

## NOTES ON THE ARITHMETIC-GEOMETRIC MEAN INEQUALITY FOR SINGULAR VALUES

**Jiechang Ruan**

*Basic Education Department  
Yibin Vocational and Technical College  
Yibin, Sichuan, 644003  
P.R. China  
e-mail: 369610703@qq.com*

**Abstract.** In this short note, we present a generalization and a new equivalent form of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for singular values. Meanwhile, we also show some remarks related to generalizations of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for singular values.

**Keywords:** arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, positive semidefinite matrices, singular values.

**MSC (2010) Subject Classification:** 15A42, 47A63, 47B15.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $M_n$  be the space of  $n \times n$  complex matrices. We shall always denote the singular values of  $A$  by  $s_1(A) \geq \dots \geq s_n(A) \geq 0$ , that is, the eigenvalues of the positive semidefinite matrix  $|A| = (AA^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , arranged in decreasing order and repeated according to multiplicity. For  $A \in M_n$ , let  $A^+ = \frac{|A| + A}{2}$ ,  $A^- = \frac{|A| - A}{2}$ . Let  $A, B \in M_n$  be Hermitian, the order relation  $A \geq B$  means, as usual, that  $A - B$  is positive semidefinite. We use the direct sum notation  $A \oplus B$  for the block-diagonal operator  $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$  defined on  $M_n \oplus M_n$ .

The well-known arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for singular values due to Bhatia and Kittaneh [1] says that

$$2s_j(AB^*) \leq s_j(A^*A + B^*B)$$

for any  $A, B \in M_n$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . We state this in another form: Let  $A, B \in M_n$  be positive semidefinite, then

$$(1.1) \quad 2s_j(AB) \leq s_j(A^2 + B^2)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . For more information on singular values and unitarily invariant norms inequalities the reader is referred to [2]-[9].

In section 2, we first give a generalization of inequality (1.1). After that, we give a new equivalent form of inequality (1.1). Section 3 contains some remarks.

## 2. Main results

To generalize inequality (1.1), we need the following result [5, Theorem 1].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $A, X, B \in M_n$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  are positive semidefinite. Then*

$$s_j (AX - XB) \leq \|X\| s_j (A \oplus B)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the usual operator norm on  $M_n$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A, B \in M_n$  be positive semidefinite and suppose that  $f(t), g(t)$  are polynomials. Then*

$$s_j (ABg(B) + f(A)AB) \leq \max(\|f(A)\|, \|g(B)\|) s_j (A^2 + B^2)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Proof.** Let

$$K_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & X_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^2 X_1 & AB X_2 \\ B A X_1 & B^2 X_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$K_2 = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & X_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ -B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & -B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 A^2 & -X_1 AB \\ -X_2 BA & X_2 B^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 - K_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} A^2 X_1 & AB X_2 \\ B A X_1 & B^2 X_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} X_1 A^2 & -X_1 AB \\ -X_2 BA & X_2 B^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} A^2 X_1 - X_1 A^2 & AB X_2 + X_1 AB \\ B A X_1 + X_2 BA & B^2 X_2 - X_2 B^2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

So, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad s_j (K_1 - K_2) &\leq \|X_1 \oplus X_2\| s_j \left( \begin{bmatrix} A^2 & AB \\ BA & B^2 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} A^2 & -AB \\ -BA & B^2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \max(\|X_1\|, \|X_2\|) s_j \left( \begin{bmatrix} A^2 & AB \\ BA & B^2 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} A^2 & -AB \\ -BA & B^2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \max(\|X_1\|, \|X_2\|) s_j \left( \begin{bmatrix} A^2 & AB \\ BA & B^2 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} A^2 & AB \\ BA & B^2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \max(\|X_1\|, \|X_2\|) s_j ((A^2 + B^2) \oplus (A^2 + B^2)) \end{aligned}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Let

$$X_1 = f(A), X_2 = g(B), K = ABg(B) + f(A)AB.$$

Then, we have

$$K_1 - K_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & K \\ K^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$s_j \begin{bmatrix} 0 & K \\ K^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} = s_j \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^* \end{bmatrix},$$

so, by inequality (2.1), we get

$$s_j \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^* \end{bmatrix} \leq \max(\|f(A)\|, \|g(B)\|) s_j((A^2+B^2) \oplus (A^2+B^2))$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus, we obtain

$$s_j(ABg(B) + f(A)AB) \leq \max(\|f(A)\|, \|g(B)\|) s_j(A^2+B^2)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . This completes the proof. ■

**Theorem 2.2.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *Let  $A, B \in M_n$  be positive semidefinite. Then*

$$(2.2) \quad s_j(A - B) \leq s_j(A \oplus B)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

(ii) *Let  $A, B \in M_n$  be positive semidefinite. Then*

$$2s_j(AB) \leq s_j(A^2 + B^2)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

(iii) *Let  $A, B, X \in M_n$  such that  $\begin{bmatrix} A & X \\ X^* & B \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$ . Then*

$$2s_j(X) \leq s_j \begin{bmatrix} A & X \\ X^* & B \end{bmatrix}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . *Let  $A, B \in M_n$  such that  $A$  is self-adjoint,  $B \geq 0$ , and  $\pm A \leq B$ . Then*

$$2s_j(A) \leq s_j((B + A) \oplus (B - A))$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

(iv) *Let  $A, B \in M_n$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  are self-adjoint. Then*

$$(2.3) \quad s_j(A + B) \leq s_j((A^+ + B^+) \oplus (A^- + B^-))$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Proof.** Note that (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) are equivalent by [4, Theorem 2.6]. We will prove that (i) is equivalent to (v), and this will complete the proof of this theorem.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (v). Since  $A$  and  $B$  are Hermitian, it follows that

$$\pm(A + B) \leq |A| + |B|.$$

Let

$$Y_1 = A + B, \quad Y_2 = |A| + |B|.$$

Now, applying inequality (2.2) to the matrices  $Y_2 + Y_1$  and  $Y_2 - Y_1$ , we have

$$s_j((Y_2 + Y_1) - (Y_2 - Y_1)) \leq s_j((Y_2 + Y_1) \oplus (Y_2 - Y_1)),$$

which is equivalent to

$$s_j(A + B) \leq s_j((A^+ + B^+) \oplus (A^- + B^-))$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . So, we know that inequality (2.2) implies inequality (2.3).

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Audeh and Kittaneh [4, p.2521] pointed out that inequality (2.3) implies inequality (2.2). So, (i) is equivalent to (v). This completes the proof. ■

### 3. Remarks

**Remark 3.1.** Let  $f(t) = g(t) = 1$  in Theorem 2.1, we obtain inequality (1.1). Let  $f(t) = g(t) = t$  in Theorem 2.1, we get

$$s_j(A(A + B)B) \leq \max(\|A\|, \|B\|) s_j(A^2 + B^2)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . This is a matrix version of the following inequality:

$$a(a + b)b \leq \max(a, b)(a^2 + b^2), \quad a \geq 0, b \geq 0.$$

**Remark 3.2.** Let  $A, B, X \in M_n$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  are positive semidefinite. A natural extension of inequality (1.1) is

$$2s_j(AXB) \leq s_j(A^2X + XB^2)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . This is not always true. For example, if we choose

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.7389 & 0.6634 & 0.7481 \\ 0.6634 & 1.0265 & 0.7836 \\ 0.7481 & 0.7836 & 1.0908 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1820 & 0.2744 & 0.8627 \\ 0.8027 & 0.3597 & 0.5781 \\ 0.0095 & 0.5384 & 0.2392 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1.7006 & 0.9132 & 0.3608 \\ 0.9132 & 0.8945 & 0.5280 \\ 0.3608 & 0.5280 & 0.9509 \end{bmatrix},$$

then, we have

$$2s_3 (AXB) = 0.0289 \geq 0.0106 = s_3 (A^2X + XB^2).$$

**Remark 3.3.** Let  $A, B, X \in M_n$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  are positive semidefinite. Another possible extension of inequality (1.1) is

$$2s_j (AXB) \leq \|X\| s_j (A^2 + B^2)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . This is refused by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4327 & 0.6051 \\ 0.6051 & 0.9762 \end{bmatrix}, X = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5730 & 0.2149 \\ 0.6816 & 0.1522 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1111 & 0.1476 \\ 0.1476 & 0.0361 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In fact, we have

$$2s_1 (AXB) = 2.8102 \geq 2.4311 = \|X\| s_1 (A^2 + B^2).$$

It should be note that the inequality

$$2s_j (AXB) \leq \|X\| s_j (A^2 + B^2)$$

holds when  $X$  is positive semidefinite [10].

**Remark 3.4.** Let  $A, B, X, Y \in M_n$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  are positive semidefinite. A possible generalization of Theorem 2.1 is

$$s_j (ABX + YAB) \leq \max (\|X\|, \|Y\|) s_j (A^2 + B^2)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . This is not always true. For example, if we choose

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0286 & 0.0414 & 0.0472 \\ 0.0414 & 0.7134 & 0.5265 \\ 0.0472 & 0.5265 & 0.6030 \end{bmatrix}, X = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5992 & 0.7265 & 0.6529 \\ 0.4480 & 0.0524 & 0.8624 \\ 0.1893 & 0.6981 & 0.8502 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2547 & 0.9273 & 0.1140 \\ 0.7466 & 0.1075 & 0.5175 \\ 0.1717 & 0.2464 & 0.2433 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3508 & 0.2981 & 0.3669 \\ 0.2981 & 1.0854 & 0.9269 \\ 0.3669 & 0.9269 & 1.2541 \end{bmatrix},$$

then, we have

$$s_2 (ABX + YAB) = 0.3924 \geq 0.2220 = \max (\|X\|, \|Y\|) s_2 (A^2 + B^2).$$

## References

- [1] BHATIA, R., KITTANEH, F., *On the singular values of a product of operators*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 11 (1990), 272-277.
- [2] TAO, Y., *More results on singular value inequalities*, Linear Algebra Appl., 416 (2006), 724-729.
- [3] BHATIA, R., KITTANEH, F., *The matrix arithmetic-geometric mean inequality revisited*, Linear Algebra Appl., 428 (2008), 2177-2191.
- [4] AUDEH, W., KITTANEH, F., *Singular value inequalities for compact operators*, Linear Algebra Appl., 437 (2012), 2516-2522.
- [5] KITTANEH, F., *Inequalities for commutators of positive operators*, J. Funct. Anal., 250 (2007), 132-143.
- [6] ZOU, L., HE, C., *Weak majorization inequalities for singular values*, Oper. Matrices, 7 (2013), 733-737.
- [7] ZOU, L., HE, C., *On some inequalities for unitarily invariant norms and singular values*, Linear Algebra Appl., 436 (2012), 3354-3361.
- [8] HU, X., *Some inequalities for unitarily invariant norms*, J. Math. Inequal., 6 (2012), 615-623.
- [9] FU, X., HE, C., *On some inequalities for unitarily invariant norms*, J. Math. Inequal., 7 (2013), 727-737.
- [10] ALBADAWI, H., *Singular value and arithmetic-geometric mean inequalities for operators*, Ann. Funct. Anal., 3 (2012), 10-18.

Accepted: 02.04.2015