mathcal{U}((T_a^n)) = \mathcal{U}((T_{an}))$ .

The important element here is the uncountable range of a.

Furthermore, Costakis [5] proved a more general result, that is, the set  $\bigcap_{b \in C(0,1)} \mathcal{U}(T_{ba_n})$  contains a  $G_{\delta}$  and dense subset of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $a_n$  is an unbounded and specific sequence of complex numbers.

Let us apply this result, in certain cases.

a

Let  $(\theta_v)_{v\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of distinct numbers in [0,1) and  $(m_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of complex numbers so that  $m_n \to \infty$ . We shall consider the numbers  $w_n(\theta_v) = m_n e^{2\pi i \theta_v}$ ,  $n, v \in \mathbb{N}$ . That is, for every  $v \in \mathbb{N}$  we shall consider the sequence  $(w_n(\theta_v))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ . Of course, we have  $w_n(\theta_v) \to \infty$  as  $n \to +\infty$ , for every  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We now set:

$$E_v = \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) | \overline{\{ f(\cdot + w_n(\theta_v)) : n \in \mathbb{N} \}} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) \}, \text{ for every } v \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Based on Grosse-Erdmann's result we conclude that, for every  $v \in \mathbb{N}$  the set  $E_v$ is  $G_{\delta}$  and dense in  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ . Hence, the set  $E := \bigcap_{v=1}^{+\infty} E_v$  is a  $G_{\delta}$  dense subset of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ , so it is non-empty by Baire's Category Theorem, given that the space  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  is a complete metric space. Let us see in more detail what this result means.

Let  $f \in E$ . Then, for every  $v \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  there is a subsequence  $(\lambda_n^v) = (\lambda_n(v, g))$  of  $(w_n(\theta_v))$ , that depends on g and v so that, for every compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  one has

$$\sup_{z \in K} |f(z + \lambda_n^v) - g(z)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

So, this convergence depends on the specific sequence  $\lambda_n^v = \lambda_n(v, g), n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the sequence  $\lambda_n^v$  depends on the specific number  $\theta_v \in [0, 1)$ . In the present paper we shall examine whether we can have this convergence *without* the dependence on the specific number  $\theta_v \in [0, 1)$ .

With this aim, we shall introduce the set of entire functions that achieve simultaneous approximation on all numbers  $\theta_v$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\theta_v \in [0, 1)$ , for every  $v \in \mathbb{N}$  with the same sequence of indices. More specifically, we shall consider the set SA (standing for Simultaneous Approximation) defined as

$$SA = \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) : \text{ for every } g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) \}$$

there is a subsequence  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$\sup_{z \in K} |f(z + \lambda_n e^{2\pi i \theta_v}) - g(z)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

for every compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  and every  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Of course  $SA \subseteq E$ .

We prove that the set SA is a  $G_{\delta}$ -dense subset of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ , so it is non-empty. In order to prove that SA is a  $G_{\delta}$ , dense subset of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  we shall introduce one other set  $V \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  and we prove that V is a  $G_{\delta}$ , dense subset of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ and SA = V. Other articles dealing with translation operators or sequences of translation operators on  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  are [3] and [10].

Also, there are some papers concerning common hypercyclic vectors for translation operators; see the papers [1], [5], [6], [7], [14], [15], [16], as well as Chapter 11 in the book [11]. The notion of simultaneous hypercyclicity/universality was formally introduced (for finitely many operators) in [2].

Whenever we refer to a topology in the  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  space, we always mean the topology of uniform convergence on compacta.

In the following Section 2 we prove some helpful propositions in order to prove our main result Theorem 2.6.

### 2. The main result

First of all, we shall prove a proposition which is the key in order to prove our main result.

We fix  $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ .

We also fix some natural numbers  $n_0 \ge 2$ ,  $v_0, N_0$ , and some real numbers  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{n_0}$  where  $\theta_i \in [0, 1)$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n_0$  and  $\theta_i \ne \theta_j$ , for every  $i, j \in A_{n_0} = \{1, \ldots, n_0\}, i \ne j$ . For every natural number m we use the set

$$V_{g}(m, v_{0}, N_{0}, n_{0}) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) \middle/ \sup_{|z| \le v_{0}} \left| f(z + me^{2\pi i\theta_{j}}) - g(z) \right| < \frac{1}{N_{0}},$$
for every  $j = 1, \dots, n_{0} \right\}.$ 

For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $j \in A_{n_0}$  we use the set

$$\widetilde{V}_g(m, v_0, N_0, j) = \Big\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) \Big| \sup_{|z| \le v_0} \Big| f(z + me^{2\pi i\theta_j}) - g(z) \Big| < \frac{1}{N_0} \Big\}.$$

Of course, we have

(1) 
$$V_g(m, v_0, N_0, n_0) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n_0} \widetilde{V}_g(m, v_0, N_0, j),$$

based on the above definitions.

It is easy to see that the sets  $\widetilde{V}_g(m, v_0, N_0, j)$  are open in  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ , for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, n_0$ , so the set  $V_g(m, v_0, N_0, n_0)$  is open in  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ , for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , according to the above relation (1). Therefore, the set  $\bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} V_g(m, v_0, N_0, n_0)$  is open in  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ .

For a function  $h : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , we shall denote  $||h||_A := \sup\{|h(z)| : z \in A\}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** Under the above notations, we have that the set  $\bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} V_g(m, v_0, N_0, n_0)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Proof.** We fix a function  $h \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ , a compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  and an  $\varepsilon > 0$ . It suffices to show that there are  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that

(1) 
$$f \in V_g(m_0, v_0, N_0, n_0)$$
 and  $||f - h||_K < \varepsilon$ .

We set  $D_v = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \le v\}$ , for every  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ . We also choose  $v_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$(2) D_{v_0} \cup K \subseteq D_{v_1}$$

Let us assume that  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfies

$$D_{v_1} \cap (D_{v_1} + me^{2\pi i\theta_j}) \neq \emptyset,$$

for some  $j \in A_{n_0}$  (if it exists). We remind that  $A_{n_0} = \{1, 2, ..., n_0\}$ . This means that there also exist  $z_j, w_j \in D_{v_1}$ , so that

(3)  $w_j = z_j + m e^{2\pi i \theta_j}$ , for some  $j \in A_{n_0}$ .

According to (3), we shall have:

$$|w_j - z_j| = m$$
, and this gives  $m \le 2v_1$ .

Therefore, for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m > 2v_1$ , we have

(4) 
$$D_{v_1} \cap (D_{v_1} + me^{2\pi i\theta_j}) = \emptyset$$
, for every  $j \in A_{n_0}$ .

Let  $j_1, j_2 \in A_{n_0}$ , so that  $j_1 \neq j_2$ .

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$(D_{v_1} + me^{2\pi i\theta_{j_1}}) \cap (D_{v_1} + me^{2\pi i\theta_{j_2}}) \neq \emptyset$$
 (if it exists).

This means that there are  $z_1, w_1 \in D_{v_1}$  so that

(5) 
$$z_1 + me^{2\pi i\theta_{j_1}} = w_1 + me^{2\pi i\theta_{j_2}}.$$

By (5) we have:

(6) 
$$|z_1 - w_1| = m |e^{2\pi i(\theta_{j_2} - \theta_{j_1})} - 1|.$$

From (6) we deduce that:

(7) 
$$m \le \frac{2v_1}{\left|e^{2\pi i(\theta_{j_2} - \theta_{j_1})} - 1\right|}.$$

So, for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying

$$m > \frac{2v_1}{\left|e^{2\pi i(\theta_{j_2} - \theta_{j_1})} - 1\right|}$$

we have:

(8) 
$$(D_{v_1} + me^{2\pi i\theta_{j_1}}) \cap (D_{v_1} + me^{2\pi i\theta_{j_2}}) = \emptyset.$$

We set

$$M_0 = \min \left\{ \left| e^{2\pi i (\theta_{j_2} - \theta_{j_1})} - 1 \right| : j_1, j_2 \in A_{n_0} : j_1 \neq j_2 \right\}.$$

We fix now some natural number  $m_0$  so that  $m_0 > \max\{2v_1, \frac{2v_1}{M_0}\}$ . Then, by (4) and (8) we derive

$$D_{v_1} \cap (D_{v_1} + m_0 e^{2\pi i \theta_j}) = \emptyset$$
, for every  $j \in A_{n_0}$ 

and

(9) 
$$(D_{v_1} + m_0 e^{2\pi i \theta_{j_1}}) \cap (D_{v_1} + m_0 e^{2\pi i \theta_{j_2}}) = \emptyset$$
, for every  $j_1, j_2 \in A_{n_0}, j_1 \neq j_2$ .

Now, we set

$$L := D_{v_1} \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_0} (D_{v_1} + m_0 e^{2\pi i \theta_j}) \right).$$

Because of (9) we have that the set L is a union of  $n_0 + 1$  disjoint closed discs with the same radius  $v_1$ .

This means that the set L is a compact set with connected complement. We shall consider the function  $F: L \to \mathbb{C}$ , defined as follows:

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} h(z), & \text{if } z \in D_{v_1} \\ g(z - m_0 e^{2\pi i \theta_j}), & \text{if } z \in D_{v_1} + m_0 e^{2\pi i \theta_j}, \text{ for some } j \in A_{n_0}. \end{cases}$$

Of course, F is continuous on L and holomorphic on L, the interior of L. So, according to Mergelyan's Approximation Theorem (see, e.g., [[13], Chapter 20]) there is a complex polynomial f, so that

(10) 
$$||F - f||_L < \min\left\{\varepsilon, \frac{1}{N_0}\right\}.$$

Based on the definition of F and (10), we have:

(11) 
$$\|f - h\|_K < \varepsilon,$$

because of relation (2), and the definition of L.

Let us suppose  $w \in D_{v_1}$ . Then, for  $j \in A_{n_0}$ ,  $w + m_0 e^{2\pi i \theta_j} \in D_{v_1} + m_0 e^{2\pi i \theta_j}$ . We also set  $z = w + m_0 e^{2\pi i \theta_j}$ . Then,  $F(z) = g(z - m_0 e^{2\pi i \theta_j}) = g(w)$ . By (10) we have, for every  $w \in D_{v_1}$  and  $j \in A_{n_0}$  that

$$\left| f(w + m_0 e^{2\pi i \theta_j}) - g(w) \right| < \frac{1}{N_0}.$$

This yields that  $f \in V_g(m_0, v_0, N_0, n_0)$ , because of relation (2) and the fact that f is a polynomial (so entire). By this fact and (11) the proof of this proposition is complete now because relation (1) is satisfied.

Now, we shall fix an unbounded sequence  $(m_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$  of complex numbers. With the notation of the previous Proposition 2.1 we shall consider the set:

$$V_g(m_s, v_0, N_0, n_0) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) \middle| \sup_{|z| \le v_0} \left| f(z + m_s e^{2\pi i \theta_j}) - g(z) \right| < \frac{1}{N_0},$$
  
for every  $j \in A_{n_0} \right\},$ 

for every  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The sets  $V_g(m_s, v_0, N_0, n_0)$  are open for every  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , so the set  $\bigcup_{s=1}^{+\infty} V_g(m_s, v_0, N_0, n_0)$  is open in  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ .

As in Proposition 2.1, we now state the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.2.** The set  $\bigcup_{s=1}^{+\infty} V_g(m_s, v_0, N_0, n_0)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.1 and for this reason the proof is omitted.  $\Box$ 

Indeed, the only property of  $\{1, 2, ...\}$  used in the proof of the last proposition is its non-boundedness.

Recall that the space  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  is separable, and so we can fix a dense sequence  $(p_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  (for example  $(p_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  be an enumeration of all complex polynomials with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$ ). For every  $v, N, k, n, s \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$  we shall

consider the set:

$$V_{p_k}(m_s, v, N, n) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) \middle| \sup_{|z| \le v} \left| f(z + m_s e^{2\pi i \theta_j}) - p_k(z) \right| < \frac{1}{N},$$
for every  $j \in A_n \right\}.$ 

The sets  $V_{p_k}(m_s, v, N, n)$  are open in  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ , for every  $v, N, k, n, s \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ , so that the set  $\bigcup_{s=1}^{+\infty} V_{p_k}(m_s, v, N, n)$  is open for every  $v, N, k, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ . According to Proposition 2.2, we have that the sets  $\bigcup_{s=1}^{+\infty} V_{p_k}(m_s, v, N, n)$  are dense in  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ , for every  $v, N, k, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ .

We shall also consider the set:

$$V = \bigcap_{v=1}^{+\infty} \bigcap_{N=1}^{+\infty} \bigcap_{k=1}^{+\infty} \bigcap_{n=2}^{+\infty} \left( \bigcup_{s=1}^{+\infty} V_{p_k}(m_s, v, N, n) \right).$$

Under the above notation, we shall establish the following assertion

**Proposition 2.3.** The set V is a  $G_{\delta}$ -dense subset of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ , so V is non-empty.

**Proof.** The set V is a  $G_{\delta}$  subset of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  due to its definition, because the sets  $\bigcup_{s=1}^{+\infty} V_{p_k}(m_s, v, N, n)$  are open for every  $v, N, k, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ . Based on Proposition 2.2, the sets  $\bigcup_{s=1}^{+\infty} V_{p_k}(m_s, v, N, n)$  are dense for every  $v, N, k, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ . Hence, the conclusion follows from Baire's Category Theorem because the space  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  is a complete metric space.

We now connect the previous set V with the set of entire functions that succeed simultaneous approximation with respect to a countable set of real numbers.

We shall state here the respective data. Let  $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of real numbers, so that  $\theta_n \in [0, 1)$  and  $\theta_{j_1} \neq \theta_{j_2}$ , for every  $j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $j_1 \neq j_2$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $(m_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a fixed sequence of complex numbers which is unbounded.

Let  $\Theta := \{\theta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Of course, the set  $\Theta$  and the set:

$$m = \{m_s : s \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

consisting of all the terms of the sequence  $(m_s)_{s\in\mathbb{N}}$  are also infinite.

We shall consider the set:

$$SA = \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) \mid, \text{ for every } g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}), \}$$

there is a sequence  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  so that  $\lambda_n \in m$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that for every  $a \in \Theta$  and for every compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  it holds that

$$\sup_{z \in K} \left| f(z + \lambda_n e^{2\pi i a}) - g(z) \right| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty \}.$$

The method to prove that  $SA \neq \emptyset$  is the following:

We shall prove that SA = V and given that  $V \neq \emptyset$  we shall also have  $SA \neq \emptyset$ .

In order to prove that SA = V we show that  $SA \subseteq V$  and  $V \subseteq SA$ . This is the subject of the following two propositions.

### **Proposition 2.4.** It holds $SA \subseteq V$ .

**Proof.** If  $SA = \emptyset$ , then the result is obvious. We suppose that  $SA \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $f \in SA$ . We fix  $v_0, N_0, n_0, k_0 \in \mathbb{N}, n_0 \geq 2$ .

Because  $f \in SA$  for  $g = p_{k_0}$  there is a sequence  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , so that  $\lambda_n \in m$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and, for every  $a \in \Theta$  and every compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$\sup_{z \in K} |f(z + \lambda_n e^{2\pi i a}) - p_{k_0}(z)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

So, for  $K = D_{v_0}$  we have that

$$\sup_{|z| \le v_0} |f(z + \lambda_n e^{2\pi i \theta_j}) - p_{k_0}(z)| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

for every  $j \in A_{n_0}$ .

This entails that for every  $j \in A_{n_0}$  there is some  $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that

$$\sup_{|z| \le v_0} \left| f(z + \lambda_n e^{2\pi i \theta}) - p_{k_0}(z) \right| < \frac{1}{N_0}, \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge n_j.$$

Let  $\tilde{n} = \max\{n_j | j \in A_{n_0}\}$ . With this selection we obtain

$$\sup_{|z| \le v_0} \left| f(z + \lambda_n e^{2\pi i \theta_j}) - p_{n_0}(z) \right| < \frac{1}{N_0},$$

for every  $j \in A_{n_0}$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq \tilde{n}$ .

This implies that  $f \in V_{p_{k_0}}(\lambda_{\widetilde{n}}, v_0, N_0, n_0)$ , or equivalently,  $f \in \bigcup_{s=1}^{+\infty} V_{p_{k_0}}(m_s, v_0, N_0, n_0)$  because  $\lambda_{\widetilde{n}} \in m$ , that implies  $f \in V$  and the result is proven.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.5.** It holds that  $V \subseteq SA$ .

**Proof.** We know that  $V \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $f \in V$ . We shall prove that  $f \in SA$ .

We fix  $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ . We shall show that there exists a sequence  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , so that  $\lambda_n \in m$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and so that for every  $a \in \Theta$  and every compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ 

$$\sup_{z \in K} \left| f(z + \lambda_n e^{2\pi i a}) - g(z) \right| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Based on the above mentioned properties, we shall now construct the respective sequence  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . We shall fix some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n_0 \geq 2$ .

Given that the sequence  $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of complex polynomials with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ , there is some  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

(1) 
$$||g - p_{k_0}||_{D_{n_0}} < \frac{1}{2n_0}.$$

Since  $f \in V$  we have  $f \in \bigcup_{s=1}^{+\infty} V_{p_{k_0}}(m_s, n_0, 2n_0, n_0)$ . This means that there is some  $s_{n_0} \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $f \in V_{p_{k_0}}(m_{s_{n_0}}, n_0, 2n_0, n_0)$ , or equivalently,

(2) 
$$\sup_{|z| \le n_0} \left| f(z + m_{s_{n_0}} e^{2\pi i \theta_j}) - p_{k_0}(z) \right| < \frac{1}{2n_0}, \text{ for every } j \in A_{n_0}$$

By (1), (2) and the triangle inequality we have:

(3) 
$$\sup_{|z| \le n_0} \left| f(z + m_{s_{n_0}} e^{2\pi i \theta_j}) - g(z) \right| < \frac{1}{n_0}, \text{ for every } j \in A_{n_0}.$$

According to the previous procedure, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , we can choose some  $s_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

(4) 
$$\sup_{|z| \le n} \left| f(z + m_{s_n} e^{2\pi i \theta_j}) - g(z) \right| < \frac{1}{n}, \text{ for every } j \in A_n.$$

We shall now prove that for the sequence  $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  one has the following:  $\sup_{z \in K} \left| f(z + m_{s_n} e^{2\pi i a}) - g(z) \right| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$ , for every compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  and for every  $a \in \Theta$ .

With this aim, fix some  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ .

There are  $v_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that  $K \subseteq D_v$ , for every  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $v \ge v_0$  and  $a_0 = \theta_{n_0}$ . Let us choose  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{N_0} < \varepsilon_0$ .

Let  $M_0 = \max\{v_0, n_0, N_0, 2\}$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq M$ , we have  $n \geq v_0$ , so  $K \subseteq D_n$ . Of course,  $a_0 \in \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_n\}$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq M_0$ , because  $a_0 = \theta_{n_0}$  and  $n_0 \leq M_0 \leq n$ . With this, we also get

$$\frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{M_0} \leq \frac{1}{N_0} < \varepsilon_0, \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq M_0.$$

Then, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq M_0$ , it follows from (4) that

$$\sup_{z \in K} \left| f(z + m_{s_n} e^{2\pi i a_0}) - g(z) \right| \le \sup_{|z| \le n} \left| f(z + m_{s_n} e^{2\pi i a_0}) - g(z) \right| < \frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon_0.$$

This yields that

$$\sup_{z \in K} \left| f(z + m_{s_n} e^{2\pi i a_0}) - g(z) \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

So, for every  $a \in \Theta$  and every compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  we have:

$$\sup_{z \in K} \left| f(z + m_{s_n} e^{2\pi i a}) - g(z) \right| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Since this is the case for arbitrary  $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  we conclude that  $f \in SA$  and the proof of this proposition is complete.

Based on the above results, we are ready now to state and prove the main result of this paper, that is Theorem 2.6.

**Theorem 2.6.** The set SA is a  $G_{\delta}$  dense subset of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ . In particular, the set SA is non-empty.

**Proof.** Based on Proposition 2.4 and 2.5 we have that SA = V. We have also proved in Proposition 2.3 that the set V is a  $G_{\delta}$  and dense subset of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ . So, the result follows.

### Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the referee for the valuable remarks, comments, and suggestions.

# References

- F. Bayart, Common hypercyclic vectors for high-dimensional families of operators, Int. Math. Res. Notices, 21 (2016), 6512-6552.
- [2] L. Bernal-González, A. Jung, Simultaneous universality, J. Approx. Theory, 232 (2018), 43-65.
- [3] L. Bernal-González, A. Montes-Rodríguez, Universal functions for composition operators, Complex Variables, 27 (1995), 47-56.
- [4] G. D. Birkhoff, Démonstration, d'un théorème élémentaire sur les fonctions entières, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 189 (1929), 473-475.
- [5] G. Costakis, Approximation by translates of entire functions, in Complex and Harmonic Analysis, Publ. Inc. Destech, (2007), 213-219.
- [6] G. Costakis, M. Sambarino, Genericity of wild holomorphic functions and common hypercyclic vectors, Adv. Math., 182 (2004), 278-306.
- [7] G. Costakis, N. Tsirivas, V. Vlachou, Non-existence of common hypercyclic vectors for certain families of translation operators, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory, 15 (2015), 393-401.
- [8] R. M. Gethner, J. H. Shapiro, Universal vectors for operators on spaces of holomorphic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 100 (1987), 281-288.
- [9] K. G. Grosse-Erdmann, Holomorphe monster und universelle funktionen [Holomorphic monsters and universal functions [German], Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Trier, Trier 1987, Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen, 176, (1987).
- [10] K. G. Grosse-Erdmann, R. Mortini, Universal functions for composition operators with non-automorphic symbol, J. d' Analyse Math., 107 (2009), 355-376.
- [11] K. G. Grosse-Erdmann, A. Peris, *Linear chaos*, Springer, London, 2011.
- [12] W. Luh, On universal functions, Colloq. Math. Soc. Jànos Bolyai, 19 (1976), 503-511.
- [13] W. Rudin, Real and complex analysis, 3rd edition, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.
- [14] S. Shkarin, Remarks on common hypercyclic vectors, J. Funct. Anal., 258 (2010), 132-160.

- [15] N. Tsirivas, Common hypercyclic functions for translation operators with large gaps, J. Funct. Anal., 272 (2017), 2726-2751.
- [16] N. Tsirivas, Existence of common hypercyclic vectors for translation operators, J. Operator Theory, 80 (2018), 257-294.

Accepted: May 13, 2023