

Fixed point results in $\alpha - \eta$ -complete metric spaces via w -distances

Haitham Qawaqneh

*Department of Mathematics
Al-Zaytoonah University of Jordan
Amman 11733
Jordan
2137@zuj.edu.jo*

Habes Alsamir

*School of Mathematical Sciences
Faculty of Science and Technology
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
43600 UKM, Selangor Darul Ehsan
Malaysia
h.alsamer@gmail.com*

Hassen Aydi*

*Université de Sousse
Institut Supérieur d'Informatique et des Techniques de Communication
H. Sousse 4000
Tunisia
hassen.aydi@isima.rnu.tn*

Mohd Salmi Md Noorani

*School of Mathematical Sciences
Faculty of Science and Technology
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
43600 UKM, Selangor Darul Ehsan
Malaysia
msn@ukm.my*

Wasfi Shatanawi

*Department of Mathematics and General Courses
Prince Sultan University
Riyadh 11586
Saudi Arabia
wshatanawi@psu.edu.sa*

Abstract. We introduce the concepts of $(\alpha, \eta, \mathfrak{F}, \psi, \phi, p)$ -rational contractions via w -distances. For such type contractions, we ensure the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point in the setting of $\alpha - \eta$ -complete metric spaces. An example is also given to support our given theorems. Our paper generalizes the work of Lakzian et al. [11] and several related articles in the literature.

*. Corresponding author

Keywords: $(\alpha, \eta, \mathfrak{F}, \psi, \phi, p)$ -rational contraction mapping, $\alpha - \eta$ -complete metric space, (c) -comparison function, $\alpha - \eta$ -continuous function.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Fixed point strategies have been connected in numerous fields in sciences, In particular, applied and natural sciences. Over the years, Several mathematicians have been generalized and amplified the famous contractive Banach contraction principle in many directions ([1, 2, 9, 10, 16, 3, 22, 5, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36]). In 2012, Samet et al. [6] established several fixed point results for $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive mappings in complete metric spaces. The concept of a w -distance metric space was presented by Kada et al [7] to generalize some important results in nonconvex minimizations. In 2012, Imdad and Rouzkard [8] used the concept of a w -distance to proved fixed point results in ordered metric spaces. Recently, Lakzian et al. [11] proved some fixed point theorems for $\alpha - \psi$ -contractions involving w -distances.

Throughout this article, we denote by \mathbb{N} the set of all positive integers. Also, we denote by Ψ the family of all functions $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying the following conditions:

(ψ_1) ψ is nondecreasing;

(ψ_2) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^n(t) < \infty$, where ψ^n is the n th iterate of ψ .

These functions are known in the literature as (c) -comparison functions or Bianchini-Grandolfi gauge functions (see [31]).

Remark 1.1. For each $\psi \in \Psi$, we have:

(ψ_3) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$;

(ψ_4) $\psi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$;

(ψ_5) $\psi(0) = 0$.

Denote by Φ the set of all continuous functions $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\phi(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$ and $\phi(0) = 0$.

Definition 1.1 ([6]). Let $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ and $\alpha : Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be two given mappings. The mapping f is called α -admissible if

$$y, z \in Y, \alpha(y, z) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(fy, fz) \geq 1.$$

Definition 1.2 ([6]). Let (Y, d) be a metric space and let $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ be a given mapping. f is said an $\alpha - \psi$ -contraction if there exist two functions $\alpha : Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$\alpha(y, z)d(fy, fz) \leq \psi(d(y, z))$$

for all $y, z \in Y$.

Afterward, many articles appeared as extensions and generalizations of Definition 1.2 (see also [13, 14, 15, 17]).

Salimi et al. [20] presented the concept of α -admissible mappings with respect to η .

Definition 1.3. Let $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ and $\alpha, \eta : Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. The mapping f is α -admissible with respect to η if

$$(1.1) \quad y, z \in Y, \alpha(y, z) \geq \eta(y, z) \Rightarrow \alpha(fy, fz) \geq \eta(fy, fz).$$

If we take $\eta(y, z) = 1$, Definition 1.3 reduces to Definition 1.1. Also, if we take $\alpha(y, z) = 1$, then we say that f is an η -subadmissible mapping.

Hussain et al. [19] presented the concept of $\alpha - \eta$ -complete metric spaces and $\alpha - \eta$ -continuous functions.

Definition 1.4. Let (Y, d) be a metric space and $\alpha, \eta : Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. The metric space Y is said to be $\alpha - \eta$ -complete if every Cauchy sequence $\{y_n\}$ in Y with $\alpha(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq \eta(y_n, y_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ converges in Y . Y is said an α -complete metric space if $\eta(y, z) = 1$ for all $y, z \in Y$ and one says that (Y, d) is an η -complete metric space if $\alpha(y, z) = 1$ for all $y, z \in Y$.

Example 1.1 ([19]). Let $Y = (0, +\infty)$ and $d(y, z) = |y - z|$ for all $y, z \in Y$. Note that Y is not complete. Let Y be a closed subset of Y . Define $\alpha, \eta : Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\alpha(y, z) = \begin{cases} (y + z)^2, & \text{if } y, z \in Y, \\ 5, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\eta(y, z) = 2yz.$$

Then (Y, d) is an $\alpha - \eta$ -complete metric space.

Definition 1.5 ([19]). Let (Y, d) be a metric space and $\alpha, \eta : Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. A mapping $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ is said to be $\alpha - \eta$ -continuous on (Y, d) , if for each sequence $\{y_n\}$ in Y with $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq \eta(y_n, y_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies $f(y_n) \rightarrow f(y)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Example 1.2 ([19]). Let $Y = [0, +\infty)$ be equipped by the metric $d(y, z) = |y - z|$ for all $y, z \in Y$. Define $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ and $\alpha, \eta : Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$fy = \begin{cases} y^5, & \text{if } y \in [0, 1], \\ \sin \pi y + 2, & \text{if } y \in (0, +\infty), \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha(y, z) = \begin{cases} y^2 + z^2 + 1, & \text{if } y, z \in [0, 1], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\eta(y, z) = y^2.$$

Clearly, f is not continuous, but f is $\alpha - \eta$ -continuous on (Y, d) . Indeed, if $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq \eta(y_n, y_{n+1})$, then $y_n \in [0, 1]$ and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n^5 = y^5 = fy$.

In 2014, Ansari [18] introduced the concept of \mathcal{C} -class functions as follows:

Definition 1.6. A continuous function $\mathfrak{F} : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a \mathcal{C} -class function if for any $s, t \in [0, \infty)$, the following conditions hold:

- (i) $\mathfrak{F}(s, t) \leq s$;
- (ii) $\mathfrak{F}(s, t) = s$ implies that either $s = 0$ or $t = 0$.

As examples of \mathcal{C} -class functions, we state:

1. $\mathfrak{F}(r, t) = r - t$ for all $r, t \in [0, \infty)$;
2. $\mathfrak{F}(r, t) = mr$ for all $r, t \in [0, \infty)$ where $0 < m < 1$;
3. $\mathfrak{F}(r, t) = \frac{r}{(1+t)^\rho}$ for all $r, t \in [0, \infty)$ where $\rho \in (0, \infty)$;
4. $\mathfrak{F}(r, t) = (r + l)^{\frac{1}{(1+t)^\rho}} - l$ for all $r, t \in [0, \infty)$ where $l > 1$ and $\rho \in (0, \infty)$;
5. $\mathfrak{F}(r, t) = r \log_{t+a} a$ for all $r, t \in [0, \infty)$ where $a > 1$;
6. $\mathfrak{F}(r, t) = r - \left(\frac{1+r}{2+r}\right)\left(\frac{t}{1+t}\right)$ for all $r, t \in [0, \infty)$;
7. $\mathfrak{F}(r, t) = r\beta(r)$ for all $r, t \in [0, \infty)$ where $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ and is continuous;
8. $\mathfrak{F}(r, t) = r - \varphi(r)$ where $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $\varphi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$;
9. $\mathfrak{F}(r, t) = sh(r, t)$ where $h : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $h(t, r) < 1$ for all $t, r > 0$;
10. $\mathfrak{F}(r, t) = r - \left(\frac{2+t}{1+t}\right)t$ for all $r, t \in [0, \infty)$;
11. $\mathfrak{F}(r, t) = \sqrt[n]{\ln(1 + r^n)}$ for all $r, t \in [0, \infty)$.

Definition 1.7 ([7]). Let (Y, d) be a metric space. A function $p : Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a w -distance on Y if it satisfies the following properties:

- (p_1) $p(y, v) \leq p(y, z) + p(z, v)$ for any $y, v, z \in Y$;
- (p_2) p is lower semicontinuous in its second variable; i.e, if $y \in Y$ and $z_n \rightarrow z \in Y$, then $p(y, z) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(y, z_n)$;
- (p_3) for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $p(v, y) \leq \delta$ and $p(v, z) \leq \delta$ imply $d(y, z) \leq \epsilon$.

Lakzian et al. [11] proved some fixed point theorems for $\alpha - \psi$ -contractions involving w -distances. For other results dealing with w -distances, see [4, 12].

Definition 1.8 ([11]). *Let (Y, d) be a metric space with a w -distance p . The mapping $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ is said an (α, ψ, p) -contraction if there exist two functions $\alpha : Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi \in \Psi$ such that*

$$(1.2) \quad \alpha(y, z)p(fy, fz) \leq \psi(p(y, z))$$

for all $y, z \in Y$.

Theorem 1.1 ([11]). *Let (Y, d) be a complete metric space equipped with a w -distance p and let $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ be an (α, ψ, p) -contractive mapping. Assume that the following conditions hold:*

1. *f is an α -admissible mapping;*
2. *there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\alpha(y_0, fy_0) \geq 1$;*
3. *Either f is continuous, or for any sequence $\{y_n\}$ in Y if $\alpha(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y_n \rightarrow y \in Y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(y_n, y) \geq 1$.*

Then there exists $u \in Y$ such that $fu = u$. Moreover, if $\alpha(u, u) \geq 1$, then $p(u, u) = 0$.

We need the following Lemma to prove our main results.

Lemma 1.1 ([7]). *Let (Y, d) be a metric space and let p be a w -distance on Y . Assume that $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are sequences in Y , $\{\gamma_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, \infty)$ converging to 0, and let $y, t, z \in Y$. Then the following assertions hold:*

1. *If $p(y_n, z) \leq \gamma_n$ and $p(y_n, t) \leq \lambda_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $z = t$. In particular, if $p(y, z) = p(y, t) = 0$, then $z = t$.*
2. *If $p(y_n, z_n) \leq \gamma_n$ and $p(y_n, z) \leq \lambda_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{z_n\}$ converges to z ;*
3. *If $p(y_n, y_m) \leq \gamma_n$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.*

In this article, motivated by the idea of \mathcal{C} -class function and w -distance due to Kada et al [7], we present the class of $(\alpha, \eta, \mathfrak{F}, \psi, \phi, p)$ -rational contractive mappings via w -distances and prove some fixed point results for this class of mappings. We also present an example to support our work.

2. Main results

Definition 2.1. *Let (Y, d) be a metric space with a w -distance p and $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ be a given mapping. We say that f is an $(\alpha, \eta, \mathfrak{F}, \psi, \phi, p)$ -rational contractive mapping if there exist $\alpha, \eta : Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\psi \in \Psi$, $\mathfrak{F} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\phi \in \Phi$ such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha(y, z) \geq \eta(y, z) \Rightarrow p(fy, fz) \leq \mathfrak{F}(\psi(M(y, z)), \phi(M(y, z))),$$

where

$$M(y, z) = \max\left\{p(y, z), \frac{p(y, fy)}{1 + p(y, fy)}, \frac{p(z, fz)}{1 + p(z, fz)}\right\}$$

for all $y, z \in Y$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (Y, d) be a metric space equipped with a w -distance p and let $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ be an $(\alpha, \eta, \mathfrak{F}, \psi, \phi, p)$ -rational contractive mapping. Assume that the following conditions hold:*

1. (Y, d) is an $\alpha - \eta$ -complete metric space;
2. f is α -admissible with respect to η ;
3. there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\alpha(y_0, fy_0) \geq \eta(y_0, fy_0)$;
4. Either f is $\alpha - \eta$ -continuous, or for any sequence $\{y_n\}$ in Y if $\alpha(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq \eta(y_n, y_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y_n \rightarrow y \in Y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(y_n, y) \geq \eta(y_n, y)$.

Then there exists $u \in Y$ such that $fu = u$. Moreover, if $\alpha(u, u) \geq \eta(u, u)$, then $p(u, u) = 0$.

Proof. From condition(3), there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that

$$\alpha(y_0, fy_0) \geq \eta(y_0, fy_0).$$

Define the sequence $\{y_n\}$ in Y by

$$y_{n+1} = fy_n$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $y_n = y_{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then f has a fixed point. From now on, we assume that $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since f is α -admissible with respect to η and $\alpha(y_0, fy_0) \geq \eta(y_0, fy_0)$, we obtain that

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha(y_1, y_2) = \alpha(fy_0, fy_1) \geq \eta(fy_0, fy_1) = \eta(y_1, y_2).$$

By continuing this process, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq \eta(y_n, y_{n+1})$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since f is an $(\alpha, \eta, \mathfrak{F}, \psi, \phi)$ -rational contractive mapping, we get

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} p(y_n, y_{n+1}) &= p(fy_{n-1}, fy_n) \\ &\leq \mathfrak{F}(\psi(M(y_{n-1}, y_n)), \phi(M(y_{n-1}, y_n))), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} M(y_{n-1}, y_n) &= \max\left\{p(y_{n-1}, y_n), \frac{p(y_{n-1}, fy_{n-1})}{1 + p(y_{n-1}, fy_{n-1})}, \frac{p(y_n, fy_n)}{1 + p(y_n, fy_n)}\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{p(y_{n-1}, y_n), \frac{p(y_{n-1}, y_n)}{1 + p(y_{n-1}, y_n)}, \frac{p(y_n, y_{n+1})}{1 + p(y_n, y_{n+1})}\right\} \\ &\leq \max\{p(y_{n-1}, y_n), p(y_n, y_{n+1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $M(y_{n-1}, y_n) = p(y_n, y_{n+1})$ for some n , then from (2.4)

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} p(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq \mathfrak{F}(\psi(p(y_n, y_{n+1})), \phi(p(y_n, y_{n+1}))) \\ &< \psi(p(y_n, y_{n+1})) < p(y_n, y_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $M(y_{n-1}, y_n) = p(y_{n-1}, y_n)$ all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} p(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq \mathfrak{F}(\psi(p(y_{n-1}, y_n)), \phi(p(y_{n-1}, y_n))) \\ &< \psi(p(y_{n-1}, y_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Iteratively, we find that

$$(2.7) \quad p(y_n, y_{n+1}) < \psi^n(p(y_0, y_1)).$$

From (ψ_3) , we can conclude that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(p(y_0, y_1)) = 0$. This implies that

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0.$$

We want to show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(y_n, y_m) = 0$. Assume that with $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$. Then

$$(2.9) \quad p(y_n, y_m) \leq \sum_{l=n}^{m-1} p(y_l, y_{l+1}) \leq \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} \psi^l(p(y_0, y_1)).$$

Since the properties of ψ , we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} \psi^l(p(y_0, y_1)) = 0$. Letting $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ in 2.9, we get

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(y_n, y_m) = 0,$$

that is, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the $\alpha - \eta$ -complete metric space, so there exists $u \in Y$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = u$. Using the fact that f is $\alpha - \eta$ -continuous, we obtain

$$u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f y_n = f \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = f u.$$

That is, f has a fixed point.

Otherwise, by condition (4), we have

$$(2.11) \quad \alpha(y_n, u) \geq \eta(y_n, u)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From (p_2) , we get

$$p(y_n, u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow 0} p(y_n, y_m) := \delta_n \text{ (say)}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By using (2.10), we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n = 0$. So

$$(2.12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(y_n, u) = 0.$$

Now, from (2.1) and (2.11), we get

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} p(y_{n+1}, fu) &= p(fy_n, fu) \\ &\leq \mathfrak{F}(\psi(M(y_n, u)), \phi(M(y_n, u))), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} M(y_n, u) &= \max\left\{p(y_n, u), \frac{p(y_n, fy_n)}{1 + p(y_n, fy_n)}, \frac{p(u, fu)}{1 + p(u, fu)}\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{p(y_n, u), \frac{p(y_n, y_{n+1})}{1 + p(y_n, y_{n+1})}, \frac{p(u, u)}{1 + p(u, u)}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By using (2.12) and (2.8), we have

$$(2.15) \quad M(y_n, u) = \max\left\{0, \frac{0}{1+0}, \frac{0}{1+0}\right\} = 0.$$

Then (2.13) becomes

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow 0} p(y_{n+1}, fu) \leq \mathfrak{F}(\psi(0), \phi(0)),$$

which implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow 0} p(y_{n+1}, fu) = 0$. By the triangular inequality, we obtain

$$(2.16) \quad p(y_n, fu) \leq p(y_n, y_{n+1}) + p(y_{n+1}, fu).$$

Thus,

$$(2.17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} p(y_n, fu) = 0.$$

From Lemma 1.1, (2.12) and (2.17), we deduce that $fu = u$. Now, we suppose that $\alpha(u, u) \geq \eta(u, u)$. If $p(u, u) > 0$, from (2.4), we have

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} p(u, u) &= p(fu, fu) \\ &\leq \mathfrak{F}(\psi(M(u, u)), \phi(M(u, u))), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} M(u, u) &= \max\left\{p(u, u), \frac{p(u, fu)}{1 + p(u, fu)}, \frac{p(u, fu)}{1 + p(u, fu)}\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{p(u, u), \frac{p(u, u)}{1 + p(u, u)}, \frac{p(u, u)}{1 + p(u, u)}\right\} \\ &< \max\{p(u, u), p(u, u)\} = p(u, u). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} p(u, u) &= p(fu, fu) \\ &\leq \mathfrak{F}(\psi(p(u, u)), \phi(p(u, u))) \\ &< \psi(p(u, u)) < p(u, u), \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. Thus $p(u, u) = 0$. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let (Y, d) be a metric space equipped with a w -distance p and let $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ be an $(\alpha, \eta, \mathfrak{F}, \psi, \varphi, p)$ -rational contractive mapping. Assume that the following conditions hold:*

1. (Y, d) is an $\alpha - \eta$ -complete metric space;
2. f is α -admissible with respect to η ;
3. there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\alpha(y_0, fy_0) \geq \eta(y_0, fy_0)$;
4. if for $z \in Y$ with $z \neq fz$, $\inf\{p(y, z) + p(y, fy) : y \in Y\} > 0$.

Then there exists $u \in Y$ such that $fu = u$. Moreover, if $\alpha(u, u) \geq \eta(u, u)$, then $p(u, u) = 0$.

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 2.1, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the $\alpha - \eta$ -complete metric space (Y, d) . Then there exists $u \in Y$ such that $y_n \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that $u \neq fu$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \inf\{p(y, u) + p(y, fy) : y \in Y\} \\ &\leq \inf\{p(y_n, u) + p(y_n, y_{n+1}) : y \in Y\} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $u = fu$. The last step of the proof follows as in Theorem 2.1 and in order to avoid duplication, the details are skipped. \square

If we take $\eta(y, z) = 1$, we obtain the following two corollaries.

Corollary 2.1. *Let (Y, d) be a metric space equipped with a w -distance p and let $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ be an $(\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, \psi, \phi)$ -rational contractive mapping. Assume that the following conditions hold:*

1. (Y, d) is an α -complete metric space;
2. f is an α -admissible mapping;
3. there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\alpha(y_0, fy_0) \geq 1$;
4. Either f is α -continuous, or for any sequence $\{y_n\}$ in Y if $\alpha(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y_n \rightarrow y \in Y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(y_n, y) \geq 1$.

Then there exists $u \in Y$ such that $fu = u$. Moreover, if $\alpha(u, u) \geq 1$, then $p(u, u) = 0$.

Corollary 2.2. *Let (Y, d) be a metric space equipped with a w -distance p and let $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ be an $(\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, \psi, \phi, p)$ -rational contractive mapping. Assume that the following conditions hold:*

1. (Y, d) is an α -complete metric space;

2. f is an α -admissible mapping;
3. there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\alpha(y_0, fy_0) \geq 1$;
4. if for $z \in Y$ with $z \neq fz$, $\inf\{p(y, z) + p(y, fy) : y \in Y\} > 0$.

Then there exists $u \in Y$ such that $fu = u$. Moreover, if $\alpha(u, u) \geq 1$, then $p(u, u) = 0$.

If we take $M(y, z) = p(y, z)$, we get the following results.

Corollary 2.3. Let (Y, d) be a metric space equipped with a w -distance p and let $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ be such that

$$(2.20) \quad \alpha(y, z) \geq \eta(y, z) \Rightarrow p(fy, fz) \leq \mathfrak{F}(\psi(p(y, z)), \phi(p(y, z))),$$

for all $y, z \in Y$, where $\mathfrak{F} \in \mathcal{C}$, $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\phi \in \Phi$. Assume that

1. (Y, d) is an $\alpha - \eta$ -complete metric space;
2. f is an α -admissible with respect to η ;
3. there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\alpha(y_0, fy_0) \geq \eta(y_0, fy_0)$;
4. Either f is $\alpha - \eta$ -continuous, or for any sequence $\{y_n\}$ in Y if $\alpha(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq \eta(y_n, y_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y_n \rightarrow y \in Y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(y_n, y) \geq \eta(y_n, y)$.

Then there exists $u \in Y$ such that $fu = u$. Moreover, if $\alpha(u, u) \geq \eta(u, u)$, then $p(u, u) = 0$.

Corollary 2.4. Let (Y, d) be a metric space equipped with a w -distance p and let $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ be defined as in (2.20) and satisfy the following conditions:

1. (Y, d) is an α -complete metric space;
2. f is α -admissible with respect to η ;
3. there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\alpha(y_0, fy_0) \geq \eta(y_0, fy_0)$;
4. if for $z \in Y$ with $z \neq fz$, $\inf\{p(y, z) + p(y, fy) : y \in Y\} > 0$.

Then there exists $u \in Y$ such that $fu = u$. Moreover, if $\alpha(u, u) \geq \eta(u, u)$, then $p(u, u) = 0$.

Corollary 2.5. Let (Y, d) be a complete metric space equipped with a w -distance p and let $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Assume that there exists $\tau \in [0, 1)$ such that, for all $y, z \in Y$,

$$p(fy, fz) \leq \tau \mathfrak{F}(p(y, z), p(y, z)),$$

where $\mathfrak{F} \in \mathcal{C}$. Then there exists $u \in Y$ such that $fu = u$. Moreover, $p(u, u) = 0$.

Proof. Take in Corollary 2.3, $\alpha(y, z) = \eta(y, z) = 1$, $\psi(k) = \tau k$ and $\phi(k) = k$ where $\tau \in [0, 1)$. □

Example 2.1. Let $Y = [0, \infty)$ and $d(y, z) = |y - z|$ for all $y, z \in Y$. The function p defined by $p(y, z) = y$ is a w -distance on (Y, d) . Take $\psi(k) = k$, $\phi(k) = k^2$ and $\mathfrak{F}(k, r) = \frac{k}{2+k}$ for all $k, r \in [0, \infty)$. Consider $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ as

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}y & \text{if } 0 \leq y \leq 1, \\ 2y, & \text{if } y > 1. \end{cases}$$

Given $\alpha, \eta : Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(y, z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq y, z \leq 1, \\ 3, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\eta(y, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{5} & \text{if } 0 \leq y, z \leq 1, \\ 5, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following statements hold:

1. (Y, d) is an $\alpha - \eta$ -complete metric space;
2. f is α -admissible with respect to η ;
3. there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\alpha(y_0, fy_0) \geq \eta(y_0, fy_0)$;
4. f is $\alpha - \eta$ -continuous;
5. f satisfies the condition (2.20).

1. We will show that (Y, d) is an $\alpha - \eta$ -complete metric space. Let $\{y_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in Y such that $\alpha(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq \eta(y_n, y_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{y_n\} \subseteq [0, 1]$. Since $([0, 1], d)$ is a complete metric space, then $\{y_n\}$ converges in $[0, 1]$.
2. Let $y, z \in Y$ be such that $\alpha(y, z) \geq \eta(y, z)$. Then $y, z \in [0, 1]$. Since $fb \in [0, 1]$ for each $b \in [0, 1]$, one writes $\alpha(fy, fz) \geq \eta(fy, fz)$. Thus f is α -admissible with respect to η .
3. Take $y_0 = 1$. Here,

$$\alpha(1, f1) = \alpha(1, \frac{1}{4}) = 1 \geq \frac{1}{5} = \eta(1, \frac{1}{4}) = \alpha(1, f1).$$

4. Let $\{y_n\}$ be in Y such that $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq \eta(y_n, y_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall that $\{y_n\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4}y_n = \frac{1}{4}y = fy$. That is, f is $\alpha - \eta$ -continuous.

5. We will show that f satisfies (2.20). Let $y, z \in Y$ be such that $\alpha(y, z) \geq \eta(y, z)$. Then $y, z \in [0, 1]$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}(\psi(p(y, z)), \phi(p(y, z))) - p(fy, fz) &= \mathfrak{F}(y, y^2) - \frac{1}{4}y \\ &= \frac{y}{2+y} - \frac{1}{4}y \\ &= \frac{2y - y^2}{8 + 4y} \\ &= \frac{y(2 - y)}{8 + 4y} \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $p(fy, fz) \leq \mathfrak{F}(\psi(p(y, z)), \phi(p(y, z)))$. Thus all assumptions of Corollary 2.3 are satisfied, and so f has a fixed point, which is, $u = 0$.

3. Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful for the reviewers for their valuable remarks on the paper, that lead us to rewrite our paper in very good version. The authors would also like to acknowledge the grant: UKM Grant DIP-2017-011 and Ministry of Education, Malaysia grant FRGS/1/2017/STG06/UKM/01/1 for financial support.

The authors are grateful for the reviewers for their valuable remarks on the paper, that lead us to rewrite our paper in a very good version. The authors are also grateful for the support of Al-Zaytoonah University of Jordan.

References

- [1] H. Aydi, M. Postolache, W. Shatanawi, *Coupled fixed point results for (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive mappings in ordered G -metric spaces*, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 63 (2012), 298-309.
- [2] H. Aydi, W. Shatanawi, C. Vetro, *On generalized weak G -contraction mapping in G -metric spaces*, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 62 (2011), 4223-4229.
- [3] Z. Mustafa, M. Khandaqji, W. Shatanawi, *Fixed point results on complete G -metric spaces*, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 48 (2011), 304-319.
- [4] H. Aydi, T. Wongyat, W. Sintunavarat, *On new evolution of Ri's result via w -distances and the study on the solution for nonlinear integral equations and fractional differential equations*, *Advances in Differences Equations*, (2018), 2018:132.
- [5] J. J. Nieto, R. Rodríguez-López, *Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equation*, *Acta Math. Sin.*, 23 (2007), 2205-2212.

- [6] B. Samet, C. Vetro, P. Vetro, *Fixed point theorems for a $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive type mappings*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 75 (2012), 2154-2165.
- [7] O. Kada, T. Suzuki, W. Takahashi, *Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces*. *Math. Jpn.*, 44 (1996), 381-391.
- [8] M. Imdad, F. Rouzkard, *Fixed point theorems in ordered metric spaces via w -distances*, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2012 (2012), 1-17.
- [9] E. Karapinar, R.P. Agarwal, H. Aydi, *Interpolative Reich-Rus-Ciric type contractions on partial metric spaces*, *Mathematics*, 6 (2018), 256.
- [10] E. Karapinar, O. Alqahtani, H. Aydi, *On interpolative Hardy-Rogers type contractions*, *Symmetry*, 2018, 11, 8.
- [11] H. Lakzian, D. Gopal, W. Sintunavarat, *New fixed point results for mappings of contractive type with an application to nonlinear fractional differential equations*. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 18 (2016), 16 pages.
- [12] H. Lakzian, H. Aydi, B.E. Rhoades, *Fixed points for (ϕ, ψ, p) -weakly contractive mappings in metric spaces with w -distance*, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 219 (2013), 6777-6782.
- [13] E. Karapinar, *$\alpha - \psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings and some related fixed point results*, *Filomat*, 28 (2014), 37-48.
- [14] E. Karapinar, B. Samet, *Generalized $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications*, *Abstract. Appl. Anal.*, 2012 (2012), 17 pages.
- [15] A. Latif, M. E. Gordji, E. Karapinar, W. Sintunavarat, *Fixed point results for generalized (α, ψ) -Meir-Keeler contractive mappings and applications*, *J. Ineq. Appl.*, 2014 (2014), 11 pages.
- [16] N. Mlaiki, H. Aydi, N. Souayah, T. Abdeljawad, *Controlled metric type spaces and the related contraction principle*, *Mathematics*, 6 (2018), 194.
- [17] P. Salimi, C. Vetro, and P. Vetro, *Fixed point theorems for twisted $(\alpha, \beta) - \psi$ -contractive type mappings and applications*, *Filomat*, 27 (2013), 605-615.
- [18] H. Ansari, *Not on $\varphi - \psi$ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point*, *The 2nd Regional Conf. Math. Appl.*, PNU, September (2014), 377-380.
- [19] N. Hussain, M. A. Kutbi, P. Salimi, *Fixed point theory in α -complete metric spaces with applications*, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2014 (2014), 11 pages.
- [20] P. Salimi, A. Latif, N. Hussain, *Modified $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive mappings with applications*, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2013, article 151, 2013.

- [21] A. Latif, M. E. Gordji, E. Karapinar, W. Sintunavarat, *Fixed point results for generalized (α, ψ) -Meir-Keeler contractive mappings and applications*, J. Ineq. Appl., 2014 (2014), 11 pages.
- [22] A. Meir, E. Keeler, *A theorem on contraction mappings*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 28 (1969), 326-329.
- [23] A. Felhi, H. Aydi, *New fixed point results for multi-valued maps via manageable functions and an application on a boundary value problem*, U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A, 80 (2018), 1-12.
- [24] H. Qawaqneh, M. S. M. Noorani, W. Shatanawi, H. Alsamir, *Common fixed points for pairs of triangular (α) -admissible mappings*, Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Application, 10 (2017), 6192-6204.
- [25] H. Qawaqneh, M. S. M. Noorani, W. Shatanawi, K. Abodayeh, H. Alsamir, *Fixed point for mappings under contractive condition based on simulation functions and cyclic (α, β) -admissibility*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 9 (2018), 38-51.
- [26] H. Qawaqneh, M. S. M. Noorani, W. Shatanawi, *Fixed point results for geraghty type generalized F -contraction for weak alpha-admissible mapping in metric-like spaces*, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 11 (2018), 702-716.
- [27] H. Qawaqneh, M.S.M. Noorani, W. Shatanawi, *Common fixed point theorems for generalized geraghty (α, ψ, ϕ) -quasi contraction type mapping in partially ordered metric-like spaces*, Axioms, 7 (2018).
- [28] H. Qawaqneh, M.S.M. Noorani, W. Shatanawi, *Fixed point theorems for (α, k, θ) -Contractive multi-valued mapping in b -metric space and applications*, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 14 (2018), 263-283.
- [29] H. Qawaqneh, M.S.M. Noorani, W. Shatanawi, H. Aydi, H. Alsamir, *Fixed point results for multi-valued contractions in b -metric spaces and an application*, Mathematics, 7 (2018).
- [30] H. Qawaqneh, Z. Mitrovic, H. Aydi, M.S. Md Noorani, *The weight inequalities on reich type theorem in b -metric spaces*, Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 19 (2019), 51-57.
- [31] R. M. Bianchini, M. Grandolfi, *Trasformazioni di tipo contrattivo generalizzato in uno spazio metrico*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat., 45 (1968), 212-216.
- [32] Z. D. Mitrović, H. Aydi, N. Hussain, A. Mukheimer, *Reich, Jungck, and Berinde common fixed point results on \mathcal{F} -metric spaces and an application*, Mathematics, 7 (2019), 387.

- [33] H. Isik, N. Hussain, M. A. Kutbi, *Generalized rational contractions endowed with a graph and an application to a system of integral equations*, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, 22 (2017), 1158-1175.
- [34] H. Isik, C. Ionescu, *New type of multivalued contractions with related results and applications*, U.P.B. Sci. Bull. Series A, 80 (2018), 13-22.
- [35] H. Isik, S. Radenovic, *A new version of coupled fixed point results in ordered metric spaces with applications*, UPB Scientific Bulletin, Series A: Applied Mathematics and Physics, 79 (2017), 131-138.
- [36] H. Isik, D. Turkoglu, *Generalized weakly α -contractive mappings and applications to ordinary differential equations*, Miskolc Mathematical Notes, 17 (2016), 365-379.

Accepted: 16.05.2019