

MORE PROPERTIES OF AN OPERATION ON SEMI-GENERALIZED OPEN SETS

Nazihah Ahmad

*School of Quantitative Sciences
College of Arts and Sciences
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 Sintok, Kedah
Malaysia
nazihah@uum.edu.my*

Baravan A. Asaad*

*Department of Computer Science
College of Science
Cihan University-Duhok
Kurdistan-region
Iraq
and
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
University of Zakho
Kurdistan-region
Iraq
baravan.asaad@uoz.edu.krd*

Abstract. The paper continues studying properties of an operation on τ_{sg} . The notions of $sg\gamma$ -generalized closed sets and some of its properties are investigated. It also introduces $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space via $sg\gamma$ -generalized closed set and $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed set. Some basic characterization of $sg\text{-}(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute functions with $sg\text{-}\beta$ -closed graphs have been obtained. It studies the concept of $sg\text{-}\gamma_0$ -closed space. Finally, it gives some properties of $sg\text{-}\gamma^*$ -regular and $sg\text{-}\gamma^*$ -normal spaces by using sg -open and sg -closed sets.

Keywords: $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open sets, $sg\gamma$ -closed sets, $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_i$ spaces ($i \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 2\}$), $sg\text{-}(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute functions, $sg\text{-}\beta$ -closed graphs, $sg\text{-}\gamma_0$ -closed space, $sg\text{-}\gamma^*$ -regular and $sg\text{-}\gamma^*$ -normal spaces.

1. Introduction

Generalized open sets play a very important role in General Topology and they are now the research topics of many topologists worldwide. Indeed a significant theme in General Topology and Real Analysis concerns the various modified forms of continuity, separation axioms, compactness etc. by utilizing general-

*. Corresponding author

ized open sets. Levine [9] introduced the concept of semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces. In 1987, Battacharyya and Lahiri [4] used semi-open sets to define the notion of semi-generalized closed sets.

Kasahara [10] introduced the notion of an α operation approaches on a class τ of sets and studied the concept of α -continuous functions with α -closed graphs and α -compact spaces. After this, Jankovic [8] introduced the concept of α -closure of a set in X via α -operation and investigated further characterizations of function with α -closed graph. Later, Ogata [13] defined and studied the concept of γ -open sets, and applied it to investigate operation-functions and operation-separation axioms.

Recently, several researchers developed many concepts of operation γ in a space (X, τ) . Krishnan, Ganster and Balachandran [11] introduced and studied the concept of the operation γ on the class of all semi-open sets of (X, τ) , and defined the notion of semi γ -open sets and investigated some of their properties. An, Cuong and Maki [1] defined and investigated an operation γ on the class of all preopen sets of (X, τ) and introduced the notion of pre- γ -open sets, and developed some of their properties. Tahiliani [14] defined an operation γ on the class of all β -open sets of (X, τ) , and described the notion of β - γ -open sets. Carpintero, Rajesh and Rosas [5] studied the operation γ on the class of all b -open sets of (X, τ) , and defined the notion of b - γ -open sets. Asaad [2] defined the notion of an operation γ on the class of all generalized open sets in (X, τ) and study some of its applications. Asaad and Ahmad [3] introduced the concept of an operation γ on the collection of all semi-generalized open sets (i.e. τ_{sg}) in (X, τ) . By using this operation, they defined the concept of sg - γ -open sets and studied some of their properties. Also, they introduced and investigated sg - γ - T_i spaces for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

The aim of this study is to introduce the concept of $sg\gamma$ -generalized closed sets by utilizing the operation γ on τ_{sg} and then investigate some of its properties. In addition, sg - γ - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ spaces are introduced and investigated. Some basic properties of sg - (γ, β) -irresolute functions with sg - β -closed graphs have been obtained. We study the concept of sg - γ_0 -closed space and some of its properties. Finally, we give some spaces called sg - γ^* -regular and sg - γ^* -normal by using sg -open and sg -closed sets and study some of their properties.

2. Preliminaries

In this study, the spaces (X, τ) and (Y, σ) (or simply X and Y) represent non-empty spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed, unless otherwise mentioned, and they are simply written as X and Y , respectively, when no confusion arises. The closure and the interior of a set S of a space X are denoted by $Cl(S)$ and $Int(S)$, respectively. A subset S of a space X is said to be semi-open [9] if $S \subseteq Cl(Int(S))$. The complement of a semi-open set is said to be semi-closed [6]. We denote by $SO(X)$ the set of all semi-open sets in (X, τ) . The semi-closure of S is defined as the intersection of all semi-closed sets

containing S and it is denoted by $sCl(S)$ [6]. A subset S of a space (X, τ) is said to be semi-generalized closed (in short sg -closed) [4] if $sCl(S) \subseteq U$ whenever $S \subseteq U$ and U is a semi-open set in X . The complement of an sg -closed set of X is sg -open. The family of all sg -open subsets of a space (X, τ) is denoted by τ_{sg} . In general, every semi-closed set of a space X is sg -closed. A space (X, τ) is semi- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ [4] if every sg -closed subset of X is semi-closed.

An operation γ on $SO(X)$ on X is a mapping $\gamma: SO(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ such that $U \subseteq \gamma(U)$ for each $U \in SO(X)$, where $P(X)$ is the power set of X and $\gamma(U)$ denotes the value of γ at U . A non-empty subset S of a space (X, τ) with an operation γ on $SO(X)$ is said to be semi γ -open [11] if for each $x \in S$, there exists a semi-open set U containing x such that $\gamma(U) \subseteq S$. The complement of a semi γ -open subset of a space X as semi γ -closed. The family of all semi γ -open sets of a space (X, τ) is denoted by $SO(X)_{\gamma}$. A point $x \in X$ is in the semi γ -closure [11] of a set $S \subseteq X$ if $\gamma(U) \cap S \neq \phi$ for each semi-open set U containing x . The set of all semi γ -closure points of S is called semi γ -closure of S and is denoted by $sCl_{\gamma}(S)$. A subset S of (X, τ) with an operation γ on $SO(X)$ is said to be semi γ - g -closed [11] if $sCl_{\gamma}(S) \subseteq U$ whenever $S \subseteq U$ and U is a semi γ -open set in (X, τ) .

Definition 2.1 ([3]). An operation γ on τ_{sg} is a mapping $\gamma: \tau_{sg} \rightarrow P(X)$ such that $U \subseteq \gamma(U)$ for every $U \in \tau_{sg}$. From this, for any operation $\gamma: \tau_{sg} \rightarrow P(X)$, we have $\gamma(X) = X$. A non-empty set S of X is said to be sg - γ -open if for each $x \in S$, there exists an sg -open set U such that $x \in U$ and $\gamma(U) \subseteq S$. The complement of an sg - γ -open set of X is sg - γ -closed. Assume that the empty set ϕ is also sg - γ -open set for any operation $\gamma: \tau_{sg} \rightarrow P(X)$. The family of all sg - γ -open subsets of a space (X, τ) is denoted by $\tau_{sg\gamma}$.

The union of any collection of sg - γ -open sets in a topological space X is sg - γ -open. While, the intersection of any two sg - γ -open sets in (X, τ) is generally not an sg - γ -open set. The relation between the concept of sg -open set and sg - γ -open set are independent [3].

Definition 2.2. Let S be any subset of a space (X, τ) . Then the class of all sg - γ -open sets in S is defined in a natural way as:

$$\tau_{sg\gamma_S} = \{G \cap S : \text{for all } G \in \tau_{sg\gamma}\}$$

That is H is sg - γ -open in S if and only if $H = G \cap S$, where $G \in \tau_{sg\gamma}$.

Definition 2.3 ([3]). The point $x \in X$ is in the sg -closure $_{\gamma}$ of a set S if $\gamma(U) \cap S \neq \phi$ for each sg -open set U containing x . The set of all sg -closure $_{\gamma}$ points of S is called sg -closure $_{\gamma}$ of S and is denoted by $sgCl_{\gamma}(S)$.

Definition 2.4 ([3]). Let S be any subset of a topological space (X, τ) and γ be an operation on τ_{sg} . The sg - γ -closure of S is defined as the intersection of all sg - γ -closed sets of X containing S and it is denoted by $sg_{\gamma}Cl(S)$. That is,

$$sg_{\gamma}Cl(S) = \bigcap \{F : S \subseteq F, X \setminus F \in \tau_{sg\gamma}\}.$$

Theorem 2.5 ([3]). *Let S be any subset of a topological space (X, τ) and γ be an operation on τ_{sg} . Then $x \in sg_\gamma Cl(S)$ if and only if $S \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for every sg - γ -open set U of X containing x .*

Lemma 2.6 ([3]). *The following statements are true for any subsets S and T of a topological space (X, τ) with an operation γ on τ_{sg} .*

1. $sg_\gamma Cl(S)$ is sg - γ -closed set in X and $sgCl_\gamma(S)$ is sg -closed set in X .
2. $S \subseteq sgCl_\gamma(S) \subseteq sg_\gamma Cl(S)$.
3. (a) S is sg - γ -closed if and only if $sg_\gamma Cl(S) = S$ and,
 (b) S is sg - γ -closed if and only if $sgCl_\gamma(S) = S$.
4. If $S \subseteq T$, then $sg_\gamma Cl(S) \subseteq sg_\gamma Cl(T)$ and $sgCl_\gamma(S) \subseteq sgCl_\gamma(T)$.
5. (a) $sg_\gamma Cl(S \cap T) \subseteq sg_\gamma Cl(S) \cap sg_\gamma Cl(T)$ and,
 (b) $sgCl_\gamma(S \cap T) \subseteq sgCl_\gamma(S) \cap sgCl_\gamma(T)$.
6. (a) $sg_\gamma Cl(S) \cup sg_\gamma Cl(T) \subseteq sg_\gamma Cl(S \cup T)$ and,
 (b) $sgCl_\gamma(S) \cup sgCl_\gamma(T) \subseteq sgCl_\gamma(S \cup T)$.
7. $sg_\gamma Cl(sg_\gamma Cl(S)) = sg_\gamma Cl(S)$.

Theorem 2.7 ([3]). *Let S be any subset of a topological space (X, τ) and γ be an operation on τ_{sg} . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. S is sg - γ -open set.
2. $sgCl_\gamma(X \setminus S) = X \setminus S$.
3. $sg_\gamma Cl(X \setminus S) = X \setminus S$.
4. $X \setminus S$ is sg - γ -closed set.

Theorem 2.8 ([4]). *A topological space (X, τ) is semi- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ if and only if $\tau_{sg} = SO(X)$.*

Lemma 2.9 ([3]). *If the space (X, τ) is semi- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, then $\tau_{sg\gamma} = SO(X)_\gamma$.*

3. $sg\gamma$ -generalized closed sets and sg - γ - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ spaces

Definition 3.1. A subset S of a topological space (X, τ) with an operation γ on τ_{sg} is said to be $sg\gamma$ -generalized closed (in short $sg\gamma g$ -closed) if $sgCl_\gamma(S) \subseteq U$ whenever $S \subseteq U$ and U is an sg - γ -open set in X .

Lemma 3.2. *Let (X, τ) be a topological space and γ be an operation on τ_{sg} . A set S in (X, τ) is $sg\gamma g$ -closed if and only if $S \cap sg_\gamma Cl(\{x\}) \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in sgCl_\gamma(S)$.*

Proof. Suppose S is $sg\gamma g$ -closed set in X and suppose (if possible) that there exists an element $x \in sgCl_\gamma(S)$ such that $S \cap sg_\gamma Cl(\{x\}) = \phi$. This follows that $S \subseteq X \setminus sg_\gamma Cl(\{x\})$. Since $sg_\gamma Cl(\{x\})$ is sg - γ -closed implies $X \setminus sg_\gamma Cl(\{x\})$ is sg - γ -open and S is $sg\gamma g$ -closed set in X . Then, we have that $sgCl_\gamma(S) \subseteq X \setminus sg_\gamma Cl(\{x\})$. This means that $x \notin sgCl_\gamma(S)$. This is a contradiction. Hence $S \cap sg_\gamma Cl(\{x\}) \neq \phi$.

Conversely, let $U \in \tau_{sg\gamma}$ such that $S \subseteq U$. To show that $sgCl_\gamma(S) \subseteq U$. Let $x \in sgCl_\gamma(S)$. Then by hypothesis, $S \cap sg_\gamma Cl(\{x\}) \neq \phi$. So there exists an element $y \in S \cap sg_\gamma Cl(\{x\})$. Thus $y \in S \subseteq U$ and $y \in sg_\gamma Cl(\{x\})$. By Theorem 2.5, $\{x\} \cap U \neq \phi$. Hence $x \in U$ and so $sgCl_\gamma(S) \subseteq U$. Therefore, S is $sg\gamma g$ -closed set in (X, τ) . \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let S be a subset of topological space (X, τ) and γ be an operation on τ_{sg} . If S is $sg\gamma g$ -closed, then $sgCl_\gamma(S) \setminus S$ does not contain any non-empty sg - γ -closed set.*

Proof. Let F be a non-empty sg - γ -closed set in X such that $F \subseteq sgCl_\gamma(S) \setminus S$. Then $F \subseteq X \setminus S$ implies $S \subseteq X \setminus F$. Since $X \setminus F$ is sg - γ -open set and S is $sg\gamma g$ -closed set, then $sgCl_\gamma(S) \subseteq X \setminus F$. That is $F \subseteq X \setminus sgCl_\gamma(S)$. Hence $F \subseteq X \setminus sgCl_\gamma(S) \cap sgCl_\gamma(S) \setminus S \subseteq X \setminus sgCl_\gamma(S) \cap sgCl_\gamma(S) = \phi$. This shows that $F = \phi$. This is contradiction. Therefore, $F \not\subseteq sgCl_\gamma(S) \setminus S$. \square

Recall that an operation γ on τ_{sg} is said to be sg -open [3] if for each $x \in X$ and for every sg -open set U containing x , there exists an sg - γ -open set W containing x such that $W \subseteq \gamma(U)$.

Theorem 3.4 ([3]). *Let S be any subset of a topological space (X, τ) . If γ is an sg -open operation on τ_{sg} , then $sgCl_\gamma(S) = sg_\gamma Cl(S)$, $sgCl_\gamma(sgCl_\gamma(S)) = sgCl_\gamma(S)$ and $sgCl_\gamma(S)$ is sg - γ -closed set in X .*

Theorem 3.5. *If $\gamma: \tau_{sg} \rightarrow P(X)$ is an sg -open operation, then the converse of the Theorem 3.3 is true.*

Proof. Let U be an sg - γ -open set in (X, τ) such that $S \subseteq U$. Since $\gamma: \tau_{sg} \rightarrow P(X)$ is an sg -open operation, then by Theorem 3.4, $sgCl_\gamma(S)$ is sg - γ -closed set in X . Thus, we have $sgCl_\gamma(S) \cap X \setminus U$ is an sg - γ -closed set in (X, τ) . Since $X \setminus U \subseteq X \setminus S$, $sgCl_\gamma(S) \cap X \setminus U \subseteq sgCl_\gamma(S) \setminus S$. Using the assumption of the converse of the Theorem 3.3, $sgCl_\gamma(S) \subseteq U$. Therefore, S is $sg\gamma g$ -closed set in (X, τ) . \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let S be an $sg\gamma g$ -closed subset of topological space (X, τ) and let γ be an operation on τ_{sg} . Then S is sg - γ -closed if and only if $sgCl_\gamma(S) \setminus S$ is sg - γ -closed set.*

Proof. Let S be an sg - γ -closed set in (X, τ) . Then by Lemma 2.6 (3b), $sgCl_\gamma(S) = S$ and hence $sgCl_\gamma(S) \setminus S = \phi$ which is sg - γ -closed set.

Conversely, suppose $sgCl_\gamma(S)\setminus S$ is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed and S is $sg\gamma g$ -closed. Then by Theorem 3.3, $sgCl_\gamma(S)\setminus S$ does not contain any non-empty $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed set and since $sgCl_\gamma(S)\setminus S$ is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed subset of itself, then $sgCl_\gamma(S)\setminus S = \phi$ implies $sgCl_\gamma(S) \cap X\setminus S = \phi$. Hence $sgCl_\gamma(S) = S$. This follows from Lemma 2.6 (3b) that S is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed set in (X, τ) . □

Theorem 3.7. *Let (X, τ) be a topological space and γ be an operation on τ_{sg} . If a subset S of X is $sg\gamma g$ -closed and $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open, then S is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed.*

Proof. Since S is $sg\gamma g$ -closed and $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open set in X , then $sgCl_\gamma(S) \subseteq S$ and hence by Lemma 2.6 (3b), S is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed. □

Theorem 3.8. *In any topological space (X, τ) with an operation γ on τ_{sg} . For an element $x \in X$, the set $X\setminus\{x\}$ is $sg\gamma g$ -closed or $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open.*

Proof. Suppose that $X\setminus\{x\}$ is not $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open. Then X is the only $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open set containing $X\setminus\{x\}$. This implies that $sgCl_\gamma(X\setminus\{x\}) \subseteq X$. Thus $X\setminus\{x\}$ is an $sg\gamma g$ -closed set in X . □

Corollary 3.9. *In any topological space (X, τ) with an operation γ on τ_{sg} . For an element $x \in X$, either the set $\{x\}$ is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed or the set $X\setminus\{x\}$ is $sg\gamma g$ -closed.*

Proof. Suppose $\{x\}$ is not $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed, then $X\setminus\{x\}$ is not $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open. Hence by Theorem 3.8, $X\setminus\{x\}$ is $sg\gamma g$ -closed set in X . □

Definition 3.10. Let S be any subset of a topological space (X, τ) and γ be an operation on τ_{sg} . Then the $\tau_{sg\gamma}$ -kernel of S is denoted by $\tau_{sg\gamma}\text{-ker}(S)$ and is defined as follows:

$$\tau_{sg\gamma}\text{-ker}(S) = \cap \{U : S \subseteq U \text{ and } U \in \tau_{sg\gamma}\}$$

In other words, $\tau_{sg\gamma}\text{-ker}(S)$ is the intersection of all $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open sets of (X, τ) containing S .

Theorem 3.11. *Let $S \subseteq (X, \tau)$ and γ be an operation on τ_{sg} . Then S is $sg\gamma g$ -closed if and only if $sgCl_\gamma(S) \subseteq \tau_{sg\gamma}\text{-ker}(S)$.*

Proof. Suppose that S is $sg\gamma g$ -closed. Then $sgCl_\gamma(S) \subseteq U$, whenever $S \subseteq U$ and U is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open. Let $x \in sgCl_\gamma(S)$. Then by Lemma 3.2, $S \cap sg_\gamma Cl(\{x\}) \neq \phi$. So there exists a point z in X such that $z \in S \cap sg_\gamma Cl(\{x\})$ implies that $z \in S \subseteq U$ and $z \in sg_\gamma Cl(\{x\})$. By Theorem 2.5, $\{x\} \cap U \neq \phi$. Hence we show that $x \in \tau_{sg\gamma}\text{-ker}(S)$. Therefore, $sgCl_\gamma(S) \subseteq \tau_{sg\gamma}\text{-ker}(S)$. Conversely, let $sgCl_\gamma(S) \subseteq \tau_{sg\gamma}\text{-ker}(S)$. Let U be any $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open set containing S . Let x be a point in X such that $x \in sgCl_\gamma(S)$. Then $x \in \tau_{sg\gamma}\text{-ker}(S)$. Namely, we have $x \in U$, because $S \subseteq U$ and $U \in \tau_{sg\gamma}$. That is $sgCl_\gamma(S) \subseteq \tau_{sg\gamma}\text{-ker}(S) \subseteq U$. Therefore, S is $sg\gamma g$ -closed set in X . □

Definition 3.12. A topological space (X, τ) is said to be:

1. $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_0$ [3] (resp., semi $\gamma\text{-}T_0$ [11]) if for any two distinct points x, y in X , there exists an sg -open (resp., a semi-open) set U such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin \gamma(U)$ or $y \in U$ and $x \notin \gamma(U)$.
2. $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_1$ [3] (resp., semi $\gamma\text{-}T_1$ [11]) if for any two distinct points x, y in X , there exist two sg -open (resp., semi-open) sets U and V containing x and y respectively such that $y \notin \gamma(U)$ and $x \notin \gamma(V)$.
3. $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_2$ [3] (resp., semi $\gamma\text{-}T_2$ [11]) if for any two distinct points x, y in X , there exist two sg -open (resp., semi-open) sets U and V containing x and y respectively such that $\gamma(U) \cap \gamma(V) = \phi$.
4. semi $\gamma\text{-}T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ [11] if every semi γ - g -closed set in X is semi γ -closed.

Definition 3.13. A topological space (X, τ) with an operation γ on τ_{sg} is said to be $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ if every $sg\gamma g$ -closed set in X is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed set.

Theorem 3.14. For any topological space (X, τ) with an operation γ on τ_{sg} . Then (X, τ) is $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ if and only if for each element $x \in X$, the set $\{x\}$ is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed or $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open.

Proof. Let X be an $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space and let $\{x\}$ is not $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed set in (X, τ) . By Corollary 3.9, $X \setminus \{x\}$ is $sg\gamma g$ -closed. Since (X, τ) is $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, then $X \setminus \{x\}$ is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed set which means that $\{x\}$ is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open set in X .

Conversely, let F be any $sg\gamma g$ -closed set in the space (X, τ) . We have to show that F is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed (that is $sgCl_{\gamma}(F) = F$ (by Lemma 2.6 (3b))). It is sufficient to show that $sgCl_{\gamma}(F) \subseteq F$. Let $x \in sgCl_{\gamma}(F)$. By hypothesis $\{x\}$ is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed or $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open for each $x \in X$. So we have two cases:

Case (1): If $\{x\}$ is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed set. Suppose $x \notin F$, then $x \in sgCl_{\gamma}(F) \setminus F$ contains a non-empty $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed set $\{x\}$. A contradiction since F is $sg\gamma g$ -closed set and according to the Theorem 3.3. Hence $x \in F$. This follows that $sgCl_{\gamma}(F) \subseteq F$ and hence $sgCl_{\gamma}(F) = F$. This means from by Lemma 2.6 (3b) that F is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed set in (X, τ) . Thus (X, τ) is $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space.

Case (2): If $\{x\}$ is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open set. Then by Theorem 2.5, $F \cap \{x\} \neq \phi$ which implies that $x \in F$. So $sgCl_{\gamma}(F) \subseteq F$. Thus by Lemma 2.6 (3b), F is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed. Therefore, (X, τ) is $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space. \square

Theorem 3.15. For any topological space (X, τ) and any operation γ on τ_{sg} , the following properties hold.

1. Every $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_2$ space is $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_1$, and every $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_1$ space is $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_0$ [3].
2. Every $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_1$ space is $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_{\frac{1}{2}}$.
3. Every $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space is $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_0$.

Remark 3.16. The following diagram of implications follows directly from Theorem 3.15, Remark 3.5 in [11] and Remark 4.12 in [11], we obtain the following diagram of implications.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 sg - \gamma - T_2 & \longrightarrow & sg - \gamma - T_1 & \longrightarrow & sg - \gamma - T_{\frac{1}{2}} & \longrightarrow & sg - \gamma - T_0 \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \text{semi}\gamma - T_2 & \longrightarrow & \text{semi}\gamma - T_1 & \longrightarrow & \text{semi}\gamma - T_{\frac{1}{2}} & \longrightarrow & \text{semi}\gamma - T_0
 \end{array}$$

Where $S \rightarrow T$ represents S implies T .

In the sequel, we shall show that none of the implications that concerning $sg-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space in the above diagram is reversible.

Example 3.17. Consider the space (X, τ) as in Example 3.6 in [3]. Then the space (X, τ) is $sg-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, but (X, τ) is not $\text{semi } \gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Example 3.18. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\} = SO(X)$. Then $\tau_{sg} = P(X)$. Let $\gamma: \tau_{sg} \rightarrow P(X)$ be an operation on τ_{sg} defined as follows:
 For every set $S \in \tau_{sg}$

$$\gamma(S) = \begin{cases} S, & \text{if } b \in S \\ Cl(S), & \text{if } b \notin S \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\tau_{sg\gamma} = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$, and the sets $\{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}$ are $sg\gamma g$ -closed. Then the space (X, τ) is $sg-\gamma-T_0$, but it is not $sg-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $\{a, b\}$ is $sg\gamma g$ -closed set in (X, τ) , but $\{a, b\}$ is not $sg-\gamma$ -closed set in (X, τ) .

Example 3.19. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$. Then $\tau_{sg} = \tau$. Let $\gamma: \tau_{sg} \rightarrow P(X)$ be an operation on τ_{sg} defined as follows:
 For every set $S \in \tau_{sg}$

$$\gamma(S) = \begin{cases} S, & \text{if } a \in S \\ Cl(S), & \text{if } a \notin S \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\tau_{sg\gamma} = \tau$. Therefore, the space (X, τ) is $sg-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, but it is not $sg-\gamma-T_1$.

4. $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute functions with $sg-\beta$ -closed graphs

Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two topological spaces and let $\gamma: \tau_{sg} \rightarrow P(X)$ and $\beta: \sigma_{sg} \rightarrow P(Y)$ be operations on τ_{sg} and σ_{sg} respectively. In this section, we introduce a new class of functions called $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute. Some characterizations and properties of this function are investigated.

Definition 4.1. A function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute if for each $x \in X$ and each sg -open set V containing $f(x)$, there exists an sg -open set U containing x such that $f(\gamma(U)) \subseteq \beta(V)$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute function, then,

1. $f(\text{sgCl}_\gamma(S)) \subseteq \text{sgCl}_\beta(f(S))$, for every $S \subseteq (X, \tau)$.
2. $f^{-1}(F)$ is $\text{sg-}\gamma$ -closed set in (X, τ) , for every $\text{sg-}\beta$ -closed set F of (Y, σ) .

Proof. (1) Let $y \in f(\text{sgCl}_\gamma(S))$ and V be any sg -open set containing y . Then by hypothesis, there exists $x \in X$ and sg -open set U containing x such that $f(x) = y$ and $f(\gamma(U)) \subseteq \beta(V)$. Since $x \in \text{sgCl}_\gamma(S)$, we have $\gamma(U) \cap S \neq \phi$. Hence $\phi \neq f(\gamma(U) \cap S) \subseteq f(\gamma(U)) \cap f(S) \subseteq \beta(V) \cap f(S)$. This implies that $y \in \text{sgCl}_\beta(f(S))$. Therefore, $f(\text{sgCl}_\gamma(S)) \subseteq \text{sgCl}_\beta(f(S))$.

(2) Let F be any $\text{sg-}\beta$ -closed set of (Y, σ) . By using (1), we have

$$f(\text{sgCl}_\gamma(f^{-1}(F))) \subseteq \text{sgCl}_\beta(F) = F.$$

Therefore, $\text{sgCl}_\gamma(f^{-1}(F)) = f^{-1}(F)$. Hence $f^{-1}(F)$ is $\text{sg-}\gamma$ -closed set in (X, τ) . \square

Recall that a topological space (X, τ) with an operation γ on τ_{sg} is said to be $\text{sg-}\gamma$ -regular [3] if for each $x \in X$ and for each sg -open set U containing x , there exists an sg -open set W such that $x \in W$ and $\gamma(W) \subseteq U$. The space (X, τ) is an $\text{sg-}\gamma$ -regular if and only if $\tau_{\text{sg}} \subseteq \tau_{\text{sg}\gamma}$ [3].

Theorem 4.3. *In Theorem 4.2, the properties of $\text{sg-}(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresoluteness of f , (1) and (2) are equivalent to each other if either the space (Y, σ) is $\text{sg-}\beta$ -regular or the operation β is sg -open.*

Proof. It follows from the proof of Theorem 4.2 that we know the following implications: " $\text{sg-}(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresoluteness of f " \Rightarrow (1) \Rightarrow (2). Thus, when the space (Y, σ) is $\text{sg-}\beta$ -regular, we prove the implication: (2) \Rightarrow $\text{sg-}(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresoluteness of f . Let $x \in X$ and let $V \in \sigma_{\text{sg}}$ such that $f(x) \in V$. Since (Y, σ) is an $\text{sg-}\beta$ -regular space, then $V \in \sigma_{\text{g}\beta}$. By using (2) of Theorem 4.2, $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau_{\text{sg}\gamma}$ such that $x \in f^{-1}(V)$. So there exists an sg -open set U such that $x \in U$ and $\gamma(U) \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. This implies that $f(\gamma(U)) \subseteq V \subseteq \beta(V)$. Therefore, f is $\text{sg-}(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute.

Now, when β is an sg -open operation, we show the implication: (2) \Rightarrow $\text{sg-}(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresoluteness of f . Let $x \in X$ and let $V \in \sigma_{\text{sg}}$ such that $f(x) \in V$. Since β is an sg -open operation, then there exists $W \in \sigma_{\text{g}\beta}$ such that $f(x) \in W$ and $W \subseteq \beta(V)$. By using (2) of Theorem 4.2, $f^{-1}(W) \in \tau_{\text{sg}\gamma}$ such that $x \in f^{-1}(W)$. So there exists an sg -open set U such that $x \in U$ and $\gamma(U) \subseteq f^{-1}(W) \subseteq f^{-1}(\beta(V))$. This implies that $f(\gamma(U)) \subseteq \beta(V)$. Hence f is $\text{sg-}(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute. \square

Definition 4.4. A function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be

1. $\text{sg-}(\gamma, \beta)$ -closed if the image of each $\text{sg-}\gamma$ -closed set of X is $\text{sg-}\beta$ -closed in Y .
2. $\text{sg-}\beta$ -closed if the image of each sg -closed set of X is $\text{sg-}\beta$ -closed in Y .

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose that a function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is both $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute and $sg-\beta$ -closed, then:*

1. *For every $sg\gamma g$ -closed set S of (X, τ) , the image $f(S)$ is $sg\beta g$ -closed in (Y, σ) .*
2. *If (X, τ) is semi- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, then the inverse set $f^{-1}(T)$ is $sg\gamma g$ -closed in (X, τ) , for every $sg\beta g$ -closed set T of (Y, σ) .*

Proof. (1) Let G be any $sg-\beta$ -open set in (Y, σ) such that $f(S) \subseteq G$. Since f is $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute function, then by using Theorem 4.2 (2), $f^{-1}(G)$ is $sg-\gamma$ -open set in (X, τ) . Since S is $sg\gamma g$ -closed and $S \subseteq f^{-1}(G)$, we have $sgCl_{\gamma}(S) \subseteq f^{-1}(G)$, and hence $f(sgCl_{\gamma}(S)) \subseteq G$. Thus, by Lemma 2.6 (1), $sgCl_{\gamma}(S)$ is sg -closed set and since f is $sg-\beta$ -closed, then $f(sgCl_{\gamma}(S))$ is $sg-\beta$ -closed set in Y . Therefore, $sgCl_{\beta}(f(S)) \subseteq sgCl_{\beta}(f(sgCl_{\gamma}(S))) = f(sgCl_{\gamma}(S)) \subseteq G$. This implies that $f(S)$ is $sg\beta g$ -closed in (Y, σ) .

(2) Let H be any $sg-\gamma$ -open set of a semi- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space (X, τ) such that $f^{-1}(T) \subseteq H$. Let $C = sgCl_{\gamma}(f^{-1}(T)) \cap (X \setminus H)$, then by Theorem 2.7, $C = sgCl_{\gamma}(f^{-1}(T)) \cap sgCl_{\gamma}(X \setminus H)$ and hence by Lemma 2.6 (1) and Theorem 2.8, C is sg -closed set in (X, τ) . Since f is $sg-\beta$ -closed function. Then $f(C)$ is $sg-\beta$ -closed in (Y, σ) . Since f is $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute function, then by using Theorem 4.2 (1), we have $f(C) = f(sgCl_{\gamma}(f^{-1}(T))) \cap f(X \setminus H) \subseteq sgCl_{\beta}(T) \cap f(X \setminus H) \subseteq sgCl_{\beta}(T) \cap (Y \setminus T) = sgCl_{\beta}(T) \setminus T$. Since T is an $sg\beta g$ -closed set of (Y, σ) . Thus, this implies from Theorem 3.3 that $f(C) = \phi$, and hence $C = \phi$. So $sgCl_{\gamma}(f^{-1}(T)) \subseteq H$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(T)$ is $sg\gamma g$ -closed in (X, τ) . □

Theorem 4.6. *Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be injection, $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute and $sg-\beta$ -closed function. If (Y, σ) is $sg-\beta-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, then (X, τ) is $sg-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Proof. Let G be any $sg\gamma g$ -closed set of (X, τ) . Since f is $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute and $sg-\beta$ -closed function. Then by Theorem 4.5 (1), $f(G)$ is $sg\beta g$ -closed in (Y, σ) . Since (Y, σ) is $sg-\beta-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, then $f(G)$ is $sg-\beta$ -closed in Y . Again, since f is $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute, then by Theorem 4.2 (2), $f^{-1}(f(G))$ is $sg-\gamma$ -closed in X . Hence G is $sg-\gamma$ -closed in X since f is injection. Therefore, (X, τ) is an $sg-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space. □

Theorem 4.7. *Let a function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be surjection, $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute and $sg-\beta$ -closed. If (X, τ) is $sg-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, then (Y, σ) is $sg-\beta-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Proof. Let H be an $sg\beta g$ -closed set of (Y, σ) . Since f is $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute and $sg-\beta$ -closed function. Then by Theorem 4.5 (2), $f^{-1}(H)$ is $sg\gamma g$ -closed in (X, τ) . Since (X, τ) is $sg-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, then we have, $f^{-1}(H)$ is $sg-\gamma$ -closed set in X . Again, since f is $sg-\beta$ -closed function, then $f(f^{-1}(H))$ is $sg-\beta$ -closed in Y . Therefore, H is $sg-\beta$ -closed in Y since f is surjection. Hence (Y, σ) is $sg-\beta-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space. □

Theorem 4.8. *If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is injection $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute function and the space (Y, σ) is $sg-\beta-T_2$, then the space (X, τ) is $sg-\gamma-T_2$.*

Proof. Let x_1 and x_2 be any distinct points of a space (X, τ) . Since f is injection function and (Y, σ) is $sg-\beta-T_2$. Then there exist two sg -open sets U_1 and U_2 in Y such that $f(x_1) \in U_1$, $f(x_2) \in U_2$ and $\beta(U_1) \cap \beta(U_2) = \phi$. Since f is $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute, there exist sg -open sets V_1 and V_2 in X such that $x_1 \in V_1$, $x_2 \in V_2$, $f(\gamma(V_1)) \subseteq \beta(U_1)$ and $f(\gamma(V_2)) \subseteq \beta(U_2)$. Therefore $\beta(U_1) \cap \beta(U_2) = \phi$. Hence (X, τ) is $sg-\gamma-T_2$. \square

Theorem 4.9. *If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is injection $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute function and the space (Y, σ) is $sg-\beta-T_i$, then the space (X, τ) is $sg-\gamma-T_i$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 4.8. \square

Definition 4.10. A function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -homeomorphism if f is bijection, $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute and f^{-1} is $sg-(\beta, \gamma)$ -irresolute.

Theorem 4.11. *Suppose that the function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is bijection $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute and β be an sg -open operation. Then f is $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -open (resp., $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -closed) if and only if f^{-1} is $sg-(\beta, \gamma)$ -irresolute.*

Proof. Obvious. \square

Theorem 4.12. *Assume that a function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is $sg-(\gamma, \beta)$ -homeomorphism. If (X, τ) is $sg-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, then (Y, σ) is $sg-\beta-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Proof. Let $\{y\}$ be any singleton set of (Y, σ) . Then there exists an element x of X such that $y = f(x)$. So by hypothesis and Theorem 3.14, we have $\{x\}$ is $sg-\gamma$ -closed or $sg-\gamma$ -open set in X . By using Theorem 4.2, $\{y\}$ is $sg-\beta$ -closed or $sg-\beta$ -open set. Hence the space by Theorem 3.14, (Y, σ) is $sg-\beta-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$. \square

For a function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, the subset $\{(x, f(x)) : x \in X\}$ of the product space $(X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$ is called the graph of f and is denoted by $G(f)$ [7]. In this section, we further investigate general operator approaches of closed graphs of functions. Let $\lambda: (\tau \times \sigma)_{sg} \rightarrow P(X \times Y)$ be an operation on $(\tau \times \sigma)_{sg}$.

Definition 4.13. The graph $G(f)$ of $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called $sg-\beta$ -closed if for each $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$, there exist sg -open sets $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq Y$ containing x and y , respectively, such that $(U \times \beta(V)) \cap G(f) = \phi$.

The proof of the following lemma follows directly from the above definition.

Lemma 4.14. *A function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ has $sg-\beta$ -closed graph if and only if for each $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$, there exist $U \in \tau_{sg}$ containing x and $V \in \sigma_{sg}$ containing y such that $f(U) \cap \beta(V) = \phi$.*

Definition 4.15. An operation $\lambda: (\tau \times \sigma)_{sg} \rightarrow P(X \times Y)$ is said to be *sg-associated* with γ and β if $\lambda(U \times V) = \gamma(U) \times \beta(V)$ holds for each $U \in \tau_{sg}$ and $V \in \sigma_{sg}$.

Recall that an operation γ on τ_{sg} is said to be *sg-regular* [3] if for each $x \in X$ and for every pair of *sg-open* sets U_1 and U_2 such that both containing x , there exists an *sg-open* set W containing x such that $\gamma(W) \subseteq \gamma(U_1) \cap \gamma(U_2)$.

Definition 4.16. The operation $\lambda: (\tau \times \sigma)_{sg} \rightarrow P(X \times Y)$ is said to be *sg-regular* with respect to γ and β if for each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and each *sg-open* set W containing (x, y) , there exist *sg-open* sets U in X and V in Y such that $x \in U$, $y \in V$ and $\gamma(U) \times \beta(V) \subseteq \lambda(W)$.

Theorem 4.17. Let $\lambda: (\tau \times \tau)_{sg} \rightarrow P(X \times X)$ be an *sg-associated* operation with γ and γ . If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an *sg-(γ, β)-irresolute* function and (Y, σ) is an *sg- β - T_2* space, then the set $S = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : f(x) = f(y)\}$ is an *sg- λ -closed* set of $(X \times X, \tau \times \tau)$.

Proof. We want to prove that $sgCl_\lambda(S) \subseteq S$. Let $(x, y) \in (X \times X) \setminus S$. Since (Y, σ) is *sg- β - T_2* . Then there exist two *sg-open* sets U and V in (Y, σ) such that $f(x) \in U$, $f(y) \in V$ and $\beta(U) \cap \beta(V) = \phi$. Moreover, for U and V there exist *sg-open* sets G and H in (X, τ) such that $x \in G$, $y \in H$ and $f(\gamma(G)) \subseteq \beta(U)$ and $f(\gamma(H)) \subseteq \beta(V)$ since f is *sg-(γ, β)-irresolute*. Therefore we have $(x, y) \in \gamma(G) \times \gamma(H) = \lambda(G \times H) \cap S = \phi$ because $G \times H \in (\tau \times \tau)_{sg}$. This shows that $(x, y) \notin sgCl_\lambda(S)$. □

Corollary 4.18. Suppose $\lambda: (\tau \times \tau)_{sg} \rightarrow P(X \times X)$ is *sg-associated* operation with γ and γ , and it is *sg-regular* with γ and γ . A space (X, τ) is *sg- γ - T_2* if and only if the diagonal set $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ is *sg- λ -closed* of $(X \times X, \tau \times \tau)$.

Theorem 4.19. Let $\lambda: (\tau \times \sigma)_{sg} \rightarrow P(X \times Y)$ be an *sg-associated* operation with γ and β . If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is *sg-(γ, β)-irresolute* and (Y, σ) is *sg- β - T_2* , then the graph of f , $G(f) = \{(x, f(x)) \in X \times Y\}$ is an *sg- λ -closed* set of $(X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 4.17. □

Definition 4.20. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and γ be an operation on τ_{sg} . A subset S of X is said to be *sg- γ -compact* if for every *sg-open* cover $\{U_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of S , there exists a finite subfamily $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n\}$ such that $S \subseteq \gamma(U_1) \cup \gamma(U_2) \cup \dots \cup \gamma(U_n)$.

Theorem 4.21. Suppose that γ is *sg-regular* and $\lambda: (\tau \times \sigma)_{sg} \rightarrow P(X \times Y)$ is *sg-regular* with respect to γ and β . Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function whose graph $G(f)$ is *sg- λ -closed* in $(X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$. If a subset S is *sg- β -closed* in (Y, σ) , then $f^{-1}(S)$ is *sg- γ -closed* in (X, τ) .

Proof. Suppose that $f^{-1}(S)$ is not $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed then there exist a point x such that $x \in sgCl_\gamma(f^{-1}(S))$ and $x \notin f^{-1}(S)$. Since $(x, s) \notin G(f)$ and each $s \in S$ and $sgCl_\lambda(G(f)) \subseteq G(f)$, there exists an sg -open set W of $(X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$ such that $(x, s) \in W$ and $\beta(W) \cap G(f) = \phi$. By sg -regularity of λ , for each $s \in S$ we can take two sg -open sets $U(s)$ and $V(s)$ in (Y, σ) such that $x \in U(s)$, $s \in V(s)$ and $\gamma(U(s)) \times \beta(V(s)) \subseteq \lambda(W)$. Then we have $f(\gamma(U(s))) \cap \beta(V(s)) = \phi$. Since $\{V(s) : s \in S\}$ is sg -open cover of S , then by $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -compactness there exists a finite number $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in S$ such that $S \subseteq \beta(V(s_1)) \cup \beta(V(s_2)) \cup \dots \cup \beta(V(s_n))$. By the sg -regularity of γ , there exist an sg -open set U such that $x \in U$, $\gamma(U) \subseteq \gamma(U(s_1)) \cap \gamma(U(s_2)) \cap \dots \cap \gamma(U(s_n))$. Therefore, we have $\gamma(U) \cap f^{-1}(S) \subseteq U(s_i) \cap f^{-1}(\beta(V(s_i))) = \phi$. This shows that $x \notin sgCl_\gamma(f^{-1}(S))$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $f^{-1}(S)$ is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed. \square

Theorem 4.22. *Suppose that the following condition hold:*

1. $\gamma: \tau_{sg} \rightarrow P(X)$ is sg -open
2. $\beta: \sigma_{sg} \rightarrow P(Y)$ is sg -regular, and
3. $\lambda: (\tau \times \sigma)_{sg} \rightarrow P(X \times Y)$ is associated with γ and β , and λ is sg -regular with respect to γ and β .

Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function whose graph $G(f)$ is $sg\text{-}\lambda$ -closed in $(X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$. If every cover of S by $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open sets of (X, τ) has finite sub cover, then $f(S)$ is $sg\text{-}\beta$ -closed in (Y, σ) .

Proof. Similar to Theorem 4.21. \square

5. $sg\text{-}\gamma_0$ -closed spaces

Definition 5.1. A space X is said to be $sg\text{-}\gamma_0$ -closed if for every cover $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ of X by $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open sets of X , there exists a finite subset I_0 of I such that $X = \bigcup_{i \in I_0} sgCl_\gamma(U_i)$.

Theorem 5.2. *A space X is $sg\text{-}\gamma_0$ -closed if and only if every class of $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open and $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed sets with empty intersection has a finite subclass with empty intersection.*

Proof. Obvious. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function and β be an sg -open operation. Then f is $sg\text{-}(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute if and only if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -open set in X , for every $sg\text{-}\beta$ -open set V of Y .*

Proof. Obvious. \square

Theorem 5.4. *Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an $sg\text{-}(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute function from an $sg\text{-}\gamma_0$ -closed X onto a space Y and β be an sg -open operation. Then Y is $sg\text{-}\beta_0$ -closed.*

Proof. Let $\{V_i : i \in I\}$ be a cover of Y by sg - β -open sets of Y . Since f is sg - (γ, β) -irresolute and β is an sg -open operation. Then by Lemma 5.3, the cover $\{U_i : U_i = f^{-1}(V_i) : i \in I\}$ of X is an sg - γ -open sets of X . Since X is sg - γ_0 -closed, then there exists a finite subset I_0 of I such that $X = \bigcup_{i \in I_0} sgCl_\gamma(U_i)$. This gives that

$$\begin{aligned} Y = f(X) &= f\left(\bigcup_{i \in I_0} sgCl_\gamma(U_i)\right) \\ &= \bigcup_{i \in I_0} f(sgCl_\gamma(U_i)) \text{ (by Theorem 4.2)} \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I_0} sgCl_\beta(f(U_i)) = \bigcup_{i \in I_0} sgCl_\beta(V_i). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the space Y is sg - β_0 -closed. □

Definition 5.5. A subset S of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be sg - γ_0 -closed if for every cover $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ of S by sg - γ -open sets of X , there exists a finite subset I_0 of I such that $S \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I_0} sgCl_\gamma(U_i)$.

Theorem 5.6. Suppose that $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is injection sg - (γ, β) -irresolute function and the operation β is sg -open. If S is an sg - γ_0 -closed subspace of a space X . Then $f(S)$ is sg - β_0 -closed subspace of a space Y .

Proof. Let $\{V_i : i \in I\}$ be a cover of $f(S)$ by sg - β -open sets of Y . Then $V_i = f(S) \cap U_i$, where U_i is an sg - β -open sets in Y . Since f is sg - (γ, β) -irresolute and β is an sg -open operation. Then by Lemma 5.3, $f^{-1}(U_i)$ is sg - γ -open sets in X . Therefore, we have $f^{-1}(V_i) = S \cap f^{-1}(U_i)$ and hence the cover $\{f^{-1}(V_i) : i \in I\}$ of S is an sg - γ -open sets of X . Since S is sg - γ_0 -closed, then there exists a finite subset I_0 of I such that $S \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I_0} sgCl_\gamma(f^{-1}(V_i))$. This gives that

$$\begin{aligned} f(S) &\subseteq f\left(\bigcup_{i \in I_0} sgCl_\gamma(f^{-1}(V_i))\right) \\ &= \bigcup_{i \in I_0} f(sgCl_\gamma(f^{-1}(V_i))) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I_0} sgCl_\beta(f(f^{-1}(V_i))) \text{ (by Theorem 4.2)} \\ &= \bigcup_{i \in I_0} sgCl_\beta(V_i). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $f(S)$ is sg - β_0 -closed subspace of a space Y . □

Theorem 5.7. If γ is an sg -regular operation. Then each sg - γ -closed subset of an sg - γ_0 -closed space X is sg - γ_0 -closed.

Proof. Let F be an sg - γ -closed subset of an sg - γ_0 -closed space X , and let $\{V_i : i \in I\}$ be a cover of F by sg - γ -open sets of X . Then for sg - γ -open set V_i in F , we have $V_i = F \cap U_i$, where U_i is an sg - γ -open sets in X . Since X is sg - γ_0 -closed

space, then there exists a finite subset I_0 of I such that $X = \bigcup_{i \in I_0} sgCl_\gamma(U_i)$. Since γ is an sg -regular operation. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F &= F \cap X \\ &= sgCl_\gamma(F) \cap \bigcup_{i \in I_0} sgCl_\gamma(U_i) \text{ (by Lemma 2.6)} \\ &= \bigcup_{i \in I_0} (sgCl_\gamma(F) \cap sgCl_\gamma(U_i)) \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I_0} (sgCl_\gamma(F \cap U_i)) \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I_0} (sgCl_\gamma(V_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the set F is sg - γ_0 -closed. \square

Definition 5.8. An operation γ on τ_{sg} is said to be sg - γ -open if $\gamma(U)$ is sg - γ -open for each sg - γ -open set U .

Theorem 5.9. Let γ be both sg -regular and sg - γ -open operation, and let X be an sg - γ - T_2 space. Suppose that F is an sg - γ_0 -closed subset of X and $x \in X \setminus F$. Then there are sg -open sets U_x and V_x in X such that $x \in \gamma(U_x)$, $F \subseteq \gamma(V_x)$ and $\gamma(U_x) \cap \gamma(V_x) = \phi$.

Proof. Suppose that F is an sg - γ_0 -closed subset of X and $x \in X \setminus F$. For every $y \in F$, $y \neq x$. Since the space X is sg - γ - T_2 , there exist sg -open sets U_x and V_y containing x and y respectively such that $\gamma(U_x) \cap \gamma(V_y) = \phi$. Now, let $\{\gamma(V_y) \cap F : y \in F\}$ be a cover of F by sg - γ -open sets of X . Therefore, this cover has a finite subset $\{\gamma(V_{y_1}) \cap F, \gamma(V_{y_2}) \cap F, \dots, \gamma(V_{y_n}) \cap F\}$ such that $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^n sgCl_\gamma(\gamma(V_{y_i}) \cap F)$. Let $\gamma(U_{y_1}), \gamma(U_{y_2}), \dots, \gamma(U_{y_n})$ be the corresponding sg - γ -open sets containing x . Take

$$\gamma(U_x) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n sgCl_\gamma(\gamma(U_{y_i})) \text{ and } \gamma(V_x) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n sgCl_\gamma(\gamma(V_{y_i}))$$

Then $x \in \gamma(U_x)$ and $F \subseteq \gamma(V_x)$, where $\gamma(U_x)$ and $\gamma(V_x)$ are sg - γ -closed since γ is sg -regular. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(U_x) \cap \gamma(V_x) &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n sgCl_\gamma(\gamma(U_{y_i})) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^n sgCl_\gamma(\gamma(V_{y_i})) \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{i=1}^n sgCl_\gamma(\gamma(U_{y_i})) \cap sgCl_\gamma(\gamma(V_{y_i})) \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{i=1}^n sgCl_\gamma[\gamma(U_{y_i}) \cap \gamma(V_{y_i})] \text{ (\(\gamma\) is } sg\text{-regular)} \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{i=1}^n sgCl_\gamma(\phi) = \phi. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 5.10. *Let γ be both sg -regular and sg - γ -open operation, and let X be an sg - γ - T_2 space. Then each sg - γ_0 -closed subset of X is sg - γ -closed.*

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 5.9. □

Theorem 5.11. *Let the operations γ be sg -regular, and β be sg -regular, sg - β -open and sg -open. If the function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is injection sg - (γ, β) -irresolute from an sg - γ_0 -closed X into an sg - β - T_2 space Y . Then it is sg - (γ, β) -closed.*

Proof. Let X be an sg - γ_0 -closed space and S be an sg - γ -closed subset of X . Since γ is sg -regular, then by Theorem 5.7, S is sg - γ_0 -closed subset of X . Since β is sg -open and f is injection sg - (γ, β) -irresolute, then by Theorem 5.6, $f(S)$ is sg - β_0 -closed subset of Y . Since Y is sg - β - T_2 , and β is sg -regular and sg - β -open. Thus, by Theorem 5.10, $f(S)$ is sg - β -closed in Y . Therefore, f is sg - (γ, β) -closed. □

The proof of the following theorem is immediate:

Theorem 5.12. *Let the operations γ be sg -regular, and β be sg -regular, sg - β -open and sg -open. If the function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is bijection sg - (γ, β) -irresolute from an sg - γ_0 -closed space X onto an sg - β - T_2 space Y . Then it is sg - (γ, β) -homeomorphism.*

Theorem 5.13. *Let γ be sg -regular and sg -open operation. If S and T are sg - γ_0 -closed subsets of X such that $X = sgCl_\gamma(S) \cup sgCl_\gamma(T)$, then X is sg - γ_0 -closed.*

Proof. The proof is easy and hence it is omitted. □

6. sg - γ^* -regular and sg - γ^* -normal spaces

Definition 6.1. A space (X, τ) is said to be sg - γ^* -regular if for each sg -closed set F of X not containing $x \in X$, there exist sg -open sets G and H such that $x \in G, F \subseteq H$ and $\gamma(G) \cap \gamma(H) = \phi$.

Example 6.2. Consider the space $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$. Then $\tau_{sg} = P(X)$. Define an operation $\gamma: \tau_{sg} \rightarrow P(X)$ by $\gamma(S) = S$ for all $S \in \tau_{sg}$. Hence it is easy to show that X is sg - γ^* -regular space.

Example 6.3. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\{b, c\}, X\} = SO(X)$. Then $\tau_{sg} = \tau$. Let $\gamma: \tau_{sg} \rightarrow P(X)$ be an operation on τ_{sg} defined as follows:
For every set $S \in \tau_{sg}$

$$\gamma(S) = \begin{cases} S, & \text{if } b \in S \\ Cl(S), & \text{if } b \notin S \end{cases}$$

Clearly, the space X is not sg - γ^* -regular.

Theorem 6.4. *If γ is sg -regular, then every subspace of sg - γ^* -regular space X is sg - γ^* -regular.*

Proof. Let S be a subspace of an sg - γ^* -regular space X . We show that S is sg - γ^* -regular. Suppose F is sg -closed set in S and $a \in S$ such that $a \notin F$. Then $F = E \cap S$, where E is sg -closed in X . Then $a \notin E$. Since X is sg - γ^* -regular space, then there exist sg -open sets G, H in X such that $a \in G$, $E \subseteq H$ and $\gamma(G) \cap \gamma(H) = \phi$. Then $G \cap S$ and $H \cap S$ are sg -open sets in S containing a and F respectively, also since γ is sg -regular, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(G \cap S) \cap \gamma(H \cap S) &\subseteq (\gamma(G) \cap \gamma(S)) \cap (\gamma(H) \cap \gamma(S)) \\ &= (\gamma(G) \cap \gamma(H)) \cap \gamma(S) = \phi \cap \gamma(S) = \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, S is sg - γ^* -regular. \square

Definition 6.5. A space (X, τ) is said to be sg - γ^* -normal if for each disjoint sg -closed sets E, F of X , there exist sg -open sets G and H such that $E \subseteq G$, $F \subseteq H$ and $\gamma(G) \cap \gamma(H) = \phi$.

Example 6.6. It is obvious from Example 6.3 that the space (X, τ) is sg - γ^* -normal.

Example 6.7. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$. Then $SO(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\} = \tau_{sg}$. Let $\gamma: \tau_{sg} \rightarrow P(X)$ be an operation on τ_{sg} defined as follows:

For every set $S \in \tau_{sg}$

$$\gamma(S) = \begin{cases} S, & \text{if } a \in S \\ Cl(S), & \text{if } a \notin S \end{cases}$$

Obviously, the space X is not sg - γ^* -normal.

Theorem 6.8. *If γ is sg -regular, then every sg -closed subspace of sg - γ^* -normal space X is sg - γ^* -normal.*

Proof. Let S be an sg -closed subspace of an sg - γ^* -normal space X . We show that S is sg - γ^* -normal space. Suppose E_1 and E_2 are disjoint sg -closed sets of S . Then there exist sg - γ -closed sets F_1 and F_2 in X such that $E_1 = F_1 \cap S$ and $E_2 = F_2 \cap S$. Since S is sg -closed in X , thus E_1 and E_2 are sg -closed sets in X . Since X is sg - γ^* -normal space, then there exist sg -open sets G, H in X such that $E_1 \subseteq G$, $E_2 \subseteq H$ and $\gamma(G) \cap \gamma(H) = \phi$. Then $G \cap S$ and $H \cap S$ are sg -open sets in S such that $E_1 \subseteq G \cap S$ and $E_2 \subseteq H \cap S$, also since γ is sg -regular, therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(G \cap S) \cap \gamma(H \cap S) &\subseteq (\gamma(G) \cap \gamma(S)) \cap (\gamma(H) \cap \gamma(S)) \\ &= (\gamma(G) \cap \gamma(H)) \cap \gamma(S) = \phi \cap \gamma(S) = \phi. \end{aligned}$$

This means that, S is sg - γ^* -normal. \square

Theorem 6.9. *Let γ be both sg -regular and sg - γ -open operation. Then each sg - γ_0 -closed and sg - γ - T_2 space is sg - γ^* -normal.*

Proof. Let X be an sg - γ_0 -closed and sg - γ - T_2 space and let E and F be disjoint sg -closed sets of X . Then E and F are sg - γ -closed sets because γ is sg -regular. Since X is sg - γ_0 -closed space, then by Theorem 5.7, E and F are sg - γ_0 -closed. Since X is sg - γ - T_2 , then by Theorem 5.9, for sg - γ_0 -closed F and $x \in X \setminus F$. Then there are sg -open sets U_x and V_x in X such that $x \in \gamma(U_x)$, $F \subseteq \gamma(V_x)$ and $\gamma(U_x) \cap \gamma(V_x) = \phi$. Let $\{\gamma(U_x) : x \in E\}$ be a cover of E by sg - γ -open and sg - γ -closed sets of X (since by Theorem 5.10, E is sg - γ -closed). Therefore, there are finite number of elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n such that $E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n sgCl_\gamma(\gamma(U_{x_i})) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \gamma(U_{x_i})$. Hence

$$\gamma(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \gamma(U_{x_i}) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n \gamma(V_{x_i})) = \gamma(\phi) = \phi.$$

Which implies that X is sg - γ^* -normal. □

Definition 6.10. An operation γ on τ_{sg} is said to be strongly sg -regular if for each $x \in X$ and for every pair of sg -open sets U_1 and U_2 such that both containing x , there exists an sg -open set W containing x such that $\gamma(W) = \gamma(U_1) \cap \gamma(U_2)$.

Theorem 6.11. *Let γ be both strongly sg -regular and sg - γ -open operation. If for each sg -closed set F in X and each sg -open set G containing F , there exists an sg -open set H containing F such that $sgCl_\gamma(\gamma(H)) \subseteq \gamma(G)$, then X is sg - γ^* -normal.*

Proof. Let E and F be two sg -closed sets in X such that $E \cap F = \phi$. Then $F \subseteq X \setminus E$, where $X \setminus E$ is sg -open set in X . By hypothesis, there exists an sg -open set H containing F such that $sgCl_\gamma(\gamma(H)) \subseteq \gamma(X \setminus E)$. This gives that $\gamma(E) \subseteq \gamma(X \setminus sgCl_\gamma(\gamma(H)))$ and $H \cap X \setminus sgCl_\gamma(\gamma(H)) = \phi$. Thus, $F \subseteq H$, $E \subseteq X \setminus sgCl_\gamma(\gamma(H))$ and $\gamma(H) \cap \gamma(X \setminus sgCl_\gamma(\gamma(H))) = \phi$. Consequently, X is sg - γ^* -normal space. □

Definition 6.12 ([12]). A topological space (X, τ) is said to be semi generalized- T_1 (in short sg - T_1) if for any two distinct points x, y in X , there exist two sg -open sets, one containing x but not y , and the other containing y but not x .

Theorem 6.13 ([12]). *The space (X, τ) is sg - T_1 if and only if for every point $x \in X$, $\{x\}$ is an sg -closed set.*

Now we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6.14. *Every sg - γ^* -normal and sg - T_1 space (X, τ) is sg - γ^* -regular.*

Proof. Let F be an sg -closed set in X and $x \in X$ does not belong to F . Since X is sg - T_1 . Then by Theorem 6.13, $\{x\}$ is sg -closed. So $\{x\}$ and F are two disjoint sg -closed sets of X . Since X is sg - γ^* -normal, then there exist sg -open sets G and H such that $x \in \{x\} \subseteq G$, $F \subseteq H$ and $\gamma(G) \cap \gamma(H) = \phi$. Hence X is sg - γ^* -regular. □

7. Conclusion

This paper continues studied properties of an operation on τ_{sg} . The notions of $sg\gamma$ -generalized closed sets and some of its properties have been investigated. It has been introduced $sg\text{-}\gamma\text{-}T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space via $sg\gamma$ -generalized closed set and $sg\text{-}\gamma$ -closed set. Some basic characterization of $sg\text{-}(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute functions with $sg\text{-}\beta$ -closed graphs have been obtained. It has been studied the concept of $sg\text{-}\gamma_0$ -closed space. Finally, some properties of $sg\text{-}\gamma^*$ -regular and $sg\text{-}\gamma^*$ -normal spaces by using sg -open and sg -closed sets have been given.

References

- [1] T.V. An, D.X. Cuong and H. Maki, *On operation-preopen sets in topological spaces*, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online, 68 (2008), 11-30, (e-2008), 241-260.
- [2] B.A. Asaad, *Some Applications of Generalized Open Sets via Operations*, New Trends in Mathematical Sciences, 5 (2017), 145-157.
- [3] B.A. Asaad and N. Ahmad, *Operation on Semi Generalized Open Sets with its Separation Axioms*, AIP Conference Proceedings 1905, 020001 (2017); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5012141>.
- [4] P. Bhattacharyya and B.K. Lahiri, *Semi-generalized closed sets in topology*, Indian J. Math., 29 (1987), 375-382.
- [5] C. Carpintero, N. Rajesh and E. Rosas, *Operation approaches on b-open sets and applications*, Bulletin of Parana's Mathematical Society, 30 (2012), 21-33.
- [6] S.G. Crossley and S.K. Hildebrand, *Semi-closure*, Texas Journal of Science, 22 (1971), 99-112.
- [7] T. Husain, *Topology and Maps*, Plenum press, New York, 1977.
- [8] D. S. Jankovic, *On functions with α -closed graphs*, Glasnik Matematicki, 18 (1983), 141-148.
- [9] N. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70 (1963), 36-41.
- [10] S. Kasahara, *Operation compact spaces*, Math. Japonica, 24 (1979), 97-105.
- [11] G. S. S. Krishnan, M. Ganster and K. Balachandran, *Operation approaches on semi-open sets and applications*, Kochi Journal of Mathematics, 2 (2007), 21-33.
- [12] G. Navalagi, *Semi-generalized separation axioms in topology*, International Journal of Mathematics and Computing Applications, 3 (2011), 17-25.

- [13] H. Ogata, *Operation on topological spaces and associated topology*, Math. Japonica, 36 (1991), 175-184.
- [14] S. Tahiliani, *Operation approach to β -open sets and applications*, Mathematical Communications, 16 (2011), 577-591.

Accepted: 30.10.2017