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Abstract. We introduce a definition of metric projection in countably seminormed space. In order to do this, we have to introduce a definition of uniformly convex seminormed space, projection theorem in seminormed space, a new vision of the completion of countably seminormed space and a definition of uniformly convex countably seminormed space.
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1. Introduction

Ya. Alber introduced the notion of metric projection which solved some fixed point problems for a non-self contraction mapping which maps a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a Banach space $E$ into $E$ ([1, 2, 3]).

In his definition, he depended on the existence of the projection theorem which is well known in Hilbert space and it used, for example, in Optimization theory.

* Corresponding author
Theorem 1.1 (Projection theorem in uniformly convex Banach space, [4, 5]). Let $K$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space $(E, \| \cdot \|)$. For each $x \in E \setminus K$ there exists a unique $\tilde{x} \in K$ such that

$$
\| x - \tilde{x} \| = \inf_{y \in K} \| x - y \|.
$$

The operator $P_K : E \to K$ is called a metric projection operator if it assigns to each $x \in E$ its nearest point $\tilde{x} \in K$, i.e. $P_K(x) = \tilde{x}$ ([1, 5]).

Nashat Faried and Hany A. El-Sharkawy introduced definitions of uniformly convex, uniformly smooth countably normed spaces and the existence of the metric projection in a countably normed space ([6]).

2. Projection theorem in uniformly convex complete seminormed space

Definition 2.1 (Seminormed space and normed space, [7]). Let $E$ be a real vector space. A real valued function $p$ defined on $E$ is called a seminorm if for all $x, x_1, x_2 \in E$ and all $a \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$
p(ax) = |a|p(x), \quad p(x_1 + x_2) \leq p(x_1) + p(x_2).
$$

$(E, p)$ is the seminormed space equipped with the topology defined by seminorm $p$.

If $p$ is a seminorm and $p(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$, then $p$ is a norm and $(E, p)$ is a normed space.

Notation. Let $L$ be a subspace of a topological linear space $E$. For a point $x$ and a set $K$ in $E$, we may write $x + L$ and $K/L = \{x + L : x \in K\}$ to denote the equivalence class $\hat{x}$ and the set of equivalence classes $\hat{K}$ belonging to the factor space $E/L$, respectively. So we can write $\hat{x} = x + L$ and $\hat{K} = K/L$ in $\hat{E} = E/L$.

Definition 2.2 (Normed space associated with seminormed space, [7]). For a seminormed space $(E, p)$ there is a normed space $E/\ker p$ with the norm $\| x + \ker p \|_p = p(x)$ called the associated normed space with the seminormed space $(E, p)$.

Since the norm in the associated normed space is defined by the seminorm, so the following propositions and the definition are easy to introduce and verified.

Proposition 2.3 ([8]). A seminormed space is complete if and only if its associated normed space is complete.

Proposition 2.4. If $(E, p)$ is seminormed space, $K$ is non empty closed set in $E$, then for $x \in E \setminus K : d(x, K) = \inf_{y \in K} p(x - y) > 0$.

Proof. Since $x \notin K$ and $K$ is closed, then $\hat{x} \notin \hat{K}$ in the associated normed space. Hence $d(\hat{x}, \hat{K}) = \inf_{\hat{y} \in \hat{K}} \| \hat{x} - \hat{y} \|_p = \inf_{y \in K} p(x - y) > 0$. \qed

Definition 2.5 (Uniformly convex seminormed space, [8]). We call a seminormed linear space $(E, p)$ uniformly convex if for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$ there exists a $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $x, y \in E$ with $p(x) = 1$, $p(y) = 1$ and $p(x - y) \geq \varepsilon$ then $p(\frac{1}{2} (x + y)) \leq 1 - \delta$. 
Proposition 2.6 ([8]). A seminormed space \((E, p)\) is uniformly convex if and only if its associated normed space is uniformly convex.

This gives an equivalent definition of uniformly convex seminormed space.

In the following we give an example of uniformly convex seminormed space which are not normed space.

Example 2.7 ([8]). Let \(Q\) be the set of all rational numbers.

\(Q^2\) with the following norm \(\|z = (x, y)\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}\) is uniformly convex.

Defining \(Q^2 = \{(z_n) \cap z_n\} is a Cauchy sequence in \(Q^2\) \) and a seminorm on \(Q^2\) by \(p((z_n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|z_n\|\). Since the associated normed space of \((Q^2, p)\) is \(\mathbb{R}^2\) with the norm \(\|(x, y)\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}\) and it is uniformly convex, then \((Q^2, p)\) is a uniformly convex seminormed space.

For the following theorem which we called Projection theorem in uniformly convex complete seminormed space, we give two proofs, one depending on the following lemma and the other depending on the associated normed space.

Lemma 2.8. Let \((E, p)\) be uniformly convex seminormed space. If \(\{x_i\}\) is a sequence in \(E\) : \(\lim_{i \to \infty} p(x_i) = 1\) and \(\lim_{i,j \to \infty} p(x_i + x_j) = 1\). Then \(\{x_i\}\) is a Cauchy sequence in \((E, p)\).

Proof. Suppose contrarily that the sequence \(\{x_i\}\) is not a Cauchy sequence in \(E\). In this case there exist two subsequences of natural numbers \(i_k, j_k\) such that \(p(x_{i_k} - x_{j_k}) \geq \varepsilon\) for some \(\varepsilon > 0\). Let \(1 \leq M < 2\) be fixed, then \(p(x_{i_k}) \to \frac{1}{M} < 1\) as \(i \to \infty\). Then \(\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N} : i_k, j_k \geq n_0 \implies p(x_{i_k}) \leq \frac{1}{M} ; p(x_{j_k}) \leq 1\), hence \(p(x_{i_k} - x_{j_k}) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \forall i_k, j_k \geq n_0\). Since \((E, p)\) is uniformly convex, then \(\exists \delta > 0\) such that \(p(\frac{x_{i_k} + x_{j_k}}{2}) \leq 1 - \delta\). Taking limit as \(i_k, j_k \to \infty\), we get \(\frac{1}{M} \leq 1 - \delta\). Now take \(M \to 1\), we get \(1 \leq 1 - \delta\) which is a contradiction. \(\square\)

Theorem 2.9. Let \((E, p)\) be a uniformly convex complete seminormed space. If \(K \subset E\) is a nonempty closed convex set and \(x \in E \setminus K\), then there exists \(\bar{x} \in K\) such that \(p(x - \bar{x}) = \inf_{y \in K} p(x - y)\).

Proof 1. Since \(x \notin K\) and \(K\) is closed in \((E, p)\), then \(\inf_{y \in K} p(x - y) := d > 0\). There exists a sequence \(\{y_i\}\) in \(K\) : \(\lim_{i \to \infty} p(x - y_i) := d > 0\), and hence \(\lim_{i \to \infty} p(x - y_i) = 1\).

Taking \(u_i := \frac{x - y_i}{d} \in E\), then \(p(u_i) \to 1\) as \(i \to \infty\).

Since \(K\) is convex, then \(\frac{y_i + y_j}{2} \in K\) \(\forall\ i, j \in \mathbb{N}\). Then,

\[
d \leq p(x - \frac{y_i + y_j}{2}) = p(x - \frac{y_i}{2} + \frac{x - y_j}{2}) \leq p(x - \frac{y_i}{2}) + p(x - \frac{y_j}{2})
\]

\[
\implies 2 \leq p(x - \frac{y_i}{d} + \frac{x - y_j}{d}) \leq p(x - \frac{y_i}{d}) + p(x - \frac{y_j}{d})
\]

\[
\implies 2 \leq p(u_i + u_j) \leq p(u_i) + p(u_j)
\]

\(p(u_i + u_j) \to 2\) as \(i, j \to \infty\).
From equations (1) and (2), using Lemma (2.8), then \( \{u_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \((E, p)\). i.e., \( p(u_i - u_j) \to 0 \) that gives \( p(\frac{y_i - y_j}{a}) \to 0 \).

Therefore, \( \{y_i\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( K \subset (E, p) \).

Since \( K \) is closed in \((E, p)\) and \( y_i \in K, \forall i \), then \( x = \hat{x} \in K \), hence \( p(x - y_i) \to p(x - x) \). Since \( p(x - y_i) \to d \), so from the uniqueness of the limit, we get \( p(x - x) = d : \hat{x} \in K \).

**Proof 2.** Since \((E, p)\) is uniformly convex complete seminormed space, then \((E/\ker p, \| \cdot \|_p)\) is uniformly convex Banach space.

Since \( K \subset E \) is a nonempty closed convex set, then \( K/\ker p \subset E/\ker p \) is a nonempty closed convex set.

For \( \hat{x} = x + \ker p \in (E/\ker p) \setminus (K/\ker p) \) there exist unique \( \hat{x} = \tilde{x} + \ker p \in K/\ker p \) such that \( \|\hat{x} - \tilde{x}\|_p = \inf_{\tilde{y} \in K/\ker p} \|\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}\| \). Then
\[
p(x - \hat{x}) = \inf_{\tilde{y} \in K} p(x - y).
\]

**Remark 2.10.** \( \hat{x} \in K \) is unique up to the points \( x^* \in K \) such that \( x^* - x^* \in \ker p \). This was expected because the seminormed space is not Hausdorff (means it has no uniqueness of limit).

The following remarks and lemma are useful in the projection theorem in countably seminormed space.

**Remark 2.11.** Let \( K_x \) be the set of all points in \( K \) which has a minimum distance from \( x \notin K \). i.e. \( K_x = \{x^* \in K : p(x - x^*) = \inf_{y \in K} p(x - y)\} \).

Hence \( p(\hat{x} - x^*) = 0, \forall \hat{x}, x^* \in K_x \).

**Lemma 2.12.** \( K_x \subset K \) is closed in \((E, p)\).

**Proof.** Let \( \{x_i\} \) be a sequence in \( K_x \) such that \( \{x_i\} \) converges to \( x_0 \in E \). i.e. \( p(x_i - x_0) \to 0 \) as \( i \to \infty \). Since \( K \) is closed, then \( x_0 \in K \). And \( \inf_{y \in K} p(x - y) \leq p(x - x_i) \leq p(x - x_i) + p(x_i - x_0), \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \). Since \( p(x - x_i) = \inf_{y \in K} p(x - y), \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( p(x_i - x_0) \to 0 \) as \( i \to \infty \) then \( x_0 \in K_x \).

**3. Projection theorem in uniformly convex complete countably seminormed space**

**Definition 3.1** (Countably seminormed space, [7, 8, 9]). A linear space \( E \) equipped with a total countable family of seminorms \( \{p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \) is said to be countably seminormed space. Totality \((p_n(x) = 0, \forall n \iff x = \theta)\) guarantees that \( E \) is Hausdorff. A complete countably seminormed space is called Fréchet space.

**Remark 3.2** ([7, 10]). 1-Without loss of generality (by taking the equivalent system of seminorms \( \hat{p}_n(x) = \max_{m=1}^{n} p_m(x) \)), one can assume that the sequence of seminorms \( \{p_n; n = 1, 2, \ldots\} \) is increasing, i.e., \( p_1(x) \leq p_2(x) \leq \cdots \leq p_n(x) \leq \cdots, \forall x \in E \).
2-Any countably seminormed space is metrizable and its metric $d$ can be defined by $d(x, y) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^p} \frac{1}{1 + m^p(x-y)}$.

**Definition 3.3** (Compatible norms, [10]). Two norms $\| \cdot \|_1$ and $\| \cdot \|_2$ in a linear space $E$ are said to be *compatible* if, whenever a sequence $\{x_i\}$ in $E$ is Cauchy with respect to both norms and converges to a limit $x \in E$ with respect to one of them, it also converges to the same limit $x$ with respect to the other norm.

**Remark 3.4** ([9, 10]). If only one of the seminorms say $p_{n_0}$ is a norm, then by adding this norm to each of the seminorms, we will get an equivalent system of increasing norms and if these norms are pair wise compatible, then $E$ is, in fact, a countably normed space.

Since the definition of uniform convexity is always useful on complete spaces, we quoted Merkle’s work ([11]) on completion of countably seminormed space while changed some notations to be suitable for our work as will as in ([8]).

For fixed seminorm $p_n$ one can define a seminorm $\tilde{p}_n$ on the space $E_{p_n} = \{\{x_i\} : \{x_i\} \text{ is } p_n \text{ Cauchy sequence}\}$ as follows

$$\tilde{p}_n(\{x_i\}) = \lim_{i \to \infty} p_n(x_i).$$

This limit exists, because $\{p_n(x_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}$.

By standard arguments one can prove that $(E_{p_n}, \tilde{p}_n)$ is the completion of the countably seminormed space $E$ if equipped with only the seminorm $p_n$.

In fact, defining a map $\pi : E \to E_{p_n}$ by $\pi(x) = (x, x, x, \ldots)$ gives a 1-1 isometrical and isomorphical mapping of $E$ (as a seminormed space with $p_n$) onto a dense linear subspace of the space $(E_{p_n}, \tilde{p}_n)$.

Since for any $x \in E$ $p_n(x) \leq p_{n+1}(x), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then every $p_{n+1}$ Cauchy sequence is $p_n$ Cauchy sequence.

So,

$$E \subset \cdots \subset E_{p_{n+1}} \subset E_{p_n} \subset \cdots \subset E_{p_1}.$$ 

Therefore, $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{p_n}$ is a countably seminormed space with

$$\tilde{p}_1(x) \leq \tilde{p}_2(x) \leq \cdots \leq \tilde{p}_n(x) \leq \cdots, \forall x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{p_n},$$

but it is not, in general, a Hausdorff space because $\tilde{p}_n(\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ does not necessarily imply that $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the zero sequence. In fact $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ker \tilde{p}_n$ may not be the zero sequence.

On the factor space $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{p_n} / \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \ker \tilde{p}_i$ we define

$$\hat{p}_n(\hat{x}) = \tilde{p}_n(\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} + \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \ker \tilde{p}_i) = \tilde{p}_n(\{x_i\}).$$
Assume \( \hat{E}_{p_n} = E_{p_n}/\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ker \hat{p}_i \). In this case \( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{E}_{p_n} \) equipped with the semi-norms \( \hat{p}_1(\hat{x}) \leq \hat{p}_2(\hat{x}) \leq \cdots \leq \hat{p}_n(\hat{x}) \leq \cdots, \forall \hat{x} \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{E}_{p_n} \) is countably semi-normed Hausdorff space.

Defining \( \hat{\pi} : E \to \hat{E}_{p_n} \) such that \( \hat{\pi}(x) = (x, x, x, \ldots) + \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ker \hat{p}_i \), we see that \( E \) is isomorphically isometric to a linear dense subset of \( \hat{E}_{p_n} \), i.e.,

\[
E \subset \cdots \subset \hat{E}_{p_n+1} \subset \hat{E}_{p_n} \subset \cdots \subset \hat{E}_{p_1}.
\]

**Proposition 3.5** ([8, 11]). Let \( E \) be a countably semi normed space, then \( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{E}_{p_n} \) is a complete space. Moreover, there is an isometric and isomorph, 1-1 mapping \( \hat{\pi} \) of \( E \) onto a dense subspace of \( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{E}_{p_n} \). \( E \) is complete if and only if

\[
\hat{\pi}(E) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{E}_{p_n}.
\]

We may write \( E = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{E}_{p_n} \).

**Definition 3.6** (Uniformly convex countably semi normed space, [8]). A countably semi normed space \( E \) is said to be **uniformly convex** if \( \hat{E}_{p_n} \) is uniformly convex for all \( n \), i.e., it is associated normed space \( (\hat{E}_{p_n}, \| \cdot \|_{p_n}) \) is uniformly convex where \( \hat{E}_{p_n} = E_{p_n}/\ker \hat{p}_i \).

In the following we give an example of uniformly convex countably semi normed space which is not countably normed space.

**Example 3.7** ([8]). Let \( X = \mathbb{Q}^2 \). Defining \( X^\infty = \mathbb{Q}^2 \times \mathbb{Q}^2 \times \mathbb{Q}^2 \times \cdots \) and semi-norms on \( X^\infty \) by \( p_n(z) = \| z \| = \sqrt{x_n^2 + y_n^2} \) where \( z = (z_1, z_2, z_3, \ldots) \in X^\infty \) and \( z_n = (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \). \( (X^\infty, \{p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \) is a countably semi normed space.

Defining \( X^\infty_\infty = \{\{z^i\} : \{z^i\} \text{ is } p_n \text{ Cauchy sequence}\} \) where \( z^i = (z^i_1, z^i_2, z^i_3, \ldots) \in X^\infty \) and a semi norm on \( X^\infty_\infty \) by \( \hat{p}_n(\{z^i\}) = \lim_{i \to \infty} p_n(z^i) \).

To show that \( (X^\infty, \{p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \) is a uniformly convex semi normed space, we must prove that \( X^\infty_\infty/\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ker \hat{p}_n \) with the semi norm \( \hat{p}_n(\{z^i\} + \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ker \hat{p}_n) = \hat{p}_n(\{z^i\}) \) is uniformly convex or its associated normed space \( X^\infty_\infty/\ker \hat{p}_n \) with \( \| \{z^i\} + \ker \hat{p}_n \|_{p_n} = \hat{p}_n(\{z^i\}) \) is uniformly convex. In the associated normed space two elements \( \{z^i\} \) and \( \{\hat{z}^i\} \) where \( z^i = (z^i_1, z^i_2, z^i_3, \ldots) \) and \( \hat{z}^i = (\hat{z}^i_1, \hat{z}^i_2, \hat{z}^i_3, \ldots) \) belong to the same equivalence class if both \( \{z^i_n\} \) and \( \{\hat{z}^i_n\} \) are \( p_n \) Cauchy sequence (i.e. it is Cauchy sequence in the \( n^{th} \) coordinate) and the \( p_n \) limit of the difference between \( \{z^i_n\} \) and \( \{\hat{z}^i_n\} \) is convergent to zero. Thus the associated normed space is \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) with the norm \( \| (x, y) \| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \) which is uniformly convex.

In the following we give an example of countably normed space which is uniformly convex with respect to one semi norm but not uniformly convex countably semi normed space.
Example 3.8 ([8]). For $\mathbb{R}^2$ with two norms $\|(x, y)\|_2 = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and $\|(x, y)\|_\infty = \max\{|x|, |y|\}$, $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_2)$ is uniformly convex but $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is not uniformly convex.

Defining $\ell^1(\mathbb{R}^2) = \{(z_i) : z_i \in \mathbb{R}^2, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|z_i\|_2 < \infty\}$ and $p_0(\{z_i\}) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|z_i\|_2$, $p_1(\{z_i\}) = \|z_1\|_2$ and $p_n(\{z_i\}) = \|z_n\|_\infty$, $\forall n = 2, 3, \ldots$

$(\ell^1(\mathbb{R}^2), p_0)$ is not uniformly convex normed space. In fact for $\epsilon = 1$ and $z_1 = ((1, 0), (0, 0), (0, 0), \ldots)$, $z_2 = ((0, 0), (-1, 0), (0, 0), \ldots)$. Clearly $p_0(z_1) = 1 = p_0(z_2), p_0(z_1 - z_2) = 2 > \epsilon$. However $p_0(\frac{1}{2}(z_1 + z_2)) = 1$. So, there is no $\delta > 0$ satisfying $p_0\left(\frac{1}{2}(z_1 + z_2)\right) = 1 - \delta$.

$(\ell^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \{p_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\})$ is countably normed space (see Remark 3.3).

$(\ell^1(\mathbb{R}^2), p_1)$ is uniformly convex normed space. $(\ell^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \{p_n\})$ is not uniformly convex normed space $\forall n = 2, 3, \ldots$. Therefore, $(\ell^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \{p_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\})$ is not uniformly convex normed space.

**Notation.** For a complete countably seminormed space $(E, \{p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\})$, let the completion of $E$ with respect to the seminormed $p_n$ be $E_n$ and for every $x \in E \subset E_n$ the seminorm which has been defined on $E_n$ will be $p_n$ if $E_n$ itself i.e. $\hat{E}_n = E_n, \hat{p_n}(\hat{x}) = p_n(x), \forall x \in E$.

In the following theorem, we establish one of the most important and interesting geometric properties in countably seminormed spaces.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let $(E, \{p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\})$ be a real uniformly convex complete countably seminormed space, $K$ be a nonempty convex proper subset of $E$ such that $K$ is closed in each seminormed space $(E_n, p_n)$. Then

$$\forall x \in E \setminus K \ \exists! \bar{x} \in K : p_n(x - \bar{x}) = \inf_{y \in K} p_n(x - y) \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$ 

In order to proof it, we introduce the following lemmas and proposition.

**Lemma 3.10.** Let $(E, p)$ be uniformly convex seminormed space. If $\bar{x}$ and $x^*$ in $S(x_0, d) := \{x : p(x_0 - x) = d\}$ and $\bar{x} \neq x^*$, then $p(x_0 - \frac{\bar{x} + x^*}{2}) < d$.

**Proof.** Since $\bar{x}$ and $x^* \in S(x_0, d)$, then $p(x_0 - \bar{x}) = p(x_0 - x^*) = d$.

Hence $p\left(\frac{\bar{x} + x^*}{2}\right) = p\left(\frac{\bar{x} - x^*}{2}\right) = 1$.

Since $(E, p)$ is uniformly convex, then $p\left(\frac{x_0 - \bar{x} + x_0 - x^*}{2}\right) < 1$.

Hence $p(x_0 - \frac{\bar{x} + x^*}{2}) < d$. \hfill $\square$

**Proposition 3.11** (Principle of nested sequence of closed sets). Let $(E, \{p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\})$ be a complete countably seminormed space, $K_n$ be a nonempty closed subset in each seminormed space $(E_n, p_n)$, $K_{n+1} \subset K_n$ and $p_n(x - y) = 0, \forall x, y \in K_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ is non empty. Moreover the intersection is only one point in $E$.

**Proof.** Let $x_i \in K_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have to prove the sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is $p_n$ Cauchy sequence $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $x_j - x_{j+p} \in E_j, \forall j, p \in \mathbb{N}$, then $p_n(x_j - x_{j+p}) = 0, \forall j, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n = 1, 2, \ldots, j$. Take the limit as $j \to \infty$, then $p_n(x_j - x_{j+p}) \to 0$.
as \( j \to \infty, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \). Since \( E \) is complete, then \( \{x_i\} \) has a limit \( \bar{x} \in E \). Since \( K_i \) is closed \( \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( \bar{x} \in K_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \).

\[
E = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} E_i \subset \cdots \subset E_{i+1} \subset E_i \subset \cdots \subset E_2 \subset E_1
\]

\[
p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_i \subset \cdots \subset K_{i+1} \subset K_i \subset \cdots \subset K_2 \subset K_1
\]

\[
\bar{x} = \cdots , \quad x_i+1 , \quad x_i , \quad \ldots , \quad x_2 , \quad x_1
\]

Now, assume \( \bar{x}, x^* \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_i \), then \( p_i(\bar{x} - x^*) = 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \). Hence \( \bar{x} = x^* \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.12.** Let \( (E, \{p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \) be a countably seminormed space. If \( K \) is a nonempty closed subset in each seminormed space \( (E, p_n) \), then \( K \) is closed in \( (E, \{p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \).

Let \( \{x_i\} \) be a sequence in \( K \subset (E, \{p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \) such that \( \lim_{i \to \infty} p_n(x_i - x_0) = 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \). Since \( K \) is closed in each seminormed space \( (E, p_n) \), then \( x_0 \in K \subset (E, p_n), \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \). Hence \( x_0 \in K \subset (E, \{p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \).

**Proof of Theorem 3.8.** Since \( x \notin K \) and \( K \) is closed in \( (E_n, p_n) \), then there exists a set \( K_{x,n} \) of points in \( K \) which has a minimum distance with respect to \( p_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Since \( p_n(x) \leq p_{n+1}(x) \), \( E_{n+1} \subset E_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \) and each \( E_n \) has the semi-norms \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \), then \( K_{x,n} \) are nested decreasing sequence of closed sets, i.e. \( K_{x,n+1} \subset K_{x,n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \). Using Proposition 3.10, \( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_{x,n} \) is nonempty and it has a unique point denote it by \( \bar{x} \in K \).

Now we prove the uniqueness:

Assume that \( x^* \in K : p_n(x - x^*) = \inf_{y \in K} p_n(x - y) := d_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( x^* \neq \bar{x} \). Since \( \frac{\bar{x} + x^*}{2} \in K \) because of the convexity of \( K \), then

\[
d_n \leq p_n(x - \bar{x} + x^*) \leq p_n\left(\frac{x - \bar{x}}{2}\right) + p_n\left(\frac{x - x^*}{2}\right) = \frac{d_n}{2} + \frac{d_n}{2} = d_n,
\]

i.e., \( p_n(x - \frac{\bar{x} + x^*}{2}) = d_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \) where \( \bar{x} \neq \frac{\bar{x} + x^*}{2} \neq x^* \). This contradicts Lemma 3.9.
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