

A GENERALIZED COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR SIX SELF-MAPS

T. Phaneendra¹

*Applied Analysis Division
School of Advanced Sciences
VIT University
Vellore-632014, Tamil Nadu
India
e-mail: drtp.indra@gmail.com*

D. Surekha

*Department of Mathematics
Hyderabad Institute of Technology & Management
RR District, Hyderabad (A.P.)
India
e-mail: surekhaavinash@yahoo.com*

Abstract. A recent common fixed point theorem of Kikina and Kikina (2011) has been extended to two triads of self-maps through the notions of weak compatibility and the property (EA), under an implicit-type relation and restricted completeness, namely orbital completeness of the space.

Keywords: property (EA), implicit relation, orbital completeness, weak compatibility, common fixed point.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, (X, d) denotes a metric space. Given $x_0 \in X$ and f, g and h self-maps on X , the associated sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X$ with the choice

$$(1.1) \quad x_{3n-2} = fx_{3n-3}, \quad x_{3n-1} = gx_{3n-2}, \quad x_{3n} = hx_{3n-1} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

is an (f, g, h) -orbit at x_0 . The metric space X is (f, g, h) -orbitally complete [6] if every Cauchy sequence in the (f, g, h) -orbit at each $x_0 \in X$ converges in X .

Kikina and Kikina [6] proved the following

¹Corresponding author.

Theorem 1.1 *Let f, g and h be self-maps on X satisfying the three conditions:*

$$(1.2) \quad [1 + pd(x, y)]d(fx, gy) \leq p[d(x, fx)d(y, gy) + d(x, gy)d(y, fx)] \\ + q \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, fx), d(y, gy), \frac{1}{2}[d(x, gy) + d(y, fx)] \right\},$$

$$(1.3) \quad [1 + pd(x, y)]d(gx, hy) \leq p[d(x, gx)d(y, hy) + d(x, hy)d(y, gx)] \\ + q \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, gx), d(y, hy), \frac{1}{2}[d(x, hy) + d(y, gx)] \right\},$$

$$(1.4) \quad [1 + pd(x, y)]d(hx, fy) \leq p[d(x, hx)d(y, fy) + d(x, fy)d(y, hx)] \\ + q \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, hx), d(y, fy), \frac{1}{2}[d(x, fy) + d(y, hx)] \right\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where

$$p > -\frac{1}{\max \{d(x, y) : x, y \in X\}} \quad \text{with} \quad \max \{d(x, y) : x, y \in X\} > 0$$

and $0 \leq q < 1$. If X is (f, g, h) -orbitally complete, then f, g and h will have a unique common fixed point.

In this paper, we first extend the orbital completeness to two triads of self-maps and give an extended version of Theorem 1.1 using weak compatibility and property (EA) under generalized inequalities of (1.2)-(1.4) involving an implicit relation.

2. Preliminaries

Self-maps f and r on (X, d) are known to be commuting if $frx = rfx$ for all $x \in X$, where fr denotes the composition of f and r . As a weaker form of it, Sessa [14] introduced weakly commuting maps f and r on X with the choice:

$$(2.1) \quad d(frx, rfx) \leq d(fx, rx) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

As a further weaker form of commuting mappings, Gerald Jungck [3] introduced the notion of compatibility as follows:

Definition 2.1 *Self-maps f and r on X are said to be compatible if there is a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X$ such that*

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} rx_n = p \quad \text{for some } p \in X$$

implies that

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(frx_n, rfx_n) = 0.$$

It is obvious that every commuting pair is weakly commuting and every weakly commuting pair is compatible. However neither reverse implication is true. For examples, one can refer to [3] and [14].

We observe that both compatibility and noncompatibility of (f, r) guarantee the existence of a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty \subset X$ with the choice (2.2). Self-maps f and r on X satisfy the property (EA) [1] if (2.2) holds good for some $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty \subset X$. The class of compatible maps is contained in the class of *weakly compatible* maps [4] which commute at their coincidence points. However, weak compatibility and property (EA) are independent of each other [9]. Various form of compatibility and weak compatibility and their interrelation was presented in [2]. Weak compatibility have nice applications in dynamical programming (See [10]).

The idea of contraction type conditions involving an *implicit relation* was first introduced by Popa [13] which covers several contractive conditions and has the ability to unify several fixed point theorems.

For instance, [5] utilized one such implicit relation $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is lower semicontinuous and satisfies the following conditions:

- (C₁) ψ is nondecreasing in the fifth and sixth coordinate variables,
- (C₂) For every $l \geq 0, m \geq 0$, there is a constant $0 \leq \omega < 1$ such that
- (2.4) $\min\{\psi(l, m, m, l, l + m, 0), \psi(l, m, l, m, 0, l + m)\} \leq 0 \Rightarrow l \leq \omega m,$
- (C₃) $\psi(l, l, 0, 0, l, l) > 0$ for all $l > 0$.

In this paper, we first extend the property (EA) and orbital completeness to two triads of self-maps and then obtain an extended generalization of Theorem 1.1 involving weak compatibility and an implicit-type relation which does not require the choice (C₁), unlike in [5].

3. Main result

We begin with orbital completeness involving two triads of self-maps as follows:

Given $x_0 \in X$ and two triads (f, g, h) and (r, s, t) of self-maps on X , if there exist points x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots in X such that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} y_{3n-2} &= fx_{3n-3} = rx_{3n-2}, & y_{3n-1} &= gx_{3n-2} = sx_{3n-1}, \\ y_{3n} &= hx_{3n-1} = tx_{3n} & \text{for } n &= 1, 2, 3, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

then the associated sequence $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is an (f, g, h) -orbit relative (r, s, t) at x_0 .

The space X is (f, g, h) -orbitally complete at x_0 relative (r, s, t) if every Cauchy sequence in an (f, g, h) -orbit at x_0 relative (r, s, t) converges in X , and X is (f, g, h) -orbitally complete relative (r, s, t) if it is (f, g, h) -orbitally complete relative to (r, s, t) at each $x_0 \in X$.

It may be noted that if $r = s = t = i$, the identity map, then we get the orbital completeness as discussed in [6].

Similarly, if $g = h = f$ and $t = s = r$ in this notion, we get the f -orbital completeness relative to r as given in [11].

The property (EA) was extended to two pairs of self-maps in [7]. In fact, self-maps (f, r) and (g, s) share the common property (EA) on X if there exist sequences $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in X such that

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} rx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} sy_n = u \quad \text{for some } u \in X.$$

We improve the notion of [7] to a pair of triads of maps as follows:

Let f, g, h, r, s and t be self-maps on X . We say that the triads (f, g, h) and (r, s, t) share the common property (EA) if we can find sequences $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\langle z_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in X such that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} hz_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} rx_n \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} sy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} tz_n = u \quad \text{for some } u \in X. \end{aligned}$$

If $r = s = t = i$ in this notion, we get the property (EA) on the collection $\{f, g, h\}$ as given in [8].

Our main result is

Theorem 3.1 *Let f, g, h, r, s and t be self-maps on X sharing the common property (EA) and satisfying the following inequalities:*

$$(3.4) \quad \psi(d(fx, gy), d(rx, sy), d(rx, fx), d(sy, gy), d(rx, gy), d(sy, fx)) < 0,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \psi(d(gx, hy), d(sx, ty), d(sx, gx), d(ty, hy), d(sx, hy), d(ty, gx)) < 0,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \psi(d(hx, fy), d(tx, ry), d(tx, hx), d(ry, fy), d(tx, fy), d(ry, hx)) < 0,$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Suppose that r, s and t are onto. If (f, r) , (g, s) and (h, t) are weakly compatible, then all the six maps f, g, h, r, s and t will have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Suppose f, g, h, r, s and t share the common property. Then we can find sequences $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\langle z_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in X with the choice (3.3). Since r is onto, we have

$$(3.7) \quad u = rp \quad \text{for some } p \in X.$$

Writing $x = p$ and $y = x_n$ in (3.4), we get

$$\psi(d(fp, gx_n), d(rp, sx_n), d(rp, fp), d(sx_n, gx_n), d(rp, gx_n), d(sx_n, fp)) < 0.$$

Applying the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then using (3.3) and (3.7), this yields

$$\psi(d(fp, rp), 0, d(rp, fp), 0, 0, d(rp, fp)) < 0,$$

which is (2.4) with $l = d(fp, rp)$ and $m = 0$. So, by (C_2) we get $d(fp, rp) \leq 0$, that is $fp = rp = u$. Since (f, r) is weakly compatible, f and r commute at the coincidence point p , that is $frp = rfp$, we get

$$(3.8) \quad fu = ru.$$

Now s is onto implies that

$$(3.9) \quad u = sq \quad \text{for some } q \in X.$$

Then writing $x = q$ and $y = y_n$ in (3.5), we get

$$\psi(d(gq, hy_n), d(sq, ty_n), d(sq, gq), d(ty_n, hy_n), d(sq, hy_n), d(ty_n, gq)) < 0.$$

Applying the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then using (3.3) and (3.9), this yields

$$\psi(d(gq, sq), 0, d(sq, gq), 0, 0, d(sq, gq)) < 0,$$

which is (2.4) with $l = d(gq, sq)$ and $m = 0$. So, by (C_2) we get $d(gq, sq) \leq 0$, that is $gq = sq = u$. By the weak compatibility of, g and s , we get $gsq = sgg$ or

$$(3.10) \quad gu = su.$$

Finally, t is onto implies that

$$(3.11) \quad u = tw \quad \text{for some } w \in X.$$

Then (3.6) with $x = w$ and $y = w_n$ gives

$$\psi(d(hw, fz_n), d(tw, rz_n), d(tw, hw), d(rz_n, fz_n), d(tw, fz_n), d(rz_n, hw)) < 0.$$

Applying the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then using (3.3) and (3.11), this yields

$$\psi(d(hw, tw), 0, d(tw, hw), 0, 0, d(tw, hw)) < 0.$$

This in view of (C_2) with $l = d(tw, hw)$ and $m = 0$ finally gives $d(tw, hw) \leq 0$, that is $tw = hw = u$. By the weak compatibility of, h and t , we get $thw = htw$ or

$$(3.12) \quad tu = hu.$$

But from (3.4) with $x = y = u$, it follows that

$$\psi(d(fu, gu), d(fu, gu), 0, 0, d(fu, gu), d(gu, fu)) < 0,$$

which is nothing but (2.4) with $l = d(fu, gu)$ and $m = 0$. Hence by (C_2) , we get $d(fu, gu) \leq 0$ so that $fu = gu$.

On one hand, from (3.5) with $x = u = y$ it follows that

$$\psi(d(gu, hu), d(ru, ru), d(ru, gu), d(ru, hu), d(ru, hu), d(ru, gu)) < 0,$$

or that $\psi(d(gu, hu), 0, 0, d(fu, hu), d(ru, hu), 0) < 0$.

If $d(gu, hu) > 0$, from (C_3) , we see a contradiction that

$$\psi(d(gu, hu), 0, 0, d(fu, hu), d(ru, hu), 0) > 0.$$

Hence we must have $fu = gu$.

Now, writing $x = y = u$ in (3.5) and then using (3.8), (3.10), (3.12) and $fu = gu$, we get

$$\psi(d(gu, hu), d(gu, hu), 0, 0, d(gu, hu), d(hu, gu)) < 0$$

which, due to (C_3) , implies that $gu = hu$.

In other words, u is a common coincidence point of all the six maps, that is

$$(3.13) \quad fu = gu = hu = ru = su = tu.$$

Further, we see from (3.4) with $x = u$ and $y = x_n$ becomes

$$\psi(d(fu, gx_n), d(ru, rx_n), d(ru, fu), d(rx_n, gx_n), d(ru, gx_n), d(rx_n, fu)) < 0.$$

Proceeding the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, in this and using (3.13), we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad \psi(d(fu, u), d(fu, u), 0, 0, d(fu, u), d(fu, u)) < 0,$$

which would be a contradiction to $(C3)$ if $d(fu, u) > 0$, proving that u is a fixed point of f and hence a common fixed point of f, g, h and r . ■

Remark 3.1 Writing $s = t = r$ in this main result, recently it has been shown in [15] that any two of the three inequalities (3.4)-(3.6) are sufficient to obtain a common fixed point for the four self-maps f, g, h and r , using the weak compatibility of one of the three pairs (f, r) , (g, r) and (h, r) .

Now we write

$$\psi(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_5, l_6) = (1 + pl_2)l_1 - p[l_3l_4 + l_5l_6] - q \max \left\{ l_2, l_3, l_4, \frac{1}{2}[l_5 + l_6] \right\},$$

where p and q have the same choice as given in Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 3.1 *Let f, g, h, r, s and t be self-maps on X satisfying following conditions:*

$$(3.15) \quad [1 + pd(rx, sy)]d(fx, gy) \leq p[d(rx, fx)d(sy, gy) + d(rx, gy)d(sy, fx)] \\ + q \max \{ d(rx, sy), d(rx, fx), d(sy, gy), \frac{1}{2}[d(rx, gy) + d(sy, fx)] \},$$

$$(3.16) \quad [1 + pd(sx, ty)]d(gx, hy) \leq p[d(sx, gx)d(ty, hy) + d(sx, hy)d(ty, gx)] \\ + q \max \{ d(sx, ty), d(sx, gx), d(ty, hy), \frac{1}{2}[d(sx, hy) + d(ty, gx)] \},$$

$$(3.17) \quad [1 + pd(tx, ry)]d(hx, fy) \leq p[d(tx, hx)d(ry, fy) + d(tx, fy)d(ry, hx)] + q \max\{d(tx, ry), d(tx, hx), d(ry, fy), \frac{1}{2}[d(tx, fy) + d(ry, hx)]\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where

$$p > -\frac{1}{\max\{d(x, y) : x, y \in X\}}$$

with $\max\{d(x, y) : x, y \in X\} > 0$ and $0 \leq q < 1$. Suppose that

$$(3.18) \quad f(X) \subset r(X), g(X) \subset s(X), h(X) \subset t(X).$$

Suppose that X is (f, g, h) -orbitally complete relative to (r, s, t) , and r, s and t are onto. If (f, r) , (g, s) and (h, t) are weakly compatible, then all the six maps f, g, h, r, s and t will have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $p_0 \in X$. In view of (3.18), there exists an (f, g, h) -orbit relative (r, s, t) at p_0 with the choice (3.1). By a routine iteration procedure just similar to that of Theorem 1.1, one can prove that (f, g, h) -orbit relative (r, s, t) at p_0 is Cauchy, and hence converges to some $u \in X$, since X is (f, g, h) -orbitally complete at p_0 . That is

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fp_{3n-3} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gp_{3n-2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} hp_{3n-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} rp_{3n-2} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} sp_{3n-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} tp_{3n} = u \quad \text{for some } u \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using the inequalities (3.15)-(3.17), it is not difficult to see that

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fp_{3n-3} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} rp_{3n-3} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gp_{3n-2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} sp_{3n-2} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} hp_{3n-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} tp_{3n-1} = u \quad \text{for some } u \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Here we note that (3.19) is a special case of (3.3) with $x_n = p_{3n-3}, y_n = p_{3n-2}$ and $z_n = x_{3n-1}$. Therefore, the common fixed point follows from Theorem 3.1. ■

When $r = s = t = I$, the identity map on X in Corollary 3.1, we find that (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) are particular cases of the implicit relations of Theorem 3.1. Also it is well-known that I is onto and commutes with every map and hence is weakly compatible with the maps f, g and h , and hence a common fixed point follows directly from Theorem 3.1. Thus Theorem 1.1 is a stronger version of Corollary 3.1.

Finally, writing $h = g = f$ and $r = s = t$ in Theorem 3.1, we get a particular case of each of (3.4)-(3.6) as

$$(3.20) \quad \psi(d(fx, fy), d(rx, ry), d(rx, fx), d(ry, fy), d(rx, fy), d(ry, fx)) < 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Also the space X reduces to f -orbitally complete relative to r in the sense that every Cauchy sequence in the (f, r) -orbit $O_{f,r}(x_0)$ at each x_0 converges in X , where $O_{f,r}(x_0)$ has the choice

$$(3.21) \quad fx_{n-1} = rx_n \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then we have

Corollary 3.2 *Let f and r be self-maps on X satisfying the property E. A. and the inequality (3.20). If $r(X)$ is f -orbitally complete relative to r , then f and r will have a coincidence point. Further if (f, r) is weakly compatible, then f and r will have a unique common fixed point.*

The following example shows that f -orbital completeness of $r(X)$ is necessary in Corollary 3.2:

Example 3.1 Let

$$\psi(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_5, l_6) = l_1^2 - al_2^2 - \frac{bl_5l_6}{l_3^2 + l_4^2 + 1},$$

where $a = 1/2$ and $b = 1/4$. Set $X = \left\{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2^2}, \frac{1}{2^3}, \dots\right\}$ with the usual metric d . Define $f, r : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$f0 = \frac{1}{2^2}, f\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right) = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad r0 = \frac{1}{2}, r\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right) = \frac{1}{2^n},$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then (f, r) satisfies the property E.A. and

$$r(X) = \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2^2}, \frac{1}{2^3}, \dots\right\}.$$

For $x_0 = 0$, choose $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}, x_2 = \frac{1}{2^2}, x_3 = \frac{1}{2^3}, \dots$ so that

$$O_{f,r}(x_0) = \left\{\frac{1}{2^2}, \frac{1}{2^3}, \frac{1}{2^4}, \dots\right\}$$

while for $x_0 = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$, we have

$$O_{f,r}(x_0) = \left\{\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}, \frac{1}{2^{n+3}}, \dots\right\}$$

for each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. In either case, $O_{f,r}(x_0)$ converges to $0 \notin r(X)$.

Thus $r(X)$ is not orbitally complete at each x_0 . As such the maps f and r do not have a coincidence point, though X is complete.

Since every complete metric space is orbitally complete at each of its points, we have

Corollary 3.3 (Theorem 3.1, [5]) *Let f and r be self-maps on X satisfying the property E. A. and the inequality (3.20). If $r(X)$ is complete, then f and r will have a coincidence point. Further, f and r will have a unique common fixed point, provided (f, r) is weakly compatible.*

References

- [1] AAMRI, M.A., MOUTAWAKIL, D.EL., *Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 270 (2002), 181-188.
- [2] DEEPESH, K.P., KUMAM, P., DHANANJAY GOPAL., *Some discussion on the existence of common fixed points for a pair of maps*, Fix. Point Th. Appl., 2013, 2013: 187, 1-17.
- [3] GERALD JUNGCK, *Compatible maps and common fixed points*, Int. J. Math. & Math. Sci., 9 (1986), 771-779.
- [4] GERALD JUNGCK, RHOADES, B.E., *Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity*, Indian J. pure appl. Math., 29 (1998), 227-238.
- [5] IMDAD, M., JAVID ALI, *Jungck's common fixed point theorem and E.A. property*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Ser., 24 (2008), 87-94.
- [6] KIKINA, L., KIKINA, K., *Fixed points in k -complete metric spaces*, Demon. Math., 44 (2) (2011), 349-357.
- [7] LIU, W., WU, J., LI, Z., *Common fixed point of single value and multi-valued maps*, Internat J. math. Math. Sci., 19 (2005), 3045-3055.
- [8] MOHAMMAD AKKOUCHI, POPA, V., *Well-posedness of a common fixed point problem for three mappings under strict contractive conditions*, Buletin. Univers. Petrol-Gaze din Ploiesti, Seria Math. Inform. Fiz., 61 (2009), 1-10.
- [9] PATHAK, H.K., CHO, Y.J., KANG, S.M., *Remarks on R -weakly commuting mappings and common fixed point theorems*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 17 (1997), 247-257.
- [10] PATHAK, H.K., RAKESH TIWARI, *Common fixed points for weakly compatible mappings and applications in dynamic programming*, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math., 30 (2013), 253-268.
- [11] PHANEENDRA, T., *Coincidence Points of Two Weakly Compatible Self-Maps and Common Fixed Point Theorem through Orbits*, Ind. Jour. Math., 46 (2-3) (2004), 173-180.
- [12] PHANEENDRA, T., *Coincidence Points of Two Weakly Compatible Self-Maps and Common Fixed Point Theorem through Orbits*, Ind. Jour. Math., 46 (2-3) (2004), 173-180.
- [13] POPA, V., *Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation*, Demon. Math., 32 (1999), 157-163.

- [14] Sessa S., *On Weak commutativity Condition of Mappings in Fixed Point Considerations*, Publ. Inst. Math. Debre., 32 (1982), 149-153.
- [15] SUREKHA, D., PHANEENDRA, T., *A generalized common fixed point theorem under an implicit relation*, Demon. Math., 48 (1) (2015), 91-99.

Accepted: 30.04.2015