

ON Γ -BIACTS AND THEIR GREEN'S RELATIONS**Ali Reza Shabani****Hamid Rasouli¹**

*Department of Mathematics
Science and Research Branch
Islamic Azad University
Tehran
Iran
e-mails: ahabani@srbiau.ac.ir
hrasouli@srbiau.ac.ir*

Abstract. A well-known generalization of a semigroup S is called the Γ -semigroup. We generalize the notion of biacts over semigroups to Γ -biacts over Γ -semigroups. Green's relations on semigroups and biacts play an important role in these theories. In this paper, we study Green's relations on Γ -biacts.

Keywords and phrases: Green's relations, biact, Γ -semigroup, Γ -biact, Γ -congruence.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20N99, 20M30, 20M05, 08A30.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The concept of Γ -semigroup, as a generalization of the notion of semigroup, was introduced by Sen [10]. Certain algebraic properties of Γ -semigroups have been studied by some authors, for example, one may see [2], [3]. Actions over a semigroup S , S -acts, play an important role in a variety of areas such as theoretical computer science (see [7]). We extended some classical notions of S -acts to $\Gamma - S$ -acts in [12]. Green [5] introduced the Green's relations on semigroups in 1951. Green's relations for Γ -semigroups were studied by Chinram and Siammai [2]. Also, Green's relations on biacts have been studied in [8]. A generalization of acts over semigroups to Γ -acts over Γ -semigroups can be found in [11]. In this paper, we generalize the notion of biacts to Γ -biacts and consider Green's relations on Γ -biacts, which are in fact a generalization of Green's relations on biacts. Other classical algebraic structures such as modules can also be generalized to Γ -modules. For more information, see for example [1, 6]. As an application of (ordered) Γ -semigroups in connection with fuzzy sets, we refer to [4, 9].

In the following, we recall certain preliminaries on Γ -semigroups and $\Gamma - S$ -acts needed in the sequel.

¹Corresponding author.

Let X be a non-empty set, $B(X)$ denote the set of relations and $\varepsilon(X)$ the set of equivalence relations on X . Also, the set $\{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$, the diagonal relation on X , is denoted by Δ_X , and the universal relation $X \times X$ is denoted by ∇_X . If $\rho \in B(X)$, the *transitive closure* of ρ is the relation $\rho^\infty = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho^i \in B(X)$ which is the smallest transitive relation in the poset $(B(X), \subseteq)$ containing ρ . Moreover, $\rho^e = (\rho \cup \rho^{-1} \cup \Delta_X)^\infty$ is the *equivalence closure* of ρ , that is, an equivalence relation on X generated by ρ (see [8, Theorem I.1.6]). A *lattice* is a poset L for which the meet $a \wedge b$ (the greatest lower bound) and the join $a \vee b$ (the least upper bound) exist for every $a, b \in L$.

Corollary 1.1. [8] *For a non-empty set X , if $\rho \in B(X)$, then $(x, y) \in \rho^e$ if and only if $x=y$ or for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a sequence of elements $x=z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n=y$ in X such that for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, $(z_i, z_{i+1}) \in \rho \cup \rho^{-1}$. In particular, if ρ and σ are equivalence relations on a set X , then in $\varepsilon(X)$ their join $\rho \vee \sigma$ is the relation defined by $x(\rho \vee \sigma)y$ if and only if there exist $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in X$ such that $x = z_1, z_n = y$ and $(z_i, z_{i+1}) \in \tau_i, \tau_i \in \{\rho, \sigma\}, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$.*

Definition 1.2. [10] Let S and Γ be non-empty sets. Then S is said to be a Γ -semigroup if there exists a mapping $S \times \Gamma \times S \rightarrow S$ written as $(s, \gamma, t) \mapsto s\gamma t$, satisfying $(s\gamma t)\beta u = s\gamma(t\beta u)$ for all $s, t, u \in S$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. An element e in a Γ -semigroup S is called a *left (right) Γ -identity* if $e\gamma s = s$ ($s\gamma e = s$) for all $s \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By a Γ -identity we mean an element of S which is both a left and a right Γ -identity. A Γ -semigroup with a Γ -identity 1 is called a Γ -monoid.

Definition 1.3. [12] Let S be a Γ -semigroup with a left Γ -identity e and A be a non-empty set. A mapping $\lambda : S \times \Gamma \times A \rightarrow A$ where $(s, \gamma, a) \mapsto s\gamma a := \lambda(s, \gamma, a)$ such that $(s\gamma t)\beta a = s\gamma(t\beta a)$ and $e\gamma a = a$ for all $a \in A, s, t \in S$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$, is called a *left Γ - S -action* and A is said to be a *left Γ - S -act* which is denoted by $\Gamma - {}_s A$. Also, for a Γ -semigroup S with a right Γ -identity e , by a *right Γ - S -act* we mean a non-empty set A together with a mapping $\lambda : A \times \Gamma \times S \rightarrow A$ where $(a, \gamma, s) \mapsto a\gamma s := \lambda(a, \gamma, s)$ satisfying the properties $a\gamma(s\beta t) = (a\gamma s)\beta t$ and $a\gamma e = a$ for all $a \in A, s, t \in S$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. We denote a right Γ - S -act by $\Gamma - A_s$.

Remark 1.4. If S is a Γ -monoid with Γ -identity 1 and $\Gamma - {}_s A$ is a left Γ - S -act, then for every $s, t \in S, a \in A, \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$, we have $s\gamma t = s\beta t$ and $s\gamma a = s\beta a$. Indeed, $s\gamma t = (s\beta 1)\gamma t = s\beta(1\gamma t) = s\beta t$; and $s\gamma a = (s\beta 1)\gamma a = s\beta(1\gamma a) = s\beta a$. Therefore, it is more interesting to consider left Γ - S -acts for a Γ -semigroup S with a left Γ -identity (not a Γ -identity) and, likewise, right Γ - S -acts for a Γ -semigroup S with a right Γ -identity (not a Γ -identity).

2. Γ -biacts and some basic properties

The purpose of this section is to introduce the structure of Γ -biacts and investigate some of their properties.

Definition 2.1. [8] Let T and S be monoids. A T - S -biact ${}_T A_S$ is a non-empty set A equipped with a left T -action $T \times A \rightarrow A, (t, a) \mapsto ta$, satisfying $(t_1 t_2)a = t_1(t_2 a)$ for all $t_1, t_2 \in T, a \in A$, and a right S -action $A \times S \rightarrow A, (a, s) \mapsto as$, satisfying $a(s_1 s_2) = (as_1)s_2$ for all $s_1, s_2 \in S, a \in A$, for which $(ta)s = t(as)$ holds for all $t \in T, s \in S, a \in A$. For a T - S -biact ${}_T A_S$, a relation $\rho \in B(A)$, i.e. $\rho \subseteq A \times A$, is called T - S -compatible if $(a, b) \in \rho$ implies that $(tas, tbs) \in \rho$ for all $t \in T, a, b \in A$ and $s \in S$. Moreover, an equivalence relation $\rho \in \varepsilon(A)$ which is T - S -compatible is called a T - S -congruence on ${}_T A_S$. The set of all T - S -congruences on ${}_T A_S$ is denoted by $\mathbf{Con}({}_T A_S)$.

Definition 2.2. Let Γ - ${}_T A$ be a left Γ - T -act and Γ - A_S be a right Γ - S -act. We call A a Γ - T - S -biact, or simply a Γ -biact, and write Γ - ${}_T A_S$, if for all $t \in T, s \in S, a \in A$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma, (t\gamma a)\beta s = t\gamma(a\beta s)$.

From now on, Γ - ${}_T A_S$ stands for a Γ - T - S -biact where T and S are Γ -semigroups with a left and a right Γ -identity, respectively (see Remark 1.4), unless otherwise stated. If no confusion arises, we may use the same symbol 1 for a left Γ -identity and a right Γ -identity.

Remark 2.3. Every T - S -biact ${}_T A_S$ over semigroups T and S with a left identity and a right identity, respectively, can be made into a Γ - T - S -biact over the induced left Γ -semigroup T with a left Γ -identity by setting $t\gamma t' := tt', t, t' \in T$, and right Γ -semigroup S with a right Γ -identity by defining $s\gamma s' := ss', s, s' \in S$. Define mappings $T \times \Gamma \times A \rightarrow A$ by $t\gamma a = ta$ and $A \times \Gamma \times S \rightarrow A$ by $a\beta s = as$ for all $t \in T, a \in A, s \in S$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. It is easily seen that ${}_T A_S$ is a Γ - T - S -biact. Conversely, let A be a Γ - T - S -biact where T is a Γ -semigroup with a left Γ -identity and S is a Γ -semigroup with a right Γ -identity. Fix an element γ in Γ . First note that T and S are semigroups with the operations $tt' := t\gamma t'$ and $ss' := s\gamma s'$ for all $t, t' \in T$ and $s, s' \in S$ respectively. We define $T \times A \rightarrow A$ by $ta := t\gamma a$ and $A \times S \rightarrow A$ by $as := a\gamma s$ for all $t \in T, a \in A, s \in S$. Then one can show that A is a T - S -biact.

Example 2.4. Let $S = T = \{4n + 3 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \Gamma = \{4n + 1 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $A = \{4n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Under the usual addition of natural numbers, S and T are Γ -semigroups and A is a Γ - T - S -biact, but not a T - S -biact.

Definition 2.5. Let Γ - ${}_T A_S$ be a Γ - T - S -biact. A relation $\rho \in B(A)$, i.e. $\rho \subseteq A \times A$, is called Γ - T - S -compatible if $(a, b) \in \rho$ implies that $(t\gamma a\beta s, t\gamma b\beta s) \in \rho$ for all $t \in T, a, b \in A, s \in S$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. For a Γ - T - S -biact Γ - ${}_T A_S$, an equivalence relation $\rho \in \varepsilon(A)$ which is Γ - T - S -compatible is called a Γ - T - S -congruence, or simply a Γ -congruence, on Γ - ${}_T A_S$. We denote the set of all Γ -congruences on Γ - ${}_T A_S$ by $\mathbf{Con}(\Gamma$ - ${}_T A_S)$. Clearly, under the usual inclusion of relations, $\mathbf{Con}(\Gamma$ - ${}_T A_S)$ is a poset.

Remark 2.6. If $|S| = 1$, we have a definition of a Γ - T -compatible relation and a Γ - T -congruence on Γ - ${}_T A$; and if $|T| = 1$, we have that of a Γ - S -compatible relation and a Γ - S -congruence on Γ - A_S .

Lemma 2.7. For a Γ - T - S -biact Γ - T - A_S and a relation $\rho \in B(A)$ (or $\rho \in \varepsilon(A)$), ρ is Γ - T - S -compatible (or a Γ - T - S -congruence) on Γ - T - A_S if and only if ρ is both Γ - T -compatible (or a Γ - T -congruence) on Γ - T - A and Γ - S -compatible (or a Γ - S -congruence) on Γ - A_S .

Proof. We need only to show the assertion for the case $\rho \in B(A)$.

Necessity. Suppose that $\rho \in B(A)$ is Γ - T - S -compatible on Γ - T - A_S and $(a, b) \in \rho$. For every $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $t \in T, s \in S$ we have $(t\gamma a, t\gamma b) = (t\gamma a\beta 1, t\gamma b\beta 1) \in \rho$ and $(a\beta s, b\beta s) = (1\gamma a\beta s, 1\gamma b\beta s) \in \rho$ which means that ρ is both Γ - T -compatible and Γ - S -compatible.

Sufficiency. Let $\rho \in B(A)$ be both Γ - T -compatible and Γ - S -compatible on Γ - T - A_S , $(a, b) \in \rho$, $t \in T, s \in S$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. Then $(t\gamma a, t\gamma b) \in \rho$ by Γ - T -compatibility, and therefore $((t\gamma a)\beta s, (t\gamma b)\beta s) \in \rho$ by Γ - S -compatibility. Hence, ρ is Γ - T - S -compatible on Γ - T - A_S . ■

Definition 2.8. Let Γ - T - A_S be a Γ -biact and $\rho \in B(A)$. The relation

$$\rho^c := \{(t\gamma a_1\beta s, t\gamma a_2\beta s) \in A \times A \mid t \in T, (a_1, a_2) \in \rho, s \in S, \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma\}$$

is called the Γ - T - S -compatible closure of ρ . The unique smallest Γ - T - S -congruence on T - A_S containing $\rho \in B(A)$ will be denoted by $\rho^\#$ and called the Γ -congruence closure of ρ .

Proposition 2.9. Let $\rho, \sigma \in B(A)$ for a Γ -biact Γ - T - A_S . Then

- (1) $\rho \subseteq \rho^c$.
- (2) $(\rho^c)^{-1} = (\rho^{-1})^c$.
- (3) $\rho \subseteq \sigma$ implies that $\rho^c \subseteq \sigma^c$.
- (4) $(\rho^c)^c = \rho^c$.
- (5) $(\rho \cup \sigma)^c = \rho^c \cup \sigma^c$.
- (6) $\rho = \rho^c$ if and only if ρ is Γ - T - S -compatible.

Proof. (1) Take $(a_1, a_2) \in \rho$. Then $(a_1, a_2) = (1\gamma a_1\beta 1, 1\gamma a_2\beta 1) \in \rho^c$ for all $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. Hence, $\rho \subseteq \rho^c$.

(2) Take $(a_1'', a_2'') \in (\rho^c)^{-1}$. So $(a_2'', a_1'') \in \rho^c$ and then $a_2'' = t'\gamma'a_2'\beta's'$, $a_1'' = t'\gamma'a_1'\beta's'$ for some $t' \in T, s' \in S, \gamma', \beta' \in \Gamma$ and $(a_2', a_1') \in \rho$ whence $(a_1', a_2') \in \rho^{-1} \subseteq (\rho^{-1})^c$. Therefore, $a_1' = t\gamma a_1\beta s$, $a_2' = t\gamma a_2\beta s$ for some $t \in T, s \in S, \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $(a_1, a_2) \in \rho^{-1}$. Hence, $a_1'' = (t'\gamma't)\gamma a_1\beta (s\beta's')$ and $a_2'' = (t'\gamma't)\gamma a_2\beta (s\beta's')$ that $t'\gamma't \in T, s\beta's' \in S$, i.e. $(a_1'', a_2'') \in (\rho^{-1})^c$. Hence, $(\rho^c)^{-1} \subseteq (\rho^{-1})^c$. Similarly, $(\rho^{-1})^c \subseteq (\rho^c)^{-1}$. Therefore, $(\rho^c)^{-1} = (\rho^{-1})^c$.

(3) Let $\rho \subseteq \sigma$. Take $(a_1'', a_2'') \in \rho^c$. Then $(a_1'', a_2'') = (t'\gamma'a_1'\beta's', t'\gamma'a_2'\beta's')$ for some $t' \in T, s' \in S, \gamma', \beta' \in \Gamma$ and $(a_1', a_2') \in \rho$. Therefore, $(a_1', a_2') \in \sigma$ which implies that $(a_1'', a_2'') \in \sigma^c$. Hence, $\rho^c \subseteq \sigma^c$.

(4) By (1), $\rho^c \subseteq (\rho^c)^c$. Conversely, let $(a_1'', a_2'') \in (\rho^c)^c$. Then $(a_1'', a_2'') = (t'\gamma'a_1'\beta's', t'\gamma'a_2'\beta's')$ for some $t' \in T, s' \in S, \gamma', \beta' \in \Gamma$ and $(a_1', a_2') \in \rho^c$. Then $(a_1', a_2') = (t\gamma a_1\beta s, t\gamma a_2\beta s)$ for some $t \in T, s \in S, \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma, (a_1, a_2) \in \rho$. Hence, $a_1'' = (t'\gamma't)\gamma a_1\beta (s\beta's')$ and $a_2'' = (t'\gamma't)\gamma a_2\beta (s\beta's')$, i.e. $(a_1'', a_2'') \in \rho^c$. Hence, $(\rho^c)^c \subseteq \rho^c$. Therefore, $(\rho^c)^c = \rho^c$.

(5) Using (3), we have $\rho^c \subseteq (\rho \cup \sigma)^c$ and $\sigma^c \subseteq (\rho \cup \sigma)^c$, and therefore $\rho^c \cup \sigma^c \subseteq (\rho \cup \sigma)^c$. Conversely, suppose that $(a_1', a_2') \in (\rho \cup \sigma)^c$. Then $a_1' = t\gamma a_1\beta s, a_2' = t\gamma a_2\beta s$ for some $t \in T, s \in S, \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $(a_1, a_2) \in \rho \cup \sigma$. Thus, $(a_1, a_2) \in \rho$ or $(a_1, a_2) \in \sigma$, and hence $(a_1', a_2') \in \rho^c$ or $(a_1', a_2') \in \sigma^c$. Thus, $(a_1', a_2') \in \rho^c \cup \sigma^c$. Hence, $(\rho \cup \sigma)^c \subseteq \rho^c \cup \sigma^c$. Therefore, $(\rho \cup \sigma)^c = \rho^c \cup \sigma^c$.

(6) Let first $\rho = \rho^c$. Then $(a_1, a_2) \in \rho$ implies that $(t\gamma a_1\beta s, t\gamma a_2\beta s) \in \rho^c = \rho$, for all $t \in T, s \in S$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. Thus, ρ is $\Gamma - T - S$ -compatible. Conversely, if ρ is a $\Gamma - T - S$ -compatible relation and $(a_1', a_2') \in \rho^c$, then $a_1' = t\gamma a_1\beta s, a_2' = t\gamma a_2\beta s$ for some $t \in T, s \in S, (a_1, a_2) \in \rho, \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. Therefore, $(a_1', a_2') = (t\gamma a_1\beta s, t\gamma a_2\beta s) \in \rho$ by $\Gamma - T - S$ -compatibility. Thus, $\rho^c \subseteq \rho$. But, by (1), $\rho \subseteq \rho^c$. Therefore, $\rho = \rho^c$. ■

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\Gamma - T A_S$ be a Γ -biact. If the relation $\rho \in B(A)$ is $\Gamma - T - S$ -compatible, then ρ^n is also $\Gamma - T - S$ -compatible for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $(a_1, a_2) \in \rho^n$. Then there exist $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1} \in A$ such that $(a_1, b_1), (b_1, b_2), \dots, (b_{n-1}, a_2) \in \rho$. Since ρ is $\Gamma - T - S$ -compatible, $(t\gamma a_1\beta s, t\gamma b_1\beta s), (t\gamma b_1\beta s, t\gamma b_2\beta s), \dots, (t\gamma b_{n-1}\beta s, t\gamma a_2\beta s) \in \rho$ for all $t \in T, s \in S$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$, and so $(t\gamma a_1\beta s, t\gamma a_2\beta s) \in \rho^n$. ■

Definition 2.11. Let $\Gamma - T A_S$ be a Γ -biact and $\rho \in B(A)$. If $(a_1', a_2') \in (\rho \cup \rho^{-1})^c$, or equivalently, $a_1' = t\gamma a_1\beta s$ and $a_2' = t\gamma a_2\beta s$ for some $t \in T, s \in S, \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $(a_1, a_2) \in \rho$ or $(a_2, a_1) \in \rho$, then we say that a_1' is connected with a_2' by an elementary $\Gamma - T - S - \rho$ -transition, and use the notation $a_1' \rightarrow a_2'$.

Theorem 2.12. *Let $\Gamma - T A_S$ be a Γ -biact and $\rho \in B(A)$. Then $\rho^\# = (\rho^c)^e$.*

Proof. Obviously, $\rho \subseteq \rho^c \subseteq (\rho^c)^e$. We show that $(\rho^c)^e \in \mathbf{Con}(\Gamma - T A_S)$. In view of [8, Theorem I.1.6], $(\rho^c)^e = \theta^\infty$ where $\theta = \rho^c \cup (\rho^c)^{-1} \cup \Delta_A$. Let $(a_1, a_2) \in (\rho^c)^e$. Then $(a_1, a_2) \in \theta^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using Proposition 2.9(2) and (5), and the clear fact $\Delta_A^c = \Delta_A$, we get

$$\theta = \rho^c \cup (\rho^{-1})^c \cup \Delta_A^c = (\rho \cup \rho^{-1} \cup \Delta_A)^c = \theta^c.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 2.9(6), θ is $\Gamma - T - S$ -compatible and then so is θ^n by Lemma 2.10. Thus, $(t\gamma a_1\beta s, t\gamma a_2\beta s) \in \theta^n \subseteq (\rho^c)^e$ for every $t \in T, s \in S, \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. Hence, $(\rho^c)^e$ is a Γ -congruence on $\Gamma - T A_S$ containing ρ . Let σ be a Γ -congruence on $\Gamma - T A_S$ containing ρ . Then, by using Proposition 2.9(3) and (6), we get $\rho^c \subseteq \sigma^c = \sigma$ and so $(\rho^c)^e \subseteq \sigma^e = \sigma$. Hence, $\rho^\# = (\rho^c)^e$. ■

Corollary 2.13. *Let $\rho \in B(A)$ for a Γ -biact $\Gamma - T A_S, a_1, a_2 \in A$. Then $(a_1, a_2) \in \rho^\#$ if and only if $a_1 = a_2$ or for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a sequence $a_1 = z_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow z_n = a_2$ of elementary $\Gamma - T - S - \rho$ -transitions connecting a_1 to a_2 .*

Proof. Using Theorem 2.12, $(a_1, a_2) \in \rho^\#$ if and only if $(a_1, a_2) \in (\rho^c)^e$, and by Corollary 1.1, if and only if $a_1 = a_2$ or for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a sequence of elements $a_1 = z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n = a_2$ in A such that for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$,

$(z_i, z_{i+1}) \in \rho^c \cup (\rho^c)^{-1} = (\rho \cup \rho^{-1})^c$ by Proposition 2.9(2) and (5) so that $z_i \rightarrow z_{i+1}$, which gives the required sequence $a_1 = z_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow z_n = a_2$ of elementary $\Gamma - T - S - \rho$ -transitions. ■

In what follows we shall often use a more explicit version of Corollary 2.13 in the case of $|T| = 1$, i.e. in the case of right $\Gamma - S$ -acts.

Lemma 2.14. *Let $\Gamma - A_S$ be a right $\Gamma - S$ -act and $\rho \in B(A)$. Then for any $a, b \in A$, $(a, b) \in \rho^\#$ if and only if $a = b$ or there exist $p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n \in A$, $w_1, \dots, w_n \in S$, $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$, where for $i = 1, \dots, n$, $(p_i, q_i) \in \rho$ or $(q_i, p_i) \in \rho$, such that*

$$a = p_1\gamma_1w_1, q_2\gamma_2w_2 = p_3\gamma_3w_3, \dots, q_n\gamma_nw_n = b.$$

$$q_1\gamma_1w_1 = p_2\gamma_2w_2, q_3\gamma_3w_3 = p_4\gamma_4w_4, \dots$$

Proof. Using Corollary 2.13, we have $(a, b) \in \rho^\#$ if and only if $a = b$ or for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a sequence $a = z_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow z_n = b$ of elementary $\Gamma - S - \rho$ -transitions connecting a to b . If $a = b$, it is clear. If $a = z_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow z_n = b$, then $a = z_1 = p_1\gamma_1w_1$, $z_2 = q_1\gamma_1w_1$, such that $(p_1, q_1) \in \rho$ or $(q_1, p_1) \in \rho$ and $z_2 = p_2\gamma_2w_2 = q_1\gamma_1w_1$, $z_3 = q_2\gamma_2w_2$ such that $(p_2, q_2) \in \rho$ or $(q_2, p_2) \in \rho$. Continuing the same way, we get $q_{n-1}\gamma_{n-1}w_{n-1} = p_n\gamma_nw_n$ and $q_n\gamma_nw_n = z_n = b$, for some $p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n \in A, w_1, \dots, w_n \in S$, $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$. ■

Proposition 2.15. *Let $\varepsilon \in \varepsilon(A)$ for a Γ -biact $\Gamma -_T A_S$. Then*

$$\varepsilon^b := \{(a_1, a_2) \in A \times A \mid (t\gamma a_1\beta s, t\gamma a_2\beta s) \in \varepsilon \text{ for all } t \in T, s \in S, \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma\}$$

is the largest Γ -congruence on $\Gamma -_T A_S$ contained in ε .

Proof. Taking $t = 1$ and $s = 1$ we see that $\varepsilon^b \subseteq \varepsilon$. Clearly, ε^b is an equivalence relation. If $(a_1, a_2) \in \varepsilon^b$ and $t' \in T, s' \in S, \gamma', \beta' \in \Gamma$, then we have

$$(t\gamma(t'\gamma'a_1\beta's')\beta s, t\gamma(t'\gamma'a_2\beta's')\beta s) = ((t\gamma t')\gamma'a_1\beta'(s'\beta s), (t\gamma t')\gamma'a_2\beta'(s'\beta s)) \in \varepsilon$$

for all $t \in T, s \in S, \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ and so $(t'\gamma'a_1\beta's', t'\gamma'a_2\beta's') \in \varepsilon^b$. This means that $\varepsilon^b \in \mathbf{Con}(\Gamma -_T A_S)$. If $\sigma \in \mathbf{Con}(\Gamma -_T A_S)$ and $\sigma \subseteq \varepsilon$, then for all $a_1, a_2 \in A$, let $(a_1, a_2) \in \sigma$ so that for all $t \in T, s \in S, \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ we have $(t\gamma a_1\beta s, t\gamma a_2\beta s) \in \sigma \subseteq \varepsilon$. Thus, $(a_1, a_2) \in \varepsilon^b$ and then $\sigma \subseteq \varepsilon^b$, i.e. ε^b is the largest Γ -congruence on $\Gamma -_T A_S$ contained in ε . ■

Remark 2.16. [8] For a $T - S$ -biact $_T A_S$, the poset $\mathbf{Con}(_T A_S)$ is a lattice and for any $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbf{Con}(_T A_S)$, $\rho \wedge \sigma$ is $\rho \cap \sigma$ and $\rho \vee \sigma$ is $(\rho \cup \sigma)^\# = (\rho \cup \sigma)^e$ where $(\rho \cup \sigma)^\#$ denotes the $T - S$ -congruence closure of $\rho \cup \sigma$. Similarly, the poset $\varepsilon(A)$ of all equivalence relations on the set A as a subposet of $B(A)$ is also a lattice and for any $\rho, \sigma \in \varepsilon(A)$, $\rho \wedge \sigma$ is $\rho \cap \sigma$ and $\rho \vee \sigma$ is $(\rho \cup \sigma)^e$.

Proposition 2.17. *Let $\Gamma -_T A_S$ be a $\Gamma - T - S$ -biact. Then the poset $\mathbf{Con}(\Gamma -_T A_S)$ is a lattice and for any $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbf{Con}(\Gamma -_T A_S)$, $\rho \wedge \sigma = \rho \cap \sigma$ and $\rho \vee \sigma = (\rho \cup \sigma)^\# = (\rho \cup \sigma)^e$.*

Proof. Let $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbf{Con}(\Gamma - {}_T A_S)$. It is easily seen that $\rho \cap \sigma$ and $(\rho \cup \sigma)^\#$ are the meet and the join of ρ, σ in $\mathbf{Con}(\Gamma - {}_T A_S)$, respectively. Then $\mathbf{Con}(\Gamma - {}_T A_S)$ is a lattice. It remains to show that $(\rho \cup \sigma)^\# = (\rho \cup \sigma)^e$. Applying Theorem 2.12, we have $(\rho \cup \sigma)^\# = ((\rho \cup \sigma)^c)^e = (\rho^c \cup \sigma^c)^e = (\rho \cup \sigma)^e$ in which the last two identities follow from Proposition 2.9(5) and (6). ■

Theorem 2.18. *Let $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbf{Con}(\Gamma - {}_T A_S)$ for a $\Gamma - T - S$ -biact $\Gamma - {}_T A_S$. Then $\rho \vee \sigma = (\rho \cup \sigma)^\infty = (\rho \circ \sigma)^\infty$. This means that if $a_1, a_2 \in A$, then $(a_1, a_2) \in \rho \vee \sigma$ if and only if for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist elements $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1} \in A$ such that $(a_1, b_1) \in \tau_1, (b_1, b_2) \in \tau_2, \dots, (b_{n-1}, a_2) \in \tau_n$, where $\tau_i \in \{\rho, \sigma\}, i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. Consider any $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbf{Con}(\Gamma - {}_T A_S)$. By using Proposition 2.17, we have $\rho \vee \sigma = (\rho \cup \sigma)^e = [(\rho \cup \sigma) \cup (\rho \cup \sigma)^{-1} \cup \Delta_A]^\infty = (\rho \cup \sigma)^\infty$ of which last equality follows from the symmetry and reflexivity properties of $\rho \cup \sigma$. We claim that $(\rho \cup \sigma)^\infty = (\rho \circ \sigma)^\infty$. To this end, first note that since ρ, σ are reflexive, $\rho, \sigma \subseteq \rho \circ \sigma \subseteq (\rho \circ \sigma)^\infty$ and so $\rho \cup \sigma \subseteq (\rho \circ \sigma)^\infty$. This implies that $(\rho \cup \sigma)^\infty \subseteq (\rho \circ \sigma)^\infty$. For the reverse inclusion, we have $\rho, \sigma \subseteq \rho \cup \sigma \subseteq (\rho \cup \sigma)^\infty$ so that $\rho \circ \sigma \subseteq (\rho \cup \sigma)^\infty \circ (\rho \cup \sigma)^\infty \subseteq (\rho \cup \sigma)^\infty$ which the last inclusion follows from the transitivity property of $(\rho \cup \sigma)^\infty$. Then $(\rho \circ \sigma)^\infty \subseteq (\rho \cup \sigma)^\infty$, as claimed. The second assertion is an easy consequence of the identity $\rho \vee \sigma = (\rho \cup \sigma)^\infty$ in the first one. ■

Corollary 2.19. *For a $\Gamma - T - S$ -biact $\Gamma - {}_T A_S$, if $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbf{Con}(\Gamma - {}_T A_S)$ are such that $\rho \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \rho$, then $\rho \vee \sigma = \rho \circ \sigma$.*

Proof. By the assumption, $(\rho \circ \sigma)^i = \rho^i \circ \sigma^i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, since ρ, σ are reflexive and transitive, $\rho^i = \rho, \sigma^i = \sigma$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(\rho \circ \sigma)^i = \rho \circ \sigma$. Hence, using Theorem 2.18, we get $\rho \vee \sigma = (\rho \circ \sigma)^\infty = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty (\rho \circ \sigma)^i = \rho \circ \sigma$. ■

3. Green's relations on Γ -biacts

This section is devoted to study Green's relations on Γ -biacts.

Definition 3.1. [8] Let ${}_T A_S$ be a biact. The *Green's equivalences* on ${}_T A_S$ are defined by the following rules:

- $(a_1, a_2) \in {}_T \mathcal{L}$ if and only if $Ta_1 = Ta_2$,
- $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{R}_S$ if and only if $a_1 S = a_2 S$,
- $(a_1, a_2) \in {}_T \mathcal{J}_S$ if and only if $Ta_1 S = Ta_2 S$,

for all $a_1, a_2 \in A$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_T \mathcal{H}_S &:= {}_T \mathcal{L} \wedge \mathcal{R}_S = {}_T \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}_S, \\ {}_T \mathcal{D}_S &:= {}_T \mathcal{L} \vee \mathcal{R}_S = ({}_T \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{R}_S)^e. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.2. Let $\Gamma - {}_T A_S$ be a Γ -biact. We define *Green's relations* on $\Gamma - {}_T A_S$ as follows:

- $(a_1, a_2) \in {}_T \mathcal{L}$ if and only if $T\Gamma a_1 = T\Gamma a_2$,
- $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{R}_S$ if and only if $a_1 \Gamma S = a_2 \Gamma S$,

$(a_1, a_2) \in {}_T\mathcal{J}_S$ if and only if $T\Gamma a_1\Gamma S = T\Gamma a_2\Gamma S$,
 for all $a_1, a_2 \in A$. Note that it is clear that ${}_T\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}_S$ and ${}_T\mathcal{J}_S$ are equivalence
 relations on the set A . Thus, in view of Remark 2.16, we also define

$$\begin{aligned} {}_T\mathcal{H}_S &:= {}_T\mathcal{L} \wedge \mathcal{R}_S = {}_T\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}_S \in \varepsilon(A), \\ {}_T\mathcal{D}_S &:= {}_T\mathcal{L} \vee \mathcal{R}_S = ({}_T\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{R}_S)^e \in \varepsilon(A). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3. *In terms of the previous definition we have ${}_T\mathcal{L} \in \mathbf{Con}(\Gamma - A_S)$ and $\mathcal{R}_S \in \mathbf{Con}(\Gamma - {}_T A)$.*

Proof. Let $a_1, a_2 \in A, (a_1, a_2) \in {}_T\mathcal{L}$. Take $s \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $T\Gamma a_1 = T\Gamma a_2$
 and so $T\Gamma(a_1\gamma s) = (T\Gamma a_1)\gamma s = (T\Gamma a_2)\gamma s = T\Gamma(a_2\gamma s)$, i.e. $(a_1\gamma s, a_2\gamma s) \in {}_T\mathcal{L}$.
 This means that ${}_T\mathcal{L}$ is a $\Gamma - S$ -congruence on A_S . The proof for \mathcal{R}_S is similar. ■

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\Gamma - {}_T A_S$ be a Γ -biact. If $\rho \in \mathbf{Con}(\Gamma - {}_T A)$ and $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{R}_S$,
 $\lambda \in \mathbf{Con}(\Gamma - A_S)$ and $\lambda \subseteq {}_T\mathcal{L}$, then $\lambda \circ \rho = \rho \circ \lambda$. In particular, ${}_T\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}_S = \mathcal{R}_S \circ {}_T\mathcal{L}$.*

Proof. Let $(a_1, a_2) \in \lambda \circ \rho$. So there exists $a_3 \in A$ with $a_1\lambda a_3\rho a_2$. Since $\lambda \subseteq {}_T\mathcal{L}$
 and $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{R}_S$, we get $T\Gamma a_1 = T\Gamma a_3, a_3\Gamma S = a_2\Gamma S$. Then $a_3 = t_1\gamma_1 a_1, a_2 = a_3\beta_3 s_3,$
 $a_1 = t_3\gamma_3 a_3$ and $a_3 = a_2\beta_2 s_2$ for some $t_1, t_3 \in T, s_2, s_3 \in S$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_3, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \Gamma$.
 Let $d = a_1\beta_3 s_3$. Then $d = t_3\gamma_3 a_3\beta_3 s_3 = t_3\gamma_3 a_2$. Now $a_3\rho a_2$ implies that
 $(t_3\gamma_3 a_3)\rho(t_3\gamma_3 a_2)$. Thus, $a_1\rho d$. Also, $a_1\lambda a_3$ gives that $(a_1\beta_3 s_3)\lambda(a_3\beta_3 s_3)$
 and then $d\lambda a_2$. Hence, $a_1(\rho \circ \lambda)a_2$ which follows that $\lambda \circ \rho \subseteq \rho \circ \lambda$. Analogously, the
 reverse inclusion also holds. Since ρ and λ are arbitrary in ${}_T\mathcal{L}$ and \mathcal{R}_S respectively,
 using Lemma 3.3, ${}_T\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}_S = \mathcal{R}_S \circ {}_T\mathcal{L}$. ■

Remark 3.5. Since ${}_T\mathcal{L}$ and \mathcal{R}_S commute by Theorem 3.4, it follows from Corol-
 lary 2.19 that ${}_T\mathcal{D}_S = {}_T\mathcal{L} \vee \mathcal{R}_S = {}_T\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}_S = \mathcal{R}_S \circ {}_T\mathcal{L}$. It is clear that $|T| = 1$
 implies that ${}_T\mathcal{J}_S = \mathcal{R}_S$ and $|S| = 1$ implies that ${}_T\mathcal{J}_S = {}_T\mathcal{L}$. Moreover, we have
 ${}_T\mathcal{D}_S \subseteq {}_T\mathcal{J}_S$. Indeed, first note that ${}_T\mathcal{L} \subseteq {}_T\mathcal{J}_S$ and $\mathcal{R}_S \subseteq {}_T\mathcal{J}_S$ whence ${}_T\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{R}_S \subseteq$
 ${}_T\mathcal{J}_S$. Since ${}_T\mathcal{J}_S \in \varepsilon(A)$, ${}_T\mathcal{D}_S = {}_T\mathcal{L} \vee \mathcal{R}_S = ({}_T\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{R}_S)^e \subseteq ({}_T\mathcal{J}_S)^e = {}_T\mathcal{J}_S$.

Here we generalize the notion of periodic semigroup to the Γ -semigroups
 which is needed in the sequel.

Definition 3.6. [8] A *monogenic (cyclic)* semigroup is a semigroup generated by
 a singleton. A semigroup is called *periodic* if all of its monogenic subsemigroups
 are finite.

Definition 3.7. Let S be a Γ -semigroup and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. An element $e \in S$ is called
 a γ -*idempotent* if $e_\gamma^2 = e$ where e_γ^2 means $e\gamma e$. A subset T of a S is called a γ -
subsemigroup of S if for every $x, y \in T, x\gamma y \in T$. A Γ -semigroup S is said to be
periodic if all of its monogenic γ -subsemigroups are finite for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Here,
 a monogenic γ -subsemigroup of S generated by $s \in S$ is denoted by $\langle s \rangle_\gamma$, and
 $\langle s \rangle_\gamma = \{s_\gamma^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where $s_\gamma^1 = s, s_\gamma^2 = s\gamma s, \dots, s_\gamma^n = s_\gamma^{n-1}\gamma s$.

Lemma 3.8. [8] *Every finite semigroup includes an idempotent element.*

Lemma 3.9. *Among the powers s_γ^n of elements of a periodic Γ -semigroup S for
 $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there is a γ -idempotent.*

Proof. Let $s \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Consider the monogenic γ -subsemigroup $\langle s \rangle_\gamma$. For every $x, y \in \langle s \rangle_\gamma$, define $xy := x\gamma y \in \langle s \rangle_\gamma$. Then $\langle s \rangle_\gamma$ is made into a semigroup by this operation. Since S is periodic, $\langle s \rangle_\gamma$ is a finite Γ -semigroup and then a finite semigroup. Then, using Lemma 3.8, there is an idempotent element e in the semigroup $\langle s \rangle_\gamma$. Thus, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $e = s_\gamma^k$. Using the operation, $e = e^2 = e\gamma e = e_\gamma^2$. Then $e = s_\gamma^k$ is a γ -idempotent element of S . ■

Notation 3.10. Let S be a Γ -semigroup, $s_1, s_2 \in S$, $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. Then we put $(s_1\gamma s_2)_\beta^2 := (s_1\gamma s_2)\beta(s_1\gamma s_2)$.

Theorem 3.11. Let $\Gamma - {}_T A_S$ be a Γ -biact over periodic Γ -semigroups T and S . Then on $\Gamma - {}_T A_S$ we have ${}_T \mathcal{D}_S = {}_T \mathcal{J}_S$.

Proof. In view of Remark 3.5, it suffices to prove that ${}_T \mathcal{J}_S \subseteq {}_T \mathcal{D}_S$. Assume that $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $(a_1, a_2) \in {}_T \mathcal{J}_S$, i.e. $T\Gamma a_1 \Gamma S = T\Gamma a_2 \Gamma S$. Thus, $a_1 = t_2 \alpha a_2 \lambda s_2$ and $a_2 = t_1 \gamma a_1 \beta s_1$ for some $s_1, s_2 \in S$, $t_1, t_2 \in T$ and $\alpha, \lambda, \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= t_2 \alpha (t_1 \gamma a_1 \beta s_1) \lambda s_2 = (t_2 \alpha t_1) \gamma a_1 \beta (s_1 \lambda s_2) = (t_2 \alpha t_1) \gamma t_2 \alpha a_2 \lambda s_2 \beta (s_1 \lambda s_2) \\ &= (t_2 \alpha t_1) \gamma t_2 \alpha t_1 \gamma a_1 \beta s_1 \lambda s_2 \beta (s_1 \lambda s_2) = (t_2 \alpha t_1)_\gamma^2 \gamma a_1 \beta (s_1 \lambda s_2)_\beta^2 = \dots \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we obtain

$$a_2 = (t_1 \gamma t_2) \alpha a_2 \lambda (s_2 \beta s_1) = (t_1 \gamma t_2)_\alpha^2 \alpha a_2 \lambda (s_1 \beta s_2)_\lambda^2 = \dots$$

Since T and S are periodic Γ -semigroups, we can find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(t_2 \alpha t_1)_\gamma^m$ is a γ -idempotent by Lemma 3.9. Let now $c = t_1 \gamma a_1 \in A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= (t_2 \alpha t_1)_\gamma^m \gamma a_1 \beta (s_1 \lambda s_2)_\beta^m = (t_2 \alpha t_1)_\gamma^m \gamma (t_2 \alpha t_1)_\gamma^m \gamma a_1 \beta (s_1 \lambda s_2)_\beta^m \\ &= (t_2 \alpha t_1)_\gamma^m \gamma a_1 = \left((t_2 \alpha t_1)_\gamma^{m-1} \gamma t_2 \right) \alpha (t_1 \gamma a_1) = \left((t_2 \alpha t_1)_\gamma^{m-1} \gamma t_2 \right) \alpha c. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(a_1, c) \in {}_T \mathcal{L}$. Moreover, we have $c\beta s_1 = t_1 \gamma a_1 \beta s_1 = a_2$, and, using Lemma 3.9, if we choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(s_2 \beta s_1)_\lambda^n$ is a λ -idempotent, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} c &= t_1 \gamma a_1 = t_1 \gamma (t_2 \alpha t_1)_\gamma^{n+1} \gamma a_1 \beta (s_1 \lambda s_2)_\beta^{n+1} = (t_1 \gamma t_2)_\alpha^{n+1} \alpha (t_1 \gamma a_1 \beta s_1) \lambda (s_2 \beta s_1)_\lambda^n \lambda s_2 \\ &= (t_1 \gamma t_2)_\alpha^{n+1} \alpha a_2 \lambda (s_2 \beta s_1)_\lambda^{2n} \lambda s_2 = \left((t_1 \gamma t_2)_\alpha^{n+1} \alpha a_2 \lambda (s_2 \beta s_1)_\lambda^{n+1} \right) \lambda (s_2 \beta s_1)_\beta^{n-1} \lambda s_2 \\ &= a_2 \lambda (s_2 \beta s_1)_\beta^{n-1} \lambda s_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(c, a_2) \in \mathcal{R}_S$ and so, using Remark 3.5, $(a_1, a_2) \in {}_T \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}_S = {}_T \mathcal{D}_S$. ■

Definition 3.12. Let $\rho \in \mathbf{Con}(\Gamma - {}_T A_S)$ for a Γ -biact $\Gamma - {}_T A_S$. The set $\frac{\Gamma - {}_T A_S}{\rho} = \{[a]_\rho \mid a \in A\}$ with the left $\Gamma - T$ -action $t\gamma[a]_\rho := [t\gamma a]_\rho$ and the right $\Gamma - S$ -action $[a]_\rho \gamma s := [a\gamma s]_\rho$ for every $t \in T, s \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is clearly a Γ -biact which is called the *factor Γ -biact* of $\Gamma - {}_T A_S$ by ρ .

Proposition 3.13. Let $\Gamma - {}_T A_S$ be a Γ -biact and $\rho \in \mathbf{Con}(\Gamma - {}_T A_S)$. Then

- (i) If $\rho \subseteq {}_T \mathcal{L}$, then for all $a, b \in A$, $a {}_T \mathcal{L} b$ if and only if $[a]_\rho {}_T \mathcal{L} [b]_\rho$ in $\frac{\Gamma - {}_T A_S}{\rho}$.

- (ii) If $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{R}_S$, then for all $a, b \in A$, $a \mathcal{R}_S b$ if and only if $[a]_\rho \mathcal{R}_S [b]_\rho$ in $\frac{\Gamma-TAs}{\rho}$.
- (iii) If $\rho \subseteq {}_T\mathcal{H}_S$, then for all $a, b \in A$, $a {}_T\mathcal{H}_S b$ if and only if $[a]_\rho {}_T\mathcal{H}_S [b]_\rho$ in $\frac{\Gamma-TAs}{\rho}$.

Proof. (i) Let $a, b \in A$. If $a {}_T\mathcal{L} b$, then there exist $t, u \in T$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $a = t\gamma b$ and $b = u\beta a$. Then $[a]_\rho = [t\gamma b]_\rho = t\gamma[b]_\rho$ and $[b]_\rho = [u\beta a]_\rho = u\beta[a]_\rho$. Therefore, $T\Gamma[a]_\rho = T\Gamma[b]_\rho$ which means that $[a]_\rho {}_T\mathcal{L} [b]_\rho$ in $\frac{\Gamma-TAs}{\rho}$. Conversely, assume that $[a]_\rho {}_T\mathcal{L} [b]_\rho$ in $\frac{\Gamma-TAs}{\rho}$. Then $T\Gamma[a]_\rho = T\Gamma[b]_\rho$ so that there exist $t, u \in T$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $[a]_\rho = t\gamma[b]_\rho = [t\gamma b]_\rho$ and $[b]_\rho = u\beta[a]_\rho = [u\beta a]_\rho$, i.e. $a\rho(t\gamma b)$ and $b\rho(u\beta a)$. Since $\rho \subseteq {}_T\mathcal{L}$, $a {}_T\mathcal{L} t\gamma b$ and $b {}_T\mathcal{L} u\beta a$. Then $T\Gamma a = T\Gamma(t\gamma b)$ and $T\Gamma b = T\Gamma(u\beta a)$. This implies that $a \in T\Gamma(t\gamma b) = (T\Gamma t)\gamma b \subseteq T\Gamma b$ and $b \in T\Gamma(u\beta a) = (T\Gamma u)\beta b \subseteq T\Gamma a$. Therefore, $T\Gamma a = T\Gamma b$, i.e. $a {}_T\mathcal{L} b$.

(ii) It is similar to (i).

(iii) Let $a, b \in A$. Assume that $\rho \subseteq {}_T\mathcal{H}_S$. Since ${}_T\mathcal{H}_S = {}_T\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}_S$, $\rho \subseteq {}_T\mathcal{L}$ and $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{R}_S$. Using (i) and (ii), $a {}_T\mathcal{H}_S b$ if and only if $a {}_T\mathcal{L} b$ and $a \mathcal{R}_S b$ if and only if $[a]_\rho {}_T\mathcal{L} [b]_\rho$ and $[a]_\rho \mathcal{R}_S [b]_\rho$ if and only if $[a]_\rho {}_T\mathcal{H}_S [b]_\rho$ in $\frac{\Gamma-TAs}{\rho}$. ■

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the referees for the careful reading of the paper and for useful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] AMERI, A., SADEGHI, R., *Gamma modules*, Ratio Mathematica, 20 (2010), 127-147.
- [2] CHINRAM, R., SIAMMAI, P., *On Green's relations for Γ -semigroups and reductive Γ -semigroups*, Int. J. Algebra, 2(4) (2008), 187-195.
- [3] CHINRAM, R., TINPUN, K., *Isomorphism theorems for Γ -semigroup and ordered Γ -semigroup*, J. Math., 7(2) (2009), 231-241.
- [4] FENG, Y., CORSINI, P., *(λ, μ) -Fuzzy interior ideals of ordered Γ -semigroups*, Algebra Discrete Math., 16(1) (2013), 61-70.
- [5] GREEN, J.A., *On the structure of semigroup*, Ann.Math., 54(1951), 163-172.
- [6] HOSSAIN, Z., *Gamma modules with gamma rings of gamma endomorphisms*, Int. J. Sci. Tech., 6(3) (2013), 346-354.
- [7] HOWIE, J.M., *Automata and Languages*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [8] KILP, M., KNAUER, U., MIKHALEV, A.V., *Monoids, Acts and Categories*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.
- [9] LI, B., FENG, Y., *Intuitionistic (λ, μ) -fuzzy sets in Γ -semigroups*, J. Inequal. Appl., (2013), 2013:107 doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-107.
- [10] SEN, M.K., *On Γ -semigroups*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebra and its Application, Decker publication, New York, 1981, 301-308.
- [11] SEN, M.K., SETH, A., *Radical of Γ -semigroup*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 80(3), (1988), 189-196.
- [12] SHABANI, A.R., RASOULI, H., *On gamma S-acts*, The 45th Annual Iranian Mathematics Conference, 2014.

Accepted: 11.07.2016