

LIE IDEAL AND GENERALIZED JORDAN LEFT DERIVATION ON SEMIPRIME RINGS

R.K. Sharma

B. Prajapati¹

*Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology
Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi, 110016
India
e-mails: rksharma@maths.iitd.ac.in
Bprajapati82@gmail.com*

Abstract. Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring in which $x^2 = 0$ implies $x = 0$. Let g be a generalized Jordan left (right) derivation associated with Jordan left (right) derivation d on R . Then g is a generalized left (right) derivation on R . It is proved that if $Q_r(S)$ is the Martindale quotient ring of S then there exists $q \in Q_r(S)$ such that $g(x) = qx + d(x)$ for all $x \in R$. (In right derivation case).

Keywords and Phrases: semiprime ring, biadditive mapping, generalized left derivation, left centralizer, martingale quotient ring, extended centroid, central closure.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W25, 16N60.

1. In this paper, R will denote a 2-torsion free semiprime ring. A ring R is said to be semiprime if $xRx = 0$ with $x \in R$ implies $x = 0$. An additive subgroup U of R is said to be a Lie ideal of R if $[U, R] \subseteq U$, where $[x, y]$ denotes the Lie product $xy - yx$ of x and y . A mapping $A : R \times R \rightarrow R$ is said to be biadditive if it is additive in both the variables. Let C be the extended centroid of R and $S = RC$ be the central closure of R . The notion of extended centroid and central closure of semiprime ring R are given by Amitsur in [1]. It can also be found in [5]. Let $Q_r(S)$ be the Martindale quotient ring corresponding to S . An additive mapping $t : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be a left centralizer (resp. Jordan left centralizer) if $t(xy) = t(x)y$ (resp. $t(x^2) = t(x)x$) for all $x, y \in R$. Clearly, every left centralizer is a Jordan left centralizer but the converse may not be true in general. In [19], it is proved that if the ring is 2-torsion free semiprime then the converse is also true. An additive mapping $d : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be a derivation (resp. Jordan derivation) if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ (resp. $d(x^2) = d(x)x + xd(x)$) for all $x, y \in R$. Clearly, every derivation is a Jordan derivation but converse need not be true. A counter

¹Corresponding author.

example is a reverse derivation given by Herstein in [12]. An additive mapping $*$: $R \rightarrow R$ is said to be a reverse derivation if $(ab)^* = b^*a + ba^*$. However, if ring is 2-torsion free prime or semiprime then Jordan derivation is also a derivation [12], [8]. An additive mapping $d : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be a left derivation (resp. Jordan left derivation) if $d(xy) = xd(y) + yd(x)$ (resp. $d(x^2) = 2xd(x)$) for all $x, y \in R$. Every left derivation is a Jordan left derivation but the converse is not true. If the ring is 2-torsion free, prime then every Jordan left derivation is a left derivation [2]. An additive mapping $g : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be a generalized derivation (resp. generalized Jordan derivation) associated with the derivation d if $g(xy) = g(x)y + xd(y)$ (resp. $g(x^2) = g(x)x + xd(x)$) for all $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $g : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be generalized left derivation (resp. generalized Jordan left derivation) associated with the Jordan left derivation $d : R \rightarrow R$ if $g(xy) = xg(y) + yd(x)$ ($g(x^2) = xg(x) + xd(x)$) for all $x, y \in R$. Every generalized left derivation is a generalized Jordan left derivation but converse is not true. An example is given by Ashraf and Ali in [2]. In [2] a question asked: "Is every generalized Jordan left derivation on semiprime ring generalized left derivation?" We show here that if a ring is 2-torsion free semiprime in which $x^2 = 0$ implies $x = 0$ the above question has affirmative answer.

2. To prove our theorems we need some results.

Lemma 1 ([3]). *Let R be a 2-torsion free ring and U be a Lie ideal of R such that $x^2 \in U$ for all $x \in U$. If $d : R \rightarrow R$ is an additive mapping satisfying $d(x^2) = 2xdx$ for all $x \in U$, then*

- (i) $d(xy + yx) = 2xdy + 2ydx$ for all $x, y \in U$.
- (ii) $d(xyx) = x^2dy + 3xydx - yxdx$ for all $x, y \in U$.
- (iii) $d(xyz + zyx) = (xz + zx)dy + 3xydz + 3zydx - yxdz - yzdx$ for all $x, y, z \in U$.
- (iv) $[x, y]xdx = x[x, y]dx$ for all $x, y \in U$.
- (v) $[x, y]A(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$.

Here $A(x, y) = d(xy) - yd(x) - xdy$.

From Lemma 1, one can easily see that

$$A(x, y) + A(y, x) = 0 \text{ and } A(x, y) - A(y, x) = d[x, y].$$

Lemma 2. *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring in which $x^2 = 0$ implies $x = 0$. Let U be a Lie ideal of R such that $x^2 \in U$ for all $x \in U$. Let $A, B : U \times U \rightarrow U$ are biadditive mappings such that $A(x, y)B(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$ then $A(x, y)B(u, v) = 0$ for all $x, y, u, v \in U$.*

Proof. Replace x by $x + u$ in $A(x, y)B(x, y) = 0$ to get

$$A(x, y)B(u, y) = -A(u, y)B(x, y).$$

Now, by the above relation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\{A(x, y)B(u, y)\}^2 &= A(x, y)B(u, y)A(x, y)B(u, y) \\ &= -A(x, y)B(x, y)A(u, y)B(u, y).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by given hypothesis, we get $\{A(x, y)B(u, y)\}^2 = 0$, for all $x, y, u \in U$. We get $A(x, y)B(u, y) = 0$. Again, replacing y by $y + v$ in $A(x, y)B(u, y) = 0$ and using the same argument, we get the required result. ■

Lemma 3. *Let R be a semiprime ring and $x, y \in R$ such that $[u, v]A(x, y) = 0$ for all $u, v \in R$, then $A(x, y) \in Z(R)$.*

Proof. Let $z, w \in R$ be arbitrary elements

$$\begin{aligned}[z, A(x, y)]w[z, A(x, y)] &= [z, A(x, y)]wzA(x, y) - [z, A(x, y)]wA(x, y)z \\ &= [z, A(x, y)wz]A(x, y) - A(x, y)[z, wz]A(x, y) \\ &\quad - [z, A(x, y)w]A(x, y)z + A(x, y)[z, w]A(x, y)z. \\ \Rightarrow [z, A(x, y)]w[z, A(x, y)] &= 0 \text{ for all } w, z \in R.\end{aligned}$$

Since R is semiprime, we get $[z, A(x, y)] = 0$ for all $z \in R$ and so $A(x, y) \in Z(R)$. ■

Theorem 1. *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring in which $x^2 = 0$ implies $x = 0$. Let U be a Lie ideal of R such that $x^2 \in U$ for all $x \in U$. If $d : R \rightarrow R$ is an additive mapping satisfying $d(x^2) = 2xdx$ for all $x \in U$, then $d(xy) = xdy + ydx$ for all $x, y \in U$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1 (v), $[x, y]A(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$. Since both $[x, y]$ and $A(x, y)$ are biadditive mappings, so by Lemma 2, we get that $[u, v]A(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y, u, v \in U$. Now for fixed x, y in U , $A(x, y) \in Z(U)$ by Lemma 3. And this is true for all $x, y \in U$.

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}2(A(x, y))^2 &= A(x, y)(A(x, y) + A(x, y)) \\ &= A(x, y)(A(x, y) - A(y, x)), \text{ since } A(x, y) = -A(y, x) \\ &= A(x, y)d[x, y], \text{ since } A(x, y) - A(y, x) = d[x, y],\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$2(A(x, y))^2 = A(x, y)d[x, y].$$

Since $[x, y]A(x, y) = 0$ and $A(x, y) \in Z(U)$, we have

$$A(x, y)[x, y] + [x, y]A(x, y) = 0$$

and, by Lemma 1(i), we get

$$2A(x, y)d[x, y] + 2[x, y]dA(x, y) = 0.$$

Since R is 2-torsion free, $A(x, y)d[x, y] = -[x, y]dA(x, y)$. Thus, we get

$$2(A(x, y))^2 + [x, y]dA(x, y) = 0.$$

Multiplying by $A(x, y)$ on left and using the fact that $A(x, y) \in Z(U)$, we get $2(A(x, y))^3 = 0$, since $[x, y]A(x, y) = 0$. Again, using the fact that R is 2-torsion free, we get $(A(x, y))^3 = 0$. Now, since we are assuming $x^2 = 0$ implies $x = 0$, so we have $A(x, y) = 0$, and thus, $d(xy) = xdy + ydx$, for all $x, y \in U$. ■

Corollary 1. *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring with unit element. Then, every Jordan left derivation is a left derivation.*

Proof. Since R is semiprime ring with unity, the assumption $x^2 = 0$ implies $x = 0$ is clearly satisfied. ■

Corollary 2. *Let R be a 2-torsion free semisimple ring in which $x^2 = 0$ implies $x = 0$ (or R has unity). Then, every Jordan left derivation is a left derivation.*

Proof. Since every semisimple ring is semiprime, the result follow from Theorem 1. ■

Lemma 4 ([19] Proposition 1.4). *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and $T : R \rightarrow R$ an additive mapping which satisfies $T(x^2) = T(x)x$ for all $x \in R$. Then T is a left centralizer.*

Lemma 5. *An additive mapping $g : R \rightarrow R$ is a generalized left (right) derivation if and only if g is of the form $g = d + t$, where d is a left (right) derivation and t is a right (left) centralizer.*

Proof. Let g be a generalized left derivation on R i.e. $g(xy) = xg(y) + yd(x)$ where d is a left derivation on R . Suppose $t = g - d$, then

$$\begin{aligned} t(xy) &= (g - d)(xy) = xg(y) + yd(x) - xd(y) - yd(x) \\ &= x(g - d)(y) = xt(y). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that t is a right centralizer. Hence $g = d + t$.

Conversely, suppose $g = d + t$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} g(xy) &= (d + t)(xy) = xd(y) + yd(x) + xt(y) \\ &= x(d + t)(y) + yd(x) = xg(y) + yd(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, g is generalized left derivation. ■

Theorem 2. *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring in which $x^2 = 0$ implies $x = 0$. Let $g : R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized Jordan left derivation associated with Jordan left derivation $d : R \rightarrow R$ then g is a generalized left derivation on R .*

Proof. Since g is a generalized Jordan left derivation, we have

$$g(x^2) = xg(x) + xd(x), \quad \forall x \in R$$

Since d is given to be a Jordan left derivation, by Theorem 1, it is a left derivation on R . Let us denote $g - d$ by t . Then, we have

$$t(x^2) = (g-d)(x^2) = g(x^2) - d(x^2) = xg(x) + xd(x) - 2xd(x) = x(g-d)(x) = xt(x),$$

which shows that t is a Jordan right centralizer. By Lemma 4, t is a right centralizer. Hence, g is of the form $g = d + t$, where d is a left derivation and t is a right centralizer. By Lemma 5, g is a generalized left derivation on R . This proves the theorem. \blacksquare

The third theorem is inspired by T.K. Lee [16].

We prove here that every generalized Jordan right derivation on semiprime ring has the form $g(x) = qx + d(x)$ for all $x \in R$ for some $q \in Q_r(S)$.

Remark 1. The concept of left derivation (left centralizer) and right derivation (right centralizer) are analogues.

Corollary 3. *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring with unity then every generalized Jordan left derivation is a generalized left derivation.*

Corollary 4. *Let R be a semisimple ring in which $x^2 = 0$ implies $x = 0$ (or R has unity) then every generalized Jordan left derivation is a generalized left derivation.*

Lemma 6 ([15] Lemma 2). *Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be an additive map satisfying $f(xy) = f(x)y$ for all $x, y \in R$. Then there exists $q \in Q_r(S)$ such that $f(x) = qx$ for all $x \in R$.*

Theorem 3. *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring in which $x^2 = 0$ implies $x = 0$. Let $g : R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized Jordan right derivation associated with Jordan right derivation $d : R \rightarrow R$. Then there exist $q \in Q_r(S)$, the Martindale quotient ring of S , such that $g(x) = qx + d(x)$ for all $x \in R$.*

Proof. Since g is a generalized Jordan right derivation associated with the Jordan right derivation d on R , by Theorem 2, g is a generalized right derivation on R . Hence g is of the form $g = d + t$, where d is a right derivation and t is left centralizer. That is, $(g - d)(xy) = t(xy) = t(x)y$. Now, by Lemma 6, there exists $q \in Q_r(S)$ such that $(g - d)(x) = t(x) = qx$ for all $x \in R$. Thus $g(x) = qx + d(x)$ for all $x \in R$. Hence the theorem. \blacksquare

Remark 2. Corollaries 3 and 4 are also hold true for Theorem 3.

3. Example. Semiprimeness of ring R is essential in Theorem 1. For, let S be a commutative ring. Suppose

$$R = \left\{ X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in S \right\}.$$

R is not a semiprime ring, since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Y \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{where } Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & y & z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ but } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define $d : R \rightarrow R$ as

$$d \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, clearly, d is an additive mapping.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d(X^2) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & ab - ba \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & ab - ba \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2XdX, \end{aligned}$$

for all $X \in R$, since S is commutative. This means that d is a Jordan left derivation.

But

$$d(XY) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & ay - bx \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq XdY + YdX = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

since S is commutative. That is d is not a left derivation.

References

- [1] AMITSUR, S.A., *On rings of quotients*, in "Convegno sulle Algebra Associative, Indam, Roma, Novembre, 1970," vol. VIII, 149-164, Academic Press, London 1972.
- [2] ASHRAF, M. and ALI, S., *On generalized Jordan left derivations in rings*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 45, no. 2 (2008), 253-261.
- [3] ASHRAF, M. and REHMAN, N., *On Lie ideals and Jordan left derivations of prime rings*, Archivum Mathematicum (BRNO), tom 36 (1000), 201-206.
- [4] AWTAR, R., *Lie ideals and Jordan derivations of prime rings*, Proceedings of American Society, vol. 90, no. 1 (1984), 9-14.
- [5] BAXTER, W.E. and MARTINDALE, 3RD, W.S., *Jordan homomorphisms on semiprime rings*, Journal of Algebra, 56 (1979), 457-471.
- [6] BERGEN, J., HERSTEIN, I.N. and KER, J.W., *Lie ideals and derivations of prime rings*, J. Algebra, 71 (1981), 259-267.
- [7] BREŠAR, M., *Commuting traces of biadditive mappings, commutativity preserving mappings and Lie mappings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 335 (1993), 525-526.
- [8] BREŠAR, M., *Jordan derivations on Semiprime rings*, Proceedings of American Mathematical Society, vol. 104, no.4 (1988), 1003-1006.
- [9] CUSACK, J.M., *Jordan derivation on Rings*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 53, no. 2 (1975), 321-324.
- [10] GOLBASI, O., *On left ideals of prime rings with generalized derivations*, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, vol. 34 (2005), 27-32.
- [11] HERSTEIN, I.N., *Ring with involution*, The Univ. of Chicago Press, 1976 - Math.
- [12] HERSTEIN, I.N., *Jordan derivation of Prime rings*, Proceedings of American Mathematical Society, vol. 8, no. 6 (1957), 1104-1110.
- [13] HERSTEIN, I.N., *Non Commutative Rings*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 1971.
- [14] HERSTEIN, I.N., *Topics in Ring Theory*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. Chicago-London, The University of Chicago Press, (1969).
- [15] HVALA, B., *Generalized derivation in rings*, Communication in Algebra, 26 (4)(1998), 1147-1166.
- [16] LEE, T.K., *Generalized derivations of left faithful rings*, Communication in Algebra, 27 (8)(1999), 4057-4075.

- [17] MCCOY, N.H., *The Theory of Rings*, The Macmillan Company, New York Collier-Macmillan Limited, London, 1964.
- [18] VUKMAN, J., *A note on generalized derivations of semiprime rings*, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics, vol. 11 no. 2 (1007), 367-370.
- [19] ZALAR, B., *On centralizers of semiprime rings*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 32, 4 (1991), 609-614.

Accepted: 22.09.2009