

## A note on dimensions in N-groups

**Satyanarayana Bhavanari**

*Department of Mathematics  
Acharya Nagarjuna University  
Nagarjuna Nagar-522510  
India  
bhavanari2002@yahoo.co.in*

**Syam Prasad Kuncham\***

*Department of Mathematics  
Manipal Institute of Technology  
Manipal Academy of Higher Education  
Manipal-576104  
India  
kunchamsyamprasad@gmail.com  
syamprasad.k@manipal.edu*

**Venugopala Rao Paruchuri**

*Department of Mathematics  
Andhra Loyola College (Autonomous)  
Vijayawada-520008  
India  
venugopalparuchuri@gmail.com*

**Mallikarjuna Bhavanari**

*Institute of Energy Engineering  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
National Central University Jhongli  
Taoyuan, TAIWAN 32001  
R.O.C.  
bhavanarim@yahoo.co.in*

**Abstract.** The concepts essential and finite dimension played an important role in the development of the dimension theory of modules over rings. Finite dimension, essential, strictly essential, and some related concepts were studied in nearrings and N-groups by Reddy-Satyanarayana [11], Satyanarayana [14, 20], Satyanarayana-Syam Prasad [22, 23, 24, 25]. In this paper, the authors introduced the concept finite 1-dimension and studied the relationship between finite dimension and finite 1-dimension. Some related examples are also provided.

**Keywords:** nearring, N-Group, essential ideal, uniform ideal, finite dimension.

---

\*. Corresponding author

## 1. Introduction

A nearring is a set  $N$  together with two binary operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  such that

- (1)  $(N, +)$  is a group (not necessarily abelian)
- (2)  $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$ ,
- (3)  $(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$  for all  $a, b, c \in N$ .

In view of (3),  $N$  satisfies the right distributive law, and so it is called a right nearring. It is evident that  $0 \cdot n = 0$  for all  $n \in N$ . However,  $n \cdot 0$  need not be equal to 0, in general. We denote  $N_0 = \{n \in N : n \cdot 0 = 0\}$ , the zero-symmetric part of the right nearring. If  $N = N_0$  then we say that the nearring  $N$  is zero-symmetric.

Let  $(G, +)$  be a group. By an  $N$ -group, we mean a mapping  $N \times G \rightarrow G$  (the image of  $(n, g) \in N \times G$  is denoted by  $ng$ ), satisfying the following two conditions

- (1)  $(n + n^1)g = ng + n^1g$ , and
- (2)  $(nn^1)g = n(n^1g)$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $n, n^1 \in N$ .

We will denote this  $N$ -group by  ${}_N G$  or simply by  $G$ . For preliminary definitions and results we refer to Pilz [8], and Satyanarayana-Syam Prasad [29]. An ideal  $I$  of  $G$  is said to be essential (strictly essential, resp.) in an ideal  $J$  of  $G$  if it satisfies the condition:  $K$  is an ideal ( $N$ -subgroup of  $G$ , resp.) of  $G$ ,  $I \cap K = (0)$ ,  $K \subseteq J$  imply  $K = (0)$ .

## 2. Finite dimension in $N$ -Groups

In this section we introduce the concept “ $N$ -group with finite dimension” and provide few examples for such type of  $N$ -group.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $H$  be an ideal of  $G$ . Then  $H$  is said to have finite dimension if for any increasing chain  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$  of ideals of  $G$  contained in  $H$ , there corresponds a positive integer  $k$  such that  $A_i$  is essential in  $A_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq k$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([14]). Let  $H$  be an ideal of  $G$ . Then  $H$  is said to have Finite Goldie dimension (FGD, in short) if  $H$  do not contain an infinite number of non-zero ideals of  $G$  whose sum is direct.

**Theorem 2.1** ([14]). *Let  $H$  be an ideal of  $G$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $H$  has finite Goldie dimension.
- (ii) For any increasing sequence  $H_0 \subseteq H_1 \subseteq \dots$  of ideals of  $G$  with each  $H_i \subseteq H$ , there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $H_i$  is essential in  $H_{i+1}$  for  $i \geq k$ .

**Note 2.1.** From Theorem 2.1 we conclude that the following two conditions are equivalent for an ideal  $H$ .

- (i)  $H$  have finite dimension.
- (ii)  $H$  has finite Goldie dimension.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Every finite  $N$ -group  $G$  must have finite dimension.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a finite  $N$ -group. Then the number of elements in  $G$  is finite. Let  $k = |G|$ . In a contrary way, suppose that  $G$  does not have finite dimension. Then there exists an ascending infinite sequence  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$  of ideals of  $G$  such that for any positive integer  $n$ , there exist an integer  $i$  such that  $i \geq n$  and  $A_i$  is not essential in  $A_{n_i+1}$ . Now we use mathematical induction to create an infinite number of elements in  $G$ . For  $n = 1$ , there exists an integer  $i_1$  such that  $A_{i_1}$  is not essential in  $A_{i_1+1}$ . Now it is clear that  $A_{i_1} \subsetneq A_{i_1+1}$  and so there exists  $a_1 \in A_{i_1+1} \setminus A_{i_1}$ . For  $n = i_1 + 1$  there exist an integer  $i_2$  such that  $i_2 \geq i_1 + 1$  and  $A_{i_2}$  is not essential in  $A_{i_2+1}$ . It is clear that  $A_{i_2} \subsetneq A_{i_2+1}$  and so there exist  $a_2 \in A_{i_2+1} \setminus A_{i_2}$ . Note that  $i_2 \geq i_1 + 1 \geq i_1$ . So  $A_{i_1} \subseteq A_{i_1+1} \subseteq A_{i_2} \subseteq A_{i_2+1}$ . Since  $a_2 \in A_{i_2+1} \setminus A_{i_2}$  and  $a_1 \in A_{i_1+1} \subseteq A_{i_2}$ , it follows that  $a_1 \neq a_2$ . After selecting  $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_s$ , we select  $i_{s+1}$  as follows: For  $n = i_s$ , there exists an integer  $i_{(s+1)}$  such that  $i_{(s+1)} \geq n = i_s$  and  $A_{i_{(s+1)}}$  is not essential in  $A_{i_{(s+1)}+1}$ . It is clear that  $A_{i_{(s+1)}} \subsetneq A_{i_{(s+1)}+1}$  and so there exist  $a_{s+1} \in A_{i_{(s+1)}+1} \setminus A_{i_{(s+1)}}$  with  $a_{s+1} \notin \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s\}$ . By mathematical induction we get an infinite number of elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s, \dots$  in  $G$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $G$  is a finite set. □

**Proposition 2.2.** *Every  $N$ -group with ascending chain condition on its ideals must have finite dimension.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be an  $N$ -group with ACC on its ideals. Let  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$  be an increasing chain of ideals of  $G$ . Since  $G$  have ACC on its ideals, this chain  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$  must be stationary after some stage. That is, there exists a positive integer  $s$  such that  $A_s = A_{s+1} = A_{s+2} = \dots$ . Now it is clear that  $A_i$  is essential in  $A_{i+1}$  for  $i \geq s$ . Hence  $G$  has finite dimension. □

**Definition 2.3** ([11]). An  $N$ -group  $G$  is said to be completely reducible if  $G$  is equal to the sum of all of its simple ideals. If  $G$  contains infinite number of simple ideals whose sum is direct, then  $G$  has no finite dimension. In this case dimension of  $G$  is infinite.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Every  $N$ -group  $G$  which is completely reducible and which can be written a finite sum of simple ideals of  $G$ , must have finite dimension.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be an  $N$ -group which is completely reducible and which can be written as finite sum of simple ideals of  $G$ . Suppose  $G = H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \dots \oplus H_s$  where  $s$  is a positive integer and  $H_i, 1 \leq i \leq s$  are ideals of  $G$ .

Write  $B_i = H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \dots \oplus H_s$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Since the sum is direct and by Theorem 2.3.5 of Satyanarayana-Syam Prasad [29] we get  $B_{i+1}/B_i =$

$\frac{(H_1 + \dots + H_{i+1})}{(H_1 + \dots + H_i)} \cong \frac{H_{i+1}}{(H_1 + \dots + H_i) \cap H_{i+1}} \cong \frac{H_{i+1}}{(0)} \cong H_{i+1}$ . Hence by Proposition 2.38 of Pilz [8], we get that  $(0) \subseteq B_1 \subseteq B_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq B_s = G$  is a composition series of  $G$ . Since this composition series is finite, by Theorem 2.41 of Pilz [8],  $G$  has ACC and DCC on its ideals. Now by Proposition 2.2, we have that  $G$  have finite dimension.  $\square$

**Note 2.2.** Every module  $M$  over a ring  $R$  with finite Goldie dimension is an  $N$ -group  $G$  with finite dimension, by considering  $R$  as a nearring  $N$  and  $M$  as  $G$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *If  $G$  has finite dimension, then every ideal  $H$  of  $G$  must have finite dimension.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $G$  has finite dimension. let  $H$  be an ideal of  $G$ . To verify that  $H$  have finite dimension, let  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$  be an infinite sequence of ideals of  $G$  contained in  $H$ . Since  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$  is an ascending chain of ideals in  $G$  and  $G$  have finite dimension, we can get a positive integer  $s$  such that  $A_i$  is essential in  $A_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq s$ . This sows that  $H$  have finite dimension.  $\square$

### 3. Finite 1-dimension in $N$ -groups

In this section, we study the concept strictly essential ideal of an  $N$ -group  $G$  and study finite 1-dimension in  $N$ -groups. We also observe the relationship between finite dimension studied in section-1 and finite 1-dimension.

**Definition 3.1** ([17]). An ideal  $H$  of  $G$  is said to be strictly essential in  $G$  if  $H \cap A = (0)$ ,  $A$  is an  $N$ -subgroup of  $G$  imply  $A = (0)$ .

(ii) Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two ideals of  $G$  such that  $H_1 \subseteq H_2$ . Then  $H_1$  is said to be strictly essential (with respect to  $H_2$ ) in  $H_2$  if  $H_1 \cap A = (0)$ ,  $A \subseteq H_2$ ,  $A$  is an  $N$ -subgroup of  $H_2$  imply  $A = (0)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $N$  is a zero-symmetric nearring.*

(i) *Every strictly essential ideal of  $G$  is an essential ideal of  $G$ .*

(ii) *Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two ideals of  $G$  such that  $H_1 \subseteq H_2$ . Then “ $H_1$  is strictly essential in  $H_2$ ” (with respect to  $H_2$ ) imply that “ $H_1$  is essential in  $H_2$ ” (with respect to  $H_2$ ) when we consider  $H_2$  as  $N$ -group. Hence  $H_1$  is also essential in  $H_2$ .*

**Proof.** (i) Let  $H$  be a strictly essential ideal of  $G$ . To verify that  $H$  is an essential ideal, take an ideal  $A$  of  $G$  such that  $H \cap A = (0)$ . Since  $N$  is a zero symmetric, by Proposition 1.34 (b) of Pilz [8], we have  $A$  is an  $N$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $H \cap A = (0)$ ,  $A$  is an  $N$ -subgroup, and  $H$  is strictly essential, it follows that  $A = (0)$ . Therefore,  $H$  is an essential ideal of  $G$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $H_1$  is strictly essential in  $H_2$ . Now we wish to verify that  $H_1$  is essential in  $H_2$ . Suppose  $H_1 \cap A = (0)$ ,  $A$  is an ideal of  $H_2$  (consider  $H_2$  as  $N$ -group). Note that  $N$  is a zero symmetric nearring,  $A$  is an  $N$ -subgroup

of  $H_2$ . Now, since  $H_1 \cap A = (0)$ ,  $A$  is an  $N$ -subgroup of  $H_2$  and  $H_1$  is strictly essential in  $H_2$ , it follows that  $A = (0)$ . This shows that “ $H_1$  is essential in  $H_2$ ”.  $\square$

The following example shows that every essential ideal of  $G$  need not be strictly essential.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $G$  be the symmetric group (written additively) on three elements. Then  $G$  can be considered as a  $N$ -group, where  $N = Z$ , the nearring of integers.

(i) Let  $P$  be the alternating subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $P$  is only the proper ideal of  $G$ . So  $P$  is essential in  $G$ .

(ii) Note that  $P = \{f, f^2, e\}$ , where  $f = (123) = (12)(13)$ ;  $f^2 = (132) = (13)(12)$ ;  $f^3 = e = (1)$ . Write  $Q = \{g, e\}$  where  $g = (12)$ . Then  $g^2 = e$ . So  $Q$  is an  $N$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

(iii) Since  $P \cap Q = (0)$ ,  $Q$  is an  $N$ -subgroup of  $G$  such that  $Q \neq (0)$ , it follows that  $P$  is not strictly essential in  $G$ .

**Note 3.1.** From the Example 3.1, we conclude that, in general, every essential ideal need not be a strictly essential ideal.

**Definition 3.2.** An ideal  $H$  of  $G$  is said to have finite 1-dimension, if for any increasing chain  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$  of ideals of  $G$  contained in  $H$  there exists a positive integer  $i$  such that  $A_i$  is strictly essential in  $A_{i+1}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $N = N_0$  and  $H$  be an ideal of  $G$ . If  $H$  have finite 1-dimension, then  $H$  must have finite dimension.

**Proof.** Suppose  $H$  is having finite 1-dimension. To verify that  $H$  have finite dimension, take an increasing sequence  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$  of ideals of  $G$  contained in  $H$ . Since  $H$  have finite 1-dimension, we can conclude that there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that  $A_i$  is strictly essential in  $A_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq k$ . By Lemma 3.1 (ii), we conclude that  $A_i$  is essential in  $A_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq k$ . Thus we have proved that given an increasing sequence  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$  of ideals of  $G$  contained in  $H$ , there corresponds a positive integer  $k$  such that  $A_i$  is essential in  $A_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq k$ . Hence  $H$  has finite dimension.  $\square$

**Example 3.2.** As in Example 3.1, let  $G$  be the symmetric group (written additively) on three symbols 1, 2 and 3. Then  $G$  can be considered as an  $N$ -group where  $N = Z$ , the nearring of integers. Let  $P$  be the alternating subgroup and  $Q$  be the subgroup  $\{g, e\}$ , as given in Example 3.1.

(i) Write  $G_i = G, P_i = P, Q_i = Q$  for all positive integers  $i$ . Consider the direct sum  $G$  of the  $N$ -groups  $\{G_i\}_{i \in Z^+}$ .

Then  $G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \dots$

(ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i \subseteq G_1 \oplus \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} P_i \subseteq G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} P_i \subseteq \dots$  is an increasing sequence of ideals of  $G$ .

(iii) Since  $P_1$  is essential in  $G_1$ , we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i = P_1 \oplus \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} P_i$  is essential in  $G_1 \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i$ . Since  $P_2$  is essential in  $G_2$ , we have that  $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} P_i = P_2 \oplus \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} P_i$  is essential in  $G_2 \oplus \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} P_i$ , and so  $G_1 \oplus \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} P_i$  is essential in  $G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} P_i$ .

In this way we can verify that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \dots \oplus G_n \oplus \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} P_i$  is essential in the next term  $G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \dots \oplus G_n \oplus G_{n+1} \oplus \sum_{i=n+2}^{\infty} P_i$ . So the sequence considered satisfies the property given in the definition “finite dimension”.

(iv) Since  $P_1 \cap Q_1 = (0)$ ,  $Q_1$  is an  $N$ -subgroup of  $G_1$  and  $Q_1 \neq (0)$ , we have that  $P_1$  is not strictly essential in  $G_1$ .

Since  $(P_1 \oplus \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} P_i) \cap Q_1 = (0)$ ,  $Q_1 \neq (0)$ ,  $Q_1$  is an  $N$ -subgroup of  $G_1 \oplus \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} P_i$ , it follows that  $P_1 \oplus \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} P_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i$ , is not essential in  $G_1 \oplus \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} P_i$ .

(v) Since  $P_2 \cap Q_2 = (0)$ ,  $Q_2$  is an  $N$ -subgroup of  $G_2$  and  $Q_2 \neq (0)$ , we have that  $P_2$  is not strictly essential in  $G_2$ .

Since  $(P_2 \oplus \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} P_i) \cap Q_2 = (0)$ ,  $Q_2 \neq (0)$ ,  $Q_2$  is an  $N$ -subgroup of  $G_2 \oplus \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} P_i$ , it follows that  $P_2 \oplus \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} P_i$ , is not essential in  $G_2 \oplus \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} P_i$ , and hence  $G_1 \oplus \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} P_i = G_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} P_i$  is not essential in  $G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} P_i$ .

(vi) If we continue as in (iv) and (v), we can understand that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $G_1 \oplus \dots \oplus G_n \oplus \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} P_i$  is not strictly essential in the next term  $G_1 \oplus \dots \oplus G_n \oplus G_{n+1} \oplus \sum_{i=n+2}^{\infty} P_i$ .

So this sequence considered does not satisfy the property given in the definition “finite 1-dimension”.

(vii) From the discussion in (vi), we can conclude that  $G$  does not have finite 1-dimension.

(viii) Now we verify that  $G$  does not have finite dimension.

Consider the increasing sequence  $P_1 \subseteq P_1 \oplus P_2 \subseteq P_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus P_3 \subseteq \dots$  of ideals of  $G$ . It is clear that  $P_1 \oplus \dots \oplus P_s$  is not essential in  $P_1 \oplus \dots \oplus P_s \oplus P_{s+1}$ , since  $(P_1 \oplus \dots \oplus P_s) \cap P_{s+1} = (0)$  and  $P_{s+1} \neq (0)$ . Thus  $G$  does not have finite dimension.

**Example 3.3.** If  $G$  is an  $N$ -group satisfying  $DCC$  on its ideals, then  $G$  must have finite 1-dimension. Let  $G$  be an  $N$ -group satisfying  $DCC$  on its ideals. Let  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$  be an increasing sequence of ideals of  $G$ . Since  $G$  has  $DCC$  on its ideals, there exists a positive integer  $s$  such that  $A_s = A_{s+1} = \dots$ . Now  $A_{s+t}$  is strictly essential in  $A_{s+t+1}$  for all  $t \geq 1$ . Now we verified that for any increasing sequence of ideals  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$ , there exists a positive integer  $s$  such that  $A_i$  is strictly essential in  $A_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq s$ . So we can conclude that  $G$  have the finite 1-dimension.

**Example 3.4.** A routine verification shows that every finite  $N$ -group  $G$  must have the finite 1-dimension.

**Example 3.5.** Every module with finite Goldie dimension must have finite 1-dimension.

For this, take a module  $M$  over a ring  $R$ . The ideals and  $N$ -subgroups of  $G = M$  (as an  $N$ -group over  $N = R$ ) coincides (as  $M$  is a module). So

the concepts “finite dimension” and “finite 1-dimension” coincides for modules. By Note 2.2, we know that  $M$  have finite dimension. Hence  $M$  has finite 1-dimension.

### Acknowledgments

The first author acknowledges Acharya Nagarjuna University, the second author acknowledges Manipal Institute of Technology, Manipal Academy of Higher Education (Deemed to be University), the third author acknowledges Andhra Loyola College (Autonomous) for their kind support and encouragement.

### References

- [1] F.W. Anderson, K.R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, SpringerVerlag, New York, 1974.
- [2] V. Camillo, J. Zelmanowitz, *On the dimension of a sum of modules*, Communications in Algebra, 6 (1978), 345-352.
- [3] V. Camillo, J. Zelmanowitz, *Dimension modules*, Pacific Jr. Math., 91 (1980), 249- 261.
- [4] C.C. Ferrero, G. Ferrero, *Nearrings: some developments linked to semi-groups and groups*, The Netherlands, Amsterdam, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002.
- [5] P. Fleury, *A note on dualizing goldie dimension*, Canadian. Math. Bull., 17 (1974).
- [6] J.D.P. Meldrum, *Near-rings and their links with groups*, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston-London-Melbourne, 1985.
- [7] A. Oswald, *Near-rings in which every N-group is prinicpal*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 28 (1974), 67-88.
- [8] G. Pilz, *Nearrings*, North Holland, 1983.
- [9] Y.V. Reddy, Bh. Satyanarayana, *The f-prime radical in near-rings*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., 17 (1986), 327-330.
- [10] Reddy Y.V., Bh. Satyanarayana, *A note on modules*, Proc. Japan Academy, 63 (1987), 208-211.
- [11] Reddy Y.V., Bh. Satyanarayana, *A note on N-groups*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., 19 (1988), 842-845.
- [12] Bh. Satyanarayana, *Tertiary decomposition in noetherian N-groups*, Communications in Algebra, 10 (1982), 1951-1963.

- [13] Bh. Satyanarayana, *Primary decomposition in noetherian near rings*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., 15 (1984), 127-130.
- [14] Bh. Satyanarayana, *Contributions to nearring theory*, Doctoral Dissertation, Acharya Nagarjuna University, 1984.
- [15] Bh. Satyanarayana, *A Note on E-direct and S-inverse systems*, Proc. of the Japan Academy, 64-A (1988), 292-295.
- [16] Bh. Satyanarayana, *The injective hull of a module with FGD*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., 20 (1989), 874-883.
- [17] Bh. Satyanarayana, *Modules with finite spanning dimension*, J. Austral. Math. Society. (Series A), 57 (1994), 170-178.
- [18] Bh. Satyanarayana, *On modules with finite goldie dimension*, J. Ramanujan Math. Society., 5 (1990), 61-75.
- [19] Bh. Satyanarayana, *On essential E-irreducible submodules*, Proc., 4th Ramanujan Symposium on Algebra and its Applications, University of Madras, Feb 1-3 (1995), 127-129.
- [20] Bh. Satyanarayana, *Contributions to near-ring theory*, VDM Verlag Dr Muller, Germany, 2010.
- [21] Bh. Satyanarayana, Rao G. Koteswara, *On a class of modules and N-groups*, Journal of Indian Math. Society., 59 (1993), 3944.
- [22] Bh. Satyanarayana, K. Syam Prasad, *A result on E-direct systems in N-groups*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., 29 (1998), 285-287.
- [23] Bh. Satyanarayana, K. Syam Prasad, *On direct and inverse systems in N-groups*, Indian J. Math. (BN Prasad Birth Commemoration Volume), 42 (2000), 183-192.
- [24] Bh. Satyanarayana, K. Syam Prasad, *Linearly independent elements in N-groups with finite Goldie dimension*, Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society, 42 (2005), 433-441.
- [25] Bh. Satyanarayana, K. Syam Prasad, *On finite goldie dimension of  $M_n(N) - groupN^n$  nearrings and nearfields* (Editors: Hubert Kiechle, Alexander Kreuzer and Momme Johs Thomsen) (2005), (Proc. 18th International Conference on Nearrings and Nearfields, Universitat Bundeswar, Hamburg, Germany July 27-Aug 03, 2003), Springer Verlag, Netherlands, 2005, 301-310.
- [26] Bh. Satyanarayana, K. Syam Prasad, *Discrete mathematics and graph theory*, Prentice Hall India Learning Ltd., 2014.

- [27] Bh. Satyanarayana, K. Syam Prasad, D. Nagaraju, *A theorem on modules with finite Goldie dimension*, Soochow Journal of Mathematics, 32 (2006), 311-315.
- [28] K. Syam Prasad, Bh. Satyanarayana, *Finite dimension in N-groups and fuzzy ideals of gamma nearrings*, VDM Verlag, Germany, 2011.
- [29] Bh. Satyanarayana, and Syam Prasad K. Near Rings, *Fuzzy ideals, and graph theory*, Chapman and Hall, (2013), Taylor and Francis Group (London, New York).
- [30] K. Syam Prasad, *Contributions to nearring theory II*, Doctoral Dissertation, Acharya Nagarjuna University, India, 2000.
- [31] K. Syam Prasad, K.B. Srinivas, P.K. Harikrishnan, Bh. Satyanarayana (Editors), *Nearrings, nearfields and related topics*, Review Volume, World Scientific (Singapore), 2017.

Accepted: 28.05.2019