

CERTAIN SUBCLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS INVOLVING SĂLĂGEAN OPERATOR

J.K. Prajapat

*Department of Mathematics
Central University of Rajasthan
Kishangarh-305802, Distt.-Ajmer Rajasthan
India
e-mail: jkp_0007@rediffmail.com*

R.K. Raina

*10/11 Ganpati Vihar, Opposite Sector 5
Udaipur 313002, Rajasthan
India
e-mail: rainark_7@hotmail.com*

Abstract. The familiar Sălăgean operator is used here to define a new subclass of analytic and univalent functions in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} . In this note we obtain some sufficient conditions for functions belonging to this class and mention few important consequences of our main results.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords and phrases: Starlike functions; Convex functions; Close-to-Convex functions; Strongly starlike functions; Strongly convex functions; Sălăgean operator; Jack's Lemma; Argument properties.

1. Introduction, definitions and key lemmas

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}.$$

Also, the classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$, $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$ defined in the open unit \mathbb{U} are the well known subclasses of the class \mathcal{A} of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) in \mathbb{U} which have been studied quite extensively in the *Geometric Function Theory*, and one may refer to MacGregor [6] and Srivastava and Owa ([11], [12]) for their various details.

Let $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{A} which satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad -\frac{\pi\alpha_1}{2} < \arg \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \frac{\pi\alpha_2}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 < \alpha_1; \alpha_2 \leq 1)$$

and let $\mathcal{K}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{A} which satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad -\frac{\pi\alpha_1}{2} < \arg \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) < \frac{\pi\alpha_2}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 < \alpha_1; \alpha_2 \leq 1),$$

where $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ are the subclasses of \mathcal{A} introduced and studied by Takahashi and Nunokawa [13].

We observe that

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha, \alpha) = \mathcal{S}_s^*(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}(\alpha, \alpha) = \mathcal{K}_c(\alpha),$$

where $\mathcal{S}_s^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}_c(\alpha)$, are respectively, the familiar subclasses of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions which are strongly starlike of order α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) in \mathbb{U} and strongly convex of order α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) in \mathbb{U} . Also, we note that $\mathcal{S}_s^*(0) = \mathcal{S}^*$ and $\mathcal{K}_c(0) = \mathcal{K}$ (see, for details [11] and [12]).

For $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, Sălăgean [10] introduced a derivative operator \mathcal{D}^n of order n which we define here by

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{D}^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k \quad (f \in \mathcal{A}; n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}).$$

In terms of the Sălăgean operator \mathcal{D}^λ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_0$) defined by (1.4) above, we introduce a new subclass of \mathcal{A} denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\lambda, \mu, \alpha)$ consisting of functions of the form (1.1) which satisfy the following inequality:

$$(1.5) \quad \left| \frac{z^{\mu-2} \mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{(\mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z))^{\mu-1}} - 1 \right| < 1 - \alpha.$$

We observe that on specializing the arbitrary parameters λ and μ , the above class $\mathcal{B}(\lambda, \mu, \alpha)$ yields the following:

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{B}(0, 2, \alpha) = \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha); \quad \mathcal{B}(1, 2, \alpha) = \mathcal{K}(\alpha); \quad \mathcal{B}(0, 1, \alpha) = \mathcal{P}(\alpha) \text{ and } \mathcal{B}(0, 3, \alpha) = \mathcal{B}(\alpha),$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\alpha)$ is a subclass of \mathcal{A} which was earlier studied by Frasin and Darus [2] (see also [1]).

In order to derive our main results, we recall the following known lemmas.

Lemma 1. (Jack's Lemma [4]) *Let the nonconstant function $w(z)$ be analytic in \mathbb{U} with $w(0) = 0$. If $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z| = r < 1$ at a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$, then*

$$(1.7) \quad z_0 w'(z_0) = \gamma w(z_0).$$

where γ is real and $\gamma \geq 1$.

Lemma 2. ([7]) *Let Ω be a set in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and suppose that $\phi(z)$ is a mapping from $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{U}$ to \mathbb{C} which satisfies $\Phi(ix, y; z) \notin \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$, and for all real x, y such that $y \leq -(1+x^2)/2$. If the function $q(z) = 1 + q_1 z + q_2 z^2 + \dots$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} such that $\phi(q(z), zq'(z); z) \in \Omega$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, then $\Re(q(z)) > 0$.*

Lemma 3. ([8]) *Let a function $q(z)$ be analytic in \mathbb{U} with $q(0) = 1$ and $q(z) \neq 0$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). If there exists two points $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that*

$$(1.8) \quad -\frac{\pi\alpha_1}{2} = \arg(q(z_1)) < \arg(q(z)) < \arg(q(z_2)) = \frac{\pi\alpha_2}{2}$$

for $\alpha_1 > 0, \alpha_2 > 0$ and for all $|z| < |z_1| = |z_2|$, then

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{z_1 q'(z_1)}{q(z_1)} = -i \left(\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} \right) m \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{z_2 q'(z_2)}{q(z_2)} = i \left(\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} \right) m,$$

where

$$(1.10) \quad m \geq \frac{1 - |a|}{1 + |a|} \quad \text{and} \quad a = i \tan \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 + \alpha_1} \right).$$

In this note we investigate sufficient conditions for functions in the class \mathcal{A} to be members of the class $\mathcal{B}(\lambda, \mu, \alpha)$ (which is defined by involving the familiar Sălăgean operator). Some corollaries are deduced exhibiting the usefulness of the main results.

2. A set of sufficient conditions

Making use of Lemma 1, we first prove

Theorem 1. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the following inequality:*

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \frac{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+2} f(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1} f(z)} - (\mu - 1) \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda} f(z)} - 1 \right) - 1 \right| < \frac{1 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha}$$

$$(z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_0; \mu \geq 0),$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda, \mu, \alpha)$.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$. Define a function $w(z)$ by

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{z^{\mu-2} \mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{(\mathcal{D}^{\lambda} f(z))^{\mu-1}} = 1 + (1 - \alpha)w(z),$$

then $w(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} and $w(0) = 0$. It follows from (2.2) that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+2} f(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1} f(z)} - (\mu - 1) \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda} f(z)} - 1 \right) - 1 = \frac{(1 - \alpha)zw'(z)}{1 + (1 - \alpha)w(z)}.$$

Suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that

$$\max_{|z| < |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1,$$

then (2.3) in view of (1.7) of Lemma 1 and $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$ ($0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$) yields

$$(2.4) \quad \left| \frac{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+2}f(z_0)}{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1}f(z_0)} - (\mu-1) \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1}f(z_0)}{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda}f(z_0)} - 1 \right) - 1 \right| \\ = \left| \frac{(1-\alpha)z_0 w'(z_0)}{1+(1-\alpha)w(z_0)} \right| = \frac{|(1-\alpha)\gamma e^{i\theta}|}{|1+(1-\alpha)e^{i\theta}|} \geq \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}$$

which contradicts (2.1).

Therefore $|w(z)| < 1$ holds true for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and consequently (2.2) gives

$$(2.5) \quad \left| \frac{z^{\mu-2}\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{(\mathcal{D}^{\lambda}f(z))^{\mu-1}} - 1 \right| = |(1-\alpha)w(z)| < 1-\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

which implies that $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda, \mu, \alpha)$, completing the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 1. In view of the relationships that $\mathcal{B}(0, 2, \alpha) = \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$, $\mathcal{B}(1, 2, \alpha) = \mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{B}(0, 1, \alpha) = \mathcal{P}(\alpha)$, Theorem 1 would easily lead to the results giving sufficient conditions for the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) to belong, respectively, to the subclasses $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$, $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$. The special cases corresponding to the subclasses $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ are also identifiable with the results due to Irmak *et al.* [3, p. 364]. Also, we note that by setting $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu = 3$, Theorem 1 corresponds to the result of Frasin and Darus [2, p. 307, Theorem 2.4].

Next we prove

Theorem 2. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the following inequality:*

$$(2.6) \quad \Re \left\{ \frac{z^{\mu-2}\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{(\mathcal{D}^{\lambda}f(z))^{\mu-1}} \left[\alpha \frac{z^{\mu-2}\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{(\mathcal{D}^{\lambda}f(z))^{\mu-1}} + \alpha \frac{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+2}f(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1}f(z)} - \alpha(\mu-1) \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda}f(z)} - 1 \right) + (1-2\alpha) \right] \right\} > \alpha\beta \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{\alpha}{2} + \beta \\ (z \in \mathbb{U}; \mu \geq 0; \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_0; \alpha \geq 0; 0 \leq \beta < 1),$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda, \mu, \beta)$.

Proof. Define a function $q(z)$ by

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{z^{\mu-2}\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{(\mathcal{D}^{\lambda}f(z))^{\mu-1}} = \beta + (1-\beta)q(z),$$

then $q(z)$ is of the form $q(z) = 1+q_1z+q_2z^2+\dots$ and is analytic in \mathbb{U} . Differentiating both sides of (2.7) with respect to z , we get

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+2}f(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1}f(z)} - (\mu-1) \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda}f(z)} - 1 \right) - 1 = \frac{(1-\beta)zq'(z)}{\beta + (1-\beta)q(z)}.$$

Using (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{z^{\mu-2}\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{(\mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z))^{\mu-1}} \left[\alpha \frac{z^{\mu-2}\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{(\mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z))^{\mu-1}} + \alpha \frac{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+2}f(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1}f(z)} - \alpha(\mu-1) \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{\mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right) + (1-2\alpha) \right] \\ &= \alpha(1-\beta)zq'(z) + \alpha[\beta + (1-\beta)q(z)]^2 + (1-\alpha)[\beta + (1-\beta)q(z)] \\ &= \alpha(1-\beta)zq'(z) + \alpha(1-\beta)^2q^2(z) + (1-\beta)(1+2\alpha\beta-\alpha)q(z) + \beta(\alpha\beta+1-\alpha) \\ &= \phi(q(z), zq'(z); z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad \phi(r, s; t) = \alpha(1-\beta)s + \alpha(1-\beta)^2r^2 + (1-\beta)(1+2\alpha\beta-\alpha)r + \beta(\alpha\beta+1-\alpha).$$

For all real values of x and y satisfying $y \leq -(1+x^2)/2$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(\phi(ix, y; z)) &= \alpha(1-\beta)y - \alpha(1-\beta)^2x^2 + \beta(\alpha\beta+1-\alpha) \\ &\leq -\frac{\alpha}{2}(1-\beta) - \left[\frac{\alpha}{2}(1-\beta) + \alpha(1-\beta)^2 \right] x^2 + \beta(\alpha\beta+1-\alpha) \\ &\leq \beta(\alpha\beta+1-\alpha) - \frac{\alpha}{2}(1-\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Omega = \{w : \Re(w) > \alpha\beta(\beta - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{\alpha}{2} + \beta\}$, then $\phi(q(z), zq'(z); z) \in \Omega$ and $\phi(ix, y; z) \notin \Omega$ for all real x and $y \leq -(1+x^2)/2$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Applying Lemma 2 we conclude that $\Re(q(z)) > 0$, which in view of (1.5) and (2.7) implies that $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda, \mu, \beta)$.

If we set $\mu = 3$ and $\lambda = 0$, then Theorem 2 gives the following result.

Corollary 1. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the inequality*

$$(2.10) \quad \Re \left\{ \frac{z^2 f(z)}{(f(z))^2} \left[\alpha \left(\frac{z^2 f(z)}{(f(z))^2} + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{2z f'(z)}{f(z)} + 1 \right) + 1 \right] \right\} > \alpha\beta \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{\alpha}{2} + \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \alpha \geq 0; 0 \leq \beta < 1),$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\beta)$.

Remark 2. Upon making similar parametric substitutions as pointed out in Remark 1 above, interesting sufficient conditions can be obtained for the subclasses $\mathcal{S}^*(\beta)$, $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\beta)$ which would evidently include a known result involving the subclass \mathcal{S}^* of starlike functions due to Li and Owa [5] (see also [9, p.3, Corollary 2.2]). Derivation of these special cases being straightforward, we skip mentioning of these results.

3. Argument properties

Making use of Lemma 3, we prove

Theorem 3. *Let*

$$\frac{z^{\mu-2}D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{(D^\lambda f(z))^{\mu-1}} \neq \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \mu \geq 0; \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_0; 0 \leq \beta < 1).$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & -\frac{\pi\alpha_1}{2} - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{1-|a|(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)(1-\beta)}{1+|a|2\gamma} \right) \\ & < \arg \left\{ \frac{z^{\mu-2}D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{(D^\lambda f(z))^{\mu-1}} \left[\frac{D^{\lambda+2}f(z)}{D^{\lambda+1}f(z)} - (\mu-1) \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right) + \frac{\gamma+\beta-1}{1-\beta} \right] - \frac{\gamma\beta}{1-\beta} \right\} \\ & < \frac{\pi\alpha_2}{2} + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{1-|a|(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)(1-\beta)}{1+|a|2\gamma} \right) \quad (0 < \alpha_1; \alpha_2 \leq 1; \gamma > 0), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$(3.2) \quad -\frac{\pi\alpha_1}{2} < \arg \left\{ \frac{z^{\mu-2}D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{(D^\lambda f(z))^{\mu-1}} - \beta \right\} < \frac{\pi\alpha_2}{2}.$$

Proof. Let $q(z)$ be the same function as defined in (2.7), then since $q(z)$ is analytic in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} with $q(0) = 1$, it follows from the hypothesis of Theorem 3 that $q(z) \neq 0$. Following (2.8), we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{z^{\mu-2}D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{(D^\lambda f(z))^{\mu-1}} \left[\frac{D^{\lambda+2}f(z)}{D^{\lambda+1}f(z)} - (\mu-1) \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\gamma+\beta-1}{1-\beta} \right] - \frac{\gamma\beta}{1-\beta} = (1-\beta)zq'(z) + \gamma q(z). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that there exists two points $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that the conditions (1.8) are satisfied. Applying (1.9) and (1.10) of Lemma 3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg(\gamma q(z_1) + (1-\beta)z_1 q'(z_1)) = \arg(q(z_1)) + \arg\left(\gamma + (1-\beta)\frac{z_1 q'(z_1)}{q(z_1)}\right) \\ & = -\frac{\pi\alpha_1}{2} + \arg\left(\gamma - i\frac{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)(1-\beta)}{2} m\right) = -\frac{\pi\alpha_1}{2} - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)(1-\beta)}{2\gamma} m\right) \\ & \leq -\frac{\pi\alpha_1}{2} - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1-|a|(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)(1-\beta)}{1+|a|2\gamma} m\right), \end{aligned}$$

which, by virtue of (3.3), contradicts the assumption stated in (3.1). Similarly, we can show that

$$\arg(\gamma q(z_2) + (1-\beta)z_2 q'(z_2)) \geq \frac{\pi\alpha_1}{2} + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1-|a|(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)(1-\beta)}{1+|a|2\gamma} m\right),$$

which again contradicts the assumption mentioned in (3.1). Hence the function $q(z)$ defined by (2.7) satisfies the inequality

$$-\frac{\pi\alpha_1}{2} < \arg(q(z)) < \frac{\pi\alpha_2}{2},$$

which implies that

$$-\frac{\pi\alpha_1}{2} < \arg \left\{ \frac{z^{\mu-2} D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{(D^\lambda f(z))^{\mu-1}} - \beta \right\} < \frac{\pi\alpha_2}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

If we set $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha, \mu = 2$ and $\lambda = \beta = 0$ in Theorem 3, we get Corollary 2 below.

Corollary 2. *Let*

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the inequality

$$(3.4) \quad \left| \arg \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(1 + \gamma + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi\alpha}{2} + \tan^{-1} \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \\ (0 < \alpha \leq 1; \gamma > 0),$$

then $f(z) \in S_s^(\alpha)$.*

Also, if we put $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha, \mu = 2, \lambda = 1$ and $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 3, we get

Corollary 3. *Let*

$$\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \neq 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the inequality

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \arg \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \left(\frac{z(zf'''(z) + 2f''(z))}{zf''(z) + f'(z)} - \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + \gamma \right) \right\} \right| \\ < \frac{\pi\alpha}{2} + \tan^{-1} \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1; \gamma > 0),$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_c^(\alpha)$.*

Lastly, by choosing $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1, \mu = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 3, we obtain

Corollary 4. *Let*

$$f'(z) \neq \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \beta < 1).$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the inequality

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \arg \left\{ f'(z) \left(\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + \frac{\gamma}{1-\beta} \right) - \frac{\gamma\beta}{1-\beta} \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{1-\beta}{\gamma} \right) \quad (\gamma > 0),$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\beta)$.

Acknowledgements. The authors express their sincerest thanks to the referee for useful suggestions.

References

- [1] FRASIN, B.A., *A note on certain analytic and univalent functions*, South East Asian J. Math., 28 (2004), 829-836.
- [2] FRASIN, B.A. and DARUS, M., *On certain analytic univalent functions*, Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 25 (5) (2001), 305-310.
- [3] IRMAK, H., RAINA, R.K. and OWA, S., *Certain results involving inequalities of analytic and univalent functions*, Far East J. Math. Sci., 10 (2003), 359-366.
- [4] JACK, I.S., *Functions starlike and convex of order α* , J. London Math. Soc., 2 (3) (1971), 469-474.
- [5] LI, J.L. and OWA, S., *Sufficient conditions for starlikeness*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 33 (2002), 313-318.
- [6] MACGREGOR, T.H., *Functions whose derivative has a positive real part*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 104 (1962), 532-537.
- [7] MILLER, S.S. and MOCANU, P.T., *Differential subordinates and inequalities in the complex plane*, J. Differ. Equations, 67 (1987), 199-211.
- [8] NUNOKAWA, M., OWA, S., SAITOH, H., CHO, N.E. and TAKAHASHI, N., *Some properties of analytic functions at extremal points of arguments*, Preprint.
- [9] RAVICHANDRAN, V., SELVARAJ, C. and RAJALAKSMI, R., *Sufficient conditions for starlike functions of order α* , J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 3 (5) (2002), Article 81, 1-6 (electronic).
- [10] SĂLĂGEAN, G.S., *Subclasses of univalent functions*, In: Complex Analysis, Fifth Romanian Finnish Seminar, Part I (Bucharest, 1981), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1013 (1983), Springer, Berlin, 362-372.
- [11] SRIVASTAVA, H.M. and OWA, S., (Editors), *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications*, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1989.
- [12] SRIVASTAVA, H.M. and OWA, S., *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hongkong, 1992.
- [13] TAKAHASHI, N. and NUNOKAWA, M., *A certain connections between starlike and convex functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., 6 (2003), 563-655.