

On a class of half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities in the whole plane involving some classical special constants

Minghui You

*Department of Mathematics
Zhejiang Institute of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering
Hangzhou 310053
China
youminghui@zime.edu.cn*

Abstract. In this paper, we first define a new half-discrete kernel function in the whole plane, which involves some exponent functions and unifies some homogeneous and non-homogeneous kernels. By employing some techniques of real analysis, a new half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality with the newly defined kernel function, as well as its equivalent forms are established. Furthermore, the constant factors of the newly obtained inequalities are proved to be optimal. At last, assigning special values to the parameters, we get some interesting Hilbert-type inequalities involving hyperbolic functions, and with the constant factors related to Euler numbers, Bernoulli numbers, and Catalan constant.

Keywords: Hilbert-type inequality, half-discrete, Bernoulli number, Euler number, Catalan constant.

1. Introduction

Suppose that $p > 1$, and $f(x), \mu(x)$ are two non-negative measurable functions defined on a measurable set E . Define

$$L_{p,\mu}(E) := \left\{ f : \|f\|_{p,\mu} := \left[\int_E f^p(x)\mu(x)dx \right]^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.$$

Specially, if $\mu(x) \equiv 1$, then we have the following abbreviations: $\|f\|_p := \|f\|_{p,\mu}$ and $L_p(E) := L_{p,\mu}(E)$. Additionally, suppose that $p > 1, a_n, \nu_n > 0, n \in F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{a} = \{a_n\}_{n \in F}$. Define

$$l_{p,\nu} := \left\{ \mathbf{a} : \|\mathbf{a}\|_{p,\nu} := \left(\sum_{n \in F} a_n^p \nu_n \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.$$

Specially, if $\nu_n \equiv 1$, then we have $\|\mathbf{a}\|_p := \|\mathbf{a}\|_{p,\nu}$ and $l_p := l_{p,\nu}$.

Consider two real-valued sequences: $\mathbf{a} = \{a_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}^+} \in l_2$ and $\mathbf{b} = \{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+} \in l_2$, then

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^+} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \pi \|\mathbf{a}\|_2 \|\mathbf{b}\|_2,$$

where the constant factor π is the best possible. Inequality (1) was proposed by D. Hilbert in his lectures on integral equations in 1908, and in 1911, Schur proved the integral analogy of inequality (1) as follows:

$$(2) \quad \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^+} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^+} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy < \pi \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2,$$

where $f, g \geq 0$, $f, g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, and the constant factor π is the best possible.

Inequalities (1) and (2) are usually known as Hilbert's inequality [1]. In the past twenty years, by the introduction of some parameters and special functions such as the Beta function, some extended forms of (1) and (2) were established, such as the following[2]:

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^+} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^\lambda} < B\left(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q}\right) \|a\|_{p,\mu} \|b\|_{q,\nu},$$

where $0 < \lambda \leq \min\{p, q\}$, $\mu_m = m^{p-\lambda-1}$, $\nu_n = n^{q-\lambda-1}$, $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and $B(x, y)$ is the Beta function [3, 4], that is,

$$B(x, y) := \int_0^\infty \frac{z^{x-1}}{(1+z)^{x+y}} dz \quad (x, y > 0).$$

In addition, Yang [5] proved the following extended form of (2) in 2004:

$$(4) \quad \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^+} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^+} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x^\lambda + y^\lambda} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin r\pi} \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|g\|_{q,\nu},$$

where $r, s, \lambda > 0$, $r + s = 1$, $\mu(x) = x^{p(1-\lambda r)-1}$, $\nu(x) = x^{q(1-\lambda s)-1}$. With regard to some other extensions of (1) and (2), we refer to [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Such extended inequalities as (3) and (4) are usually named as Hilbert-type inequality. Furthermore, by constructing new kernel functions, introducing parameters, and considering the reverse form, coefficient refinement and multi-dimensional extension, a great many Hilbert-type inequalities were established in the past 20 years (see, [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]).

It should be noted that, in addition to the discrete and integral forms, Hilbert-type inequality sometimes appears in half-discrete form. The first half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality was put forward by Hardy et al. (see, Theorem 351 of [1]). However, the constant factor was not proved to be the best possible. Until recently, researchers established some new half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities with the best possible constant factors, such as [24]

$$(5) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^+} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+} \frac{a_n}{(1+nx)^\lambda} dx < B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \|f\|_{2,\mu} \|a\|_{2,\nu},$$

where $\mu(x) = x^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1}$, $\nu_n = n^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1}$. Regarding some other half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities, we refer to [25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30].

The objective of this work is to establish a class of half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities with the kernel functions related to some hyperbolic functions. Our motivation mainly comes from the following integral Hilbert-type inequalities [31, 32]:

$$(6) \quad \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^+} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^+} \operatorname{csch}(xy) f(x)g(y) dx dy < \frac{\pi^2}{4} \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|g\|_{q,\nu},$$

$$(7) \quad \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{|e^{pxy} - e^{-qxy}|} dx dy < \left(\frac{\pi}{pq \sin \frac{\pi}{p}} \right)^2 \|f\|_{p,\hat{\mu}} \|g\|_{q,\hat{\nu}},$$

where $\mu(x) = x^{-(p+1)}$, $\nu(y) = y^{-(q+1)}$, $\hat{\mu}(x) = |x|^{-(p+1)}$, $\hat{\nu}(y) = |y|^{-(q+1)}$.

In this work, we will establish the following Hilbert-type inequalities involving hyperbolic secant function and hyperbolic cosecant function:

$$(8) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \operatorname{sech} \left({}^{2m+1}\sqrt{\frac{n}{x}} \right) a_n dx < \frac{E_m}{2^{2m}} (2m+1) \pi^{2m+1} \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu},$$

$$(9) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} |\operatorname{csch} ({}^{2m+1}\sqrt{xn})| a_n dx < \frac{B_m}{m} (2m+1) (2^{2m}-1) \pi^{2m} \|f\|_{p,\hat{\mu}} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\hat{\nu}},$$

where $\mu(x) = |x|^{2p-1}$, $\nu_n = |n|^{-1}$, $\hat{\mu}(x) = |x|^{\frac{p}{2m+1}-1}$, $\hat{\nu}_n = |n|^{\frac{q}{2m+1}-1}$, E_m ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) is the Euler number, and B_m ($m \in \mathbb{N}^+$) is the Bernoulli number.

More generally, we will construct a new kernel function involving several exponent functions with multiple parameters, which unifies some homogeneous and non-homogeneous kernels, and then a half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality and its equivalent forms are established. Detailed lemmas will be presented in Section 2, and main results and some corollaries will be presented in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively.

2. Some Lemmas

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\tau, \eta \in \{1, -1\}$, and $\tau \neq -1$ when $\eta = 1$. Suppose that $c > a \geq b > d > 0$, and $ab = cd$ when $\tau\eta = 1$. Define*

$$(10) \quad K(z) := \frac{|a^z + \tau b^z|}{|c^z + \eta d^z|} \quad (z \neq 0).$$

Then, $K(z)$ decreases on \mathbb{R}^+ , and increases on \mathbb{R}^- .

Proof. If $\tau = 1, \eta = 1$, then we have $ab = cd$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dK}{dz} &= \frac{(ac)^z \log \frac{a}{c} + (bd)^z \log \frac{b}{d} + (ad)^z \log \frac{a}{d} + (bc)^z \log \frac{b}{c}}{(c^z + d^z)^2} \\ &:= L(z)(c^z + d^z)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c > a \geq b > d > 0$, we have $bc > ad$, and $ac > bd$.

If $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we have

$$L(z) < (ac)^z \log \frac{a}{c} + (ac)^z \log \frac{b}{d} + (bc)^z \log \frac{a}{d} + (bc)^z \log \frac{b}{c} = 0.$$

If $z \in \mathbb{R}^-$, we have

$$L(z) > (bd)^z \log \frac{a}{c} + (bd)^z \log \frac{b}{d} + (ad)^z \log \frac{a}{d} + (ad)^z \log \frac{b}{c} = 0.$$

It implies that $\frac{dK}{dz} < 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and $\frac{dK}{dz} > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}^-$. Thus, $K(z)$ decreases on \mathbb{R}^+ and increases on \mathbb{R}^- for $\tau = 1, \eta = 1$.

If $\tau = 1, \eta = -1, z \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then we have

$$\frac{dK}{dz} = -\frac{(ac)^z \log \frac{c}{a} + (bd)^z \log \frac{b}{d} + (ad)^z \log \frac{a}{d} + (bc)^z \log \frac{c}{b}}{(c^z - d^z)^2} < 0.$$

If $\tau = 1, \eta = -1, z \in \mathbb{R}^-$, then we have

$$\frac{dK}{dz} = \frac{(ad)^z \log \frac{a}{d} + (bc)^z \log \frac{c}{b} + (ac)^z \log \frac{c}{a} + (bd)^z \log \frac{b}{d}}{(c^z - d^z)^2} > 0.$$

Therefore, $K(z)$ decreases on \mathbb{R}^+ and increases on \mathbb{R}^- for $\tau = 1, \eta = -1$.

If $\tau = -1, \eta = -1$, then $ab = cd$, and we have

$$(11) \quad \frac{dK}{dz} = \frac{(ac)^z \log \frac{a}{c} + (bd)^z \log \frac{b}{d} - (ad)^z \log \frac{a}{d} - (bc)^z \log \frac{b}{c}}{(c^z - d^z)^2} \\ := g(z) \left[\left(\sqrt{\frac{c}{d}} \right)^z - \left(\sqrt{\frac{d}{c}} \right)^z \right]^{-2},$$

where $g(z) = g_1(z) + g_2(z) - g_3(z) - g_4(z)$, and

$$g_1(z) = \left(\frac{a}{d} \right)^z \log \frac{a}{c} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{ac}{bd}} \right)^z \log \sqrt{\frac{ad}{bc}}, \\ g_2(z) = \left(\frac{b}{c} \right)^z \log \frac{b}{d} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{bd}{ac}} \right)^z \log \sqrt{\frac{bc}{ad}}, \\ g_3(z) = \left(\frac{a}{c} \right)^z \log \frac{a}{d} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{ad}{bc}} \right)^z \log \sqrt{\frac{ac}{bd}}, \\ g_4(z) = \left(\frac{b}{d} \right)^z \log \frac{b}{c} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{bc}{ad}} \right)^z \log \sqrt{\frac{bd}{ac}}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dg_1}{dz} &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{ac}{bd}}\right)^z \left[\log^2 \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}} - \log^2 \sqrt{\frac{c}{d}}\right], \\ \frac{dg_2}{dz} &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{bd}{ac}}\right)^z \left[\log^2 \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}} - \log^2 \sqrt{\frac{c}{d}}\right], \\ \frac{dg_3}{dz} &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{ad}{bc}}\right)^z \left[\log^2 \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}} - \log^2 \sqrt{\frac{c}{d}}\right], \\ \frac{dg_4}{dz} &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{bc}{ad}}\right)^z \left[\log^2 \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}} - \log^2 \sqrt{\frac{c}{d}}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dg}{dz} &= \left[\log^2 \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}} - \log^2 \sqrt{\frac{c}{d}}\right] \\ &\quad \times \left[\left(\sqrt{\frac{ac}{bd}}\right)^z + \left(\sqrt{\frac{bd}{ac}}\right)^z - \left(\sqrt{\frac{ad}{bc}}\right)^z - \left(\sqrt{\frac{bc}{ad}}\right)^z\right] \\ &= \left[\log^2 \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}} - \log^2 \sqrt{\frac{c}{d}}\right] \left[\left(\frac{c}{b}\right)^z + \left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^z - \left(\frac{a}{c}\right)^z - \left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^z\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $h(t) := t^z + t^{-z}$, then it can be shown that $h(t)$ increases on $[1, \infty)$ for arbitrary $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Since $\frac{c}{b} \geq \frac{c}{a} > 1$, we have $h\left(\frac{c}{b}\right) \geq h\left(\frac{c}{a}\right)$, that is,

$$\left(\frac{c}{b}\right)^z + \left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^z - \left(\frac{a}{c}\right)^z - \left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^z \geq 0.$$

Additionally, in view of $\frac{c}{d} \geq \frac{a}{b} \geq 1$, we have $\log^2 \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}} - \log^2 \sqrt{\frac{c}{d}} \leq 0$. Thus, we obtain $\frac{dg}{dz} \leq 0$ on \mathbb{R}^+ , which leads to

$$g(z) \leq g(0) = \log \frac{a}{c} + \log \frac{b}{d} - \log \frac{a}{d} - \log \frac{b}{c} = 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}^+).$$

By (11), we have $\frac{dK}{dz} \leq 0$ ($z \in \mathbb{R}^+$), and it implies that $K(z)$ decreases on \mathbb{R}^+ . Similarly, it can be proved that $K(z)$ increases on \mathbb{R}^- . Thus, we proved Lemma 2.1 in the case of $\tau = -1, \eta = -1$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\tau, \eta \in \{1, -1\}$, and $\tau \neq -1$ when $\eta = 1$. Suppose that $c > a \geq b > d > 0$, and $ab = cd$ when $\tau\eta = 1$. Let λ be such that $\lambda \geq 1$, and $\lambda \neq 1$ for $\tau = 1, \eta = -1$. $K(z)$ is defined via (10), and*

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda) &:= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-\eta)^j}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{c}{a})^\lambda} + \frac{\tau(-\eta)^j}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{c}{b})^\lambda} \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-\eta)^j}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{b}{d})^\lambda} + \frac{\tau(-\eta)^j}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{a}{d})^\lambda} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(13) \quad \int_{z \in \mathbb{R}} K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1} dz = \Gamma(\lambda) \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda).$$

Proof. Expanding $\frac{1}{c^z + \eta d^z}$ ($z \in \mathbb{R}^+$) into power series, and observing that $c > d > 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{c^z + \eta d^z} = \frac{c^{-z}}{1 + \eta(c^{-1}d)^z} = c^{-z} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\eta)^j \left(\frac{d}{c}\right)^{jz}.$$

By Lebesgue term-by-term integration theorem, we get

$$(14) \quad \int_0^{\infty} K(z) z^{\lambda-1} dz = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\eta)^j \left[\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{c}\right)^{jz} \left(\frac{a}{c}\right)^z z^{\lambda-1} dz + \tau \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{c}\right)^{jz} \left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^z z^{\lambda-1} dz \right] \\ := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\eta)^j (J_1 + \tau J_2).$$

Let $z = \frac{u}{j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{c}{a}}$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$), then we have

$$(15) \quad J_1 = \frac{1}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{c}{a})^\lambda} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{\lambda-1} du = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{c}{a})^\lambda}.$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$(16) \quad J_2 = \frac{1}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{c}{b})^\lambda} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{\lambda-1} du = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{c}{b})^\lambda}.$$

Plug (15) and (16) back into (14), then we obtain

$$(17) \quad \int_0^{\infty} K(z) z^{\lambda-1} dz = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-\eta)^j \Gamma(\lambda)}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{c}{a})^\lambda} + \frac{\tau (-\eta)^j \Gamma(\lambda)}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{c}{b})^\lambda} \right].$$

Since $c > a \geq b > d > 0$, we have $\frac{1}{d} > \frac{1}{b} \geq \frac{1}{a} > \frac{1}{c} > 0$. From the above discussion, we get

$$(18) \quad \int_{-\infty}^0 K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1} dz = \int_0^{\infty} K(-z) z^{\lambda-1} dz \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-\eta)^j \Gamma(\lambda)}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{b}{d})^\lambda} + \frac{\tau (-\eta)^j \Gamma(\lambda)}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{a}{d})^\lambda} \right].$$

Combining (17) and (18), and using (12), we get (13). Lemma 2.2 is proved. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\tau, \eta \in \{1, -1\}$, and $\tau \neq -1$ when $\eta = 1$. Let*

$$\Omega := \left\{ z : z = \frac{2i + 1}{2l + 1}, i, l \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

$\beta \in \Omega$, and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cap \Omega$. Suppose that $c > a \geq b > d > 0$, and $ab = cd$ when $\tau\eta = 1$. Let λ be such that $\lambda \geq 1$, $\lambda\gamma \leq 1$, and $\lambda \neq 1$ for $\tau = 1, \eta = -1$. Let $K(z)$ be defined via (10), and for an arbitrary positive natural number s which is large enough, define

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}} := \{\tilde{a}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} := \left\{ |n|^{\lambda\gamma - 1 - \frac{2\gamma}{qs}} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0},$$

$$\tilde{f}(x) := \begin{cases} |x|^{\lambda\beta - 1 + \frac{2\beta}{ps}}, & x \in E \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus E \end{cases},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}^0 := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, and $E := \{x : |x|^{\text{sgn } \beta} < 1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (19) \quad \tilde{I} &:= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \tilde{a}_n \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} K(x^\beta n^\gamma) \tilde{f}(x) dx = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \tilde{f}(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \tilde{a}_n K(x^\beta n^\gamma) dx \\ &> \frac{s}{|\beta\gamma|} \left[\int_{[-1,1]} K(z) |z|^{\lambda - 1 + \frac{2}{ps}} dz + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1,1]} K(z) |z|^{\lambda - 1 - \frac{2}{qs}} dz \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let

$$E^+ := \{x : x \in E \cap \mathbb{R}^+\}, E^- := \{x : x \in E \cap \mathbb{R}^-\}.$$

Then

$$\tilde{I} = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \int_{x \in E^-} \tilde{f}(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \tilde{a}_n K(x^\beta n^\gamma) dx, \\ I_2 &:= \int_{x \in E^-} \tilde{f}(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^-} \tilde{a}_n K(x^\beta n^\gamma) dx, \\ I_3 &:= \int_{x \in E^+} \tilde{f}(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \tilde{a}_n K(x^\beta n^\gamma) dx, \\ I_4 &:= \int_{x \in E^+} \tilde{f}(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^-} \tilde{a}_n K(x^\beta n^\gamma) dx. \end{aligned}$$

In view of $\lambda\gamma \leq 1$, it follows that $\tilde{a}_n = |n|^{\lambda\gamma-1-\frac{2\gamma}{qs}}$ decreases with respect to n if $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. In addition, for $x \in E^-$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we have $x^\beta n^\gamma < 0$. By Lemma 2.1, it can be proved that $K(x^\beta n^\gamma)$ decreases with respect to n if $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Therefore,

$$I_1 > \int_{x \in E^-} |x|^{\lambda\beta-1+\frac{2\beta}{ps}} \int_1^\infty K(x^\beta y^\gamma) |y|^{\lambda\gamma-1-\frac{2\gamma}{qs}} dy dx := W_1.$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$I_2 > \int_{x \in E^-} |x|^{\lambda\beta-1+\frac{2\beta}{ps}} \int_{-\infty}^{-1} K(x^\beta y^\gamma) |y|^{\lambda\gamma-1-\frac{2\gamma}{qs}} dy dx := W_2,$$

$$I_3 > \int_{x \in E^+} |x|^{\lambda\beta-1+\frac{2\beta}{ps}} \int_1^\infty K(x^\beta y^\gamma) |y|^{\lambda\gamma-1-\frac{2\gamma}{qs}} dy dx := W_3,$$

$$I_4 > \int_{x \in E^+} |x|^{\lambda\beta-1+\frac{2\beta}{ps}} \int_{-\infty}^{-1} K(x^\beta y^\gamma) |y|^{\lambda\gamma-1-\frac{2\gamma}{qs}} dy dx := W_4.$$

We first consider the case where $\beta < 0$, that is, $\beta \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^-$. Letting $x^\beta y^\gamma = z$, and observing that $x^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}} = -|x|^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}}$ ($x < 0$) and $z^{\frac{1}{r}-1} = |z|^{\frac{1}{r}-1}$ ($z < 0$), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad W_1 &= \int_{-\infty}^{-1} |x|^{\lambda\beta-1+\frac{2\beta}{ps}} \int_1^\infty K(x^\beta y^\gamma) |y|^{\lambda\gamma-1-\frac{2\gamma}{qs}} dy dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{-\infty}^{-1} |x|^{-1+\frac{2\beta}{s}} \int_{-\infty}^{x^\beta} K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1-\frac{2}{qs}} dz dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{-\infty}^{-1} |x|^{-1+\frac{2\beta}{s}} \int_{-\infty}^{-1} K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1-\frac{2}{qs}} dz dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{-\infty}^{-1} |x|^{-1+\frac{2\beta}{s}} \int_{-1}^{x^\beta} K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1-\frac{2}{qs}} dz dx \\ &= \frac{s}{2|\beta\gamma|} \int_{-\infty}^{-1} K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1-\frac{2}{qs}} dz \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{-\infty}^{-1} |x|^{-1+\frac{2\beta}{s}} \int_{-1}^{x^\beta} K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1-\frac{2}{qs}} dz dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (21) \quad &\int_{-\infty}^{-1} |x|^{-1+\frac{2\beta}{s}} \int_{-1}^{x^\beta} K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1-\frac{2}{qs}} dz dx \\ &= \int_{-1}^0 K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1-\frac{2}{qs}} \int_{-\infty}^{z^{1/\beta}} |x|^{-1+\frac{2\beta}{s}} dx dz \\ &= \frac{s}{2|\beta|} \int_{-1}^0 K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1+\frac{2}{ps}} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (21) to (20), we get

$$W_1 = \frac{s}{2|\beta\gamma|} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{-1} K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1-\frac{2}{qs}} dz + \int_{-1}^0 K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1+\frac{2}{ps}} dz \right].$$

In addition, it can be proved that $W_1 = W_4$, and

$$W_2 = W_3 = \frac{s}{2|\beta\gamma|} \left[\int_1^\infty K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1-\frac{2}{qs}} dz + \int_0^1 K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1+\frac{2}{ps}} dz \right].$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I} &> W_1 + W_2 + W_3 + W_4 \\ &= \frac{s}{|\beta\gamma|} \left[\int_{[-1,1]} K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1+\frac{2}{ps}} dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-1,1]} K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1-\frac{2}{qs}} dz \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (19) is proved for $\beta < 0$. Similarly, (19) can also be proved to be true for $\beta > 0$, and we complete the proof of Lemma 2.3. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $s_1, s_2 > 0$, $s_1 + s_2 = 1$, $\psi(z) = \cot z$, $\phi(z) = \csc z$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$(22) \quad \sum_{j=0}^\infty \left[\frac{1}{(j+s_1)^{2m+1}} - \frac{1}{(j+s_2)^{2m+1}} \right] = \frac{\pi^{2m+1}}{(2m)!} \psi^{(2m)}(s_1\pi),$$

$$(23) \quad \sum_{j=0}^\infty \left[\frac{1}{(j+s_1)^{2m+2}} + \frac{1}{(j+s_2)^{2m+2}} \right] = -\frac{\pi^{2m+2}}{(2m+1)!} \psi^{(2m+1)}(s_1\pi),$$

$$(24) \quad \sum_{j=0}^\infty \left[\frac{(-1)^j}{(j+s_1)^{2m+1}} + \frac{(-1)^j}{(j+s_2)^{2m+1}} \right] = \frac{\pi^{2m+1}}{(2m)!} \phi^{(2m)}(s_1\pi).$$

Proof. We write the partial fraction expansion of $\psi(z) = \cot z$ ($0 < z < \pi$) as follows [4]:

$$\psi(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{z+j\pi} + \frac{1}{z-j\pi} \right).$$

Taking the $(2m)$ th derivative of $\psi(z)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (25) \quad \psi^{(2m)}(z) &= (2m)! \left[\sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(j\pi+z)^{2m+1}} + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{1}{(z-j\pi)^{2m+1}} \right] \\ &= (2m)! \sum_{j=0}^\infty \left[\frac{1}{(z+j\pi)^{2m+1}} - \frac{1}{(j\pi+\pi-z)^{2m+1}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $z = s_1\pi$ in (25), and observing that $s_1 + s_2 = 1$, we obtain (22). Taking the first derivative of (25) and setting $z = s_1\pi$, we arrive at (23). Additionally, owing to the following identity:

$$2\phi(2z) = \psi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - z\right) + \psi(z) \quad \left(0 < z < \frac{\pi}{2}\right),$$

we have

$$(26) \quad 2^{2m+1} \phi^{(2m)}(2z) = \psi^{(2m)}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - z\right) + \psi^{(2m)}(z).$$

Let $u = \frac{s_1\pi}{2}$ in (26), and use (22), then we have

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi^{(2m)}(s_1\pi) &= \frac{(2m)!}{\pi^{2m+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{(2j+s_2)^{2m+1}} - \frac{1}{(2j+1+s_1)^{2m+1}} \right] \\ &+ \frac{(2m)!}{\pi^{2m+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{(2j+s_1)^{2m+1}} - \frac{1}{(2j+1+s_2)^{2m+1}} \right] \\ &= \frac{(2m)!}{\pi^{2m+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-1)^j}{(j+s_1)^{2m+1}} + \frac{(-1)^j}{(j+s_2)^{2m+1}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Equality (27) implies (24) obviously. Lemma 2.4 is proved. □

Remark 2.1. By Lemma 2.4, we have the following identities related to classical special constants:

$$(28) \quad \psi^{(2m)}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) = 2^{2m} E_m,$$

$$(29) \quad \phi^{(2m)}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = E_m,$$

$$(30) \quad \psi^{(2m+1)}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) = \frac{4^{2m+1}}{m+1} (1 - 2^{2m+2}) B_{m+1},$$

$$(31) \quad \psi^{(2m+1)}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \frac{2^{2m+1}}{m+1} (1 - 2^{2m+2}) B_{m+1},$$

where E_m is the Euler number, $E_0 = 1, E_1 = 1, E_2 = 5, \dots$, and B_{m+1} is Bernoulli number, $B_1 = \frac{1}{6}, B_2 = \frac{1}{30}, B_3 = \frac{1}{42}, \dots$. In fact, let $s_1 = \frac{1}{4}, s_2 = \frac{3}{4}$ in (22). In view of [4]

$$(32) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{(2j+1)^{2m+1}} = \frac{\pi^{2m+1} E_m}{2^{2m+2} (2m)!},$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{(4j+1)^{2m+1}} - \frac{1}{(4j+3)^{2m+1}} \right] = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{(2j+1)^{2m+1}},$$

we can get (28). Similarly, let $s_1 = s_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ in (24). By (32), we have (29). Additionally, let $s_1 = \frac{1}{4}, s_2 = \frac{3}{4}$ in (23), and observe that [4]

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2j+1)^{2m+2}} = \frac{B_{m+1}}{2(2m+2)!} (2^{2m+2} - 1) \pi^{2m+2} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}),$$

then we get (30). At last, letting $s_1 = s_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ in (23), we arrive at (31).

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\tau, \eta \in \{1, -1\}$, and $\tau \neq -1$ when $\eta = 1$. Let*

$$\Omega := \left\{ z : z = \frac{2i + 1}{2l + 1}, i, l \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

$\beta \in \Omega$, and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cap \Omega$. Suppose that $c > a \geq b > d > 0$, and $ab = cd$ when $\tau\eta = 1$. Let λ be such that $\lambda \geq 1$, $\lambda\gamma \leq 1$, and $\lambda \neq 1$ for $\tau = 1, \eta = -1$. Assume that $\mu(x) = |x|^{p(1-\lambda\beta)-1}$, $\nu_n = |n|^{q(1-\lambda\gamma)-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^0 := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, $f(x), a_n \geq 0$ with $f(x) \in L_{p,\mu}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbf{a} = \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \in l_{q,\nu}$, $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Let $K(z)$ and $\kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda)$ be defined via (10) and (12), respectively. Then the following inequalities hold and are equivalent:

$$(33) \quad I := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} a_n \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} K(x^\beta n^\gamma) f(x) dx = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} K(x^\beta n^\gamma) a_n dx$$

$$< |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma(\lambda) \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu},$$

$$(34) \quad J_1 := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} |n|^{p\lambda\gamma-1} \left[\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} K(x^\beta n^\gamma) f(x) dx \right]^p$$

$$< \left[|\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma(\lambda) \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda) \right]^p \|f\|_{p,\mu}^p,$$

$$(35) \quad J_2 := \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |x|^{q\lambda\beta-1} \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} K(x^\beta n^\gamma) a_n \right]^q dx$$

$$< \left[|\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma(\lambda) \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda) \right]^q \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}^q,$$

where the constant $|\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma(\lambda) \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda)$ in (33), (34) and (35) is the best possible.

Proof. For $y \in [n - 1, n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, let $\tilde{K}(x^\beta y^\gamma) := K(x^\beta n^\gamma)$, $g(y) := a_n$, $h(y) := n$. For $y \in [n, n + 1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^-$, let $\tilde{K}(x^\beta y^\gamma) := K(x^\beta n^\gamma)$, $g(y) := a_n$, $h(y) := |n|$. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$(36) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} a_n \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} K(x^\beta n^\gamma) f(x) dx = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} K(x^\beta n^\gamma) a_n dx$$

$$= \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \tilde{K}(x^\beta y^\gamma) f(x) g(y) dx dy$$

$$= \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\tilde{K}(x^\beta y^\gamma) \right]^{1/p} [h(y)]^{(\lambda\gamma-1)/p} |x|^{(1-\lambda\beta)/q} f(x)$$

$$\quad \times \left[\tilde{K}(x^\beta y^\gamma) \right]^{1/q} |x|^{(\lambda\beta-1)/q} [h(y)]^{(1-\lambda\gamma)/p} g(y) dx dy$$

$$\leq \left\{ \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \tilde{K}(x^\beta y^\gamma) [h(y)]^{\lambda\gamma-1} |x|^{p(1-\lambda\beta)/q} f^p(x) dy dx \right\}^{1/p}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left\{ \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \tilde{K} \left(x^\beta y^\gamma \right) |x|^{\lambda\beta-1} [h(y)]^{q(1-\lambda\gamma)/p} g^q(y) dx dy \right\}^{1/q} \\ & = \left[\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \Psi(x) |x|^{p(1-\lambda\beta)/q} f^p(x) dx \right]^{1/p} \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \Phi(n) |n|^{q(1-\lambda\gamma)/p} a_n^q \right]^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(37) \quad \Psi(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} K \left(x^\beta n^\gamma \right) |n|^{\lambda\gamma-1},$$

$$(38) \quad \Phi(n) = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} K \left(x^\beta n^\gamma \right) |x|^{\lambda\beta-1} dx.$$

In view of $\lambda\gamma \leq 1$, it can be easy to show that $|n|^{\lambda\gamma-1}$ decreases if $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and increases if $n \in \mathbb{N}^-$. Additionally, using Lemma 2.1, and observing that $\beta \in \Omega$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cap \Omega$, it can be proved that whether $x > 0$ or $x < 0$, $K \left(x^\beta n^\gamma \right)$ decreases with respect to n when $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, and increases with respect to n when $n \in \mathbb{N}^-$. Therefore, we get

$$(39) \quad \Psi(x) < \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}} K \left(x^\beta y^\gamma \right) |y|^{\lambda\gamma-1} dy.$$

We first consider the case where $x < 0$. Let $x^\beta y^\gamma = z$. Observing that $\beta \in \Omega$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cap \Omega$, we have $x^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}} = -|x|^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}}$ ($x < 0$) and $z^{\frac{1}{r}-1} = |z|^{\frac{1}{r}-1}$. It follows therefore that

$$(40) \quad \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}} K \left(x^\beta y^\gamma \right) |y|^{\lambda\gamma-1} dy = \frac{|x|^{-\lambda\beta}}{\gamma} \int_{z \in \mathbb{R}} K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1} dz.$$

Similarly, it can also be proved that (40) holds when $x > 0$. Therefore, for arbitrary x ($x \neq 0$), combining (39) and (40), and using (13), we have

$$(41) \quad \Psi(x) < \frac{|x|^{-\lambda\beta}}{\gamma} \Gamma(\lambda) \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda).$$

Furthermore, by similar discussion, we have

$$(42) \quad \Phi(n) = \frac{|n|^{-\lambda\gamma}}{|\beta|} \Gamma(\lambda) \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda).$$

Plugging (41) and (42) back into (36), we get (33). In what follows, we will prove (34) and (35) via (33). In fact, assuming (33) holds, and setting $\mathbf{b} = \{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^0}$, where

$$b_n := |n|^{p\lambda\gamma-1} \left[\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} K \left(x^\beta n^\gamma \right) f(x) dx \right]^{p-1},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (43) \quad J_1 &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} |n|^{p\lambda\gamma-1} \left[\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} K(x^\beta n^\gamma) f(x) dx \right]^p \\
 &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} b_n \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} K(x^\beta n^\gamma) f(x) dx \\
 &< |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma(\lambda) \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{q,\nu} \\
 &= |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma(\lambda) \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda) \|f\|_{p,\mu} J_1^{1/q}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (43) implies (34) obviously. Moreover, let

$$g(x) := |x|^{q\lambda\beta-1} \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} K(x^\beta n^\gamma) a_n \right]^{q-1}.$$

By (33), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (44) \quad J_2 &= \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |x|^{q\lambda\beta-1} \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} K(x^\beta n^\gamma) a_n \right]^q dx \\
 &= \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} K(x^\beta n^\gamma) a_n dx \\
 &< |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma(\lambda) \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda) \|g\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu} \\
 &= |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma(\lambda) \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda) \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu} J_2^{1/p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get (35) via (33). Conversely, if (34) or (35) holds, it can also be proved that (33) is valid. In fact, we first suppose that (34) holds. By Hölder’s inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (45) \quad I &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \left[|n|^{\lambda\gamma-1/p} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} K(x^\beta n^\gamma) f(x) dx \right] \left[a_n |n|^{-\lambda\gamma+1/p} \right] \\
 &\leq J_1^{1/p} \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} a_n^q |n|^{q(1-\lambda\gamma)-1} \right]^{1/q} = J_1^{1/p} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying (34) to (45), we arrive at (33). Similarly, supposing that (35) holds, we can also get (33). Therefore, Based on the above discussions, inequalities (33), (34) and (35) are equivalent.

Lastly, it will be proved that the constant $|\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma(\lambda) \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda)$ in (33), (34) and (35) is the best possible. In fact, assume that there exists a constant C satisfying

$$(46) \quad 0 < C \leq |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma(\lambda) \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda),$$

and

$$(47) \quad I = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} a_n \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} K(x^\beta n^\gamma) f(x) dx = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} K(x^\beta n^\gamma) a_n dx < C \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|a\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Replacing a_n and $f(x)$ in (47) by \tilde{a}_n and $\tilde{f}(x)$ defined in Lemma 2.3, respectively, and using (19), we have

$$(48) \quad \int_{[-1,1]} K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1+\frac{2}{ps}} dz + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1,1]} K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1-\frac{2}{qs}} dz < \frac{|\beta\gamma|}{s} \tilde{I} < \frac{|\beta\gamma| C}{s} \|\tilde{f}\|_{p,\mu} \|\tilde{a}\|_{q,\nu} = \frac{|\beta\gamma| C}{s} \left(2 \int_{E^+} x^{\frac{2\beta}{s}-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(2 + 2 \sum_{n=2}^\infty n^{-\frac{2\gamma}{s}-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \frac{2|\beta\gamma| C}{s} \left(\int_{E^+} x^{\frac{2\beta}{s}-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(1 + \int_1^\infty x^{-\frac{2\gamma}{s}-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = 2|\beta\gamma| C \left(\frac{1}{2|\beta|} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Applying Fatou’s lemma to (48), and using (13), it follows that

$$\Gamma(\lambda)\kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda) = \int_{z \in \mathbb{R}} K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1} dz = \int_{[-1,1]} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} K(z) |z|^{\lambda-1+\frac{2}{ps}} dz + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1,1]} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} L(z) |z|^{\lambda-1-\frac{2}{qs}} dz \leq \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{[-1,1]} L(z) |z|^{\lambda-1+\frac{2}{ps}} dz + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1,1]} L(z) |z|^{\lambda-1-\frac{2}{qs}} dz \right] \leq \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left[2|\beta\gamma| C \left(\frac{1}{2|\beta|} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] = C |\beta|^{\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

It implies that

$$(49) \quad C \geq |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma(\lambda)\kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda).$$

Combining (46) and (49), we get $C = |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma(\lambda)\kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda)$. Therefore, the constant factor in inequality (33) is the best possible. Owing to the equivalence of (33), (34) and (35), it can be proved that the constant factors in (34) and (35) are the best possible. Theorem 3.1 is proved. \square

4. Corollaries

Let $\tau = \eta = -1$, and $\lambda = 2m + 1 (m \in \mathbb{N})$ in Theorem 3.1, then we have $ab = cd$. By (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{b}{d})^{2m+1}} - \frac{2}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{c}{b})^{2m+1}} \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{(2m)!} \left(\frac{\pi}{\ln \frac{c}{d}} \right)^{2m+1} \psi^{(2m)} \left(\frac{\pi \ln \frac{b}{d}}{\ln \frac{c}{d}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $\beta \in \Omega$, and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cap \Omega$, where*

$$\Omega := \left\{ z : z = \frac{2i + 1}{2l + 1}, i, l \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Suppose that $c > a \geq b > d > 0$, and $ab = cd$. Let m be such that $(2m + 1)\gamma \leq 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\psi(z) = \cot z$, $\mu(x) = |x|^{p[1-(2m+1)\beta]-1}$, $\nu_n = |n|^{q[1-(2m+1)\gamma]-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^0 := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $f(x), a_n \geq 0$ with $f(x) \in L_{p,\mu}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbf{a} = \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \in l_{q,\nu}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (50) \quad & \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \frac{a^{x^\beta n^\gamma} - b^{x^\beta n^\gamma}}{c^{x^\beta n^\gamma} - d^{x^\beta n^\gamma}} a_n dx \\ & < 2 |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\pi}{\ln \frac{c}{d}} \right)^{2m+1} \psi^{(2m)} \left(\frac{\pi \ln \frac{b}{d}}{\ln \frac{c}{d}} \right) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a = e^{\tau_1}$, $b = e^{-\tau_1}$, $c = e^{\tau_2}$, $d = e^{-\tau_2}$ in (50), where $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (51) \quad & \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \sinh(\tau_1 x^\beta n^\gamma) \operatorname{csch}(\tau_2 x^\beta n^\gamma) a_n dx \\ & < 2 |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\pi}{2\tau_2} \right)^{2m+1} \psi^{(2m)} \left(\frac{(\tau_2 - \tau_1)\pi}{2\tau_2} \right) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau_2 = 2\alpha$, $\tau_1 = \alpha$ ($\alpha > 0$) in (51). By (28), we obtain

$$(52) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \operatorname{sech}(\alpha x^\beta n^\gamma) a_n dx < |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \frac{E_m}{2^{2m}} \left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha} \right)^{2m+1} \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Setting $\beta = \gamma = \frac{1}{2m+1}$ $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\alpha = 1$ in (52), we get

$$(53) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \operatorname{sech}(\sqrt[2m+1]{xn}) a_n dx < \frac{E_m}{2^{2m}} (2m + 1) \pi^{2m+1} \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu},$$

where $\mu(x) = |x|^{-1}$, $\nu_n = |n|^{-1}$. Setting $\beta = -\frac{1}{2m+1}$, $\gamma = \frac{1}{2m+1}$, and $\alpha = 1$ in (52), we get (8).

Let $\tau_2 = 3\alpha$, $\tau_1 = \alpha$ ($\alpha > 0$) in (51), then we obtain

$$(54) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \frac{a_n}{2 \cosh(2\alpha x^\beta n^\gamma) + 1} dx < 2 |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\pi}{6\alpha}\right)^{2m+1} \psi^{(2m)}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Setting $\beta = \gamma = \frac{1}{2m+1}$, and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ in (54), we get

$$(55) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \frac{a_n}{2 \cosh(2^{m+1}\sqrt{xn}) + 1} dx < (4m + 2) \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)^{2m+1} \psi^{(2m)}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu},$$

where $\mu(x) = |x|^{-1}$, $\nu_n = |n|^{-1}$. Let $m = 0$ in (55), then (55) is transformed into

$$(56) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \frac{a_n}{2 \cosh(xn) + 1} dx < \frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi}{9} \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Let $\tau_2 = 4\alpha$, $\tau_1 = \alpha$ ($\alpha > 0$) in (51), then we have

$$(57) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \operatorname{sech}(\alpha x^\beta n^\gamma) \operatorname{sech}(2\alpha x^\beta n^\gamma) a_n dx < \frac{1}{26m} |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha}\right)^{2m+1} \psi^{(2m)}\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Setting $\beta = \gamma = \frac{1}{2m+1}$, and $\alpha = 1$ in (57), we get

$$\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \operatorname{sech}(2^{m+1}\sqrt{xn}) \operatorname{sech}(2^{2m+1}\sqrt{xn}) a_n dx < \frac{2m + 1}{26m} \pi^{2m+1} \psi^{(2m)}\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Let $\tau = -1$, $\eta = 1$, and $\lambda = 2m + 2$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) in Theorem 3.1, By (23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{c}{a})^{2m+2}} + \frac{1}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{a}{d})^{2m+2}} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{b}{d})^{2m+2}} + \frac{1}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{c}{b})^{2m+2}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(2m + 1)!} \left(\frac{\pi}{\ln \frac{c}{d}}\right)^{2m+2} \left[\psi^{(2m+1)}\left(\frac{\pi \ln \frac{c}{a}}{\ln \frac{c}{d}}\right) + \psi^{(2m+1)}\left(\frac{\pi \ln \frac{b}{d}}{\ln \frac{c}{d}}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\beta \in \Omega$, and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cap \Omega$, where*

$$\Omega := \left\{ z : z = \frac{2i + 1}{2l + 1}, i, l \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Suppose that $c > a \geq b > d > 0$, and m satisfies $(2m + 2)\gamma \leq 1$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$). Assume that $\psi(z) = \cot z$, $\mu(x) = |x|^{p[1-(2m+2)\beta]-1}$, $\nu_n = |n|^{q[1-(2m+2)\gamma]-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^0 := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $f(x), a_n \geq 0$ with $f(x) \in L_{p,\mu}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbf{a} = \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \in l_{q,\nu}$. Then

$$(58) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \frac{a^{x^\beta n^\gamma} + b^{x^\beta n^\gamma}}{|c^{x^\beta n^\gamma} - d^{x^\beta n^\gamma}|} a_n dx < -|\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\pi}{\ln \frac{c}{d}} \right)^{2m+2} \\ \times \left[\psi^{(2m+1)} \left(\frac{\pi \ln \frac{c}{a}}{\ln \frac{c}{d}} \right) + \psi^{(2m+1)} \left(\frac{\pi \ln \frac{b}{d}}{\ln \frac{c}{d}} \right) \right] \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Let $a = b = 1$ in (58), then we get $c > 1 > d > 0$. Since

$$(59) \quad \psi^{(2m+1)}(z) = \psi^{(2m+1)}(\pi - z), \quad z \in (0, \pi),$$

inequality (58) is transformed into

$$(60) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \frac{a_n}{|c^{x^\beta n^\gamma} - d^{x^\beta n^\gamma}|} dx < -|\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \left(\frac{\pi}{\ln \frac{c}{d}} \right)^{2m+2} \psi^{(2m+1)} \left(\frac{\pi \ln c}{\ln \frac{c}{d}} \right) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Let $c = e^p, d = e^{-q}$ in (60), then (60) reduces to

$$(61) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \frac{a_n}{|e^{px^\beta n^\gamma} - e^{-qx^\beta n^\gamma}|} dx \\ < -|\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\pi}{pq} \right)^{2m+2} \psi^{(2m+1)} \left(\frac{\pi}{p} \right) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Let $m = 0, \beta = \gamma = \frac{1}{3}$ in (61), then we get

$$(62) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \frac{a_n}{|e^{p\sqrt[3]{xn}} - e^{-q\sqrt[3]{xn}}|} dx < \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{pq \sin \frac{\pi}{p}} \right)^2 \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu},$$

where $\mu(x) = |x|^{\frac{p}{3}-1}, \nu_n = |n|^{\frac{q}{3}-1}$.

Let $c = e^\alpha, d = e^{-\alpha}$ ($\alpha > 0$) in (60). By (31), we get

$$(63) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \left| \operatorname{csch} \left(\alpha x^\beta n^\gamma \right) \right| a_n dx \\ < |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \frac{B_{m+1}}{m+1} (2^{2m+2} - 1) \left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha} \right)^{2m+2} \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Setting $\beta = \gamma = \frac{1}{2m+3}$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$), $\alpha = 1$ in (63), and replacing $m + 1$ with m , we get (9). Similarly, setting $\beta = -\frac{1}{2m+3}$, $\gamma = \frac{1}{2m+3}$, $\alpha = 1$ in (63), and replacing $m + 1$ with m , we get

$$\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \left| \operatorname{csch} \left({}^{2m+1}\sqrt{\frac{n}{x}} \right) \right| a_n dx < \frac{B_m}{m} (2m+1)(2^{2m}-1)\pi^{2m} \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|a\|_{q,\nu},$$

where $\mu(x) = |x|^{\frac{(4m+1)p}{2m+1}-1}$, $\nu_n = |n|^{\frac{q}{2m+1}-1}$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}^+$).

Let $a = e^{\tau_1}$, $b = e^{-\tau_1}$, $c = e^{\tau_2}$, $d = e^{-\tau_2}$ in (58), where $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2$. Then

$$(64) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \cosh(\tau_1 x^\beta n^\gamma) \left| \operatorname{csch}(\tau_2 x^\beta n^\gamma) \right| a_n dx < -2 |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\pi}{2\tau_2} \right)^{2m+2} \psi^{(2m+1)} \left(\frac{(\tau_2 - \tau_1)\pi}{2\tau_2} \right) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|a\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Let $\tau_2 = 2\alpha$, $\tau_1 = \alpha$ ($\alpha > 0$) in (64). By using (30), we can also get (63). Let $\tau_2 = 4\alpha$, $\tau_1 = \alpha$ ($\alpha > 0$) in (64), then we have

$$(65) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \left| \operatorname{csch}(\alpha x^\beta n^\gamma) \right| \operatorname{sech}(2\alpha x^\beta n^\gamma) a_n dx < -\frac{1}{8^{2m+1}} |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha} \right)^{2m+2} \psi^{(2m+1)} \left(\frac{3\pi}{8} \right) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|a\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Additionally, let $a = b = e^{-\alpha}$, $c = e^{2\alpha}$, $d = e^{-2\alpha}$ ($\alpha > 0$) in (58). By (59) and (30), we get the following inequality with the same constant factor as (63), that is,

$$(66) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \left| \operatorname{csch}(\alpha x^\beta n^\gamma) - \operatorname{sech}(\alpha x^\beta n^\gamma) \right| a_n dx < |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \frac{B_{m+1}}{m+1} (2^{2m+2}-1) \left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha} \right)^{2m+2} \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|a\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Furthermore, let $a = b = e^\alpha$, $c = e^{2\alpha}$, $d = e^{-2\alpha}$ ($\alpha > 0$) in (58). Then we get

$$(67) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \left| \operatorname{csch}(\alpha x^\beta n^\gamma) + \operatorname{sech}(\alpha x^\beta n^\gamma) \right| a_n dx < |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \frac{B_{m+1}}{m+1} (2^{2m+2}-1) \left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha} \right)^{2m+2} \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|a\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Let $\tau = \eta = 1$, and $\lambda = 2m + 1$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) in Theorem 3.1, then we have $ab = cd$. By (24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2(-1)^j}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{b}{d})^{2m+1}} + \frac{2(-1)^j}{(j \log \frac{c}{d} + \log \frac{c}{b})^{2m+1}} \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{(2m)!} \left(\frac{\pi}{\ln \frac{c}{d}} \right)^{2m+1} \phi^{(2m)} \left(\frac{\pi \ln \frac{b}{d}}{\ln \frac{c}{d}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.1 is transformed into the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\beta \in \Omega$, and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cap \Omega$, where*

$$\Omega := \left\{ z : z = \frac{2i + 1}{2l + 1}, i, l \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Suppose that $c > a \geq b > d > 0$, and $ab = cd$. Let m be such that $(2m + 1)\gamma \leq 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\phi(z) = \csc z$, $\mu(x) = |x|^{p[1-(2m+1)\beta]-1}$, $\nu_n = |n|^{q[1-(2m+1)\gamma]-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^0 := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $f(x), a_n \geq 0$ with $f(x) \in L_{p,\mu}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbf{a} = \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \in l_{q,\nu}$. Then

$$(68) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \frac{a^{x^\beta n^\gamma} + b^{x^\beta n^\gamma}}{c^{x^\beta n^\gamma} + d^{x^\beta n^\gamma}} a_n dx < 2 |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\pi}{\ln \frac{c}{d}} \right)^{2m+1} \phi^{(2m)} \left(\frac{\pi \ln \frac{b}{d}}{\ln \frac{c}{d}} \right) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Let $a = e^{\tau_1}$, $b = e^{-\tau_1}$, $c = e^{\tau_2}$, $d = e^{-\tau_2}$ in (68), where $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2$. Then

$$(69) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \cosh(\tau_1 x^\beta n^\gamma) \operatorname{sech}(\tau_2 x^\beta n^\gamma) a_n dx < 2 |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\pi}{2\tau_2} \right)^{2m+1} \phi^{(2m)} \left(\frac{(\tau_2 - \tau_1)\pi}{2\tau_2} \right) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Letting $\tau_2 = \alpha$ ($\alpha > 0$), $\tau_1 = 0$ in (69), and using (29), we can also get (52). Letting $\tau_2 = 2\alpha$, $\tau_1 = \alpha$ ($\alpha > 0$) in (70), we have

$$\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \operatorname{csch}(\alpha x^\beta n^\gamma) \tanh(2\alpha x^\beta n^\gamma) a_n dx < \frac{1}{2^{4m}} |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha} \right)^{2m+1} \phi^{(2m)} \left(\frac{\pi}{4} \right) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}.$$

At last, let $\tau = \eta = 1$, $a = b$, and $\lambda = 2$ in Theorem 3.1. Then, we have $cd = a^2$. Let $\frac{c}{a} = \frac{a}{d} = e^\alpha$ ($\alpha > 0$), then

$$\log \frac{c}{a} = \log \frac{c}{b} = \log \frac{b}{d} = \log \frac{a}{d} = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{c}{d} = \alpha,$$

and

$$\kappa(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta, \lambda) = \frac{4}{\alpha^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{(2j + 1)^2} = \frac{4c_0}{\alpha^2},$$

where c_0 is the Catalan constant. Thus, Theorem 3.1 is transformed into the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4. Let $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \in \Omega$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cap \Omega$ and $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$, where

$$\Omega := \left\{ z : z = \frac{2i+1}{2l+1}, i, l \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Suppose that $\mu(x) = |x|^{p(1-2\beta)-1}$, $\nu_n = |n|^{q(1-2\gamma)-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^0 := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $f(x), a_n \geq 0$ with $f(x) \in L_{p,\mu}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbf{a} = \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \in l_{q,\nu}$. Then

$$(70) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \operatorname{sech}(\alpha x^\beta n^\gamma) a_n dx < \frac{4c_0}{\alpha^2} |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu}.$$

Setting $\beta = \gamma = \frac{1}{2m+1}$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}^+$), $\alpha = 1$ in (70), we have

$$(71) \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^0} \operatorname{sech}(\sqrt[2m+1]{xn}) a_n dx < 4c_0(2m+1) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|\mathbf{a}\|_{q,\nu},$$

where $\mu(x) = |x|^{\frac{2m-1}{2m+1}p-1}$, $\nu_n = |n|^{\frac{2m-1}{2m+1}q-1}$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}^+$).

Acknowledgements

The author is indebted to the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions and comments that helped improve the paper significantly. This work was supported by the incubation foundation of Zhejiang Institute of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering (A-0271-23-213).

References

- [1] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1952.
- [2] B. C. Yang, *On new extensions of Hilbert's inequality*, Acta Math. Hungar., 104 (2004), 291-299.
- [3] C. F. J. Richard, *Introduction to calculus and analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [4] Z. X. Wang, D. R. Guo, *Introduction to special functions*, Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2012.
- [5] B. C. Yang, *On an extension of Hilbert's integral inequality with some parameters*, Aus. J. Anal. Appl., 1 (2004), 1-8.
- [6] M. Z. Gao, B. C. Yang, *On the extended Hilbert's inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 126 (1998), 751-759.
- [7] B. C. Yang, L. Debnath, *On a new generalization of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality and its application*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 23 (1999), 484-497.

- [8] M. H. You, *On a new discrete Hilbert-type inequality and application*, Math. Inequal. Appl., 18 (2015), 1575-1578.
- [9] M. H. You, *On an extension of the discrete Hilbert inequality and applications*, J. Wuhan Univ. Natur. Sci. Ed., 67 (2021), 179-184.
- [10] M. Krnić, J. Pečarić, *Extension of Hilbert's inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 324 (2006), 150-160.
- [11] M. Krnić, J. Pečarić, P. Vuković, *Discrete Hilbert-type inequalities with general homogeneous kernels*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo., 60 (2011), 161-171.
- [12] M. Krnić, J. Pečarić, I. Perić, et. al., *Advances in Hilbert-type inequalities*, Element Press, Zagreb, 2012.
- [13] B. C. Yang, *The norm of operator and Hilbert-type inequalities*, Science Press, Beijing, 2009.
- [14] Q. Chen, Y. Hong, B. C. Yang, *A more accurate extended Hardy- Hilbert's inequality with parameters*, J. Math. Inequal., 16 (2022), 1075-1089.
- [15] M. Th. Rassias, B. C. Yang, *A Hilbert-type integral inequality in the whole plane related to the hypergeometric function and the beta function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 428 (2015), 1286-1308.
- [16] M. Th. Rassias, B. C. Yang, *On a Hilbert-type integral inequality in the whole plane related to the extended Riemann zeta function*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, 13 (2019), 1765-1782.
- [17] M. Th. Rassias, B. C. Yang, *On an equivalent property of a reverse Hilbert-type integral inequality related to the extended Hurwitz-Zeta function*, J. Math. Inequal., 13 (2019), 315-334.
- [18] M. Th. Rassias, B. C. Yang, A. Raigorodskii, *On a more accurate reverse Hilbert-type inequality in the whole plane*, J. Math. Inequal., 14 (2020), 1359-1374.
- [19] Y. Hong, B. He, B. C. Yang, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for the validity of Hilbert-type inequalities with a class of quasi-homogeneous kernels and its applications in operator theory*, J. Math. Inequal., 12 (2018), 777-788.
- [20] H. M. Mo, B. C. Yang, *On a new Hilbert-type integral inequality involving the upper limit functions*, J. Inequal. Appl., 2020 (2020), 5.
- [21] Q. Liu, *A Hilbert-type integral inequality under configuring free power and its applications*, J. Inequal. Appl., 2019 (2019), 91.

- [22] M. H. You, X. Sun, *On a Hilbert-type inequality with the kernel involving extended Hardy operator*, J. Math. Inequal., 15 (2021), 1239-1253.
- [23] M. H. You, F. Dong, Z. H. He, *A Hilbert-type inequality in the whole plane with the constant factor related to some special constants*, J. Math. Inequal., 16 (2022), 35-50.
- [24] B. C. Yang, *A mixed Hilbert-type inequality with a best constant factor*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 20 (2005), 319-328.
- [25] M. Th. Rassias, B. C. Yang, *On half-discrete Hilbert's inequality*, Appl. Math. Comp., 220 (2013), 75-93.
- [26] M. Th. Rassias, B. C. Yang, A. Raigorodskii, *On a half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality in the whole plane with the kernel of hyperbolic secant function related to the Hurwitz zeta function*, In: Trigonometric Sums and their Applications, Springer, 2020, 229-259.
- [27] B. He, B. C. Yang, Q. Chen, *A new multiple half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality with parameters and a best possible constant factor*, Mediterr. J. Math., 2014.
- [28] B. C. Yang, S. H. Wu, A. Z. Wang, *On a reverse half-discrete Hardy-Hilbert's inequality with parameters*, Mathematics, 2019.
- [29] M. Krnić, J. Pečarić, P. Vuković, *A unified treatment of half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities with a homogeneous kernel*, Mediterr. J. Math., 10 (2013), 1697-1716.
- [30] V. Adiyasuren, T. Batbold, M. Krnić, *Half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities with mean operators, the best constants, and applications*, Appl. Math. Comput., 231 (2014), 148-159.
- [31] B. C. Yang, Q. Chen, *A Hilbert-type integral inequality related to Riemann Zeta function*, J. Jilin Univ. Sci., 52 (2014), 869-872.
- [32] M. H. You, *On Hilbert-type inequality of non-homogeneous kernel in the whole plane*, J. Wenzhou Univ. Natur. Sci. Ed., 40 (2019), 10-16.

Accepted: March 30, 2023