## THE HECKE ALGEBRA $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ AND ITS RELATION TO THE CROSSED PRODUCT $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}}) \times_{\beta} \{1, -1\}$

Mamoon Ahmed\* Fida Moh'd

Princess Sumaya University for Technology Amman Jordan m.ahmed@psut.edu.jo f.mohammad@psut.edu.jo

**Abstract.** The algebra  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  arose in number theory has been studied by Bost and Connes in [2]. In [1] a related Hecke algebra  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  is considered wherein it is shown to be a universal \*-algebra generated by the elements  $\{\mu_n : n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ ,  $\{e(r): r \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}\}$  and an element  $u = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right]$ . The goal of this paper is to study the relationship between the Hecke algebra of Bost and Connes and the Hecke algebra  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ . By showing the existence of a \*-automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ , we construct a covariant representation  $(\iota, U)$  of  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}}) \times_{\beta} \{1, -1\}$  on  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ . This leads to our main result that  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  is realized as the crossed product  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}}) \times_{\beta} \{1, -1\}$ . **Keywords:** Hecke algebras, the Hecke algebra  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ , \*-automorphism, \*-isomorphism, covariant representation.

#### 1. Introduction

A Hecke pair (G, S) consists of a discrete group G and a subgroup S of G such that every double coset consists of finitely many left cosets.

The Hecke algebra  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  first arose in Bost and Connes' study [2] and they have proved that it is a universal \*-algebra generated by elements  $\{\mu_n : n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$  and  $\{e(r) : r \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}\}$  subject to six relations.

In [1] we introduced a new Hecke pair  $(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ , where

$$P_{\mathbb{Q}} = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & a \\ 0 & r \end{array} \right) : a, r \in \mathbb{Q}, r \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Then we showed that this closely related Hecke algebra  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  is a universal \*-algebra generated by elements  $\{\mu_n : n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}, \{e(r) : r \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}\}$  and an element

$$u = \left[ \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right) \right].$$

<sup>\*.</sup> Corresponding author

We begin with a preliminaries section in which we define Hecke algebras, set up our notation and give information about the Hecke algebra  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ . Then we review dynamical systems and their covariant representations and recall the basic properties. In section 3, we show the existence of a \*-automorphism  $\alpha$ of  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ . In section 4, we show the existence of a \*-homomorphism  $\phi$ from  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  into  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}}) \times_{\beta} \{1, -1\}$ . We then construct a covariant representation  $(\iota, U)$  of  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}}) \times_{\beta} \{1, -1\}$  on  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ . This enables us to show our main theorem that  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  is realized as the crossed product  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}}) \times_{\beta} \{1, -1\}$ .

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section we give the background required for this paper, give the necessary information about the Hecke algebra  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ , and setup our notations.

**Definition 2.1.** Let G be a discrete group and S a subgroup of G. The pair (G, S) is called a Hecke pair if each double coset StS can be written as a finite union of left cosets.

The following Proposition can be found in [1, Proposition 2.2].

**Proposition 2.2.** Let (G, S) be a Hecke pair. Then the set

$$H(G,S) = \{f: S \setminus G/S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ has finite support}\}$$

is a \*-algebra with

(2.1) 
$$(f*g)(StS) = \sum_{rS \in G/S} f(SrS)g(Sr^{-1}tS)$$

and

$$f^*(StS) = \overline{f(St^{-1}S)}.$$

This \*-algebra is called a Hecke algebra.

Bost and Connes defined

$$P_{\mathbb{Q}}^{+} = \Big\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & a \\ 0 & r \end{array} \right) : a, r \in \mathbb{Q}, r > 0 \Big\},$$

and

$$P_{\mathbb{Z}} = \Big\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) : n \in \mathbb{Z} \Big\}.$$

Then they showed that  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  is the universal \*-algebra generated by the elements  $\{\mu_n : n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$  and  $\{e(r) : r \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}\}$  subject to the following four relations according to the improvement of their theorem given by Laca and Raeburn in [4]:

(a) 
$$\mu_n^* \mu_n = 1$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .  
(b)  $\mu_{mn} = \mu_m \mu_n$  for all  $m, n$ .  
(c)  $e(r)^* = e(-r)$ ,  $e(r_1 + r_2) = e(r_1)e(r_2)$  for all  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ .  
(d)  $\mu_n e(r) \mu_n^* = (1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n e(r/n + j/n)$  for all  $n$  and all  $r$ .

Where,

$$\mu_n = n^{-1/2} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and } e(r) = \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$
  
In [1] we defined the group

$$P_{\mathbb{Q}} = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & a \\ 0 & r \end{array} \right) : a, r \in \mathbb{Q}, r \neq 0 \right\},\$$

and the subgroup

$$P_{\mathbb{Z}} = \Big\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) : n \in \mathbb{Z} \Big\}.$$

Then we proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3** (Theorem 7.4 of [1]).  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  is the universal \*-algebra generated by elements  $\{\mu_n : n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}, \{e(r) : r \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}\}, and u = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right]$  subject to the relations

(a)  $\mu_n^* \mu_n = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . (b)  $\mu_{mn} = \mu_m \mu_n$  for all m, n. (c)  $e(r)^* = e(-r)$ ,  $e(r_1 + r_2) = e(r_1)e(r_2)$  for all  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . (d)  $\mu_n e(r) \mu_n^* = (1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n e(r/n + j/n)$  for all n and all r. (e)  $u^* = u$ ,  $u^2 = 1$ . (f)  $u\mu_n = \mu_n u$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . (g) e(r)u = ue(-r) for all  $r \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Remark 2.4.** An action of a group G on a \*-algebra A is a homomorphism  $\beta : G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ , where  $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$  is the group of \*-automorphisms of A. The pair (A, G) is referred to as a dynamical system. We usually write  $\beta_s(a)$  for  $\beta(s)(a)$ .

**Background 2.5.** Let A be a unital \*-algebra, G a group and  $\beta$  an action of the group G on A. A covariant representation of the dynamical system  $(A, G, \beta)$  on a unital \*-algebra B is a pair  $(\pi, U)$  consisting of a unital \*-algebra homomorphism  $\pi : A \longrightarrow B$  and a unitary homomorphism  $U : G \longrightarrow u(B)$ , such that

$$\pi(\beta_t(a)) = U_t \pi(a) U_t^* \text{ for all } a \in A, t \in G.$$

Let  $(A, G, \beta)$  be a dynamical system; we shall assume that A has an identity  $1_A$ . Define the crossed product  $A \times_{\beta} G$  to be k(G, A), which is the vector space of finitely supported functions  $f : G \longrightarrow A$ , with operations given by

 $(\lambda f + \gamma h)(s) = \lambda f(s) + \gamma h(s)$ . By [4, Lemma 42] k(G, A) is a \*-algebra with multiplication and involution given by

$$f *_{\beta} h(t) := \sum_{s \in G} f(s) \beta_s \left( h(s^{-1}t) \right)$$

and

$$f^*(s) := \beta_s(f(s^{-1})).$$

Also if  $(\pi, U)$  is a covariant representation of  $(A, G, \beta)$  on a unital \*-algebra B, then there is a unital \*-representation  $\pi \times U$  of k(G, A) on B such that

(2.2) 
$$\pi \times U(f) = \sum_{s \in G} \pi(f(s)) U_s \text{ for } f \in k(G, A).$$

To go from representations of k(G, A) to covariant representations of the system, we define  $i_G : G \longrightarrow k(G, A)$  by  $i_G(s) := \delta_s 1_A$ , i.e.

$$i_G(s)(t) = \begin{cases} 1_A, & \text{if } s = t \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and  $i_A : A \longrightarrow k(G, A)$  by  $i_A(a) := \delta_e a$ . By [4, proposition 44]  $i_G$  is a homomorphism of G into the group u(k(G, A)) of unitary elements in the \*-algebra k(G, A), and  $i_A$  is a \*-homomorphism of A into k(A, G).

### 3. The automorphism of $H(P_{\mathbb{O}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$

**Lemma 3.1.** There is a \*-automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  such that

(i)  $\alpha(\mu_n) = \mu_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . (ii)  $\alpha(e(r)) = e(-r)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . (iii)  $\alpha^2 = id$ .

**Proof.** Define  $\{\tilde{\mu}_n = \mu_n : n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$  and  $\{\tilde{e}(r) = e(-r) : r \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}\}$ , then these elements are in  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ . If these elements satisfy the relations (a)-(d) of Theorem 5.1 in [1], then the proof follows.

Parts (a) and (b) are trivially true because  $\tilde{\mu}_n = \mu_n$ . For (c), let us start with

$$\tilde{e}(r)^* = e(-r)^* = e(r) = \tilde{e}(-r)$$

and for the second part of (c) we have that

$$\tilde{e}(r_1 + r_2) = e(-(r_1 + r_2)) = e(-r_1)e(-r_2) = \tilde{e}(r_1)\tilde{e}(r_2).$$

For (d),

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mu}_n \tilde{e}(r) \tilde{\mu}_n^* &= \mu_n e(-r) \mu_n^* \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n e(-r/n + j/n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n e(-(r/n - j/n)) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{e}(r/n - j/n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{e}(r/n + j/n) \text{ since we are summing over } 1 \le j \le n. \end{split}$$

Thus by [1, Theorem 5.1], there exists a \*-homomorphism  $\alpha : H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow H(P_{\mathbb{D}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  satisfying the relations (i) and (ii).

To show part (iii), notice that  $\alpha$  is a \*-homomorphism and  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  is generated by elements  $\mu_n$  and e(r). So to show that  $\alpha^2 = id$  it is enough to check the equality for  $\mu_n$  and e(r).

$$\alpha^{2}(\mu_{n}) = \alpha(\alpha(\mu_{n})) = \alpha(\mu_{n}) = \mu_{n}$$
$$\alpha^{2}(e(r)) = \alpha(\alpha(e(r))) = \alpha(e(-r)) = e(r).$$

and for e(r)

**Proposition 3.2.** Let G be a group, A a unital \*-algebra and  $\beta : G \longrightarrow Aut(A)$ be an action of the group G on A. Then k(G, A) is the universal \*-algebra generated by elements  $\{i_A(a) : a \in A\}$  and  $\{i_G(s) : s \in G\}$  such that

- (a)  $i_A$  is a unital \*-homomorphism.
- (b)  $i_G$  is a homomorphism from G into the group u(k(G, A)).

(c)  $i_A(\beta_s(a)) = i_G(s)i_A(a)i_G(s)^*$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $s \in G$ .

**Proof.** That k(G, A) is a \*-algebra generated by the elements  $i_A(a)$  and  $i_G(s)$  such that the relations (a)-(c) are satisfied follows directly from [4, Lemma 42 and Lemma 43]. So we just need to check that  $i_A$  is unital and that k(G, A) is a universal \*-algebra.

That  $i_A$  is unital is pretty clear since

$$i_A(1_A) = \delta_e 1_A = 1_{k(G,A)}.$$

To show that k(G, A) is a universal \*-algebra, suppose that  $\{\hat{i}_A(a) : a \in A\}$ and  $\{\hat{i}_G(s) : s \in G\}$  are elements in a \*-algebra *B* which also satisfies (a)-(c). We need to find a \*-homomorphism  $\phi : k(G, A) \longrightarrow B$  such that  $\phi(i_A(a)) = \hat{i}_A(a)$ and  $\phi(i_G(s)) = \hat{i}_G(s)$ .

**Claim.** The map  $\phi : k(G, A) \longrightarrow B$  defined by  $\phi(f) = \sum_{s \in G} \hat{i}_A(f(s))\hat{i}_G(s)$  is a \*-homomorphism.

**Proof.** Notice that  $\phi$  is a linear combination of the linear maps  $f \mapsto \hat{i}_A(f(s))$ , hence  $\phi$  is linear. Let  $a \in A$ . Then

$$\phi(i_A(a)) = \sum_{s \in G} \hat{i}_A(i_A(a)(s))\hat{i}_G(s)$$
$$= \sum_{s \in G} \hat{i}_A(\delta_e a(s))\hat{i}_G(s)$$
$$= \hat{i}_A(a)\hat{i}_G(e)$$
$$= \hat{i}_A(a)\mathbf{1}_B$$
$$= \hat{i}_A(a).$$

Let  $t \in G$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \phi(i_G(t)) &= \sum_{s \in G} \hat{i}_A(i_G(t)(s)) \hat{i}_G(s) \\ &= \sum_{s \in G} \hat{i}_A(\delta_t 1_A(s)) \hat{i}_G(s) \\ &= \hat{i}_A(1_A) \hat{i}_G(t) \\ &= 1_B \hat{i}_G(t) \\ &= \hat{i}_G(t). \end{split}$$

Next, we compute

$$\begin{split} \phi(f^*) &= \sum_{s \in G} \hat{i}_A(f^*(s)) \hat{i}_G(s) \\ &= \sum_{s \in G} \hat{i}_A(\beta_s(f(s^{-1})^*)) \hat{i}_G(s) \\ &= \sum_{s \in G} \hat{i}_A(\beta_s(f(s^{-1}))^*) \hat{i}_G(s) \\ &= \sum_{s \in G} \hat{i}_A(\beta_s(f(s^{-1})))^* \hat{i}_G(s) \end{split}$$

From relation (c) we conclude that

$$\hat{i}_G(s)^* \hat{i}_A(\beta_s(a)) = \hat{i}_A(a) \hat{i}_G(s)^*,$$

and this is equivalent to

$$\hat{i}_A(\beta_s(a))^* \hat{i}_G(s) = \hat{i}_G(s)\hat{i}_A(a)^*.$$

By noting that

$$\hat{i}_G(s)^* = \hat{i}_G(s^{-1}),$$

we have

$$\begin{split} \phi(f^*) &= \sum_{s \in G} \hat{i}_G(s) \hat{i}_A(f(s^{-1}))^* \\ &= \sum_{s \in G} \hat{i}_G(s^{-1})^* \hat{i}_A(f(s^{-1}))^* \\ &= \sum_{p \in G} \hat{i}_G(p)^* \hat{i}_A(f(p))^* \\ &= \phi(f)^*. \end{split}$$

Finally, let  $f, h \in k(G, A)$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \phi(f*_{\beta}h) &= \sum_{s \in G} \hat{i}_A(f*_{\beta}h(s))\hat{i}_G(s) \\ &= \sum_{s \in G} \hat{i}_A\Big(\sum_{t \in G} f(t)\beta_t(h(t^{-1}s))\Big)\hat{i}_G(s) \\ &= \sum_{s \in G} \Big(\sum_{t \in G} \hat{i}_A(f(t))\hat{i}_A(\beta_t(h(t^{-1}s)))\Big) \\ &= \sum_{s \in G} \Big(\sum_{t \in G} \hat{i}_A(f(t))\hat{i}_G(t)\hat{i}_A(h(t^{-1}s))\hat{i}_G(t)^*\hat{i}_G(s)\Big) \\ &= \sum_{s \in G} \Big(\sum_{t \in G} \hat{i}_A(f(t))\hat{i}_G(t)\hat{i}_A(h(t^{-1}s))\hat{i}_G(t^{-1}s)\Big). \end{split}$$

By writing  $d = t^{-1}s$  and noting that  $\sum_{s \in G} = \sum_{d \in G}$  (this is true since all sums are finite) we have

$$\phi(f *_{\beta} h) = \sum_{t \in G} \hat{i}_A(f(t))\hat{i}_G(t) \sum_{d \in G} \hat{i}_A(h(d))\hat{i}_G(d) = \phi(f)\phi(h).$$

Thus  $\phi$  is multiplicative.

# 4. The relation between $H(P^+_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$

**Proposition 4.1.** Consider the group  $G = \{1, -1\}$  and the algebra  $A = H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ . Then there is a \*-homomorphism  $\phi : H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}}) \to H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}}) \times_{\beta} \{1, -1\}$  such that

(i) 
$$\phi(e(r)) = i_A(e(r))$$
 for all  $r \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ .  
(ii)  $\phi(\mu_n) = i_A(\mu_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .  
(iii)  $\phi(u) = i_G(-1)$ .

**Proof.** Define a map  $\beta : G \longrightarrow Aut(A)$  by

 $\beta_1 = id$  and  $\beta_{-1} = \alpha$  (the \*-homomorphism of Lemma 3.1).

To show that  $\beta$  is an action we only need to check that  $\beta_{(1)(-1)} = \beta_1 \beta_{-1}$  and  $\beta_{-1}^2 = \beta_1$ . Now  $\beta_{(1)(-1)} = \beta_{-1} = \alpha = id\alpha = \beta_1 \beta_{-1}$ , and by Lemma 3.1 we

have  $\beta_{-1}^2 = \alpha^2 = id = \beta_1$ . Thus  $\beta$  is an action of the group G on the group of automorphisms of A. Suppose that  $\{\hat{\mu}_n = i_A(\mu_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ ,  $\{\hat{e}(r) = i_A(e(r)) : r \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}\}$  and  $\hat{u} = i_G(-1)$ . If these elements satisfy the relations (a)-(g) of [1, Theorem 7.4] we are done.

For (a)

$$\hat{\mu}_n^* \hat{\mu}_n = i_A(\mu_n)^* i_A(\mu_n) = i_A(\mu_n^* \mu_n) = 1_{k(G,A)}.$$

For (b)

$$\hat{\mu}_{mn} = i_A(\mu_{mn}) = i_A(\mu_m\mu_n) = i_A(\mu_m)i_A(\mu_n) = \hat{\mu}_m\hat{\mu}_n.$$

For (c), let us start with

$$\hat{e}(r)^* = i_A(e(r))^* = i_A(e(r)^*) = i_A(e(-r)) = \hat{e}(-r).$$

and for the second part

$$\hat{e}(r_1 + r_2) = i_A(e(r_1 + r_2)) = i_A(e(r_1)e(r_2)) = i_A(e(r_1)i_A(e(r_2))) = \hat{e}(r_1)\hat{e}(r_2).$$
  
For (d)

$$\hat{\mu}_{n}\hat{e}(r)\hat{\mu}_{n}^{*} = i_{A}(\mu_{n})i_{A}(e(r))i_{A}(\mu_{n})^{*}$$

$$= i_{A}(\mu_{n}e(r)\mu_{n}^{*})$$

$$= i_{A}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}e(r/n+j/n)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}i_{A}\left(e(r/n+j/n)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\hat{e}(r/n+j/n).$$

For (e), let us start with  $\hat{u}^* = i_G(-1)^* = i_G(-1) = \hat{u}$  and for the second part of (e)  $\hat{u}^2 = i_G(-1)i_G(-1) = i_G(1) = 1_{k(G,A)}$ .

For (f), by relation (c) of Proposition 3.2

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}\hat{\mu}_{n} &= i_{G}(-1)i_{A}(\mu_{n}) \\ &= i_{A}\big(\beta_{-1}(\mu_{n})\big)i_{G}(-1) \\ &= i_{A}\big(\alpha(\mu_{n})\big)i_{G}(-1) \\ &= i_{A}(\mu_{n})i_{G}(-1) \\ &= \hat{\mu}_{n}\hat{u}. \end{aligned}$$

For (g), by relation (c) of Proposition 3.2

$$\begin{split} \hat{u}\hat{e}(-r) &= i_G(-1)i_A(e(-r)) \\ &= i_A \big(\beta_{-1}(e(-r))\big)i_G(-1) \\ &= i_A \big(\alpha(e(-r))\big)i_G(-1) \\ &= i_A(e(r))i_G(-1) \\ &= \hat{e}(r)\hat{u}. \end{split}$$

Thus, [1, Theorem 7.4] says that there exists a \*-homomorphism  $\phi: H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow A \times_{\beta} G.$ 

**Lemma 4.2.** The pair  $(\iota, U)$  is a covariant representation of  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}}) \times_{\beta} \{1, -1\}$  on  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  where

(i)  $\iota: H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  is the unital \*-homomorphism of [1, Lemma 2.8].

(ii)  $U : \{1, -1\} \longrightarrow u(H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}}))$  is defined by  $U_1 = \mu_1 = 1_{H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})}$  and  $U_{-1} = u$ .

(iii)  $\beta$  is the group action defined in Proposition 4.1.

**Proof.** The map U is a homomorphism by relation (e) of [1, Theorem 7.4]. We still need to show that  $\iota(\beta_1(a)) = U_1\iota(a)U_1^*$  and  $\iota(\beta_{-1}(a)) = U_{-1}\iota(a)U_{-1}^*$  for all  $a \in H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ .

On one hand, the first relation is true since both sides are  $\iota(a)$ , and on the other, it is enough to check the second relation when  $a = \mu_n$  and a = e(r).

If  $a = \mu_n$ , then we have relation (f) in [1, Theorem 7.4] and if a = e(r) we have relation (g) in [1, Theorem 7.4]. Both  $\beta_{-1}$  and  $a \mapsto uau^*$  are \*-homomorphisms, so this implies the second relation is true for all  $a \in H(P^+_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ .

Now we give our main theorem which allows us to realize the Bost and Connes Hecke Algebra  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  as the crossed product  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}}) \times_{\beta} \{1, -1\}$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** The map  $\phi$  in Proposition 4.1 is a \*-isomorphism of  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ onto  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}}) \times_{\beta} \{1, -1\}$  with inverse  $\iota \times U$  where

- (i)  $\beta$  is the group action defined in Proposition 4.1.
- (ii) The pair  $(\iota, U)$  is the covariant representation in Lemma 4.2.

**Proof.** Let  $A = H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  and  $G = \{1, -1\}$ . Lemma 4.2 and [4, Lemma 42] yield the map  $\iota \times U : H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}^+, P_{\mathbb{Z}}) \times_{\beta} \{1, -1\} \longrightarrow H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  defined by

$$\iota \times U(f) = \sum_{s \in \{1, -1\}} \iota(f(s)) U_s$$

is a \*-homomorphism. So if we show that  $(\iota \times U) \circ \phi = id$  and  $\phi \circ (\iota \times U) = id$ the proof of this theorem will follow. Since the elements  $\mu_n, e(r)$  and u generate the \*-algebra  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  and  $(\iota \times U) \circ \phi$  is a \*-homomorphism. To show that  $(\iota \times U) \circ \phi = id$ , it is enough to check that it is true for  $\mu_n, e(r)$  and u.

For  $\mu_n$  we have

$$(\iota \times U) \circ \phi(\mu_n) = (\iota \times U) (\phi(\mu_n))$$
$$= (\iota \times U) (i_A(\mu_n))$$
$$= \iota(\mu_n)$$
$$= \mu_n.$$

The same argument works for e(r). For u we have

$$(\iota \times U) \circ \phi(u) = (\iota \times U)(\phi(u))$$
$$= (\iota \times U)(i_G(-1))$$
$$= U_{-1}$$
$$= u.$$

For the second part of the proof, notice that the elements  $i_A(\mu_n)$ ,  $i_A(e(r))$ and  $i_G(-1)$  generate the \*-algebra  $A \times_{\beta} G$ , and  $\phi \circ (\iota \times U)$  is a \*-homomorphism. So to show that  $\phi \circ (\iota \times U) = id$ , it is enough to check the equality for  $i_A(\mu_n)$ ,  $i_A(e(r))$  and  $i_G(-1)$ .

For  $i_A(\mu_n)$ 

$$\phi \circ (\iota \times U) (i_A(\mu_n)) = \phi (\iota \times U(i_A(\mu_n)))$$
  
=  $\phi (\iota(\mu_n))$  [4, proposition 44]  
=  $\phi (\mu_n)$   
=  $i_A(\mu_n)$ .

The same argument works for  $i_A(e(r))$ . For  $i_G(-1)$  we have

$$\phi \circ (\iota \times U) (i_G(-1)) = \phi (\iota \times U(i_G(-1)))$$
  
=  $\phi (U_{-1})$  [4, proposition 44]  
=  $\phi (u)$   
=  $i_G(-1)$ .

Consequently, the two maps are inverses of each other, and hence the two \*-algebras are isomorphic.

#### References

- [1] M. Ahmed, The Hecke algebra  $H(P_{\mathbb{Q}}, P_{\mathbb{Z}})$  arising in number theory, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. (107) (2016), no. 3, 723-748.
- J.B. Bost and A. Connes, Hecke algebras, type III factors and phase transitions with spontaneous symmetry breaking in number theory, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 1 (1995) 411-457.
- [3] R.W. Hall, *Hecke C\*-algebras, PhD thesis*, The Pennsylvania State University, December 1999.
- [4] M. Laca and I. Raeburn, A semigroup crossed product arising in number theory, J.London Math. Soc. (2) 59 (1999) 330-344.

Accepted: 20.10.2017