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1. Introduction

Hilbert algebras [7] represent the algebraic counterpart of the implicative fragment of Intuitionistic Propositional Logic. In [7] Diego gives a topological representation for Hilbert algebras and he proves that every Hilbert algebra is isomorphic to a subalgebra of the implicative reduct of a Heyting algebra generated by a certain topological space. Also, Hilbert algebras, or positive implication algebras [14], are the duals of Henkin algebras called by him implicative models in [9]. Positive implicative $BCK$-algebras [11] are actually another version of Henkin algebras. As a matter of fact, these algebras are an algebraic counterpart of positive implicational calculus. Various expansions of Hilbert algebras by a conjunction-like operation have also been studied in the literature. The most extensively investigated among them are implicative semilattices, which are known also as Brouwerian semilattices.
Now, in this paper we give a generalization of positive implicative $BCK$-algebras and Hilbert algebras which is called a generalized Hilbert algebra that it is in form of variety. In follow, we obtain some properties of generalized Hilbert algebra and we show that any branch in commutative generalized Hilbert algebras is a Boolean algebra.

2. Generalized Hilbert algebras

Definition 2.1. [7] A Hilbert algebra is a triplet $(H, \rightarrow, 1)$ of type $(2,0)$, where $H$ is a nonempty set, “$\rightarrow$” is a binary operation which satisfies the following axioms:

(H1) $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = 1$,

(H2) $(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) = 1$,

(H3) $x \rightarrow y = 1$ and $y \rightarrow x = 1$ imply $x = y$,

for all $x, y, z \in H$.

Proposition 2.2. [8] If $(H, \rightarrow, 1)$ be a Hilbert algebra, then,

(i) $x \rightarrow x = 1$,

(ii) $1 \rightarrow x = x$,

(iii) $x \rightarrow 1 = 1$,

(iv) $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$,

(v) $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$,

for all $x, y, z \in H$.

Definition 2.3. A generalized Hilbert algebra (or briefly, $g$-Hilbert algebra) is an algebra $(G_H, \rightarrow, 1)$ of type $(2,0)$ which satisfies the following axioms;

(GH1) $1 \rightarrow x = x$,

(GH2) $x \rightarrow x = 1$,

(GH3) $z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = y \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x)$,

(GH4) $z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = (z \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x)$,

for all $x, y, z \in G_H$.

Example 2.4. Let $(X, \leq, 1)$ be a unital poset and implication “$\rightarrow$” on $X$ is defined as follows:

$$x \rightarrow y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ y, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $(X, \rightarrow, 1)$ is a $g$-Hilbert algebra.
Example 2.5. Let \((X, \leq)\) be a poset. Then \(Y \subseteq X\) is called increasing subset if it is closed under \(\leq\), i.e. for every \(x \in Y\) and every \(y \in X\) if \(x \leq y\) then \(y \in Y\). Now, let \(\mathcal{P}_i(X)\) be the set of all increasing subset of \(X\) and for any \(y \in X\), \([y] = \{x \in X : y \leq x\}\). Then it is easy to see that \((\mathcal{P}_i(X), \to, X)\) is a g-Hilbert algebra where the implication "\(\to\)" is defined by

\[
U \to V = \{x \in X : [x] \cap U \subseteq V\}
\]

for \(U, V \in \mathcal{P}_i(X)\).

Theorem 2.6. Any Hilbert algebra is a g-Hilbert algebra.

Proof. The proof is clear by Proposition 2.2. ■

Note. The converse of Theorem 2.6 is not correct in general.

Example 2.7. Let \(G_H = \{a, b, 1\}\) and operation \(\to\) on \(G_H\) is defined as follows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is routine to check \(G_H = \{a, b, 1\}\) is a g-Hilbert algebra but it is not a Hilbert algebra, since \(a \to b = b \to a = 1\) but \(a \neq b\).

Proposition 2.8. Let \((G_H, \to, 1)\) be a g-Hilbert algebra. Then:

(i) \(x \to 1 = 1\),

(ii) \((y \to z) \to ((z \to x) \to (y \to x)) = 1\),

(iii) \((z \to x) \to ((y \to z) \to (y \to x)) = 1\),

(iv) \((x \to (y \to z)) \to ((x \to y) \to (x \to z)) = 1\),

(v) \(x \to (x \to y) = x \to y\),

(vi) \(x \to (y \to x) = 1\),

(vii) \(y \to ((y \to x) \to x) = 1\).

for all \(x, y, z \in G_H\).

Proof. (i) Let \(x \in G_H\). Then, by (GH2) and (GH4),

\[
1 = 1 \to 1 = (x \to x) \to (x \to x) = x \to (x \to x) = x \to 1.
\]

(ii) Let \(x, y, z \in G_H\). Then,
\[(y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow ((z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x))\]
\[= (z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x)), \quad \text{(by (GH3))}\]
\[= (z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x)), \quad \text{(by (GH4))}\]
\[= y \rightarrow ((z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x)), \quad \text{(by (GH3))}\]
\[= y \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{(by (GH2))}\]
\[= 1 \quad \text{(by (i)).}\]

(iii) Let \(x, y, z \in G_H\). Then by (GH3) and (ii);
\[(z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x)) = (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow ((z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x)) = 1.

(iv) Let \(x, y, z \in G_H\). Then by (GH4) and (GH2);
\[(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))\]
\[= ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) = 1.

(v) Let \(x, y, z \in G_H\). Then by (GH4) and (GH1);
\[x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) = (x \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) = 1 \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) = x \rightarrow y.

(vi) Let \(x, y, z \in G_H\). Then by (GH4) and (i);
\[x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow x) = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 1 = 1.

(vii) Let \(x, y, z \in G_H\). Then by (GH3) and (GH2);
\[y \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = 1.\]

\[\text{Definition 2.9.} \quad \text{Let } (G_H, \rightarrow, 1) \text{ be a } g\text{-Hilbert algebra, then, } G_H \text{ is called a proper } g\text{-Hilbert algebra if it is not a Hilbert algebra.}\]

\[\text{Proposition 2.10.} \quad \text{If } G_H \text{ is a proper } g\text{-Hilbert algebra of order } n, \text{ then } n \geq 3.\]

\[\text{Proof.} \quad \text{By Definition 2.3, Proposition 2.8 and Example 2.7, the proof is clear.}\]

\[\text{Theorem 2.11.} \quad \text{Let } (G_H, \rightarrow, 1) \text{ be a proper } g\text{-Hilbert algebra and } a \notin G_H. \text{ Then } G'_H = G_H \cup \{a\} \text{ with the following operation is a proper } g\text{-Hilbert algebra.}\]

\[x \rightarrow y = \begin{cases} 
  x \rightarrow y, & x, y \in G_H, \\
  a, & x = 1, y = a, \\
  1, & x \in G'_H - \{1\}, y = a, \\
  y, & x = a, y \in G_H. 
\end{cases}\]
Proof. The proof of axioms (GH1), (GH2) and (GH3) are clear. So, we should only prove the axiom (GH4). For this case, we consider the following cases:

Case 1. \( x, y \in G_H \) and \( z = a \):
\[
z \to (x \to y) = a \to (x \to y) = x \to y \]
\[
= (a \to x) \to (a \to y) = (z \to x) \to (z \to y).
\]

Case 2. \( x, z \in G_H \) and \( y = a \):
If \( x \neq 1 \) and \( z \neq 1 \), then
\[
z \to (x \to y) = z \to (x \to a) = 1 = (z \to x) \to 1
\]
\[
= (z \to x) \to (z \to a) = (z \to x) \to (z \to y).
\]
If \( x \neq 1 \) and \( z = 1 \), then
\[
z \to (x \to y) = z \to (x \to a) = 1 = x \to a = x \to y
\]
\[
= (1 \to x) \to (1 \to y) = (z \to x) \to (z \to y).
\]
If \( x = 1 \) and \( z \neq 1 \), then
\[
z \to (x \to y) = z \to y = 1 \to (z \to y) = (z \to x) \to (z \to y).
\]
If \( x = 1 \) and \( z = 1 \), then
\[
z \to (x \to y) = y = 1 \to y = (1 \to 1) \to (1 \to y) = (z \to x) \to (z \to y).
\]

Case 3. \( y, z \in G_H \) and \( x = a \).
The proof is similar to the proof of Case 2, by some modification.

Case 4. \( x \in G_H \) and \( y = z = a \).
If \( x \neq 1 \), then
\[
z \to (x \to y) = a \to (x \to y) = x \to y
\]
\[
= (a \to x) \to (a \to y) = (z \to x) \to (z \to y).
\]
If \( x = 1 \), then
\[
z \to (x \to y) = a \to (1 \to a) = a \to a = 1 \to 1
\]
\[
= (a \to 1) \to (a \to a) = (z \to x) \to (z \to y).
\]

Case 5. \( y \in G_H \) and \( x = z = a \) or \( z \in G \) and \( y = x = a \):
The proof is similar to the proof of Case 4, by some modification.

Case 6. \( x = y = z = a \):
\[
z \to (x \to y) = a \to (a \to a) = a \to 1 = 1 \to 1
\]
\[
= (a \to a) \to (a \to a) = (z \to x) \to (z \to y).
\]
Hence, \((G'_H, \to, 1)\) is a \( g \)-Hilbert algebra.
Corollary 2.12. For any natural number \( n \geq 3 \), there exist at least one proper \( g \)-Hilbert algebra of order \( n \).

Definition 2.13. Let \((G_H, \rightarrow, 1)\) be a \( g \)-Hilbert algebra and \( a \in G_H \). Then, the set \( B(a) = \{x \in G_H | a \rightarrow x = 1\} \) is called a branch of \( X \).

It is clear that \( 1, a \in B(a) \) and so \( B(a) \neq \emptyset \).

Theorem 2.14. Let \((G_H, \rightarrow, 1)\) be a \( g \)-Hilbert algebra such that for all \( x, y \in G_H \), \( B(x) \cap B(y) = \{1\} \) and \( x \rightarrow y \neq y \). Then \( G_H \) is a proper \( g \)-Hilbert algebra.

Proof. Let \( G_H \) be a Hilbert algebra, by contrary. By Proposition 2.2(iv) and (i), \( y \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) = 1 \) and so \( (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x \in B(y) \). Now, let \( z = y \rightarrow x \). Then, by Proposition 2.2(iv), (i) and (iii),

\[
\begin{align*}
x \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x) = z \rightarrow (x \rightarrow x) = z \rightarrow 1 = 1
\end{align*}
\]

and so, \( (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x = z \rightarrow x \in B(x) \). Hence,

\[
(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x \in B(x) \cap B(y) = \{1\}
\]

and so, \( (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x = 1 \). On the other hand, by (GH4) and (GH2) and Proposition 2.2(iii),

\[
\begin{align*}
x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow x) = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 1 = 1
\end{align*}
\]

and so, by (H3) we get that, \( y \rightarrow x = x \), which is a contradiction. Therefore, \( G_H \) is a proper \( g \)-Hilbert algebra.

3. Generalized Hilbert algebra induced by a quasi ordered set

From now one in this paper, \( G_H \) denote a \( g \)-Hilbert algebra, unless otherwise mentioned.

Proposition 3.1. Let relation \( \preceq \) on \( G_H \) be defined as follows:

\[
x \preceq y \text{ if and only if } x \rightarrow y = 1
\]

Then “\( \preceq \)” is a quasi order relation.

Proof. Reflexive condition is clear. Now, we should prove the transitive condition. Let \( x, y, z \in G_H \). If \( x \preceq y \) and \( y \preceq z \), then \( x \rightarrow y = 1 \) and \( y \rightarrow z = 1 \) and so by (GH1) and (GH4),

\[
\begin{align*}
x \rightarrow z = 1 \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = x \rightarrow 1 = 1
\end{align*}
\]

Then \( x \preceq z \).

Proposition 3.2. Let \( x \preceq y \), for \( x, y \in G_H \). Then, for all \( z \in G_H \),

(i) \( y \rightarrow z \preceq x \rightarrow z \),
(ii) \( z \rightarrow x \preceq z \rightarrow y \).

**Proof.** (i) Since \( x \rightarrow y = 1 \), then

\[
(y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) = 1 \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) = (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) = (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) = x \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) = x \rightarrow 1 = 1
\]

Hence, \( y \rightarrow z \preceq x \rightarrow z \).

(ii) Since \( x \rightarrow y = 1 \), then by (GH4),

\[
(z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y) = z \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) = z \rightarrow 1 = 1
\]

Hence, \( z \rightarrow x \preceq z \rightarrow y \).

We define \( \Theta \) on \( G_H \) as follows:

\[
x \Theta y \iff x \preceq y, y \preceq x
\]

Then, \( \Theta \) is a congruence relation on \( G_H \). It is clear that \( \Theta \) is an equivalence relation on \( G_H \). Let \( x, y, u, v \in G_H \), such that \( x \Theta y \) and \( u \Theta v \). Then \( x \preceq y, y \preceq v \) and \( v \preceq u \). By Proposition 3.2, we obtain \( x \rightarrow u \preceq x \rightarrow v \) and \( x \rightarrow v \preceq y \rightarrow v \). Now, by transitivity of \( \preceq \), we get \( x \rightarrow u \preceq y \rightarrow v \). Similarly, we have \( y \rightarrow v \preceq x \rightarrow u \) and so \( \Theta \) is a congruence relation on \( G_H \).

Now, let \( \frac{G_H}{\Theta} \) = \{[x]_\Theta | x \in G_H \} \) and \( \ll \) on \( \frac{G_H}{\Theta} \) is defined as follows:

\[
[x] \ll [y] \iff x \Theta y.
\]

It is clear that \( (\frac{G_H}{\Theta}, \ll) \) is a poset.

Furthermore, \( (\frac{G_H}{\Theta}, \ll, [1]_\Theta) \) is a g-Hilbert algebra with the following operation,

\[
[x]_\Theta \rightarrow [y]_\Theta = [x \rightarrow y]_\Theta
\]

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that \((P, \theta)\) is a quasi ordered set, \(1 \notin P \) and \( G_H = P \cup \{1\} \). Let \( \rightarrow \) on \( G_H \) is defined as follows:

\[
x \rightarrow y = \begin{cases} 1, & x \theta y, \\ y, & x \not\theta y. \end{cases}
\]

Then \( (G_H, \rightarrow, 1) \) is a g-Hilbert algebra.

**Proof.** Since \( \theta \) is reflexive, obviously \( x \rightarrow x = 1 \), for all \( x \in G_H \). Since \( 1 \notin P \), then \( 1 \beta x \) for every \( x \in G_H \) and so \( 1 \rightarrow x = x \). Hence we have (GH1) and (GH2). Now, we should prove (GH3). Let \( x, y, z \in G_H \). We consider the following cases:
Case 1. $y \not\theta x$ and $z \theta x$:
\[
z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = z \rightarrow x = y \rightarrow x = y \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x).
\]

Case 2. $y \theta x$ and $z \not\theta x$:
\[
z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = z \rightarrow 1 = 1 = y \rightarrow x = y \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x).
\]

Case 3. $y \theta x$ and $z \theta x$:
\[
z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = z \rightarrow x = 1 = y \rightarrow 1 = y \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x).
\]

Case 4. $y \theta x$ and $z \theta x$:
\[
z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = z \rightarrow 1 = 1 = y \rightarrow 1 = y \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x).
\]

Hence, we have (GH3).

Now, we will prove (GH4). Let $x, y, z \in G_H$. Then, we consider the following cases:

Case 1. $z \theta y$ and $z \theta x$:
If $x \theta y$, then:
\[
(z \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x) = 1 \rightarrow 1 = z \rightarrow 1 = z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x).
\]
If $x \not\theta y$, then:
\[
(z \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x) = 1 \rightarrow 1 = z \rightarrow x = z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x).
\]

Case 2. $z \not\theta y$ and $z \theta x$:
If $x \theta y$, then:
\[
(z \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x) = y \rightarrow 1 = 1 = z \rightarrow 1 = z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x).
\]
If $x \not\theta y$, then:
\[
(z \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x) = y \rightarrow 1 = 1 = z \rightarrow x = z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x).
\]

Case 3. $z \not\theta y$ and $z \not\theta x$:
If $x \theta y$, then by transitive condition $z \theta x$, which is not impossible.
If $x \not\theta y$, then:
\[
(z \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x) = 1 \rightarrow x = x = z \rightarrow x = z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x).
\]

Case 4. $z \not\theta y$ and $z \not\theta x$:
If $x \theta y$, then:
\[
(z \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x) = y \rightarrow x = 1 = z \rightarrow 1 = z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x).
\]
If \( x \not\theta y \), then
\[
(z \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x) = y \rightarrow x = x = z \rightarrow x = z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x).
\]

Hence, we have \((GH4)\). Therefore, \( G_H \) is a \( g \)-Hilbert algebra.

4. Relation between generalized Hilbert algebras and implication algebras

Definition 4.1. [1] An implication algebra is a set \( X \) with a binary operation \( \rightarrow \) which satisfies the following axioms:

(I1) \( (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x = x \),
(I2) \( (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x \),
(I3) \( x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \),

for all \( x, y, z \in X \).

In any implication algebra \((X, \rightarrow)\), we have

(I4) \( x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) = x \rightarrow y \),
(I5) \( x \rightarrow x = y \rightarrow y \),
(I6) there exists a unique element 1 in \( X \) such that, for all \( x \in X \),

(a) \( x \rightarrow 1 = 1 \rightarrow x = x \) and \( x \rightarrow 1 = 1 \),
(b) if \( x \rightarrow y = 1 \) and \( y \rightarrow x = 1 \) then \( x = y \),
(c) \( x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = 1 \)

for all \( x, y \in X \).

Definition 4.2. \( G_H \) is called commutative if for all \( x, y \in G_H \),
\[
(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y.
\]

Lemma 4.3. Let \( G_H \) be commutative. If \( x \rightarrow y = y \rightarrow x = 1 \), then \( x = y \).

Proof. Let \( x \rightarrow y = y \rightarrow x = 1 \), for \( x, y \in G \). Since \( G_H \) is commutative, then by \((GH1)\),
\[
x = 1 \rightarrow x = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y = 1 \rightarrow y = y.
\]

Lemma 4.4. [1] Let \((X, \rightarrow, 1)\) be an implication algebra. Then,

(i) \( x \leq y \), imply \( y \rightarrow z \leq x \rightarrow z \)
(ii) \( x \leq y \), imply \( z \rightarrow x \leq z \rightarrow y \)
(iii) \( x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \) and \( y \rightarrow z \leq (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \).
Theorem 4.5. \((X, \rightarrow, 1)\) is an implication algebra if and only if \((X, \rightarrow, 1)\) is a commutative \(g\)-Hilbert algebra.

Proof. (⇒) Let \((X, \rightarrow, 1)\) be an implication algebra. By Theorem 2.6, it is enough to prove that \(X\) is a Hilbert algebra. By (I6)(b) and (c), we have (H1) and (H3). It is enough to prove (H2). Let \(x, y, z \in X\). Then, by (I4), (I3) and Lemma 4.4,

\[
(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))
\]

\[
= (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)),
\]

\[
\geq (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)),
\]

\[
= (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)),
\]

\[
= 1.
\]

Hence, by (I6)(a),(b), we have \((x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))) = 1\), and so (H2) is hold. Hence, \((X, \rightarrow, 1)\) is a Hilbert algebra and so, by Theorem 2.6, it is a \(g\)-Hilbert algebra. Moreover, by (I2) it is commutative.

(⇐) Let \((X, \rightarrow, 1)\) be a commutative \(g\)-Hilbert algebra. Since \(X\) is commutative, then we have (I2). Moreover, by (GH3), we have (I3). Now, it is enough to prove that (I1). Let \(x, y \in X\). Then, by (GH3), (GH2) and Proposition 2.8(i),

\[
x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x) = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow x) = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 1 = 1
\]

Hence,

(1) \(x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x) = 1\).

Moreover,

\[
((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x = (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y), \quad \text{Since } X \text{ is commutative}
\]

\[
= ((x \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y), \quad \text{by (GH4)}
\]

\[
= (1 \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y), \quad \text{by (GH2)}
\]

\[
= (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)
\]

\[
= 1.
\]

Hence,

(2) \(((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x = 1\),

and so, by (1), (2) and Lemma 4.3 we have (I1). Therefore, \((X, \rightarrow, 1)\) is an implication algebra.

Example 4.6. Let \(G_H = \{a, b, c, 1\}\) and operation “\(\rightarrow\)” on \(G_H\) is defined as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c|cccc}
\rightarrow & a & b & c & 1 \\
\hline
a & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
b & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
c & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & a & b & c & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]
Then, \((G_H, \rightarrow, 1)\) is a \(g\)-Hilbert algebra which is not an implication algebra, since \((b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow c \neq (c \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b\). Hence, a commutative condition is necessary in the last theorem.

5. Lattice structure on commutative generalized Hilbert algebras

**Proposition 5.1.** If \(G_H\) is commutative, then

(i) \((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x = x,

(ii) \(x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) = x \rightarrow y,

for all \(x, y \in G_H\).

**Proof.** (i) Let \(x, y \in G_H\). Then,

\[
x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x) = (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow x), \quad \text{(by (GH4))}
\]

\[
= (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{(by (GH2))}
\]

\[
= 1
\]

On the other hand,

\[
((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x = (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y), \quad \text{(since } G_H \text{ is commutative)}
\]

\[
= ((x \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y), \quad \text{(by (GH4))}
\]

\[
= (1 \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y), \quad \text{(by (GH2))}
\]

\[
= (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y), \quad \text{(by (GH1))}
\]

\[
= 1 \quad \text{(by (GH2)).}
\]

Hence, by Lemma 4.3 we obtain \((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x = x\).

(ii) By using (i) twice, we have

\[
x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) = ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) = x \rightarrow y.
\]

**Corollary 5.2.** Let \(G_H\) be commutative and relation \(\preceq\) on \(G_H\), is defined by \(x \preceq y\) if and only if \(x \rightarrow y = 1\). Then, “\(\preceq\)” is a partial order on \(G_H\).

**Proof.** By (GH2), Proposition 5.1(i) we get that \(\preceq\) is reflexive and anti symmetric. Let \(x \rightarrow y = 1\) and \(y \rightarrow z = 1\), then

\[
x \rightarrow z = 1 \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = x \rightarrow 1 = 1.
\]

Thus, \(\preceq\) is a partial order on \(G_H\).

**Proposition 5.3.** For any \(p \in G_H\), \(B(p)\) is a subalgebra of \(G_H\).

**Proof.** It is clear that \(1 \in B(p)\). Now, let \(a, b \in B(p)\). Then, \(p \preceq a\) and \(p \preceq b\) and so by (GH4)and (GH2),

\[
p \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) = (p \rightarrow a) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow b) = 1 \rightarrow 1 = 1.
\]

Hence, \(p \preceq (a \rightarrow b)\) and so \(a \rightarrow b \in B(p)\). Therefore, \(B(p)\) is a subalgebra of \(G_H\).
Theorem 5.4. If $G_H$ is commutative, then the following are hold:

(i) $(G_H, \lor)$ is a $\lor$–semi lattice, when $a \lor b = (a \to b) \to b$, for any $a, b \in G_H$.

(ii) For any $p \in G_H$, $(B(p), \land)$ is a $\land$–semi lattice, when $a \land b = ((a \to p) \lor (b \to p)) \to p$, for any $a, b \in B(p)$.

(iii) For any $p \in G_H$, $(B(p), \land, \lor)$ is a complemented lattice.

Proof. By Corollary 5.2, $(G_H, \leq)$ and so $(B(p), \leq)$, for any $p \in G_H$ is a partial ordered set. (i) Let $a, b \in G_H$. First we should prove that $(a \to b) \to b$ is an upper bound of $a, b$. By (GH3) and (GH2), $a \to ((a \to b) \to b) = (a \to b) \to (a \to b) = 1$, and so $a \leq (a \to b) \to b$. Moreover, by (GH3), $b \leq (a \to b) \to b$. Hence, $(a \to b) \to b$ is an upper bound of $a, b$. Now, let $c \in G$ such that $a, b \leq c$. Then $a \to c = 1$ and so by commutative condition and (GH1),

\[(1) \quad (c \to a) \to a = (a \to c) \to c = 1 \to c = c.\]

Hence,

\[
((a \to b) \to b) \to c \\
= ((a \to b) \to b) \to ((c \to a) \to a), \quad \text{(by (1))} \\
= (c \to a) \to (((a \to b) \to b) \to a), \quad \text{(by (GH3))} \\
= (c \to a) \to (((b \to a) \to a) \to a), \quad \text{(by commutative condition)} \\
= (c \to a) \to ((a \to (b \to a)) \to (b \to a)), \quad \text{(by commutative condition)} \\
= (c \to a) \to ((b \to (a \to a)) \to (b \to a)), \quad \text{(by (GH3))} \\
= (c \to a) \to ((b \to 1) \to (b \to a)), \quad \text{(by (GH2))} \\
= (c \to a) \to (1 \to (b \to a)), \quad \text{(by Proposition 2.8(i))} \\
= (c \to a) \to (b \to a), \quad \text{(by (GH1))} \\
= b \to ((c \to a) \to a), \quad \text{(by (GH3))} \\
= b \to c, \quad \text{(by (1))} \\
= 1 \quad \text{(since } b \leq c). \]

Therefore, $(a \to b) \to b \leq c$ and so $a \lor b = (a \to b) \to b$. Hence, $(G_H, \lor)$ is a $\lor$–semi lattice.

(ii) Let $p \in G_H$ and $a, b \in B(p)$. Then $p \leq a, b$.

Since $a \to p \leq (a \to p) \lor (b \to p)$ then, by Proposition 3.2,

\[((a \to p) \lor (b \to p)) \to p \leq (a \to p) \to p = a \lor p = a.\]

Similarly, we can prove that $((a \to p) \lor (b \to p)) \to p \leq b$. Hence, $((a \to p) \lor (b \to p)) \to p$ is a lower bound of $a$ and $b$. Now, let $c \in G_H$ such that $c \leq a, b$. Then, by Proposition 3.2, $a \to p \leq c \to p$ and $b \to p \leq c \to p$ and so $((a \to p) \lor (b \to p)) \leq c \to p$. Hence, by Proposition 3.2, $c \leq c \lor p = (c \to p) \to
\( p \preceq [a \to p] \lor [b \to p] \to p. \) Therefore, \(((a \to p) \lor (b \to p)) \to p\) is a greatest lower bound of \(a\) and \(b\) and so
\[
a \land b = ((a \to p) \lor (b \to p)) \to p.
\]

Now, since \(a \land b \in B(p)\), then \((B(p), \land)\) is a \(-\)semilattice.

(iii) Let \(p \in G_H\). Then, for any \(a \in B(p)\),
\[
(a \to p) \lor a = ((a \to p) \to a) \to a
= (a \to (a \to p)) \to (a \to p), \quad \text{(by commutative condition)}
= (a \to p) \to (a \to p), \quad \text{(by Proposition 2.8(v))}
= 1, \quad \text{(by \((GH2)\))}
\]
Moreover, by commutative condition,
\[
a \land (a \to p) = ((a \to p) \lor ((a \to p) \to p)) \to p
= ((a \to p) \lor ((p \to a) \to a)) \to p
= ((a \to p) \lor (p \lor a)) \to p
= (a \to p) \lor a \to p
= 1 \to p
= p
\]

Therefore, \((B(p), \land, \lor)\) is a complemented lattice. \(\blacksquare\)

**Lemma 5.5.** Let \(G_H\) be a commutative \(g\)-Hilbert algebra and \(p \in G_H\). Then, for any \(a, b \in B(p)\),
\[
(a \to p) \lor (b \to p) = (a \land b) \to p.
\]

**Proof.** Let \(p \in G_H\) and \(a, b \in B(p)\). Since \(a \land b \preceq a\) and \(a \land b \preceq b\), then, by Proposition 3.2, \(a \to p \preceq (a \land b) \to p\) and \(b \to p \preceq (a \land b) \to p\) and so \((a \land b) \to p\) is an upper bound of \(a \to p\) and \(b \to p\). Now, let \(u \in B(p)\) be an other upper bound of \(a \to p\) and \(b \to p\). Then, \(a \to p \preceq u\) and \(b \to p \preceq u\) and so, by Proposition 3.2, \(u \to p \preceq (a \to p) \to p\) and \(u \to p \preceq (b \to p) \to p\). Hence, \(u \to p \preceq a \lor p = a\) and \(u \to p \preceq b \lor p = b\) and so \(u \to p \preceq a \land b\). Thus,
\[
a \land b \to p \preceq (u \to p) \to p = u \lor p = u
\]
Therefore, \(a \land b \to p\) is last upper bound of \(a \to p\) and \(b \to p\), that is,
\[
(a \to p) \lor (b \to p) = (a \land b) \to p.
\]

**Theorem 5.6.** If \(G_H\) is commutative, then for any \(p \in G_H\), \((B(p), \lor, \land)\) is a distributive lattice.
Proof. Let \( p \in G_H \) and \( a, b, c \in B(p) \). We have to prove that \( a \land (b \lor c) = (a \land b) \lor (a \land c) \). Since \( p \preceq b \), then by Proposition 3.2, \( a \rightarrow p \preceq a \rightarrow b \). Moreover, by Proposition 2.8(vi), \( b \preceq a \rightarrow b \) and so \( (a \rightarrow p) \lor b \preceq a \rightarrow b \). Let \( c = (a \rightarrow p) \lor b \).

Then

\[
(1) \quad c \preceq a \rightarrow b \quad \text{and} \quad b \preceq c \quad \text{and so} \quad c = b \lor c = (c \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b.
\]

Moreover, by the proof of Theorem 5.4(iii),

\[
(2) \quad (a \rightarrow c) = a \lor (a \rightarrow p) \lor b = 1 \lor b = 1.
\]

Moreover,

\[
(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow c = (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (1 \rightarrow c), \quad \text{(by \ GH1)}
\]

\[
= (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow ((a \rightarrow c) \rightarrow c), \quad \text{(by \ (2))}
\]

\[
= (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c \lor c),
\]

\[
= (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c), \quad \text{(by Proposition 2.8(vi))}
\]

\[
= (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow ((c \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b))), \quad \text{(by \ (1))}
\]

\[
= (c \rightarrow b) \rightarrow ((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b)), \quad \text{(by \ GH3)}
\]

\[
= (c \rightarrow b) \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{(by \ GH1)}
\]

\[
= 1.
\]

and this implies that \( a \rightarrow b \preceq c \). Hence, by (1) and Proposition 5.1(i),

\[
(2) \quad a \rightarrow b = c = (a \rightarrow p) \lor b.
\]

Now, since (2) holds for any \( a, b \in B(p) \) and since \( B(p) \) is a subalgebra, then \( a, b \rightarrow p \in B(p) \) and so, by (2),

\[
(3) \quad (a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow p)) \rightarrow p = ((a \rightarrow p) \lor (b \rightarrow p)) \rightarrow p = a \land b.
\]

Hence,

\[
a \rightarrow (a \land b) = a \rightarrow ((a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow p)) \rightarrow p), \quad \text{(by \ (3))}
\]

\[
= (a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow p)) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow p), \quad \text{(by Proposition 2.8(v))}
\]

\[
= (b \rightarrow (a \rightarrow p)) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow p), \quad \text{(by \ GH3)}
\]

\[
= b \lor (a \rightarrow p),
\]

\[
= a \rightarrow b, \quad \text{(by \ (2))}
\]

and this implies that

\[
(4) \quad a \rightarrow (a \land b) = a \rightarrow b.
\]

Now, let \( k = (a \land b) \lor (a \land c) \). Since, \( a \land b \preceq k \), then by Proposition 3.2, \( \text{GH1} \), \( \text{GH2} \), \( \text{GH3} \) and \( \text{(4)} \),

\[
1 = a \rightarrow 1 = a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow b) = b \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) = b \rightarrow (a \rightarrow (a \land b)) \preceq b \rightarrow (a \rightarrow k)
\]
and so, by Proposition 2.8(i), \( b \rightarrow (a \rightarrow k) = 1 \) and this implies that \( b \preceq a \rightarrow k \). Similarly, \( c \preceq a \rightarrow k \) and so

\[
(5) \quad b \lor c \preceq a \rightarrow k.
\]

and, by Proposition 3.2,

\[
(6) \quad (a \rightarrow k) \rightarrow k \preceq (b \lor c) \rightarrow k.
\]

Now, since \( a \land b \preceq a \) and \( a \land c \preceq a \), then \( k = (a \land b) \lor (a \land c) \preceq a \) and so \( k \rightarrow a = 1 \). Hence, by (6) and the commutative condition,

\[
(7) \quad a = 1 \rightarrow a = (k \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a = (a \rightarrow k) \rightarrow k \preceq (b \lor c) \rightarrow k.
\]

Hence, by (5), (7) and the commutative property,

\[
(a \rightarrow k) \lor ((b \lor c) \rightarrow k) \preceq (a \rightarrow k) \lor (a) = (a \rightarrow k) \rightarrow a = a \rightarrow a = 1.
\]

Now, since \( (a \rightarrow k) \lor ((b \lor c) \rightarrow k) \preceq 1 \), then, by Proposition 5.1(i),

\[
(8) \quad (a \rightarrow k) \lor (b \lor c) \rightarrow k) = 1.
\]

Moreover, since \( a \land b \preceq b \lor c \) and \( a \land c \preceq b \lor c \), then \( k = (a \land b) \lor (a \land c) \preceq b \lor c \). Now, since we proved that \( k \preceq a \). Hence by (8),

\[
a \land (b \lor c) = ((a \rightarrow k) \lor ((b \lor c) \rightarrow k)) \rightarrow k = 1 \rightarrow k = k = (a \land b) \lor (a \land c).
\]

Therefore, \((B(p), \land, \lor)\) is a distributive Lattice. \(\Box\)

**Corollary 5.7.** If \( G_H \) is commutative, then for any \( p \in G_H \), \((B(p), \lor, \land)\) is a Boolean lattice.

**Proof.** By Theorems 5.4 and 5.6, the proof is clear. \(\Box\)
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