

Further properties of Hurwitz series rings and Hurwitz polynomials rings

Hani Kawariq*

*Department of Basic Sciences
Al-Ahliyya Amman University
Amman
Jordan
h.qwareeq@ammanu.edu.jo*

Hassan Al-Ezeh

*Department of Mathematics
University of Jordan
Amman
Jordan
alezehh@ju.edu.jo*

Abstract. For a commutative ring with unity R , a commutative ring is defined called the ring of Hurwitz series, HR . As a subring of this ring, HR , the ring of Hurwitz polynomials is defined, hR . In this paper, we characterize pure ideals in the ring hR . Then we characterize when the ring hR is an almost PP -ring and a PF -ring. Finally, for the ring R satisfying $x^n = x$ for a fixed positive integer n , we prove that every prime ideal of the rings $HR(hR)$ is maximal and so their spectrum is completely characterized.

Keywords: Hurwitz series ring, Hurwitz polynomial ring, pure ideal, PF -ring, almost PP -ring, spectrum of Hurwitz series ring, spectrum of Hurwitz polynomial ring, Zariski spectrum.

1. Introduction

All rings considered in this paper are commutative with unity $1 \neq 0$. For a ring R , a new ring, HR , was defined in Keigher [13] as follows:

HR is the set of all infinite sequences of elements of R , (a_n) , together with operations

$$\begin{aligned} (a_n) + (b_n) &= (a_n + b_n), \\ (a_n) \cdot (b_n) &= (c_n), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_n = \sum_{h+k=n} \binom{n}{h} a_h b_k$, where $\binom{n}{h}$ is the binomial coefficient.

Now, HR is a commutative ring with unity $(1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$. This ring is called the ring of Hurwitz series over the ring R . Let

$$hR = \{(a_n) : a_n = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq k \text{ for some } k\}.$$

*. Corresponding author

Then this is a subring of HR , called the ring of Hurwitz polynomials over R . The algebraic structure of this ring HR was studied by several authors, e.g Keigher [13], Benhissi [6, 7, 8], Abu Dayah [1]. Our aim is to study some properties of the ring of Hurwitz polynomials, hR .

Notice that two ring homomorphisms can be defined : $\varepsilon : HR \rightarrow R$ defined by $\varepsilon((a_n)) = a_0$ and $\lambda : R \rightarrow HR$ defined by $\lambda(a) = (a, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$.

Also, R is called a differential ring with a derivation $d : R \rightarrow R$ if the derivation satisfies Leibniz rule $d(ab) = a d(b) + d(a) b$ for all $a, b \in R$. An ideal I is differential ideal of R , if for every $x \in I$, $d(x) \in I$. HR is a differential ring with a derivation $d : HR \rightarrow HR$, $d((a_n)) = (a_{n+1})$ for every $(a_n) \in HR$.

Recall that an ideal I of a ring R is called pure ideal if for $a \in I$, there exists $b \in I$ such that $a.b = a$. Pure ideals are interesting because they classify important families of rings, e.g. Von Neumann rings and PF -rings, see Al-Ezeh [2, 3, 4, 5], Jondrup [12], DeMarco [10]. Also, a ring $R \in \mathcal{C}$, if for any pure ideal I in R , I is generated by a family of idempotents. Moreover, every pure ideal is a differential ideal.

In this paper we characterize pure ideals in the ring hR and give its relationship to pure ideals of the ring R .

A ring R is called a PF -ring if every principal ideal of R is a flat R -module. These rings were studied by several authors. Al-Ezeh proved in [4] that a ring R is a PF -ring if and only if the annihilator of each $a \in R$, $ann_R(a)$, is a pure ideal in R . We characterize in this paper when the ring hR is a PF -ring. Recall that a ring R is called an almost PP -ring if the annihilator of each $a \in R$, $ann_R(a)$, is generated by a set of idempotents. These rings were studied in literature as a generalization of PP -rings, i.e. rings in which every principal ideal is a projective R -module, see Al-Ezeh [5] and Burgess [9]. In this paper, we prove that hR is an almost PP -ring if and only if R is an almost PP -ring and R is a torsion free. Moreover, we prove that $hR \in \mathcal{C}$

Finally, for the ring R satisfying $x^n = x$ for some positive integer $n \geq 2$, we characterize the Zariski spectrum of the ring, hR .

2. Pure ideals in hR ring

Note that $HR(hR)$ means the ring HR or hR . Abu Deyah [1] has given a partial characterization of the pure ideals in HR . In this section we characterize the pure ideals in a ring hR .

Theorem 2.1. *Let I be an ideal in a ring hR . Then I is pure ideal in hR if and only if $I = [\lambda(\varepsilon(I))]$ and $\varepsilon(I)$ is pure ideal in R .*

Proof. Suppose that I is pure ideal in hR . Let $(a_n) = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \in I$. But I is a pure ideal, so there exists $(b_m) = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m) \in I$ such that

$(a_n)(b_m) = (a_n)$. Now, a_0 and b_0 in $\varepsilon(I)$, so we have inductively

$$\begin{cases} a_1 = a_0b_1 + a_1b_0 \in \varepsilon(I) \\ a_2 = a_0b_2 + 2a_1b_1 + a_2b_0 \in \varepsilon(I) \\ \vdots \\ a_n = a_0b_n + \dots + a_nb_0 \in \varepsilon(I). \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\lambda(a_0), \lambda(a_1), \dots, \lambda(a_n) \in \lambda(\varepsilon(I))$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{cases} \lambda(a_0) = \lambda(a_0)(1) \in [\lambda(\varepsilon(I))] \\ (0, a_1) = \lambda(a_1)(0, 1) \in [\lambda(\varepsilon(I))] \\ \vdots \\ (0, 0, \dots, a_n) = \lambda(a_n)(0, \dots, 1) \in [\lambda(\varepsilon(I))]. \end{cases}$$

So, $(a_n) = \lambda(a_0) + (0, a_1) + \dots + (0, 0, \dots, a_n) \in [\lambda(\varepsilon(I))]$ and hence $I \subseteq [\lambda(\varepsilon(I))]$. Now let $\alpha \in \varepsilon(I)$ such that $\lambda(\alpha)$ is any generator for the ideal $[\lambda(\varepsilon(I))]$. So there exist $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in R$ such that $(\alpha, t_1, \dots, t_n) \in I$. Let $\overline{\alpha}_n = (\alpha, t_1, \dots, t_n)$. However, since I is pure ideal, I is a differential ideal. Thus, inductively we get

$$\begin{cases} d(\overline{\alpha}_n) = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in I \\ d^2(\overline{\alpha}_n) = (t_2, \dots, t_n) \in I \\ \vdots \\ d^n(\overline{\alpha}_n) = \lambda(t_n) \in I. \end{cases}$$

So, $(0, 0, \dots, t_n) = \lambda(t_n)(0, 0, \dots, 1) \in I$. Hence, $\overline{\alpha}_{n-1} = \overline{\alpha}_n - (0, 0, \dots, t_n) \in I$. In the same way we can get $\overline{\alpha}_{n-2}, \overline{\alpha}_{n-3}, \dots, \overline{\alpha}_0$ in I . But $\overline{\alpha}_0 = \lambda(\alpha) \in I$. Therefore $I = [\lambda(\varepsilon(I))]$.

The other side of the theorem can be proved using the fact if $S \subseteq T$ two rings such that I is a pure ideal in S , then the ideal generated by $I, [I]$, is pure in T . □

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose that I is an ideal in hR such that for any $(a_n) = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \in I$ we have $a_0 = 0$. Then*

1. $I = \{0\}$ if I is a pure ideal.
2. I is never pure ideal if there exist at least $a_i \neq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. Clear from previous theorem. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(a_n) = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \in hR$. Then $I = \text{ann}_{hR}((a_n))$ is pure in hR if and only if $J = \text{ann}_R(\{a_0, \dots, a_n\})$ is pure in R and for any $(b_m) \in I, \lambda(b_i) \in I, i = 0, \dots, m$.*

Proof. Let $b \in J$, so $ba_i = 0, i = 0, \dots, n$. This implies $\lambda(b) \in I$. But I is pure ideal, so there exists $(c_0, \dots, c_m) \in I$ such that $\lambda(b)(c_0, \dots, c_m) = \lambda(b)$. Hence $bc_0 = b$. From Theorem 2.1, $c_0 \in \varepsilon(I)$ and $\lambda(c_0) \in I$. Thus $c_0a_i = 0, i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Therefore $c_0b = b$ and $c_0 \in J$.

Conversely, let $(b_m) = (b_0, \dots, b_m) \in I$. By assumption $\lambda(b_i) \in I, i = 0, \dots, m$. So $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m \in J$. But J is pure, so there exist $w_i \in J$ such that $b_iw_i = b_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, m$. So, there exists $\hat{w} \in J$ such that $b_i\hat{w} = b_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Also, $\lambda(\hat{w}) \in I$ and $(b_0, \dots, b_m)\lambda(\hat{w}) = (b_0, \dots, b_m)$. \square

3. When HR and hR are almost PP-rings (PF-rings)

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $hR(HR)$ is an almost PP-ring. Then R is an almost PP-ring and R is a torsion free as \mathbb{Z} -module.*

Proof. Let $a \in R$. Suppose that $r \in \text{ann}_R(a)$. Now $\text{ann}_R(a) \subseteq \text{ann}_{hR}(\lambda(a))$, so $\lambda(r) \in \text{ann}_{hR}(\lambda(a))$. However hR is an almost PP-ring, so from [2] there exist $e \in \text{Bool}(hR)$ with $re = e$ and hence R is an almost PP-ring. Also, since hR is an almost PP-ring, then hR is a PF-ring. From [7] R is torsion free.

Consequently, the same proof works for the ring HR . \square

Theorem 3.2. *The ring hR is an almost PP-ring if and only if R is an almost PP-ring and R is a torsion free as \mathbb{Z} -module.*

Proof. Let $f = (a_0, \dots, a_n) \in hR$ and suppose that $g = (b_0, \dots, b_m) \in \text{ann}_{hR}(f)$. From [7] we get $a_ib_j = 0, i = 0, \dots, n, j = 0, \dots, m$, so $\lambda(b_i) \in \text{ann}_{hR}(f)$. Hence $b_j \in \text{ann}_R(a_i), i = 0, \dots, n, j = 0, \dots, m$. But R is an almost PP-ring, so there exist

$$\begin{aligned} e_{0i} &\in \text{ann}_R(a_i) \text{ with } b_0e_{0i} = b_0, i = 0, \dots, n, \\ e_{1i} &\in \text{ann}_R(a_i) \text{ with } b_1e_{1i} = b_1, i = 0, \dots, n, \\ &\vdots \\ e_{mi} &\in \text{ann}_R(a_i) \text{ with } b_me_{mi} = b_m, i = 0, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

and $(e_{ki})^2 = e_{ki}, i = 0, \dots, n, k = 0, \dots, m$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{e}_0 &= e_{00}e_{01} \dots e_{0n}, \\ \bar{e}_1 &= e_{10}e_{11} \dots e_{1n}, \\ &\vdots \\ \bar{e}_m &= e_{m0}e_{m1} \dots e_{mn}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\bar{e}_j \in \text{ann}_R(a_i)$ and $\bar{e}_jb_j = b_j$, where $i = 0, \dots, n, j = 0, \dots, m$. So, there exist $\hat{e} \in \text{ann}_R(a_i), i = 0, \dots, n$ and $b_j\hat{e} = b_j, j = 0, \dots, m$. Hence $\lambda(\hat{e}) \in \text{ann}_{hR}(f)$ with $g\lambda(\hat{e}) = g$. Therefore, hR is an almost PP-ring. \square

Theorem 3.3. *The ring hR is a PF-ring if and only if for any $f = (a_0, \dots, a_n) \in hR$, we have $\text{ann}_R\{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$ is pure ideal in R and R is torsion free.*

Proof. Since for any $r \in R$, $\lambda(r) \in hR$, then $\text{ann}_R(r)$ is pure ideal in R . From [7], hR is a *PF*-ring. Conversely, suppose that hR is a *PF*-ring. Let $f = (a_0, \dots, a_n) \in hR$ and assume $r \in \text{ann}_R\{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$, so $\lambda(r) \in I = \text{ann}_{hR}(f)$. But I is pure ideal, so there exists $(b_0, \dots, b_m) \in I$ such that $\lambda(r)(b_0, \dots, b_m) = \lambda(r)$. This implies $rb_0 = r$ and $rb_1 = rb_2 = \dots = rb_m = 0$. From Lemma 2.3, we have $\lambda(b_i) \in I$ and hence $b_0 \in \text{ann}_R\{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$ with $b_0r = r$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that R be a Noetherian ring. Then HR is a *PF*-ring if and only if $\text{ann}_R\{a_0, a_1, \dots\}$ is pure ideal and R is a torsion free as \mathbb{Z} -moduel, for every $(a_0, a_1, \dots) \in HR$.*

Proof. Let $b \in \text{ann}_R\{a_0, a_1, \dots\}$, so $\lambda(b) \in \text{ann}_{HR}((a_0, a_1, \dots))$. But, HR is a *PF*-ring, so by [7] R is reduced and torsion free. Moreover, there exists $c \in R$ such that, $cb = c$ and $ca_i = 0, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, which implies $\text{ann}_R\{a_0, a_1, \dots\}$ is pure ideal.

Conversely, let $r \in R$, so $\text{ann}_R\{r\}$ is pure ideal. Since R is a torsion free and R is Noetherian, from [7] HR is a *PF*-ring. \square

Finally, we prove the following theorem :

Theorem 3.5. *If $R \in \mathcal{C}$, then $hR \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Let I be a pure in hR , so $\varepsilon(I)$ is pure ideal in R . However $R \in \mathcal{C}$. So $\varepsilon(I)$ is generated by idempotents say $\{e_i : i \in \Delta\}$. Thus $\{\lambda(e_i) : i \in \Delta\}$ is the set of idempotent generators for the ideal $[\lambda(\varepsilon(I))]$ in hR . But, $I = [\lambda(\varepsilon(I))]$ using Theorem 2.1. Hence I is generated by idempotents in hR which implies $hR \in \mathcal{C}$ \square

4. Some results on the ring $hR(HR)$ over a ring R satisfying $x^n = x$

In this section we only consider rings for every $x \in R$ we have $x^n = x$ for some fixed n unless otherwise stated. It is well known that R has a finite characteristic, R is reduced, and every prime ideal is maximal ideal, see [11].

The next theorem was mentioned without proof in [13]. The theorem was proved by Abu Dayah [1]. His proof is also true for the ring hR

Theorem 4.1. *Let R be a ring with $ch(R) = m$. So,*

1. *If P is prime ideal of $HR(hR)$, then $\varepsilon(P)$ is prime ideal of R .*
2. *If P is prime ideal of $HR(hR)$, then $P = \varepsilon^{-1}(\varepsilon(P))$.*

Lemma 4.2. *Let $x = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t, \dots) \in HR$ (or $x = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t) \in hR$). Then x is nilpotent if and only if $a_0 = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose $x^r = 0$ for some positive integer r . Then $a_0^r = 0$ and since R is reduced, $a_0 = 0$. Conversely, let $\text{char}(R) = m$, so $x^m = 0$ and hence x is nilpotent. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Every prime ideal in $hR(HR)$ is maximal ideal.*

Proof. Assume that $\text{char}(R) = m$ and suppose that P is a prime ideal in hR with

$$P \subseteq M \subseteq hR,$$

where M is an ideal in hR .

Case 1 : Every $x \in M$ has the form $x = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$. Now,

$$\varepsilon(P) \subseteq \varepsilon(M) \subseteq \varepsilon(hR).$$

However, $\varepsilon(M) = \{0\}$, so $\varepsilon(P) = \{0\}$. Since every prime ideal in R is maximal, then by Theorem 4.1, we have $\varepsilon(P) = \{0\}$ is a maximal ideal in R . So, R is a field and again using Theorem 4.1 we have $P = \varepsilon^{-1}(\{0\}) = M$.

Case 2 : M has an element $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_t)$ with $x_0 \neq 0$ and $x \notin P$.

So, $x^m = (x_0^m, 0, \dots, 0) \notin P$ and $x^m \in M$, hence $x_0^m \neq 0$. Let $y = \lambda(x_0^m)$, so $y^n = y$ and $y \notin P$. Thus,

$$0 = y - y^n = y(1 - y^{n-1}) \in P \subseteq M.$$

So, $1 - y^{n-1} \in P$. Hence $1 - y^{n-1} \in M$ and $y^{n-1} \in M$, so $M = hR$. Therefore P is maximal ideal in hR .

Case 3 : For each element $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_t)$ in M with $x_0 \neq 0$, we have $x_0 \in P$.

From the assumption, we get $\varepsilon(P) = \varepsilon(M)$. By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$M = \varepsilon^{-1}(\varepsilon(M)) = \varepsilon^{-1}(\varepsilon(P)) = P.$$

Consequently, the same proof works for the ring HR . □

Theorem 4.4.

$$\text{Spec}(HR) = \{\varepsilon^{-1}(P) : P \text{ is prime in } R\}.$$

Proof. Let P be a prime ideal in R . So, P is maximal ideal in R . Using [6], we get $\varepsilon^{-1}(P)$ is maximal in HR and hence it is prime in HR . Conversely, let Q be a prime ideal in $\text{Spec}(HR)$. By Theorem 4.1, $\varepsilon(Q)$ is a prime ideal in R . But every prime ideal in R is maximal, so using Theorem 4.1 and [6], $Q = \varepsilon^{-1}(\varepsilon(Q))$ and so it is maximal in HR . Therefore, $Q = \varepsilon^{-1}(P)$, $P = \varepsilon(Q)$ is prime in R . □

Theorem 4.5.

$$\text{Spec}(hR) = \{\varepsilon^{-1}(P) : P \text{ is prime in } R\}.$$

Proof. Note that if M is a maximal ideal in R . Then $\varepsilon^{-1}(M)$ is maximal in hR . Because, it is easy to see that $hR/\varepsilon^{-1}(M) \simeq R/M$. So we can use the same proof of Theorem 4.4. □

References

- [1] M. Abu Dayah, *Further properties of Hurwitz series ring*, Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, University of Jordan, Jordan, 2010.
- [2] H. Al-Ezeh, *On some properties of polynomials rings*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 14 (1987).
- [3] H. Al-Ezeh, *Pure ideals in commutative reduced Gelfand rings with unity*, Arch. Math., 53 (1989), 266-269.
- [4] H. Al-Ezeh, *Exchange PF-rings and almost PP-rings*, Mat. Vesnik, 42 (1990), 7780.
- [5] H. AL-Ezeh, *Some results on almost PP-rings*, Math. J. The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 16 (1991), 89-92.
- [6] A. Benhissi, *Ideal structure of Hurwitz series ring*, Contributions to Algebra and Geometry, 1 (2007), 251-256.
- [7] A. Benhissi, *PF and PP-properties in Hurwitz series ring*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie Tome, 54 (2011), 203211.
- [8] A. Benhissi, F. Koja, *Basic properties of Hurwitz series rings*, Ricerche mat., 61 (2012), 255-273.
- [9] W.D. Burgess and R. Raphael, *On commutative clean rings and pm rings*, a preprint.
- [10] G. DeMarco, *Projectivity of pure ideals*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, 68 (1983), 289-304.
- [11] N. Jacobson, *Structure theory for algebraic algebras of bounded degree*, Ann. of Math., 46 (1945), 695-707.
- [12] S. Jondrup, *Rings in which pure ideal are generated by idempotents*, Math. Scand., 30 (1972), 177-185.
- [13] F.W. Keigher, *On the ring of Hurwitz series*, Com. Algebra, 25 (1997), 1845-1859.

Accepted: 17.06.2019